

1

ARI Research Note 92-82

AD-A256 905

# Data Management and Analysis in Support of the Army Family Research Program

**Carlos Gonzalez**  
Statcom, Inc.

**DTIC**  
**ELECTE**  
**OCT 23 1992**  
**S c D**

for

**Contracting Officer's Representative**  
**Ronald B. Tiggle**

**Leadership and Organizational Change Technical Area**  
**Paul A. Gade, Chief**

**Manpower and Personnel Research Division**  
**Zita M. Simutis, Director**

September 1992



423318

**92-27661**  
**92288**

**United States Army**  
**Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences**

# U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

**A Field Operating Agency Under the Jurisdiction  
of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel**

**EDGAR M. JOHNSON**  
Technical Director

**MICHAEL D. SHALER**  
COL, AR  
Commanding

Research accomplished under contract  
for the Department of the Army

Statcom, Inc.

Technical review by

Ronald B. Tiggle

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

## NOTICES

**DISTRIBUTION:** This report has been cleared for release to the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) to comply with regulatory requirements. It has been given no primary distribution other than to DTIC and will be available only through DTIC or the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

**FINAL DISPOSITION:** This report may be destroyed when it is no longer needed. Please do not return it to the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.

**NOTE:** The views, opinions, and findings in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 1992, September	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Nov 86 - Sep 91	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Data Management and Analysis in Support of the Army Family Research Program			5. FUNDING NUMBERS MDA903-91-D-0024 63007A 792	
6. AUTHOR(S)  Gonzalez, Carlos				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Statcom, Inc. 7921 Jones Branch Drive McLean, VA 22102			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  ---	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences ATTN: PERI-RP 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5600			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER  ARI Research Note 92-82	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  Contracting Officer's Representative, Ronald B. Tiggle				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE  ---	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This report details the processes and procedures used to transfer the Army Family Research Program (AFRP) Spouse Data Set, the Family Service Providers (SPF) Data Set, and the Installation and Community Characteristics Inventory (ICCI) Data Set from the National Institutes of Health mainframe computer to MS-DOS personal computer files for use by researchers at the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences (ARI). Procedures include a discussion on the appropriate job control language (JCL) to use with the Spouse file stored as a SAS data set, the macros used to generate the spouse data book, and the use of the Kermit communications package to download files to a PC. Included are also procedures to compute appropriate standard errors using the SUDAAN software. Special emphasis is placed on the description of procedures used to generate the various files.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Standard error SUDAAN Family service providers Spouse AFRP Army spouse characteristics JCL Army families			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 917	
			16. PRICE CODE ---	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS IN SUPPORT OF THE ARMY FAMILY  
RESEARCH PROGRAM

CONTENTS

---

	Page
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
SPOUSE DATA SET . . . . .	1
Procedures Used at the National Institute of Health Computer Center . . . . .	1
Procedures Used at the Army Research Institute . . . . .	6
FAMILY SERVICE PROVIDERS (SPF) DATA SET . . . . .	22
Procedures Used at the National Institute of Health Computer Center . . . . .	22
Procedures Used on the PC at the Army Research Institute . . . . .	27
INSTALLATION AND COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY (ICCI) DATA SET . . . . .	28
Procedures Used at the National Institute of Health Computer Center . . . . .	28
Procedures Used on the PC at the Army Research Institute . . . . .	29
SUDAAN . . . . .	30
Installation at the Army Research Institute . . . . .	30
How to Access SUDAAN on the PC . . . . .	31
SOLDIER DATA SET . . . . .	32
How to Access the Soldier Data Sets . . . . .	32
APPENDIX A. PROC CONTENTS OF SPOUSE DATA SET . . . . .	A-1
B. PROC CONTENTS OF FAMILY SERVICE PROVIDERS (SPF) DATA SET . . . . .	B-1
C. PROC CONTENTS OF INSTALLATION AND COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY (ICCI) DATA SET . . . . .	C-1
D. SPOUSE DATA SET CODE BOOK . . . . .	D-1



## CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
APPENDIX E. FAMILY SERVICE PROVIDERS (SPF) DATA SET CODE BOOK . . . . .	E-1
F. INSTALLATION AND COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY (ICCI) DATA SET CODE BOOK . . . . .	F-1
G. SPOUSE REPORTS . . . . .	G-1
H. PROC FREQUENCY OF INSTALLATION AND COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY (ICCI) DATA SET . . . . .	H-1
I. PROC CONTENTS OF SOLDIER DATA SETS . . . . .	I-1

**DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS IN SUPPORT  
OF THE ARMY FAMILY RESEARCH PROGRAM**

**CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION**

The Army Research Institute (ARI) contracted with STATCOM, Inc. to provide programming and analysis support for the Army Family Research Program (AFRP) beginning June 13, 1991, under Task 006.

This report presents the processes and procedures used to transfer the Spouse Data Set, the Family Service Providers (SPF) Data Set, and the Installation and Community Characteristics Inventory (ICCI) Data Set from the National Institute of Health (NIH) files to PC files for use by researchers at ARI. Included are also procedures used in the SUDAAN software and the Soldier file. Special emphasis is placed on the description of procedures used to generate the various files.

**CHAPTER 2. SPOUSE DATA SET**

The following section describes the process by which the Spouse Data Set information was transferred and installed at the National Institute of Health Institute Computer Center and at the Army Research Institute (ARI), and, once downloaded, how this information can be accessed. This section also includes a complete listing of all the programs, procedures, and macros used to accomplish the project and an entire set of the reports required by this task.

**2.1. - Procedures used at the National Institute of Health  
Computer Center**

This section explains the procedures used at the National Institute of Health Computer Center to install the Spouse Data Set material. The section also describes how to use and access the Spouse information (i.e., data set, formats, programs, etc.).

**2.1.1. - From tape to disk**

The Spouse Data Set was downloaded from tape to disk at NIH. For this procedure to be completed, it was necessary to use SAS version 6.06, which, at the time, was in a beta test status.

The Spouse Data Set was in SAS XPORT format on a 6250 bpi magnetic tape with number RB0978, and the new spouse weights were on another magnetic tape with number RB1082.

Following is the JCL that was used to download tape  
# RB0978:

```
/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
/*ROUTE XEQ 9TRACKHI
/*MESSAGE RB0978,RS
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//SPOU1 DD DSN='SPOUSE.EXP',DISP=(OLD,KEEP),UNIT=9TRACKHI,
// LABEL=(1,NL),DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000),
// VOL=(PRIVATE,SER=RB0978)
//SPOU2 DD DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPOUSE.EXP,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),UNIT=FILE,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,SPACE=(TRK,(100,50),RLSE),
// DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
LIBNAME SPOU1 XPORT 'SPOUSE.EXP' ;
PROC COPY IN=SPOU1 OUT=SPOU2 ;
```

Following is the JCL that was used to download the tape  
#RB1082:

```
/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
/*ROUTE XEQ 9TRACKHI
/*MESSAGE RB1082,RS
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//SPOU1 DD DSN='SPOUSE.A.EXP',DISP=(OLD,KEEP),UNIT=9TRACKHI,
// LABEL=(1,NL),DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000),
// VOL=(PRIVATE,SER=RB1082)
//SPOU2 DD DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPOUSE.A.EXP,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),UNIT=FILE,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,SPACE=(TRK,(100,50),RLSE),
// DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
LIBNAME SPOU1 XPORT 'SPOUSE.A.EXP' ;
PROC COPY IN=SPOU1 OUT=SPOU2 ;
```

After each download, a PROC CONTENTS was performed to check the operation. See Appendix-A for results.

#### 2.1.2. - Merger of missing variables

To delete the old spouse weights (generated by the previous download) and add the new spouse weights, the following SAS program was run:

```
/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//SPOU1 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPOUSE.EXP,DISP=SHR,
```

```

// VOL=SER=ARID01
//SPOU2 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPOUSE.A.EXP,DISP=SHR,
// VOL=SER=ARID01
//SPOU3 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPOUSE,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),SPACE=(TRK,(100,50),RLSE),
// DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//SYSIN DD *

```

```

DATA SPOU3.SPOUSE;
MERGE SPOU2.SPOUWT(IN=IN2)
      SPOU1.SPOUSE(DROP=SPOUWT IN=IN1)
;
BY XID;
IF IN1 & NOT(IN2) THEN PUT 'IN1 & NOT(IN2) ' XID= ;ELSE
IF IN2 & NOT(IN1) THEN PUT 'IN2 & NOT(IN1) ' XID= ;

IF IN1;

PROC CONTENTS DATA=SPOU3._ALL_;

```

A PROC CONTENTS was performed to check results. See Appendix-A for listing.

### 2.1.3. - How to generate labels

In order to generate the labels, it was necessary to use a semi-complete codebook that was provided on a diskette. The term, "semi-complete", is used because it contained the variable names, their labels and value labels, but not the format names. The format names were input by hand. The naming convention used was the same used for the Soldiers file. That is, the format has the same name as the variable, except if the variable ends with a number. Since SAS does not accept format names ending with numbers, an underline character was added to the variable name. Please refer to Appendix-D for the complete Spouse codebook.

After the format names were added to the codebook, this file was transferred from a PC to NIH, via a modem. See section 2.2 for more on transfer NIH-PC.

It is important to point out at this time that the order of the variables in the data set is not in numeric order, and thus, caution must be taken when accessing a range of them.

The next step is to generate a label data set (named NEW.FORMATS.SPOUSE) from the codebook file (named RAW.FORMATS.SPOUSE). This was done using the following SAS program:

```

/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//LIBRARY DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.NEW.FORMATS.SPOUSE,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),SPACE=(TRK,(100,50),RLSE),
// DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//FORM1 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.RAW.FORMATS.SPOUSE,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=SHR
//SYSIN DD *

```

```

DATA LIBRARY.NEW;
INFILE FORM1 RECFM=FB LRECL=80;
RETAIN FMTNAME;
  INPUT @2 TEMPNAME $8. @;
  IF TEMPNAME NE ' ' THEN DO;
    FMTNAME= TEMPNAME;
    INPUT @27 VARLABL $40.;
  END;
ELSE DO;
  INPUT @21 START 2. @26 LABEL $40.;
  END=START;
END;
OUTPUT;

```

```
PROC PRINT DATA=LIBRARY.NEW;
```

After the label data set has been created, the user can proceed to create the formats (with file name NEW.SPOUSE.FORMATS) using the following SAS program :

```

/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//LIBRARY DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.NEW.SPOUSE.FORMATS,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),SPACE=(TRK,(100,50),RLSE),
// DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//FORM1 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.NEW.FORMATS.SPOUSE,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=SHR
//SYSIN DD *

```

```
PROC FORMAT CNTLIN=FORM1.NEW LIB=LIBRARY;
  See section 2.1.5 on how to use the formats.
```

#### 2.1.4. - How to access the Spouse Data Set

In order for a user to access the SPOUSE Data Set, the following JCL must be included in the user's job :

```

//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606

```

```
//SPOU1 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPOUSE,DISP=SHR,
// VOL=SER=ARID01
//SYSIN DD *
```

Insert your SAS program here.

The Spouse Data Set in the SAS program will be called SPOU1.SPOUSE. Notice that the name SPOU1 that appears in the JCL is the first element in the name of the data set file. The user may change this name as long as they use it as the first element of the data set name. Following is an example of a PROC CONTENTS for the Spouse file :

```
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//SPDS DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPOUSE,DISP=SHR,
// VOL=SER=ARID01
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
PROC CONTENTS DATA=SPDS._ALL_;
```

#### 2.1.5. - How to use the formats

In order for a user to access the format labels defined for the variables, the following JCL and SAS instructions must be included in the program:

```
/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//SPIN DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPOUSE,DISP=SHR,
// VOL=SER=ARID01
//LIBRARY DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.NEW.SPOUSE.FORMATS,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=SHR
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
LIBNAME LIBRARY 'WTFEGNZ.NEW.SPOUSE.FORMATS';
```

Insert your SAS program here.

Note that the Spouse Data Set in this program should be named SPIN.SPOUSE

Following is an example of using the formats stored in a file. This example prints from the variable SP\_1, the first 10 observations using the format value of the same name (SP\_1).

```

/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//SPIN DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPOUSE,DISP=SHR,
// VOL=SER=ARID01
//LIBRARY DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.NEW.SPOUSE.FORMATS,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=SHR
//SYSIN DD *

```

```
LIBNAME LIBRARY 'WTFEGNZ.NEW.SPOUSE.FORMATS';
```

```

DATA D0;
  SET SPIN.SPOUSE (OBS=10);
PROC PRINT ;
  SP_1 SP_1;

```

## 2.2. - Procedures used at the Army Research Institute

In this section, we will report on the work done at the PC located in room 6S50 at ARI. The section also describes how to access and use the files, programs, and procedures created there to manipulate the Spouse file information.

### 2.2.1. - Download from diskette

The Spouse file was downloaded from a diskette generated at the Research Triangle Institute in North Carolina. Because of space limitations, the file was broken up in 3 different files. Each file contains a subset of the variables (about 1/3 of them). Each of these files is in a directory called: D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\. The names given to the files were SPOUSE1.SDS, SPOUSE2.SDS, and SPOUSE3.SDS. There was an attempt to merge the 3 files, but because they were too large for DOS to handle them, they were left unchanged. The partition of the files was not done in any specific order, and the only connection between them was through the variable XFSU (the recorded RFSU identifier). The Proc Contents of each of the Spouse files is given in Appendix-A.

### 2.2.2. - Add common variables to the Data Sets

To generate the cross tabulation report of each variable by Total, Rank (E2-E4, E5-E9, and officers), Location (CONUS, EUROPE, OCONUS), Unit Type (Combat, Combat support, Combat Service Support, TDA), Number of Children (No Children, Children), Spouse Employment Status (Employed, Not employed, On Active Duty), it was necessary to make sure all these variables were present in all three of the

## Spouse files.

The following program was run in SAS-PC to add the missing variables to the SPOUSE1 that were located in SPOUSE3.

```
LIBNAME ADDING 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE';
DATA ADDING.SPOUSE1M;
  MERGE ADDING.SPOUSE1 ADDING.SPOUSE3(KEEP=UICTYPE SP_KIDS
    SP_EMP1 XID);
  PAYGRAD3 = PAYGRADE;
  IF PAYGRAD3 = 3 THEN PAYGRAD3 = 2;
  IF PAYGRAD3 > 3 THEN PAYGRAD3 = PAYGRAD3 - 1;
BY XID;
RUN;
```

Notice several things:

The new data set file name is SPOUSE1M. A new variable PAYGRAD3 was created because the original data set soldier's paygrade had separated E5-E6 and E7-E9, and it was desired that they were combined. Thus, the variables UICTYPE, SP\_KIDS, SP\_EMP1, AND PAYGRAD3 were added to the original SPOUSE1 file.

The previous SAS program is named ADD3TO1.SAS and is in the same subdirectory as the Spouse files.

For the variables missing in SPOUSE3 from SPOUSE1, the following SAS program was executed for the program ADD1TO3.SAS:

```
LIBNAME ADD21 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE';
DATA ADD21.SPOUSE3M;
  MERGE ADD21.SPOUSE3
    ADD21.SPOUSE1(KEEP= PAYGRADE REGION XID);
BY XID;
OUTPUT ADD21.SPOUSE3M;
```

Finally, since SPOUSE2 had no variables needed by the other two, it was necessary to copy all the variables from one of the newly generated data sets. Following is the SAS program (ADD31TO2.SAS) that did this.

```
LIBNAME ADD15 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE';
DATA ADD15.SPOUSE2M;
  MERGE ADD15.SPOUSE2
    ADD15.SPOUSE1M(KEEP= PAYGRADE REGION XID
    PAYGRAD3 UICTYPE SP_KIDS SP_EMP1 XID );
BY XID;
RUN;
```

Thus, at the end of this process, there are, in the files SPOUSE1M, SPOUSE2M, and SPOUSE3M, the complete Spouse data set divided into 3 files, and each file with all the variables needed



to do the cross tabulation.

### 2.2.3. - Sorting the Spouse Data Sets

In order to run the cross tabulations in SUDAAN (see section 5.) it was necessary to have the data sets sorted by 1st-stage strata (variable FSSTRAT) and by recorded RFSU identifier (XFSU).

Following is the SAS-PC program (named SORT.SAS) that was used to do the sorting. Note the names of the sorted data sets are SPOUSE1S, SPOUSE2S, and SPOUSE3S.

```
LIBNAME ADD05 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE';
PROC SORT DATA=ADD05.SPOUSE1M OUT=ADD05.SPOUSE1S;
  BY FSSTRAT XFSU;
PROC SORT DATA=ADD05.SPOUSE2M OUT=ADD05.SPOUSE2S;
  BY FSSTRAT XFSU;
PROC SORT DATA=ADD05.SPOUSE3M OUT=ADD05.SPOUSE3S;
  BY FSSTRAT XFSU;
RUN;
```

### 2.2.4. - Create Formats

To create the formats, it was first necessary to create the label data set from the codebook (same procedure as done at NIH). The SAS program used to do this is named FROMFILE.SAS. Following is a listing of such program:

```
FILENAME CODEBK 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\FORMATS.SPO';
LIBNAME ADD02 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE';
DATA ADD02.LABELS;
  INFILE CODEBK ;
  RETAIN FMTNAME;
  INPUT @2 TEMPNAME $8. @;
  IF TEMPNAME NE " " THEN DO;
    FMTNAME = TEMPNAME;
    INPUT @27 VARLABEL $40.;
  END;
  ELSE DO;
    INPUT @21 START 2. @26 LABEL $40. ;
    END = START;
    OUTPUT;
  END;
PROC PRINT DATA=ADD02.LABELS;
RUN;
```

The formats were created at the same time the reports were generated. This procedure is described in the following section.

### **2.2.5. - Cross tabulation reports**

For the cross tabulation reports, the following steps were required:

1. Created the SUDAAN cross tabulation (see section 5.2) of the variables. These results were divided into two tables. The first table contained the results needed to print the variables by Total, Rank, Location and Unit type, and the second table contained the results needed to print the variable by the number of Children and the Spouse Employment Status. The results are located at the respective directories: D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE1\ and D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE2.
2. Ran a SAS program that would take as input the variable name and format name, and generate the cross tabulation report (the program name is GENREP.SAS, and is at D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\). To do this, it was necessary to use the same programs used by the Research Triangle Institute to do the Soldier's reports, and modified and adapted for the Spouse file. All the old programs were saved in D:\STATCOM\OLDPROGR\TABLE1\, and TABLE2. The original program could generate only one report at a time, and every time a report was needed, the file had to be edited, and the variable name and format name changed. In order to do this procedure for more than 400 variables, it was decided to optimize the report generating process by modifying the original programs and making the program read from a file the variable needed to process.
3. A file was created (named SPOUSE.LBL at the D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\ directory) containing the name of the variables, format name, and number of levels. This file can be read sequentially, but indexed by a variable that is saved in a data set named VARPROC.DAT in the D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\ directory. Every time the GENREP program runs, the index that is used to read the reported variable is incremented by one and saved for the next run. This way every time the GENREP runs, a new variable is picked up to be reported. A program named INIVAR.SAS in the D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\ directory is used to initialize the index to 1.

#### **2.2.5.1. - THE GENREP.SAS Program**

Following is a listing of the GENREP.SAS program. The results are in Appendix-G

```

FILENAME MACRO1 'D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE1\TABLE1.MAC';
FILENAME MACRO2 'D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE2\TABLE2.MAC';
FILENAME MACRO0 'D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE0.MAC';
LIBNAME LIBRARY 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE';
LIBNAME ADDING 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE';

```

```

PROC FORMAT CNTLIN=ADDING.LABELS LIBRARY=LIBRARY;
RUN;

```

```

%INCLUDE MACRO0;
%GEN0

```

```

%INCLUDE MACRO1;
%PRTMAC1

```

```

%INCLUDE MACRO2;
%PRTMAC2
RUN;

```

#### 2.2.5.2.- The TABLE0.MAC macro

The TABLE0.MAC (at directory D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\) listing:

```

OPTIONS LINESIZE=180 PAGESIZE=59 MPRINT;
%MACRO DATASTP0;
FILENAME VARPROC 'D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\VARPROCC.DAT';
LIBNAME TEMPORAL 'D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR';

```

```

%GLOBAL TABLEVAR TABLEFMT THISVAL TABLELVL;

```

```

DATA DO;
  SET TEMPORAL.VARNUM;
  OLDVAR = NUMVAR;
  CALL SYMPUT("THISVAL",OLDVAR);
  NUMVAR = NUMVAR + 1;

```

```

DATA TEMPORAL.VARNUM(KEEP=NUMVAR);
  SET DO;

```

```

DATA TEMPORAL.TEMPFILE;
  INFILE VARPROC;
  INPUT @2 FVAR $8. @11 FFMT $8. @21 FLEV 2.;
  IF _N_ = &THISVAL THEN DO;
    CALL SYMPUT("TABLEVAR",TRIM(FVAR));
    CALL SYMPUT("TABLEFMT",TRIM(FFMT));
    CALL SYMPUT("TABLELVL",FLEV);
  END;
RUN;

```

```
%MEND DATASTP0;
```

```
%MACRO GEN0;  
%GLOBAL DEST FOOT;  
  %LET DEST=PRINT;  
  %LET FOOT=;  
  %DATASTP0;  
%MEND GEN0;
```

#### 2.2.5.3. - The TABLE1.MAC macro

The TABLE1.MAC macro is located at directory D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE1\. Following is a listing of this macro.

```
OPTIONS LINESIZE=180 PAGESIZE=59 MPRINT;  
%MACRO DATASTP1;
```

```
FILENAME INDATA "D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE1\&TABLEVAR..DBS";  
FILENAME INPTVARS "D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE1\&TABLEVAR..LBL";  
FILENAME INPTSTMT "D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE1\INPTSTMT.DAT";  
FILENAME LABELS "D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\SPOUSE.LBL";
```

```
*---Databook macro (page 1);
```

```
*---RETRIEVE THE LABEL FOR THE OUTCOME VARIABLE IN THE TABLE;
```

```
%GLOBAL TABLABL;
```

```
DATA ALLLABLS;  
  INFILE LABELS;  
  INPUT @6 VARNAME $8. @32 VARLABL $47.;
```

```
PROC SORT DATA=ALLLABLS;  
  BY VARNAME;
```

```
DATA VARNAME;  
  LENGTH VARNAME $8;  
  VARNAME="&TABLEVAR";
```

```
DATA VARLABL;  
  MERGE VARNAME(IN=A)  
    ALLLABLS(KEEP=VARNAME VARLABL);  
  BY VARNAME;  
  IF A;  
  CALL SYMPUT("TABLABL",TRIM(VARLABL));
```

```
*---GET THE OUTPUT DATASET FROM THE SUDAAN RUN;  
DATA SUD1DBS;  
  INFILE INDATA;
```

```

%INC INPTSTMT;
%INC INPTVARS;
;
*---RECODE THE OUTCOME VARIABLE SO THAT THE MARGINAL IS THE LAST
LEVEL;

IF &TABLEVAR = 0 THEN &TABLEVAR = %EVAL(&TABLELVL + 1);

/*** CREATE _COLVAR_ ***

IF TABLENO=1 THEN DO;
  IF PAYGRD3 = 3 THEN DELETE; ELSE
    _COLVAR_ = PAYGRD3;
  IF _COLVAR_ = 4 OR _COLVAR_ = 5 THEN _COLVAR_ = _COLVAR_ - 1;
END; ELSE
IF TABLENO=2 THEN DO;
  IF REGION = 0 THEN DELETE; ELSE
    _COLVAR_ = REGION + 4;
END; ELSE
IF TABLENO=3 THEN DO;
  IF UICTYPE = 0 THEN DELETE; ELSE
    _COLVAR_ = UICTYPE + 7;
END;
*---You may need to add UMACOM to this KEEP statement;
KEEP &TABLEVAR _COLVAR_ COLPER SECOL NSUM WSUM;

%MEND DATASTP1;

%MACRO SORTTRN1;

/*****
/*** This macro re-sorts the data after the marginals have ***/
/*** been recorded. It then transposes the data so that ***/
/*** rather than one obs per cell, the dataset becomes ***/
/*** four observations per line of the table. This is one ***/
/*** obs for COLPER, one for SECOL, one for WSUM, and ***/
/*** one for NSUM. The individual levels of the outcome ***/
/*** variable keep only the column percent and the standard ***/
/*** error. The marginal (total) level of the outcome ***/
/*** will keep the FREQ and WEIGHTED counts, and does not ***/
/*** present the column percent or standard error. ***/
*****/

%LOCAL I;

PROC SORT DATA=SUD1DBS;
  BY &TABLEVAR _COLVAR_;

*---Same thing here, UMACOM should be before &TABLEVAR;
PROC TRANSPOSE DATA=SUD1DBS OUT=OUTTRANS;
  BY &TABLEVAR;
  VAR COLPER SECOL NSUM WSUM;

```

```

DATA TESTSIZE;
  SET OUTTRANS;
  IF &TABLEVAR = %EVAL(&TABLELVL+1) AND _NAME_ = "NSUM";
  ONE=1;
  KEEP ONE COL1-COL12;
  RENAME COL1=TSTCOL1
          COL2=TSTCOL2
          COL3=TSTCOL3
          COL4=TSTCOL4
          COL5=TSTCOL5
          COL6=TSTCOL6
          COL7=TSTCOL7
          COL8=TSTCOL8
          COL9=TSTCOL9
          COL10=TSTCOL10
          COL11=TSTCOL11
          COL12=TSTCOL12;

DATA DATASET2;
  SET OUTTRANS;
  IF &TABLEVAR NE %EVAL(&TABLELVL+1) THEN DO;
    IF _NAME_="WSUM" OR _NAME_="NSUM" THEN DELETE;
  END;
  ELSE DO;
    IF _NAME_="COLPER" OR _NAME_="SECOL" THEN DELETE;
  END;
  ONE=1;

DATA DATASET2;
  MERGE DATASET2 TESTSIZE;
  BY ONE;

PROC FORMAT;
  VALUE $_NAME_ "WSUM"="Weighted total" "NSUM"="Sample size";

%MEND SORTTRN1;

%MACRO PRINT1;

/*****
/*** This macro is the print routine for those tables which ***/
/*** do not have a nest variable. It works for LANDSCAPE ***/
/*** as well as PORTRAIT tables. The margins for each type ***/
/*** of table are set at the top of the macro. *****/
/*****/

%LET LMARGIN=8;
%LET FIRSTCOL=%EVAL(&LMARGIN+1+42);
%LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+1);
%LET PLUSIGN=&FIRSTCOL;
%LET MINUSIGN=&FIRSTCOL;
%LET FIRSTCNT=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL-1);

```

```

%LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+6);
%LET PSIZE=44;
%LET WIDTH=160;
%LET TMARGIN=1;
%LET MINPLUS="*";

/* THIS SECTION IS PRINTER CONTROL CHARACTERS. SET UP */
/* TO PRINT ON A TALARIS LASER PRINTER IN LANDSCAPE FORMAT */
/* WITH COMPRESSED PRINT. */

DATA _NULL_;
  FILE &DEST LINESLEFT=L PAGESIZE=&PSIZE NOTITLES LINESIZE=180;
  ESC = BYTE(27);
  PUT
    @1 ESC @2 "&l10" ;
  PUT    @1 ESC @2 "&k2S" ;

  /* THIS IS THE SECTION WHERE THE TABLE IS PRINTED TO THE SAS
  LISTING */

DATA DATASET3; SET DATASET2 END=EOF;
  BY &TABLEVAR;
  RETAIN ROWCOUNT 0;

  FILE &DEST LINESLEFT=L PAGESIZE=&PSIZE NOTITLES LINESIZE=180
  HEADER=HD;

  ARRAY SE{12} COL1-COL12;
  IF _NAME_ = "COLPER" THEN DO;
    ROWCOUNT+1;
    IF (ROWCOUNT = &TABLELVL AND L < 8) OR
      (ROWCOUNT < &TABLELVL AND L < 4) THEN DO;
      PUT _PAGE_;
      PUT /
        %DO I=1 %TO 12;
          @&PCTCOL '%'
          %LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&PCTCOL+10);
        %END;
        %LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+6);
        @&LMARGIN+1 &TABLEVAR &TABLEFMT.. @;
      END;
    ELSE IF _N_=1 THEN PUT /
      %DO I=1 %TO 12;
        @&PCTCOL '%'
        %LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&PCTCOL+10);
      %END;
      %LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+6);
      @&LMARGIN+1 &TABLEVAR &TABLEFMT.. @;
    ELSE PUT / @&LMARGIN+1 &TABLEVAR &TABLEFMT.. @;
    %DO I=1 %TO 12;
      IF TSTCOL&I GE 30 THEN PUT @&FIRSTEST COL&I 5.1 @; ELSE
        PUT @&FIRSTEST ' -- ' @;
    %END;
  END;

```

```

        %LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTEST+10);
    %END;
    %LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+1);
    PUT;
END;
ELSE IF _NAME_ = "SECOL" THEN DO;
*---Convert the Standard error to a 95% confidence interval;
    DO I=1 TO 12 BY 1;
        SE(I)=SE(I)*1.96;
    END;
    %DO I=1 %TO 12;
        IF TSTCOL&I GE 30 THEN DO;
            PUT @&FIRSTEST COL&I 5.1 @;
            IF &I = 1 THEN PUT @&PLUSSIGN "*" @;
        END;
        ELSE PUT @&FIRSTEST ' ' @;
        %LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTEST+10);
        %LET PLUSSIGN=%EVAL(&PLUSSIGN+10);
    %END;
    %LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+1);
    PUT;
END;
ELSE IF _NAME_ = "NSUM" OR _NAME_ = "WSUM" THEN DO;
    IF _NAME_ = "NSUM" THEN PUT /; ELSE PUT;
    PUT @&LMARGIN+1 _NAME_ $ _NAME_.
    @&FIRSTCNT (COL1-COL12) (COMMA7. +3);
END;

IF EOF THEN DO;
    PUT @&LMARGIN+1 &WIDTH*'_' // @&LMARGIN+1 "(*) Standard
Error";
    IF MIN(OF TSTCOL1-TSTCOL12) LT 30 THEN
        PUT / @&LMARGIN+1 "(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for
valid estimates";
    PUT / @&LMARGIN+1 "&FOOT";
END;

RETURN;
HD:
    %PUT %LENGTH(%BQUOTE(&TABLABL));
    T I T L E L O C = & L M A R G I N + 1 +
INT((&WIDTH-%LENGTH(%BQUOTE(&TABLABL)))/2);
    PUT
        %DO I=1 %TO &TMARGIN;
        /
    %END;

@85 "Table &TABLEVAR" //
@TITLELOC "&TABLABL" /
@73 "by Rank, Location, and Unit Type" /
@&LMARGIN + 1 &WIDTH*'_' /;

```



```

PUT @78 'Rank' @110 'Location' @144 'Unit Type';
PUT @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+10) 37*'_'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+51) 25*'_'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+80) 38*'_' /;
PUT @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+30) 'Company' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+40)
'Field'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+100) 'Combat' ;
PUT @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+10) 'Junior'@%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+30) 'Grade'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+40) 'Grade' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+70) 'Other'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+90) 'Combat' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+100)
'Service' ;
PUT @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+1) 'Total' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+10)
'Enlisted'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+23) 'NCO' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+30) 'Officer'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+40) 'Officer'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+51) 'CONUS' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+60) 'Europe'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+70) 'OCONUS' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+80)
'Combat'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+90) 'Support' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+100)
'Support'
      @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+113) 'TDA';
PUT @&LMARGIN+1 &WIDTH*'_' ;

```

%MEND PRINT1;

%MACRO PRTMAC1;

```

%DATASTP1
%SORTTRN1
%PRINT1;

```

%MEND PRTMAC1;

#### 2.2.5.4. - The TABLE2.MAC macro

The TABLE2.MAC macro is located at directory D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE2\. Following is a listing of this macro.

```

OPTIONS LINESIZE=180 PAGESIZE=59 MPRINT;
%MACRO DATASTP2;

```

```

FILENAME INDATA "D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE2\&TABLEVAR..DBS";
FILENAME INPTVARS "D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE2\&TABLEVAR..LBL";
FILENAME INPTSTMT "D:\STATCOM\NEWPROGR\TABLE1\INPTSTMT.DAT";
FILENAME LABELS "D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\SPOUSE.LBL";

```

\*---Databook macro (page 2);

\*---RETRIEVE THE LABEL FOR THE OUTCOME VARIABLE IN THE TABLE;

```

%GLOBAL TABLABL;

DATA ALLLABLS;
  INFILE LABELS;
  INPUT @6 VARNAME $8. @32 VARLABL $47.;

PROC SORT DATA=ALLLABLS;
  BY VARNAME;

DATA VARNAME;
  LENGTH VARNAME $8;
  VARNAME="&TABLEVAR";

DATA VARLABL;
  MERGE VARNAME(IN=A)
        ALLLABLS(KEEP=VARNAME VARLABL);
  BY VARNAME;
  IF A;
  CALL SYMPUT("TABLABL",TRIM(VARLABL));

*---GET THE OUTPUT DATASET FROM THE SUDAAN RUN;
DATA SUD1DBS;
  INFILE INDATA;
  %INC INPTSTMT;
  %INC INPTVARS;
;
*---RECODE THE OUTCOME VARIABLE SO THAT THE MARGINAL IS THE LAST
LEVEL;

  IF &TABLEVAR = 0 THEN &TABLEVAR = %EVAL(&TABLELVL + 1);

/*** CREATE _COLVAR_ ***/

  IF TABLENO=1 THEN DO;
    IF SP_KIDS = 0 THEN DELETE; ELSE
      _COLVAR_ = SP_KIDS;
  END; ELSE
  IF TABLENO=2 THEN DO;
    IF SP_EMP1 = 0 THEN DELETE; ELSE
      _COLVAR_ = SP_EMP1 + 2;
  END;
  KEEP &TABLEVAR _COLVAR_ COLPER SECOL NSUM WSUM;

%MEND DATASTP2;

%MACRO SORTTRN2;

/*****
/*** This macro re-sorts the data after the marginals have ***/
/*** been recorded. It then transposes the data so that ***/
/*** rather than one obs per cell, the dataset becomes ***/
/**** four observations per line of the table. This is one ****/

```

```

/**** obs for COLPER, one for SECOL, one for WSUM, and ****/
/**** one for NSUM. The individual levels of the outcome ****/
/**** variable keep only the column percent and the standard ****/
/**** error. The marginal (total) level of the outcome ****/
/**** will keep the FREQ and WEIGHTED counts, and does not ****/
/**** present the column percent or standard error. ****/
/*****/

%LOCAL I;

PROC SORT DATA=SUD1DBS;
  BY &TABLEVAR _COLVAR_;

PROC TRANSPOSE DATA=SUD1DBS OUT=OUTTRANS;
  BY &TABLEVAR;
  VAR COLPER SECOL NSUM WSUM;

DATA TESTSIZE;
  SET OUTTRANS;
  IF &TABLEVAR = %EVAL(&TABLELVL+1) AND _NAME_ = "NSUM";
  ONE=1;
  KEEP ONE COL1-COL5;
  RENAME COL1=TSTCOL1
          COL2=TSTCOL2
          COL3=TSTCOL3
          COL4=TSTCOL4
          COL5=TSTCOL5;

DATA DATASET2;
  SET OUTTRANS;
  IF &TABLEVAR NE %EVAL(&TABLELVL+1) THEN DO;
    IF _NAME_="WSUM" OR _NAME_="NSUM" THEN DELETE;
  END;
  ELSE DO;
    IF _NAME_="COLPER" OR _NAME_="SECOL" THEN DELETE;
  END;
  ONE=1;

DATA DATASET2;
  MERGE DATASET2 TESTSIZE;
  BY ONE;

PROC FORMAT;
  VALUE $_NAME_ "WSUM"="Weighted total" "NSUM"="Sample size";

%MEND SORTTRN2;

%MACRO PRINT2;

/*****/
/**** This macro is the print routine for those tables which ****/
/**** do not have a nest variable. It works for LANDSCAPE ****/

```

```

/**** as well as PORTRAIT tables. The margins for each type ****/
/**** of table are set at the top of the macro. ****/
/*****

```

```

%LET LMARGIN=15;
%LET FIRSTCOL=%EVAL(&LMARGIN+1+42);
%LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+1);
%LET PLUSIGN=&FIRSTCOL;
%LET MINUSIGN=&FIRSTCOL;
%LET FIRSTCNT=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL-1);
%LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+6);
%LET PSIZE=44;
%LET WIDTH=162;
%LET TMARGIN=1;
%LET MINUSPL='*';

```

```

DATA _NULL_ ;
FILE &DEST LINESLEFT=L PAGESIZE=&PSIZE NOTITLES LINESIZE=180;
PUT _PAGE_;

```

```

DATA DATASET3; SET DATASET2 END=EOF;
BY &TABLEVAR;
RETAIN ROWCOUNT 0;

```

```

FILE &DEST LINESLEFT=L PAGESIZE=&PSIZE NOTITLES LINESIZE=180
HEADER=HD;

```

```

ARRAY SE(5) COL1-COL5;
IF _NAME_ = "COLPER" THEN DO;
  IF ROWCOUNT+1;
  IF (ROWCOUNT = &TABLELVL AND L < 8) OR
    (ROWCOUNT < &TABLELVL AND L < 4) THEN DO;
    PUT _PAGE_;
    PUT /
    %DO I=1 %TO 5;
      @&PCTCOL '%'
      %LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&PCTCOL+10);
    %END;
    %LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+6);
    @&LMARGIN+1 &TABLEVAR &TABLEFMT.. @;
  END;
  ELSE IF _N_=1 THEN
    PUT /
    %DO I=1 %TO 5;
      @&PCTCOL '%'
      %LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&PCTCOL+10);
    %END;
    %LET PCTCOL=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+6);
    @&LMARGIN+1 &TABLEVAR &TABLEFMT.. @;
  ELSE PUT / @&LMARGIN+1 &TABLEVAR &TABLEFMT.. @;
  %DO I=1 %TO 5;
    IF TSTCOL&I GE 30 THEN PUT @&FIRSTEST COL&I 5.1 @; ELSE

```

```

                PUT @&FIRSTEST '  --  ' @;
        %LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTEST+10);
%END;
%LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+1);
PUT;
END;
ELSE IF _NAME_ = "SECOL" THEN DO;
    DO I=1 TO 5 BY 1;
        SE{I}=SE{I}*1.96;
    END;
    %DO I=1 %TO 5;
        IF TSTCOL&I GE 30 THEN DO;
            PUT @&FIRSTEST COL&I 5.1 @;
            IF &I = 1 THEN PUT @&PLUSSIGN '*' @;
        END;
        ELSE PUT @&FIRSTEST '      ' @;
        %LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTEST+10);
        %LET PLUSSIGN=%EVAL(&PLUSSIGN+10);
    %END;
    %LET FIRSTEST=%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+1);
    PUT;
END;
ELSE IF _NAME_ = "NSUM" OR _NAME_ = "WSUM" THEN DO;
    IF _NAME_ = "NSUM" THEN PUT /; ELSE PUT;
    PUT @&LMARGIN+1 _NAME_ $ _NAME_.
    @&FIRSTCNT (COL1-COL5) (COMMA7. +3);
END;

IF EOF THEN DO;
    PUT @&LMARGIN+1 &WIDTH*'_ ' // @&LMARGIN+1 "(*) Standard
Error";
    IF MIN(OF TSTCOL1-TSTCOL12) LT 30 THEN
        PUT / @&LMARGIN+1 "(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for
valid estimates";
    PUT / @&LMARGIN+1 "&FOOT";
END;

RETURN;
HD:
%PUT %LENGTH(%BQUOTE(&TABLABL));
TITLELOC = 9 + INT((&WIDTH-%LENGTH(%BQUOTE(&TABLABL)))/2);
PUT
    %DO I=1 %TO &TMARGIN;
    /
    %END;
@81 "Table &TABLEVAR (cont.)" //
@TITLELOC "&TABLABL" /
@61 "by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status"/
&LMARGIN + 1 &WIDTH*'_ ' /;

PUT @&FIRSTCOL 'No'

```

```

        @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+20) 'Spouse' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+30) 'Not'
        @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+40) 'On Active';
    PUT @&FIRSTCOL 'Children' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+10) 'Children'
        @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+20) 'Employed' @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+30)
'Employed'
        @%EVAL(&FIRSTCOL+40) 'Duty';
    PUT @&LMARGIN + 1 &WIDTH*'_' /;
%MEND PRINT2;

%MACRO PRTMAC2;

    %DATASTP2
    %SORTTRN2
    %PRINT2;

%MEND PRTMAC2;

```

#### 2.2.6. - How to access the Spouse Data Sets

To access the Spouse Data Set from SAS-PC, the following must be completed:

1. The user must know which of the three data sets (SPOUSE1, SPOUSE2, or SPOUSE3) contains the variables that the user is going to use in the program. Appendix-A contains a Proc Contents of each of the data sets.
2. Once the user has located the variables and their corresponding data set, the user needs to have a LIBNAME statement, which maps the file name with the name the user wants to use in the program.

For example, to access a variable in the SPOUSE1 data set, the user may use a statement like this:

```
LIBNAME TEST2 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\SPOUSE1';
```

Then to call the SPOUSE1 data set, the user will use the notation:

```
TEST2.SPOUSE1
```

For example, if the user wants to do a PROC FREQ on this data set, your user SAS program may look like this:

```
LIBNAME SPOU1 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\SPOUSE1';
```

```
DATA D0 ;
SET SPOU1.SPOUSE1;
```

```

DROP SP_2 SP_23 SP_24 SP_25 SP_27
      SP_36 SP_49 SP_50 SP_94
      SPOUWT SPREDWT SP_21
      XID ;
PROC FREQ;

```

If you need to use variables that are in more than one dataset, all you have to do is use a LIBNAME statement to include the spouse file where the variables are. For example, suppose you want to use variable SP\_1, SP\_4A, and SP\_82D. First you will go to appendix A and find that variable SP\_1 is in SPOUSE1, SP\_4A is in SPOUSE2, and SP\_82D is in SPOUSE3. Thus, you need 3 LIBNAME statements in your SAS program to access these variables--one for each of the data sets. Your program may look like this:

```

LIBNAME FIRSET 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\SPOUSE1';
LIBNAME SECNDSET 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\SPOUSE2';
LIBNAME THIRSET 'D:\STATCOM\SPOUSE\SPOUSE3';

DATA D0;
  SET FIRSET.SPOUSE1(KEEP SP_1);
  SET SECNDSET.SPOUSE2(KEEP SP_4A);
  SET THIRSET.SPOUSE3(KEEP SP_82D);
  ...
  ...

```

## CHAPTER 3. FAMILY SERVICE PROVIDERS (SPF) DATA SET

The following section describes the process by which the Family Service Providers information was transferred and installed at NIH and at ARI, and how this information can be accessed. This section also includes a complete listing of all the programs, procedures, and macros used to accomplish the project and an entire set of the reports required by this task.

### 3.1. - Procedures used at the National Institute of Health Computer Center

This section describes the different processes used to install the Family Service Providers Data Set at the NIH computer center. This section also includes a description on how to use and access the Family Service Providers' information (i.e. Data Set, formats, Programs, etc.).

### 3.1.1. - From tape to disk

The Family Service Providers Data Set was down loaded from tape to disk at NIH. For this procedure to be completed, it was necessary to use SAS version 6.06 which, at the time, was in a beta test status.

The Family Service Providers Data Set was in SAS XPORT format on a 6250 bpi magnetic tape with number RB0793.

Following is the JCL that was used to download tape  
# RB0793:

```
/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
/*ROUTE XEQ 9TRACKHI
/*MESSAGE RB0793,RS
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//FAM1 DD DSN='FAMILY.EXP',DISP=(OLD,KEEP),UNIT=9TRACKHI,
// LABEL=(1,NL),DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000),
// VOL=(PRIVATE,SER=RB0793)
//FAM2 DD DSN=WTFEGNZ.FAMILY.EXP.B,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),UNIT=FILE,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,SPACE=(TRK,(100,50),RLSE),
// DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
LIBNAME FAM1 XPORT 'FAMILY.EXP' ;
PROC COPY IN=FAM1 OUT=FAM2 ;
```

```
PROC CONTENTS DATA=SPOU2._ALL_;
```

See Appendix-B for the Proc Contents results of this program.

### 3.1.2. - How to access the SPF Data Set

In order for a user to access the FAMILY SERVICE PROVIDERS Data Set the following JCL must be included in their job :

```
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//FAM1 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.FAMILY.EXP.B,
// DISP=SHR,VOL=SER=ARID01
//SYSIN DD *
```

Insert here your SAS program.

The Family Service Providers Data Set in the SAS program will be called FAM1.SPF. Notice that the name FAM1 that appears in the



JCL is the first element in the name of the data set file in the SAS program. The second element, SPF, is the initial name given for this SAS data set, it is not recommended to change this name. Always use SPF as the second element of the name in your SAS programs when accessing the Family Service Providers Data Set. The User may change the first name as long as they use it as the first element of the data set name and in the DD JCL label. Following is an example of a PROC CONTENTS for the Family Service Providers file :

```
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//FADS DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.FAMILY.EXP.B,
// DISP=SHR,VOL=SER=ARID01
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
PROC CONTENTS DATA=FADS._ALL_;
```

### 3.1.3. - How to transfer from NIH to PC

To transfer a SAS file from NIH to PC, the following must be completed:

1. Convert the SAS file into a transportable form. The User may accomplish this by running the following program at NIH:

```
/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//FAMOU DD DSN=WTFEGNZ.FAMILY.EXP.B,DISP=SHR,UNIT=FILE,
// VOL=SER=ARID01
//PORTEMF DD DSN=WTFEGNZ.FAMILY.XPORT,UNIT=FILE,
// DISP=(NEW,CATLG,DELETE),SPACE=(TRK,(150,10),RLSE),
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
TITLE 'XPORT FAMILY DATASET FILE 9/25/91';
PROC XCOPY IN=FAMOU OUT=PORTEMF EXPORT;
```

2. From the PC, the user should connect via KERMIT (or any other communication software that supports KERMIT) to NIH TSO
3. Once in TSO, call KERMIT by typing KERMIT. Inside Kermit say SERVER then ALT-X to return to your PC.
4. At your PC type RECEIVE and then the file name used at NIH. This should start the file transmission. The name you will get in your PC is the last two name elements of

your NIH file's name, with the first name chopped to 8 character and the last one to 3.

5. Once the transmission is done type FINISH and then CONNECT. This will take user back to Kermit at NIH.
6. At NIH type QUIT to leave Kermit and LOGOFF to end the TSO session.
7. Note: If a user needs to transmit a non SAS file, user does not have to do the XPORT procedure (step-1), but do the rest. The only caution you have to take is to make sure the file you are going to transmit is catalogued. USER can catalog a file from Wylbur with the CATALOG command.
8. Once you have your file on the PC, you need to run the following program to change the transport file into a regular SAS data set.

```
LIBNAME SAVED 'D:\STATCOM\DATASETS';
LIBNAME IMPORTED SASV5XPT 'D:\STATCOM\FILE1.XYZ'
PROC COPY IN=IMPORTED OUT=SAVED;
RUN;
```

This program assumes that your transported file was FILE1.XYZ in subdirectory D:\STATCOM, and that you want to save your SAS data set at subdirectory D:\STATCOM\DATASET. The data set created will be XYZ.SSD

#### 3.1.4. - How to generate labels

To generate a label data set (named NEW.FORMATS.SPF) from the codebook file (named RAW.FORMATS.SPF) use the following SAS program:

```
/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//LIBRARY DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.NEW.FORMATS.SPF,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),SPACE=(TRK,(100,50),RLSE),
// DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//FORM1 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.RAW.FORMATS.SPF,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=SHR
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
DATA LIBRARY.NEW;
INFILE FORM1 RECFM=FB LRECL=80;
RETAIN FMTNAME;
INPUT @2 TEMPNAME $8. @;
```

```

IF TEMPNAME NE ' ' THEN DO;
  FMTNAME= TEMPNAME;
  INPUT @27 VARLABL $40.;
END;
ELSE DO;
  INPUT @21 START 2. @26 LABEL $40.;
  END=START;
END;
OUTPUT;

```

```
PROC PRINT DATA=LIBRARY.NEW;
```

After the label data set has been created, the user can proceed to create the formats (with file name NEW.SPF.FORMATS) using the following SAS program :

```

/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//LIBRARY DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.NEW.SPF.FORMATS,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),SPACE=(TRK,(100,50),RLSE),
// DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//FORM1 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.NEW.FORMATS.SPF,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=SHR
//SYSIN DD *

```

```
PROC FORMAT CNTLIN=FORM1.NEW LIB=LIBRARY;
  See next section on how to use the formats.
```

### 3.1.5. - How to use the formats

The original SPF data set was created by using the mean value of the raw data instead of the values themselves. Thus, before using the format labels, the SPF data set MUST be recoded to reflect single digit answers instead of means. When that is done, the following procedure could be used to access the format labels defined for the variables. The following JCL and SAS instructions must be included in the program:

```

/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//SPIN DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.SPF,DISP=SHR,
// VOL=SER=ARID01
//LIBRARY DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.NEW.SPF.FORMATS,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,DISP=SHR
//SYSIN DD *

```

```
LIBNAME LIBRARY 'WTFEGNZ.NEW.SPF.FORMATS';
```

Insert your SAS program here.

### **3.2. - Procedures used on the PC at the Army Research Institute**

The Family Service Providers data set, codebook, and Proc contents were transported from NIH to the PC using the procedure explained in section 3.1.3.

#### **3.2.1. - Data Sets Transferred from NIH to PC**

The data set SPF.SDS was copied to the directory D:\STATCOM\FAMILY\.

The Family Service Providers Codebook named SPF.CBK was copied to the directory D:\STATCOM\FAMILY\. See appendix-E for the codebook

The result of a Proc Contents ( named SPF.CON ) was also transported at the directory D:\STATCOM\FAMILY\. See appendix-B for the results of the Proc Contents.

#### **3.2.2. - How to access the SPF Data Sets**

To access the Family Service Providers dataset from SAS-PC, user must include, at the beginning of your SAS program, a LIBNAME statement, which maps the file name with the name you want to use in the program.

For example, to access a variable in the SPF data set, user may use a statement like this:

```
LIBNAME TEST2 'D:\STATCOM\FAMILY\SPF';
```

Then to call the SPF dataset user will use the notation:

```
TEST2.SPF
```

If user wants to do a PROC FREQ on this dataset, the SAS program may look like this:

```
LIBNAME SPFOU1 'D:\STATCOM\FAMILY\SPF';
```

```
DATA D0 ;  
SET SPFOU1.SPF;  
DROP RFSUWGT XSPF;  
PROC FREQ;
```

See Appendix-H for the results of running this program.

## CHAPTER 4. INSTALLATION AND COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY (ICCI) DATA SET

The following section describes the process by which the ICCI Data Set information was transferred and installed at NIH and at ARI, and how this information can be accessed. This section also includes a complete listing of all the programs, procedures, and macros used to accomplish the project and an entire set of the reports required by this task.

### 4.1. - Procedures used at the National Institute of Health Computer Center

This section describes the different processes used to install the ICCI Data Set at the NIH computer center. This section also includes a description on how to use and access the ICCI information (i.e. Data Set, formats, Programs, etc.).

#### 4.1.1. - From tape to disk

The ICCI Data Set was downloaded from tape to disk at NIH. For this procedure to be completed, it was necessary to use SAS version 6.06, which, at the time, was in a beta test status.

The ICCI Data Set was in SAS XPORT format on a 6250 bpi magnetic tape with number RB0906.

Following is the JCL that was used to download tape  
# RB0906:

```
/*ACCESS WTFEGNZ
/*ROUTE XEQ 9TRACKHI
/*MESSAGE RB0906,RS
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//ICCIIN DD DSN='ICCI.EXP',DISP=(OLD,KEEP),UNIT=9TRACKHI,
// LABEL=(2,NL),DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000),
// VOL=(PRIVATE,SER=RB0906)
//ICCOU DD DSN=WTFEGNZ.ICCI.EXP,DISP=(NEW,KEEP),UNIT=FILE,
// VOL=SER=ARID01,SPACE=(TRK,(100,50),RLSE),
// DCB=(RECFM=FB,LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=8000)
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
LIBNAME ICCIN XPORT 'ICCI.EXP' ;
PROC COPY IN=ICCIIN OUT=ICCOU ;
```

```
PROC CONTENTS DATA=ICCOU._ALL_;
```

See Appendix-C for the Proc Contents results of this program.

#### **4.1.2. - How to access the ICCI Data Set**

In order for a user to access the ICCI Data Set, the following JCL must be included in the user's job :

```
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//ICIN1 DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.ICCI.EXP,
// DISP=SHR,VOL=SER=ARID01
//SYSIN DD *
```

Insert your SAS program here.

The ICCI Data Set in the SAS program will be called ICIN1.ICCI. Notice that then name ICIN1 that appears in the JCL is the first element in the name of the dataset file in the SAS program. The second element ICCI is the initial name given for this SAS data set (it is not recommended to change this name). Always use ICCI as the second element of the name in your SAS programs when accessing the ICCI. You may change the first name as long as you use it as the first element of the dataset name and in the DD JCL label. Following is an example of a PROC CONTENTS for the ICCI file:

```
//PROCLIB DD DSN=ZABCRUN.PROCLIB,DISP=SHR
// EXEC SAS606
//ICDS DD UNIT=FILE,DSN=WTFEGNZ.ICCI.EXP,
// DISP=SHR,VOL=SER=ARID01
//SYSIN DD *
```

```
PROC CONTENTS DATA=ICDS._ALL_;
```

#### **4.1.3. - How to transfer from NIH to PC**

To transfer a SAS file from NIH to PC see section 3.1.3 and follow the steps described there, remember only to change the file name to the ICCI data set.

#### **4.2. - Procedures used on the PC at the Army Research Institute**

The ICCI data set, codebook, and Proc Contents were transported from NIH to the PC using the procedure explained in section 3.1.3.

##### **4.2.1. - Data Sets Transferred from NIH**

The data set ICCI.SDS was copied to the directory D:\STATCOM\ICCI\.

The ICCI Codebook named ICCI.CBK was copied to the directory D:\STATCOM\ICCI\. See appendix-F for the codebook.

The result of a Proc Contents (named ICCI.CON) was also transported at the directory D:\STATCOM\ICCI\. See appendix-C for the results of the Proc Contents.

#### **4.2.2. - How to access the ICCI Data Sets**

To access the ICCI dataset from SAS-PC, user must include, at the beginning of the SAS program, a LIBNAME statement, which maps the file name with the name user wants to use in the program.

For example, to access a variable in the ICCI data set, the user may use a statement like this:

```
LIBNAME TEST2 'D:\STATCOM\ICCI\ICCI';
```

Then to call the ICCI dataset, the user will use the notation:

```
TEST2.ICCI
```

If the user wants to do a PROC FREQ on this dataset, your SAS program may look like this:

```
LIBNAME ICCIOU1 'D:\STATCOM\ICCI\ICCI';
```

```
DATA D0 ;  
SET ICCIOU1.ICCI;  
PROC FREQ;
```

See Appendix-I for the results of this program.

## **CHAPTER 5. SUDAAN**

### **5.1. - Installation at the Army Research Institute**

The SUDAAN software package was installed in the directory D:\STATCOM\SUD53\. This was done by following the steps described in the SUDAAN Users Manual, and transferring the information from the software diskette to the PC hard disk.

## 5.2. - How to access SUDAAN on the PC

To use SUDAAN, we recommend the user to read the SUDAAN User's Manual first and understand which of the procedures is the one the user may need. For this project (Task-006), the only procedure used was the PROC CROSSTAB. An example of the procedure used for variable SP\_EMP follows. This procedure was saved in a file named SP\_EMP.PRC at the same directory as SUDAAN.

```
PROC CROSSTAB DATA = "D:\\STATCOM\\SPOUSE\\SPOUSE1S"
FILETYPE = SAS NOPRINT NOROW NOTOT;
SUBGROUP SP_EMP PAYGRAD3 REGION UICTYPE;
LEVELS 4 5 3 4 ;
TABLES SP_EMP * (PAYGRAD3 REGION UICTYPE);
OUTPUT NSUM WSUM COLPER SECOL/FILETYPE=ASCII
      FILENAME="D:\\STATCOM\\NEWPROGR\\TABLE1\\SP_EMP"
      NSUMFMT=F6.0 WSUMFMT=F12.0 COLPERFMT=F6.1 SECOLFMT=F7.2;
WEIGHT SPOUWT;
NEST FSSTRAT XFSU;
PROC CROSSTAB DATA = "D:\\STATCOM\\SPOUSE\\SPOUSE1S"
FILETYPE = SAS NOPRINT NOROW NOTOT;
SUBGROUP SP_EMP SP_KIDS SP_EMP1;
LEVELS 4 2 3;
TABLES SP_EMP*SP_KIDS
SP_EMP*SP_EMP1;
OUTPUT NSUM WSUM COLPER SECOL/FILETYPE=ASCII
      FILENAME="D:\\STATCOM\\NEWPROGR\\TABLE2\\SP_EMP"
      NSUMFMT=F6.0 WSUMFMT=F12.0 COLPERFMT=F6.1 SECOLFMT=F7.2;
WEIGHT SPOUWT;
NEST FSSTRAT XFSU;
```

Note that two CROSSTAB procs were used. This is because of the two tables explained in section 2.2.4. We found that for variables with more than 8 levels, we have to separate in two different SUDAAN runs because it ran out of memory when we try levels larger than 8. Notice also that the results for the first cross tabulation are saved at the D:\\STATCOM\\NEWPROGR\\TABLE1\\ directory, and the second cross tabulation at the corresponding directory \\TABLE2\\.

To run the previous SUDAAN program, the user must type the following command at the SUDAAN directory.

```
D:>SUDPROC SP_EMP.PRC OUT1.DAT
```

This command will execute the cross tabulations of the two tables, and if any messages are produced will go to the file OUT1.DAT.

In general, to execute SUDAAN you use SUDPROC source-file output-file. Again, we recommend you read the SUDAAN User's Manual first.



## CHAPTER 6. SOLDIER DATA SET

The Soldier file was downloaded from a set of diskettes generated at the Research Triangle Institute in North Carolina. Because of space limitations, the file was broken up in 7 different files. Each of these files are in a directory called: D:\STATCOM\SOLDIER\. The names given to the files were SOLDIER1.SDS, SOLDIER2.SDS, to SOLDIER7.SDS. The Proc Contents of each dataset file is listed in appendix I.

### 6.1. - How to access the Soldier Data Sets

To access the Soldier Data Set from SAS-PC, the following must be completed:

1. The user must know which of the seven data sets (SOLDIER1, SOLDIER2, ... or SOLDIER7) contains the variables that the user is going to use in the program. Appendix-I contains a Proc Contents of each of the data sets.
2. Once the user has located the variables and their corresponding data set, the user needs to have a LIBNAME statement, which maps the file name with the name the user wants to use in the program.

For example, to access a variable in the SOLDIER1 data set, the user may use a statement like this:

```
LIBNAME TEST3 'D:\STATCOM\SOLDIER\SOLDIER1';
```

Then to call the SOLDIER1 data set, the user will use the notation:

```
TEST3.SOLDIER1
```

For example, if the user wants to do a PROC FREQ on this data set, your user SAS program may look like this:

```
LIBNAME SOLD1 'D:\STATCOM\SOLDIER\SOLDIER1';
```

```
DATA DO ;  
SET SOLD1.SOLDIER1;  
DROP S_2 XID ;  
PROC FREQ;
```

If you need to use variables that are in more than one dataset, all you have to do is use a LIBNAME statement to include the soldier file where the variables are. For example, lets suppose you want to use variable S\_1, S\_44, and S\_158. First you will go to

appendix I and find that variable S\_1 is in SOLDIER1, S\_44 is in SOLDIER2, and S\_158 is in SOLDIER5. Thus, you need 3 LIBNAME statements in your SAS program to access this variables. One for each of the data sets. Your program may look like this:

```
LIBNAME FIRSET 'D:\STATCOM\SOLDIER\SOLDIER1';  
LIBNAME SECNDSET 'D:\STATCOM\SOLDIER\SOLDIER2';  
LIBNAME THIRSET 'D:\STATCOM\SOLDIER\SOLDIER5';
```

```
DATA D0;  
  SET FIRSET.SOLDIER1(KEEP S_1);  
  SET SECNDSET.SOLDIER2(KEEP S_44);  
  SET THIRSET.SOLDIER5(KEEP S_158);
```

```
...  
...
```

# APPENDIX - A: PROC CONTENTS of SPOUSE Data Set

SAS DATASET SPOUSE

14:22 Wednesday, September 25, 1991

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: INSAS.SPOUSE1  
Observations: 3277  
Variables: 152

Type:  
Record Len: 780

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
107	FSSTRAT	Num	4	448	1st-stage strata
105	PAYGRADE	Num	4	436	Soldier's paygrade
108	REGION	Num	4	452	Geographic region from the soldier file
151	SPAFFIT	Num	8	764	Spouse Army Family Fit
139	SPALIEN	Num	8	692	Spouse Alienation
132	SPARFMIN	Num	8	636	Spouse Army-Family Interference
124	SPARSERE	Num	8	572	Spouse Army Services Resistance
120	SPARVAGR	Num	8	540	Spouse Army Values Agreement
114	SPBAREMP	Num	8	492	Spouse-Barriers to Employment
113	SPBEMPAR	Num	8	484	Spouse-Barriers to Employment for Parent
115	SPCAREER	Num	8	500	Spouse Careerism
129	SPCOMCMP	Num	8	612	Spouse Community Comparison
126	SPCOMSAT	Num	8	588	Spouse Community Satisfaction
135	SPCOMSUP	Num	8	660	Spouse Community Support Network
148	SPCOMUTE	Num	4	744	Commuting time to place of work
145	SPEMPTYP	Num	4	732	Spouse employer type
143	SPENLEDC	Num	4	724	Education - spouses of enlisted soldiers
140	SPESTEEM	Num	8	700	Spouse Self Esteem
137	SPFAMDIS	Num	8	676	Spouse Family Disagreements
138	SPFAMSTR	Num	8	684	Spouse Family Strength
142	SPHELPOS	Num	8	716	Spouse Helping Other Spouses
123	SPINVOLV	Num	8	564	Spouse Involvement
111	SPJOBBCMP	Num	8	468	Spouse Army-Civilian Job Comparison
112	SPJOBINT	Num	8	476	Spouse Army-Job Interference
152	SPMARDIS	Num	8	772	Spouse Marriage Disagreement
121	SPMAREQY	Num	8	548	Spouse Marital Equity
136	SPMARSEP	Num	8	668	Spouse Marital Separation Risk
125	SPMEMWKS	Num	8	580	Spouse-Mil. Member's Work Satisfaction
128	SPMJBCMP	Num	8	604	Spouse-Member's Job Comparison
144	SPOFFEDC	Num	4	728	Education - spouses of officers
149	SPOUWT	Num	8	748	FINAL SPOUSE RESPONSE WEIGHT
141	SPPCHSAT	Num	8	708	Spouse Parent-Child Satisfaction
150	SPREDWT	Num	8	756	SPOUSE READINESS WEIGHT
116	SPRELADJ	Num	8	508	Spouse Relocation Adjustment
117	SPRELSUP	Num	8	516	Spouse Relocation Support
119	SPSEPANX	Num	8	532	Spouse Separation Anxiety-Family
118	SPSEPCOP	Num	8	524	Spouse Separation Coping
134	SPSOCSUP	Num	8	652	Spouse Social Support Availability
130	SPTIMCMP	Num	8	620	Spouse Freedom/Time Comparison
127	SPTIMSAT	Num	8	596	Spouse Freedom/Time Satisfaction
122	SPULFSUP	Num	8	556	Spouse Unit Leader Family Support

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
146	SPWRKDAY	Num	4	736	Length of Workday in Hours
147	SPWRKDYR	Num	4	740	Length of workday
110	SPWRKSAT	Num	8	460	Spouse Work Satisfaction
133	SPWRKSTR	Num	8	644	Spouse Work Stress
131	SPWRKUNT	Num	8	628	Spouse-Military Member's Work Unit
20	SP_1	Num	4	96	Gender
1	SP_2	Num	6	4	Year and month of birth
21	SP_3	Num	4	100	Highest level of education completed
22	SP_5	Num	4	104	Current marital status
23	SP_6	Num	4	108	If remarred was previous spse in military
24	SP_7	Num	4	112	Have you ever served in U.S. armd forces
25	SP_8	Num	4	116	Currently serving in U.S. armed forces
14	SP_9	Num	4	72	Likelihood of staying at end of obligatn
26	SP_10	Num	4	120	Decision maker RE: stay or leave Army
27	SP_11	Num	4	124	Unwanted assignment taken to be w/member
28	SP_12	Num	4	128	Member taken unwanted assign be together
29	SP_13	Num	4	132	My career more important than member's
15	SP_14	Num	4	76	Action if asgmts require long separation
40	SP_16	Num	4	176	Current main job
41	SP_17	Num	4	180	Federal govt employer (if applicable)
42	SP_18	Num	4	184	Type of work in current paid job
43	SP_19	Num	4	188	Important job activities/duties
44	SP_20	Num	4	192	Current job description
4	SP_21	Num	8	22	Main job earnings before deductions
46	SP_22	Num	4	200	How found current job this location
9	SP_23	Num	4	52	#hrs in work week for currnt paid job(s)
2	SP_24	Num	6	10	Time start work - hour and minute
3	SP_25	Num	6	16	Time finish work - hour and minute
49	SP_26	Num	4	212	Days of week you work at paid job
6	SP_27	Num	5	37	# of minutes - home to paid job
72	SP_30	Num	4	304	Your job interferes w/member's Army job
73	SP_31	Num	4	308	Member's Army job interferes w/your job
10	SP_34	Num	4	56	# of weeks worked in 1988
11	SP_35	Num	4	60	Average # hrs per week worked in 1988
5	SP_36	Num	7	30	Total amount earned from job - 1988
81	SP_37	Num	4	340	Work preference at present time
82	SP_38	Num	4	344	Work preference in 5 years
16	SP_39	Num	4	80	Job opportunity in 5 yrs-member in Army
17	SP_40	Num	4	84	Job opportunity in 5 yrs-member civilian
83	SP_44	Num	4	348	Want member to stay in or leave the Army
84	SP_45	Num	4	352	Feeling if member stayed in the Army
85	SP_46	Num	4	356	Support of member being in the Army now
86	SP_47	Num	4	360	Support of member making Army a career
87	SP_48	Num	4	364	Feeling if member left the Army
7	SP_49	Num	5	42	Number of months at current location
12	SP_50	Num	4	64	#times moved to new locale due to PCS
88	SP_51	Num	4	368	Receive info on new location before move

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
89	SP_52	Num	4	372	Given info on new location after moved
90	SP_53	Num	4	376	Move preference for last PCS assignment
18	SP_56	Num	4	88	# of months waited for permanent housing
13	SP_57	Num	4	68	# of places lived at current location
91	SP_58	Num	4	380	Satisfaction with current housing
92	SP_59	Num	4	384	Extended duty separations past 12 months
93	SP_60	Num	4	388	Length time since last separation return
94	SP_62	Num	4	392	Description of separation experience
95	SP_63	Num	4	396	How separation experience was handled
96	SP_72	Num	4	400	Satisfaction with Army as way of life
97	SP_75	Num	4	404	Is member living with you/this location
98	SP_80	Num	4	408	Events in member's unit
99	SP_83	Num	4	412	Close relatives who live w/in 2 hr drive
100	SP_84	Num	4	416	Describe happiness of marriage
101	SP_89	Num	4	420	# additional kids expected/next 5 years
102	SP_90	Num	4	424	# dependent children living with you
103	SP_92	Num	4	428	Children 5 yrs old or less living w/you
104	SP_93	Num	4	432	Where is youngest child < 6 cared for
8	SP_94	Num	5	47	#hrs youngest child <=5 use arrangement
19	SP_95	Num	4	92	Rate your youngest child's child care
30	SP_15A	Num	4	136	Currently working for pay
31	SP_15B	Num	4	140	Currently an unpaid worker
32	SP_15C	Num	4	144	Unemployed/looked for work last 4 weeks
33	SP_15D	Num	4	148	Unemployed/haven't looked for work
34	SP_15E	Num	4	152	Laid off from job
35	SP_15F	Num	4	156	In school
36	SP_15G	Num	4	160	Keeping house
37	SP_15H	Num	4	164	Unable to work due to long-term illness
38	SP_15I	Num	4	168	Doing volunteer work
39	SP_15J	Num	4	172	Retired
45	SP_21PER	Num	4	196	Time period for main job earnings
47	SP_24APM	Num	4	204	Time start work - am/pm
48	SP_25APM	Num	4	208	Time finish work - am/pm
50	SP_28A	Num	4	216	Opportunity for advancement this job
51	SP_28B	Num	4	220	Pay at current job
52	SP_28C	Num	4	224	Retirement benefits at current job
53	SP_28D	Num	4	228	Type of work in current job
54	SP_28E	Num	4	232	Other benefits in current job
55	SP_28F	Num	4	236	Opportunity to use ability current job
56	SP_28G	Num	4	240	Job security in current job
57	SP_28H	Num	4	244	Work hours/schedule of current job
58	SP_28I	Num	4	248	Location of current job
59	SP_28J	Num	4	252	Opportunity to work w/people you like
60	SP_28K	Num	4	256	Opportunity for career progress
61	SP_29A	Num	4	260	Your opportunities for advancement
62	SP_29B	Num	4	264	Your pay
63	SP_29C	Num	4	268	Your retirement benefits

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
64	SP_29D	Num	4	272	Type of work you do
65	SP_29E	Num	4	276	Your other benefits
66	SP_29F	Num	4	280	Your opportunities to use abilities
67	SP_29G	Num	4	284	Your job security
68	SP_29H	Num	4	288	Your work hours and schedule
69	SP_29I	Num	4	292	Location of your job
70	SP_29J	Num	4	296	Opportunity to work with people you like
71	SP_29K	Num	4	300	Your opportunity for career progress
74	SP_32A	Num	4	312	Lack of jobs in skill area
75	SP_32B	Num	4	316	Lack of transportation to available job
76	SP_32C	Num	4	320	Child care not available
77	SP_32D	Num	4	324	Quality of child care not acceptable
78	SP_32E	Num	4	328	Child care too expensive
79	SP_32F	Num	4	332	Child care hours not convenient
80	SP_32G	Num	4	336	Member not wanting me to work
109	XFSU	Num	4	456	Recoded RFSU identifier
106	XID	Num	8	440	Recoded Soldier identifier

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: INSAS.SPOUSE2  
 Observations: 3277  
 Variables: 155

Type:  
 Record Len: 636

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
152	FSSTRAT	Num	4	612	1st-stage strata
154	SPOUWT	Num	8	620	FINAL SPOUSE RESPONSE WEIGHT
155	SPREDWT	Num	8	628	SPOUSE READINESS WEIGHT
3	SP_32H	Num	4	12	Employers don't like hiring Army spouse
4	SP_32I	Num	4	16	Army leaders don't support employment
5	SP_32J	Num	4	20	Jobs in salary range not available
6	SP_32K	Num	4	24	Lack of necessary skills
7	SP_32L	Num	4	28	Too many family responsibilities
8	SP_32M	Num	4	32	Available jobs too far away
9	SP_32N	Num	4	36	Member's job makes working too difficult
10	SP_33A	Num	4	40	Time worked in paid employment-1985
11	SP_33B	Num	4	44	Time worked in paid employment-1986
12	SP_33C	Num	4	48	Time worked in paid employment-1987
13	SP_33D	Num	4	52	Time worked in paid employment-1988
14	SP_41A	Num	4	56	Want to work for basic expenses
15	SP_41B	Num	4	60	Want to work to buy extras now
16	SP_41C	Num	4	64	Want to work to save money for future
17	SP_41D	Num	4	68	Want to work to develop work skills
18	SP_41E	Num	4	72	Want to work to feel good about myself
19	SP_41F	Num	4	76	Want to work to meet people
20	SP_41G	Num	4	80	Want to work to have a career
21	SP_41H	Num	4	84	Want to work to contribute to society
22	SP_42A	Num	4	88	#hrs provide volunteer services on-post
23	SP_42B	Num	4	92	#hrs provide volunteer services off-post
24	SP_43A	Num	4	96	Volunteer to contribute to community
25	SP_43B	Num	4	100	Volunteer to meet people/get out house
26	SP_43C	Num	4	104	Volunteer for experience for future jobs
27	SP_43D	Num	4	108	Volunteer to fulfill a sense of duty
28	SP_43E	Num	4	112	Volunteer to support my kids' activities
29	SP_43F	Num	4	116	Volunteer to become more influential
30	SP_43G	Num	4	120	Volunteer to respond to expectations
31	SP_43H	Num	4	124	Volunteer to learn about an organization
32	SP_43I	Num	4	128	Volunteer to have sense of achievement
33	SP_43J	Num	4	132	Volunteer to help member's career
1	SP_4A	Num	4	4	Active duty status-father/male guardian
2	SP_4B	Num	4	8	Active duty status-mothr/femle guardian
34	SP_54A	Num	4	136	Problem moving/setting up new household
35	SP_54B	Num	4	140	Problem with costs incurred during move
36	SP_54C	Num	4	144	Problem w/children adjusting to location
37	SP_54D	Num	4	148	Problem w/member adjusting to location
38	SP_54E	Num	4	152	Problem adjusting to location
39	SP_54F	Num	4	156	Problem finding employment at location
40	SP_54G	Num	4	160	Problem finding permanent housing

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
41	SP_55A	Num	4	164	Assigned sponsor helped after move
42	SP_55B	Num	4	168	Member's Unit leader helped after move
43	SP_55C	Num	4	172	Someone else in unit helped after move
44	SP_55D	Num	4	176	A unit leader's spouse helped after move
45	SP_55E	Num	4	180	Another unit spouse helped after move
46	SP_55F	Num	4	184	Member helped after move
47	SP_55G	Num	4	188	Someone from ACS helped after move
48	SP_55H	Num	4	192	A neighbor or friend helped after move
49	SP_61A	Num	4	196	Separation made me more independent
50	SP_61B	Num	4	200	Separation caused problems with kids
51	SP_61C	Num	4	204	Separation caused decision-making prob.
52	SP_61D	Num	4	208	Member proud of my handling of things
53	SP_61E	Num	4	212	Time to adapt to member after separation
54	SP_64A	Num	4	216	Problem coping-separated < 2 wks
55	SP_64B	Num	4	220	Problem coping-separated 2 wks - 1 month
56	SP_64C	Num	4	224	Problem coping-separated several months
57	SP_64D	Num	4	228	Problem coping-separated six months
58	SP_65A	Num	4	232	Concerned w/abilty to cope w/stress
59	SP_65B	Num	4	236	Difficult to maintain positive attitude
60	SP_65C	Num	4	240	How often worry about own safety
61	SP_65D	Num	4	244	How often experience loneliness
62	SP_65E	Num	4	248	How often fear member going into combat
63	SP_66A	Num	4	252	Power of attorney if member away
64	SP_66B	Num	4	256	Joint checking account with member
65	SP_66C	Num	4	260	Equivalent of 2 weeks pay on hand
66	SP_67A	Num	4	264	I feel no commitment to the Army
67	SP_67B	Num	4	268	My values and Army's values are similar
68	SP_67C	Num	4	272	Member made mistake joining Army
69	SP_67D	Num	4	276	My goals met/member stays to retirement
70	SP_67E	Num	4	280	Army responsive to family needs
71	SP_67F	Num	4	284	Too many non-job activities (member)
72	SP_67G	Num	4	288	Too many non-important activities
73	SP_67H	Num	4	292	Spouse should feel part of Army community
74	SP_67I	Num	4	296	Member likely to stay-spouse has good job
75	SP_67J	Num	4	300	Man's family should adjust to his job
76	SP_67K	Num	4	304	Husband/wife share child care equally
77	SP_67L	Num	4	308	Woman should make career plans like man
78	SP_67M	Num	4	312	Husband should be head of the family
79	SP_68A	Num	4	316	Unit leaders encourage family activities
80	SP_68B	Num	4	320	Leaders know about Army family programs
81	SP_68C	Num	4	324	Unit concerned for Army families if war
82	SP_69A	Num	4	328	Member & I team working for Army goals
83	SP_69B	Num	4	332	I understand demands of members Army job
84	SP_69C	Num	4	336	I help to further member's Army career
85	SP_69D	Num	4	340	Willing to change to help member advance
86	SP_69E	Num	4	344	Hurt member career/help from chain cmd
87	SP_69F	Num	4	348	Hurt member career/go to service providr



## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
88	SP_69G	Num	4	352	Member is someone I can talk with
89	SP_69H	Num	4	356	Family need should come before Army need
90	SP_70A	Num	4	360	Member opportunity for advancement
91	SP_70B	Num	4	364	Member pay at this location
92	SP_70C	Num	4	368	Member retirement benefits this location
93	SP_70D	Num	4	372	Member treatment by supervisors
94	SP_70E	Num	4	376	Member opportunity to use abilities
95	SP_70F	Num	4	380	Your personal freedom at this location
96	SP_70G	Num	4	384	Your time for personal/family life
97	SP_70H	Num	4	388	Member time for personal/family life
98	SP_70I	Num	4	392	Job/career opportunity for you
99	SP_70J	Num	4	396	Your overall satisfaction this location
100	SP_70K	Num	4	400	Quality place for children
101	SP_70L	Num	4	404	Quality of kids schools at this location
102	SP_70M	Num	4	408	Quality of medical care at this location
103	SP_70N	Num	4	412	Family programs/services this location
104	SP_70O	Num	4	416	Quality of your community this location
105	SP_70P	Num	4	420	Opportunity to make friends this location
106	SP_71A	Num	4	424	Member's opportunities for advancement
107	SP_71B	Num	4	428	Compare member pay
108	SP_71C	Num	4	432	Compare member retirement benefits
109	SP_71D	Num	4	436	Compare member treatment by supervisors
110	SP_71E	Num	4	440	Member's opportunities to use abilities
111	SP_71F	Num	4	444	Compare your personal freedom
112	SP_71G	Num	4	448	Compare time for personal/family life
113	SP_71H	Num	4	452	Member's time for personal/family life
114	SP_71I	Num	4	456	Compare your job/career opportunities
115	SP_71J	Num	4	460	Compare your overall satisfaction
116	SP_71K	Num	4	464	Compare quality of place for children
117	SP_71L	Num	4	468	Quality of schools for children
118	SP_71M	Num	4	472	Compare quality of family medical care
119	SP_71N	Num	4	476	Compare programs/services for families
120	SP_71O	Num	4	480	Compare quality of community you live in
121	SP_71P	Num	4	484	Compare opportunity to make good friends
122	SP_73A	Num	4	488	Demand of family responsibilities
123	SP_73B	Num	4	492	Demand or work responsibilities
124	SP_74A	Num	4	496	Success w/family responsibilities
125	SP_74B	Num	4	500	Success w/work responsibilities
126	SP_76A	Num	4	504	Knowledge of end of member's workday
127	SP_76B	Num	4	508	Member at work beyond normal duty hours
128	SP_76C	Num	4	512	Cancel family plans due to member work
129	SP_76D	Num	4	516	Member assigned work w/no value to Army
130	SP_76E	Num	4	520	Member's boss interest in family welfare
131	SP_77A	Num	4	524	Last month problem with child care needs
132	SP_77B	Num	4	528	Last month problem finishing house work
133	SP_77C	Num	4	532	Last month problem getting health care
134	SP_77D	Num	4	536	Last month member lack of time for family

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
135	SP_77E	Num	4	540	Last month member unable to attend event
136	SP_78A	Num	4	544	Member too tired to enjoy doing things
137	SP_78B	Num	4	548	Member charged up by work accomplishment
138	SP_78C	Num	4	552	Member in good mood/ready to have fun
139	SP_78D	Num	4	556	Member in mood too difficult to be with
140	SP_79A	Num	4	560	Member informs me on unit activity
141	SP_79B	Num	4	564	Member family life good before work well
142	SP_79C	Num	4	568	Member too tired for time with family
143	SP_81_1	Num	4	572	Person who listens to you
144	SP_81_2	Num	4	576	Person who does enjoyable things w/you
145	SP_81_3	Num	4	580	Persn who helps w/chores if you are sick
146	SP_81_4	Num	4	584	Person who takes care of your children
147	SP_81_5	Num	4	588	Person who lends you household tools
148	SP_81_6	Num	4	592	Person who makes loans of \$25-\$50
149	SP_81_7	Num	4	596	Person who provides transportation
150	SP_82A	Num	4	600	Count on leader at member place of duty
153	XFSU	Num	4	616	Recoded RFSU identifier
151	XID	Num	8	604	Recoded Soldier identifier

Data Set Name: INSAS.SPOUSE3  
 Observations: 3277  
 Variables: 132

Type:  
 Record Len: 552

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
118	FSSTRAT	Num	4	484	1st-stage strata
131	SPOUWT	Num	8	536	FINAL SPOUSE RESPONSE WEIGHT
132	SPREDWT	Num	8	544	SPOUSE READINESS WEIGHT
1	SP_82B	Num	4	4	Count on someone else member works with
2	SP_82C	Num	4	8	Count on spouse of member's leader
3	SP_82D	Num	4	12	Count on spouse of member's co-worker
4	SP_82E	Num	4	16	Count on neighbor/friend-Army spouse
5	SP_82F	Num	4	20	Count on neighbor/friend-not Army spouse
6	SP_82G	Num	4	24	Count on a co-worker
7	SP_82H	Num	4	28	Count on staff of an Army service agency
8	SP_82I	Num	4	32	Count on parents or other relatives
9	SP_85A	Num	4	36	Think marriage in trouble last 12 months
10	SP_85B	Num	4	40	Think of getting divorce last 12 months
11	SP_85C	Num	4	44	Discuss divorce/separation last 12 mnths
12	SP_85D	Num	4	48	File for divorce/separation last 12 mnts
13	SP_86A	Num	4	52	Disagree w/member about spending money
14	SP_86B	Num	4	56	Disagree w/member about enough affection
15	SP_86C	Num	4	60	Disagree w/member about time together
16	SP_86D	Num	4	64	Disagree with member about the children
17	SP_86E	Num	4	68	Disagree w/member about household chores
18	SP_87A	Num	4	72	Family cooperation getting things done
19	SP_87B	Num	4	76	Resolution of tough family problems
20	SP_87C	Num	4	80	Family behavior tendencies in bad times
21	SP_88A	Num	4	84	How often past month felt secure
22	SP_88B	Num	4	88	How often past month felt isolated
23	SP_88C	Num	4	92	How often past month felt pleased w/self
24	SP_88D	Num	4	96	How often past month felt lonely
25	SP_88E	Num	4	100	How often past month felt afraid
26	SP_88F	Num	4	104	How often past month felt hopeful
27	SP_91A	Num	4	108	Amount time spent with children
28	SP_91B	Num	4	112	Ability to meet childrens emotional need
29	SP_91C	Num	4	116	Ability to meet childrens learning needs
30	SP_91D	Num	4	120	Overall quality of time spent w/children
31	SP_96A	Num	4	124	Help spouses w/child care member away
32	SP_96B	Num	4	128	Help spouses w/child care in emergency
33	SP_96C	Num	4	132	Helped other spouses learn the ropes
34	SP_96D	Num	4	136	Helped other spouses meet people
35	SP_96E	Num	4	140	Helped other spouses get Army services
36	SP_96F	Num	4	144	Helpd other spouses get civilian service
37	SP_97A1	Num	4	148	Usefulness of budget counseling
38	SP_97A2	Num	4	152	Have used budget counseling
89	SP_97AA1	Num	4	356	Usefulness-handicapped family services
90	SP_97AA2	Num	4	360	Have used handicapped family services
39	SP_97B1	Num	4	156	Usefulness of financial class for PCS

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
40	SP_97B2	Num	4	160	Have used financial class for PCS
91	SP_97BB1	Num	4	364	Usefulness of child daycare - drop-in
92	SP_97BB2	Num	4	368	Have used child daycare - drop-in
41	SP_97C1	Num	4	164	Usefulness of emergency loan service
42	SP_97C2	Num	4	168	Have used emergency loan service
93	SP_97CC1	Num	4	372	Usefulness of child daycare - full-day
94	SP_97CC2	Num	4	376	Have used child daycare - full-day
43	SP_97D1	Num	4	172	Usefulness of spouse employment referral
44	SP_97D2	Num	4	176	Have used spouse employment referrals
95	SP_97DD1	Num	4	380	Usefulness of child abuse services
96	SP_97DD2	Num	4	384	Have used child abuse services
45	SP_97E1	Num	4	180	Usefulness of spouse career planning
46	SP_97E2	Num	4	184	Have used spouse career planning
97	SP_97EE1	Num	4	388	Usefulness of spouse abuse services
98	SP_97EE2	Num	4	392	Have used spouse abuse services
47	SP_97F1	Num	4	188	Usefulness of spouse employment training
48	SP_97F2	Num	4	192	Have used spouse employment training
99	SP_97FF1	Num	4	396	Usefulness of youth recreation programs
100	SP_97FF2	Num	4	400	Have used youth recreation programs
49	SP_97G1	Num	4	196	Usefulness of community orientation
50	SP_97G2	Num	4	200	Have used community orientation
101	SP_97GG1	Num	4	404	Usefulness of youth employment programs
102	SP_97GG2	Num	4	408	Have used youth employment programs
51	SP_97H1	Num	4	204	Usefulness of premove information
52	SP_97H2	Num	4	208	Have used premove information
103	SP_97HH1	Num	4	412	Usefulness-foreign born spouse services
104	SP_97HH2	Num	4	416	Have used foreign born spouse services
53	SP_97I1	Num	4	212	Usefulness of sponsorship assistance
54	SP_97I2	Num	4	216	Have used sponsorship assistance
105	SP_97II1	Num	4	420	Usefulness-spouse programs-TDYs/deplymnt
106	SP_97II2	Num	4	424	Have used spouse programs-TDYs/deplymnt
55	SP_97J1	Num	4	220	Usefulness of lending closet
56	SP_97J2	Num	4	224	Have used lending closet
107	SP_97JJ1	Num	4	428	Usefulness of premarriage counseling
108	SP_97JJ2	Num	4	432	Have used premarriage counseling
57	SP_97K1	Num	4	228	Usefulness of relocation counseling
58	SP_97K2	Num	4	232	Have used relocation counseling
109	SP_97KK1	Num	4	436	Usefulness-single parent support groups
110	SP_97KK2	Num	4	440	Have used single parent support groups
59	SP_97L1	Num	4	236	Usefulness-directory community services
60	SP_97L2	Num	4	240	Have used directory of community service
111	SP_97LL1	Num	4	444	Usefulness-social programs for singles
112	SP_97LL2	Num	4	448	Have used social programs for singles
61	SP_97M1	Num	4	244	Usefulness-services for families offpost
62	SP_97M2	Num	4	248	Have used services for families offpost
113	SP_97MM1	Num	4	452	Usefulness-child care for single parents
114	SP_97MM2	Num	4	456	Have used child care for single parents

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
63	SP_97N1	Num	4	252	Usefulness of family separation services
64	SP_97N2	Num	4	256	Have used family separation services
65	SP_97O1	Num	4	260	Usefulness-information/referral services
66	SP_97O2	Num	4	264	Have used information/referral services
67	SP_97P1	Num	4	268	Usefulness of libraries
68	SP_97P2	Num	4	272	Have used libraries
69	SP_97Q1	Num	4	276	Usefulness - housing location referrals
70	SP_97Q2	Num	4	280	Have used housing location referrals
71	SP_97R1	Num	4	284	Usefulness of legal services
72	SP_97R2	Num	4	288	Have used legal services
73	SP_97S1	Num	4	292	Usefulness of recreation services
74	SP_97S2	Num	4	296	Have used recreation services
75	SP_97T1	Num	4	300	Usefulness of crisis hot line
76	SP_97T2	Num	4	304	Have used crisis hot line
77	SP_97U1	Num	4	308	Usefulness of emergency food
78	SP_97U2	Num	4	312	Have used emergency food
79	SP_97V1	Num	4	316	Usefulness of emergency home furnishings
80	SP_97V2	Num	4	320	Have used emergency home furnishings
81	SP_97W1	Num	4	324	Usefulness-emergency long distance calls
82	SP_97W2	Num	4	328	Have used emergency long distance calls
83	SP_97X1	Num	4	332	Usefulness of drug/alcohol treatment
84	SP_97X2	Num	4	336	Have used drug and alcohol treatment
85	SP_97Y1	Num	4	340	Usefulness of individual counseling
86	SP_97Y2	Num	4	344	Have used individual counseling
87	SP_97Z1	Num	4	348	Usefulness of marriage/family therapy
88	SP_97Z2	Num	4	352	Have used marriage and family therapy
115	SP_COMSH	Num	8	460	Comment sheet
129	SP_CRN	Num	4	528	Want to have job/career at present time
116	SP_DAY	Num	8	468	Length of typical work day (hours)
121	SP_EDUC	Num	4	496	Education
124	SP_EMP	Num	4	508	Spouse employment status
125	SP_EMP1	Num	4	512	Spouse employment status
128	SP_FTN	Num	4	524	Want to work FT/PT/not now
130	SP_JOBHR	Num	4	532	Hours worked per week in paid job
123	SP_KIDS	Num	4	504	Have children in the household
126	SP_LF	Num	4	516	Spouse labor force status
122	SP_MIL	Num	4	500	Spouse military indicator
127	SP_WTWK	Num	4	520	Spouse employed/wants/doesn't want work
119	UICTYPE	Num	4	488	Type of unit from the soldier file
120	XFSU	Num	4	492	Recoded RFSU identifier
117	XID	Num	8	476	Recoded Soldier identifier

# APPENDIX-B: PROC CONTENTS of FAMILY SERVICE PROVIDERS (SPF) Data Set

SAS DATASET FAMILY

15:02 Wednesday, September 25, 1991

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: SAVED.SPF  
 Observations: 33  
 Variables: 236  
 Label:

Type:  
 Record Len: 1888

### -----Alphabetic List of Variables and Attributes-----

1	ACS1	Num	8	4	Need for ACS
19	ACS2	Num	8	148	ACS Available
37	ACS3	Num	8	292	Proportion Briefed on ACS
55	ACS4	Num	8	436	Proportion Referred to ACS
73	ACS5	Num	8	580	Competence of ACS
91	ACS6	Num	8	724	Satisfaction with ACS
109	ACS7	Num	8	868	ACS - Poor Repair
110	ACS8	Num	8	876	ACS - Too Crowded
111	ACS9	Num	8	884	ACS - Inconvenient Hrs
112	ACS10	Num	8	892	ACS - Lack of Privacy
113	ACS11	Num	8	900	ACS - Poor Publicity
114	ACS12	Num	8	908	ACS - Understaffed
115	ACS13	Num	8	916	ACS - Wait Too Long
2	AEC1	Num	8	12	Need for AEC
20	AEC2	Num	8	156	AEC Available
38	AEC3	Num	8	300	Proportion Briefed on AEC
56	AEC4	Num	8	444	Proportion Referred to AEC
74	AEC5	Num	8	588	Competence of AEC
92	AEC6	Num	8	732	Satisfaction with AEC
116	AEC7	Num	8	924	AEC - Poor Repair
117	AEC8	Num	8	932	AEC - Too Crowded
118	AEC9	Num	8	940	AEC - Inconvenient Hrs
119	AEC10	Num	8	948	AEC - Lack of Privacy
120	AEC11	Num	8	956	AEC - Poor Publicity
121	AEC12	Num	8	964	AEC - Understaffed
122	AEC13	Num	8	972	AEC - Wait Too Long
3	AER1	Num	8	20	Need for AER
21	AER2	Num	8	164	AER Available
39	AER3	Num	8	308	Proportion Briefed on AER
57	AER4	Num	8	452	Proportion Referred to AER
75	AER5	Num	8	596	Competence of AER
93	AER6	Num	8	740	Satisfaction with AER
123	AER7	Num	8	980	AER - Poor Repair
124	AER8	Num	8	988	AER - Too Crowded
125	AER9	Num	8	996	AER - Inconvenient Hrs
126	AER10	Num	8	1004	AER - Lack of Privacy
127	AER11	Num	8	1012	AER - Poor Publicity
128	AER12	Num	8	1020	AER - Understaffed
129	AER13	Num	8	1028	AER - Wait Too Long
4	CDS1	Num	8	28	Need for CDS

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
22	CDS2	Num	8	172	CDS Available
40	CDS3	Num	8	316	Proportion Briefed on CDS
58	CDS4	Num	8	460	Proportion Referred to CDS
76	CDS5	Num	8	604	Competence of CDS
94	CDS6	Num	8	748	Satisfaction with CDS
130	CDS7	Num	8	1036	CDS - Poor Repair
131	CDS8	Num	8	1044	CDS - Too Crowded
132	CDS9	Num	8	1052	CDS - Inconvenient Hrs
133	CDS10	Num	8	1060	CDS - Lack of Privacy
134	CDS11	Num	8	1068	CDS - Poor Publicity
135	CDS12	Num	8	1076	CDS - Understaffed
136	CDS13	Num	8	1084	CDS - Wait Too Long
5	CLO1	Num	8	36	Need for Mayor's Office
23	CLO2	Num	8	180	Mayor's Office Available
41	CLO3	Num	8	324	Proportion Briefed on Mayor's Office
59	CLO4	Num	8	468	Proportion Referred to Mayor's Office
77	CLO5	Num	8	612	Competence of Mayor's Office
95	CLO6	Num	8	756	Satisfaction with Mayor's Office
137	CLO7	Num	8	1092	Mayor's Office - Poor Repair
138	CLO8	Num	8	1100	Mayor's Office - Too Crowded
139	CLO9	Num	8	1108	Mayor's Office - Inconvenient Hrs
140	CLO10	Num	8	1116	Mayor's Office - Lack of Privacy
141	CLO11	Num	8	1124	Mayor's Office - Poor Publicity
142	CLO12	Num	8	1132	Mayor's Office - Understaffed
143	CLO13	Num	8	1140	Mayor's Office - Wait Too Long
6	DA1	Num	8	44	Need for DENTAC
24	DA2	Num	8	188	DENTAC Available
42	DA3	Num	8	332	Proportion Briefed on DENTAC
60	DA4	Num	8	476	Proportion Referred to DENTAC
78	DA5	Num	8	620	Competence of DENTAC
96	DA6	Num	8	764	Satisfaction with DENTAC
144	DA7	Num	8	1148	DENTAC - Poor Repair
145	DA8	Num	8	1156	DENTAC - Too Crowded
146	DA9	Num	8	1164	DENTAC - Inconvenient Hrs
147	DA10	Num	8	1172	DENTAC - Lack of Privacy
148	DA11	Num	8	1180	DENTAC - Poor Publicity
149	DA12	Num	8	1188	DENTAC - Understaffed
150	DA13	Num	8	1196	DENTAC - Wait Too Long
7	FLC1	Num	8	52	Need for Chaplain
25	FLC2	Num	8	196	Chaplain Available
43	FLC3	Num	8	340	Proportion Briefed on Chaplain
61	FLC4	Num	8	484	Proportion Referred to Chaplain
79	FLC5	Num	8	628	Competence of Chaplain
97	FLC6	Num	8	772	Satisfaction with Chaplain
151	FLC7	Num	8	1204	Chaplain - Poor Repair
152	FLC8	Num	8	1212	Chaplain - Too Crowded
153	FLC9	Num	8	1220	Chaplain - Inconvenient Hrs

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
154	FLC10	Num	8	1228	Chaplain - Lack of Privacy
155	FLC11	Num	8	1236	Chaplain - Poor Publicity
156	FLC12	Num	8	1244	Chaplain - Understaffed
157	FLC13	Num	8	1252	Chaplain - Wait Too Long
8	FME1	Num	8	60	Need for Family Employment Assistance
26	FME2	Num	8	204	Family Employment Assistance Available
44	FME3	Num	8	348	Proportion Briefed on Fam. Employment
62	FME4	Num	8	492	Proportion Referred to Fam. Employment
80	FME5	Num	8	636	Competence of Fam. Employment
98	FME6	Num	8	780	Satisfaction with Fam. Employment
158	FME7	Num	8	1260	Fam. Employment - Poor Repair
159	FME8	Num	8	1268	Fam. Employment - Too Crowded
160	FME9	Num	8	1276	Fam. Employment - Inconvenient Hrs
161	FME10	Num	8	1284	Fam. Employment - Lack of Privacy
162	FME11	Num	8	1292	Fam. Employment - Poor Publicity
163	FME12	Num	8	1300	Fam. Employment - Understaffed
164	FME13	Num	8	1308	Fam. Employment - Wait Too Long
9	FPA1	Num	8	68	Need for Financial Planning Assistance
27	FPA2	Num	8	212	Financial Planning Assistance Available
45	FPA3	Num	8	356	Proportion Briefed on Fin. Planning
63	FPA4	Num	8	500	Proportion Referred to Fin. Planning
81	FPA5	Num	8	644	Competence of Fin. Planning
99	FPA6	Num	8	788	Satisfaction with Fin. Planning
165	FPA7	Num	8	1316	Fin. Planning - Poor Repair
166	FPA8	Num	8	1324	Fin. Planning - Too Crowded
167	FPA9	Num	8	1332	Fin. Planning - Inconvenient Hrs
168	FPA10	Num	8	1340	Fin. Planning - Lack of Privacy
169	FPA11	Num	8	1348	Fin. Planning - Poor Publicity
170	FPA12	Num	8	1356	Fin. Planning - Understaffed
171	FPA13	Num	8	1364	Fin. Planning - Wait Too Long
11	GHO1	Num	8	84	Need for Government Housing Office
29	GHO2	Num	8	228	Government Housing Office Available
47	GHO3	Num	8	372	Proportion Briefed on Government Housing
65	GHO4	Num	8	516	Proportion Referred/Govt Housing Office
83	GHO5	Num	8	660	Competence of Govt Housing Office
101	GHO6	Num	8	804	Satisfaction with Govt Housing Office
179	GHO7	Num	8	1428	Govt Housing Office - Poor Repair
180	GHO8	Num	8	1436	Govt Housing Office - Too Crowded
181	GHO9	Num	8	1444	Govt Housing Office - Inconvenient Hrs
182	GHO10	Num	8	1452	Govt Office - Lack of Privacy
183	GHO11	Num	8	1460	Govt Housing Office - Poor Publicity
184	GHO12	Num	8	1468	Govt Housing Office - Understaffed
185	GHO13	Num	8	1476	Govt Housing Office - Wait Too Long
10	HRO1	Num	8	76	Need for Housing Referral Office
28	HRO2	Num	8	220	Housing Referral Office Available
46	HRO3	Num	8	364	Proportion Briefed on Housing Referral
64	HRO4	Num	8	508	Proportion Referred/Housing Office



## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
82	HRO5	Num	8	652	Competence of Offpost Housing Office
100	HRO6	Num	8	796	Satisfaction/Offpost Housing Office
172	HRO7	Num	8	1372	Offpost Housing Office - Poor Repair
173	HRO8	Num	8	1380	Offpost Housing Office - Too Crowded
174	HRO9	Num	8	1388	Offpost Housing Off. - Inconvenient Hrs
175	HRO10	Num	8	1396	Offpost Housing Office - Lack of Privacy
176	HRO11	Num	8	1404	Offpost Housing Office - Poor Publicity
177	HRO12	Num	8	1412	Offpost Housing Office - Understaffed
178	HRO13	Num	8	1420	Offpost Housing Office - Wait Too Long
12	JAG1	Num	8	92	Need for JAG
30	JAG2	Num	8	236	JAG Available
48	JAG3	Num	8	380	Proportion Briefed on JAG
66	JAG4	Num	8	524	Proportion Referred to JAG
84	JAG5	Num	8	668	Competence of JAG
102	JAG6	Num	8	812	Satisfaction with JAG
186	JAG7	Num	8	1484	JAG - Poor Repair
187	JAG8	Num	8	1492	JAG - Too Crowded
188	JAG9	Num	8	1500	JAG - Inconvenient Hrs
189	JAG10	Num	8	1508	JAG - Lack of Privacy
190	JAG11	Num	8	1516	JAG - Poor Publicity
191	JAG12	Num	8	1524	JAG - Understaffed
192	JAG13	Num	8	1532	JAG - Wait Too Long
13	MA1	Num	8	100	Need for MEDDAC
31	MA2	Num	8	244	MEDDAC Available
49	MA3	Num	8	388	Proportion Briefed on MEDDAC
67	MA4	Num	8	532	Proportion Referred to MEDDAC
85	MA5	Num	8	676	Competence of MEDDAC
103	MA6	Num	8	820	Satisfaction with MEDDAC
193	MA7	Num	8	1540	MEDDAC - Poor Repair
194	MA8	Num	8	1548	MEDDAC - Too Crowded
195	MA9	Num	8	1556	MEDDAC - Inconvenient Hrs
196	MA10	Num	8	1564	MEDDAC - Lack of Privacy
197	MA11	Num	8	1572	MEDDAC - Poor Publicity
198	MA12	Num	8	1580	MEDDAC - Understaffed
199	MA13	Num	8	1588	MEDDAC - Wait Too Long
14	MHS1	Num	8	108	Need for Mental Health Services
32	MHS2	Num	8	252	Mental Health Services Available
50	MHS3	Num	8	396	Proportion Briefed on Mental Health
68	MHS4	Num	8	540	Proportion Referred to Mental Health
86	MHS5	Num	8	684	Competence of Mental Health Services
104	MHS6	Num	8	828	Satisfaction/Mental Health Services
200	MHS7	Num	8	1596	Mental Health - Poor Repair
201	MHS8	Num	8	1604	Mental Health - Too Crowded
202	MHS9	Num	8	1612	Mental Health - Inconvenient Hrs
203	MHS10	Num	8	1620	Mental Health - Lack of Privacy
204	MHS11	Num	8	1628	Mental Health - Poor Publicity
205	MHS12	Num	8	1636	Mental Health - Understaffed

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
206	MHS13	Num	8	1644	Mental Health - Wait Too Long
235	RFSUWGT	Num	8	1876	First-stage weight
15	RS01	Num	8	116	Need for Recreational Services On-post
33	RS02	Num	8	260	Recreational Services On-post Available
51	RS03	Num	8	404	Proportion Briefed on Recreation
69	RS04	Num	8	548	Proportion Referred/Recreation Services
87	RS05	Num	8	692	Competence of Recreational Services
105	RS06	Num	8	836	Satisfaction/Recreational Services
207	RS07	Num	8	1652	Recreation Services - Poor Repair
208	RS08	Num	8	1660	Recreation Services - Too Crowded
209	RS09	Num	8	1668	Recreation Services - Inconvenient Hrs
210	RS010	Num	8	1676	Recreation Services - Lack of Privacy
211	RS011	Num	8	1684	Recreation Services - Poor Publicity
212	RS012	Num	8	1692	Recreation Services - Understaffed
213	RS013	Num	8	1700	Recreation Services - Wait Too Long
16	SCH1	Num	8	124	Need for Schools
34	SCH2	Num	8	268	Schools Available
52	SCH3	Num	8	412	Proportion Briefed on Schools
70	SCH4	Num	8	556	Proportion Referred to Schools
88	SCH5	Num	8	700	Competence of Schools
106	SCH6	Num	8	844	Satisfaction with Schools
214	SCH7	Num	8	1708	Schools - Poor Repair
215	SCH8	Num	8	1716	Schools - Too Crowded
216	SCH9	Num	8	1724	Schools - Inconvenient Hrs
217	SCH10	Num	8	1732	Schools - Lack of Privacy
218	SCH11	Num	8	1740	Schools - Poor Publicity
219	SCH12	Num	8	1748	Schools - Understaffed
220	SCH13	Num	8	1756	Schools - Wait Too Long
17	TO1	Num	8	132	Need for Transportation Office
35	TO2	Num	8	276	Transportation Office Available
53	TO3	Num	8	420	Proportion Briefed on Transportation
71	TO4	Num	8	564	Proportion Referred to Transportation
89	TO5	Num	8	708	Competence of Transportation Office
107	TO6	Num	8	852	Satisfaction/Transportation Office
221	TO7	Num	8	1764	Transportation Office - Poor Repair
222	TO8	Num	8	1772	Transportation Office - Too Crowded
223	TO9	Num	8	1780	Transportation Office - Inconvenient Hrs
224	TO10	Num	8	1788	Transportation Office - Lack of Privacy
225	TO11	Num	8	1796	Transportation Office - Poor Publicity
226	TO12	Num	8	1804	Transportation Office - Understaffed
227	TO13	Num	8	1812	Transportation Office - Wait Too Long
236	XSPF	Num	4	1884	SPF ID to link SPFs to other forms
18	YS1	Num	8	140	Need for Youth Services
36	YS2	Num	8	284	Youth Services Available
54	YS3	Num	8	428	Proportion Briefed on Youth Services
72	YS4	Num	8	572	Proportion Referred to Youth Services
90	YS5	Num	8	716	Competence of Youth Services

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
108	YS6	Num	8	860	Satisfaction with Youth Services
228	YS7	Num	8	1820	Youth Services - Poor Repair
229	YS8	Num	8	1828	Youth Services - Too Crowded
230	YS9	Num	8	1836	Youth Services - Inconvenient Hrs
231	YS10	Num	8	1844	Youth Services - Lack of Privacy
232	YS11	Num	8	1852	Youth Services - Poor Publicity
233	YS12	Num	8	1860	Youth Services - Understaffed
234	YS13	Num	8	1868	Youth Services - Wait Too Long

**APPENDIX-C: PROC CONTENTS OF INSTALLATION AND COMMUNITY  
CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY (ICCI) Data Set**

SAS DATASET ICCI

14:28 Wednesday, September 25, 1991

**CONTENTS PROCEDURE**

Data Set Name: WORK.OLD  
Observations: 38  
Variables: 98  
Label:

Type:  
Record Len: 556

**-----Alphabetic List of Variables and Attributes-----**

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
97	FIC_I14	Num	8	544	Edit Flag
60	ICI1201P	Num	5	305	Average wating period(mnts) for 01 rank
59	ICI1201U	Num	6	299	#of on-post housing units for 01 rank
62	ICI1202P	Num	5	316	Average wating period(mnts) for 02 rank
61	ICI1202U	Num	6	310	#of on-post housing units for 02 rank
64	ICI1203P	Num	5	327	Average wating period(mnts) for 03 rank
63	ICI1203U	Num	6	321	#of on-post housing units for 03 rank
66	ICI1204P	Num	5	338	Average wating period(mnts) for 04 rank
65	ICI1204U	Num	6	332	#of on-post housing units for 04 rank
68	ICI1205P	Num	5	349	Average wating period(mnts) for 05 rank
67	ICI1205U	Num	6	343	#of on-post housing units for 05 rank
70	ICI1206P	Num	5	360	Average wating period(mnts) for 06 rank
69	ICI1206U	Num	6	354	#of on-post housing units for 06 rank
72	ICI1207P	Num	5	371	Average wating period(mnts) for 07 rank
71	ICI1207U	Num	6	365	#of on-post housing units for 07 rank
34	ICI12E1P	Num	5	162	Average wating period(mnts) for e1 rank
33	ICI12E1U	Num	6	156	#of on-post housing units for e1 rank
36	ICI12E2P	Num	5	173	Average wating period(mnts) for e2 rank
35	ICI12E2U	Num	6	167	#of on-post housing units for e2 rank
38	ICI12E3P	Num	5	184	Average wating period(mnts) for e3 rank
37	ICI12E3U	Num	6	178	#of on-post housing units for e3 rank
40	ICI12E4P	Num	5	195	Average wating period(mnts) for e4 rank
39	ICI12E4U	Num	6	189	#of on-post housing units for e4 rank
42	ICI12E5P	Num	5	206	Average wating period(mnts) for e5 rank
41	ICI12E5U	Num	6	200	#of on-post housing units for e5 rank
44	ICI12E6P	Num	5	217	Average wating period(mnts) for e6 rank
43	ICI12E6U	Num	6	211	#of on-post housing units for e6 rank
46	ICI12E7P	Num	5	228	Average wating period(mnts) for e7 rank
45	ICI12E7U	Num	6	222	#of on-post housing units for e7 rank
48	ICI12E8P	Num	5	239	Average wating period(mnts) for e8 rank
47	ICI12E8U	Num	6	233	#of on-post housing units for e8 rank
50	ICI12E9P	Num	5	250	Average wating period(mnts) for e9 rank
49	ICI12E9U	Num	6	244	#of on-post housing units for e9 rank
52	ICI12W1P	Num	5	261	Average wating period(mnts) for w1 rank
51	ICI12W1U	Num	6	255	#of on-post housing units for w1 rank
54	ICI12W2P	Num	5	272	Average wating period(mnts) for w2 rank
53	ICI12W2U	Num	6	266	#of on-post housing units for w2 rank
56	ICI12W3P	Num	5	283	Average wating period(mnts) for w3 rank

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
55	ICI12W3U	Num	6	277	#of on-post housing units for w3 rank
58	ICI12W4P	Num	5	294	Average wating period(mnts) for w4 rank
57	ICI12W4U	Num	6	288	#of on-post housing units for w4 rank
24	IC_I3	Num	6	98	Number of soldiers in mtoe units
25	IC_I4	Num	6	104	Number of soldiers in tda units
26	IC_I5	Num	8	110	Number of trainees
27	IC_I6	Num	8	118	#reservists present for annual training
28	IC_I7	Num	6	126	#reserve centrs supported by installatn
29	IC_I8	Num	6	132	Number of dacs (americans)
30	IC_I9	Num	6	138	Number of dacs (foreign nationals)
31	IC_I10	Num	6	144	Number of command-sponsered dependents
32	IC_I11	Num	6	150	Number non-command-sponsored dependents
76	IC_I14	Num	4	391	Active installation family action plan?
82	IC_I16	Num	4	415	Payday activities policy
83	IC_I17	Num	4	419	Family time policy
74	IC_I13NL	Num	5	381	#licensed family child care providers
73	IC_I13NS	Num	5	376	#of spaces for children in cds center
75	IC_I13NW	Num	5	386	Number on waiting list for cds/fcc
78	IC_I14D	Num	4	399	Day of most recent version
77	IC_I14M	Num	4	395	Month of most recent version
79	IC_I14Y	Num	4	403	Year of most recent version
80	IC_I15M	Num	4	407	#of family-oriented events per month
81	IC_I15Y	Num	4	411	#of family-oriented events per year
1	IC_I1N	Num	5	4	Number of units - mtoe
2	IC_I1T	Num	5	9	Type of units - tda
4	IC_I21D	Num	4	18	Day of appointment for cg
3	IC_I21M	Num	4	14	Month of appointment for cg
5	IC_I21Y	Num	4	22	Year of appointment for cg
7	IC_I22D	Num	4	30	Day of appointment for dcg
6	IC_I22M	Num	4	26	Month of appointment for dcg
8	IC_I22Y	Num	4	34	Year of appointment for dcg
10	IC_I23D	Num	4	42	Day of appointmnt for commnd sergnt majr
9	IC_I23M	Num	4	38	Mnt of appointmnt for commnd sergnt majr
11	IC_I23Y	Num	4	46	Yr of appointmnt for commnd sergnt major
13	IC_I24D	Num	4	54	Day of appointment for dpca
12	IC_I24M	Num	4	50	Month of appointment for dpca
14	IC_I24Y	Num	4	58	Year of appointment for dpca
16	IC_I25D	Num	4	66	Day of appointment for director of acs
15	IC_I25M	Num	4	62	Month of appointment for director of acs
17	IC_I25Y	Num	4	70	Year of appointment for director of acs
19	IC_I26D	Num	4	78	Day of appointmnt for directr of housing
18	IC_I26M	Num	4	74	Mnt of appointmnt for directr of housing
20	IC_I26Y	Num	4	82	Yr of appointment for directr of housing
22	IC_I27D	Num	4	90	Day of appointmnt for hospital commander
21	IC_I27M	Num	4	86	Mnt of appointmnt for hospital commander
23	IC_I27Y	Num	4	94	Yr of appointment for hospital commander
87	IC_L3	Num	5	447	Distance (miles) to nearest unban center

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
88	IC_L4	Num	6	452	Time (minutes) to nearest urban center
96	IC_L7	Num	8	536	#of military retirees in local community
84	IC_L21	Num	8	423	Population of 1st community
85	IC_L22	Num	8	431	Population of 2nd community
86	IC_L23	Num	8	439	Population of 3rd community
89	IC_L51	Num	4	458	Rate availability of jobs for army spouses
90	IC_L52	Num	4	462	Rate availability cultural/rec activities
91	IC_L52SP	Char	50	466	List types cultural/rec activities
93	IC_L6AF	Num	4	520	#air force installations w/in 25 ml radius
92	IC_L6AR	Num	4	516	#army installations w/in 25 ml radius
95	IC_L6M	Num	8	528	#marine installations w/in 25 ml radius
94	IC_L6N	Num	4	524	#navy installations w/in 25 ml radius
98	XICCI	Num	4	552	ICCI identifier for file linkage

# **APPENDIX-D: SPOUSE Data Set Code Book**

SP_1	SP_1_	Gender
		01 = MALE
		02 = FEMALE
SP_2		Year and month of birth
		RANGE = 0107 - 7203
		-2 = BAD DATA
SP_3	SP_3_	Highest level of education completed
		01 = SOME HIGH SCHOOL OR LESS, BUT NO DIPLOMA
		02 = HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETED WITH DIPLOMA
		03 = HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETED WITH GED
		04 = UP TO 2 YEARS OF COLLEGE, BUT NO DEGREE
		05 = ASSOCIATE DEGREE
		06 = 3-4 YEARS OF COLLEGE, BUT NO DEGREE
		07 = BACHELOR'S DEGREE
		08 = 1+ YRS OF GRADUATE CREDIT, NO DEGREE
		09 = MASTER'S DEGREE (MA, MS, MFA)
		10 = DOCTORATE DEGREE (PHD, DPH)
		11 = PROFESSIONAL DEGREE (MD, DDS, OR LLB)
SP_EDUC	SP_EDUC	Education
		1 = Less than high school
		2 = High school graduate, GED
		3 = High school graduate, diploma
		4 = Some post-secondary
		5 = Bachelor's degree
		6 = Beyond Bachelor's degree
SPENLEDC	SPENLDEC	Education - spouses of enlisted soldiers
		1 = Less than high school or GED
		2 = High school graduate, diploma
		3 = 1-2 years college, no degree
		4 = Associates degree or higher
SPOFFEDC	SPOFFEDC	Education - spouses of officers
		1 = Bachelor's degree or less
		2 = Some graduate work, no degree
		3 = Master's degree
		4 = Doctorate or professional degree
SP_4A	SP_4_	Active duty status-father/male guardian
		01 = NOW SERVING
		02 = SERVED LESS THAN 8 YEARS AND LEFT
		03 = SERVED 8 YEARS OR MORE AND LEFT OR RETIRED
		04 = NEVER SERVED
		05 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP_4B	SP_4_	Active duty status-mothr/female guardian
		01 = NOW SERVING
		02 = SERVED LESS THAN 8 YEARS AND LEFT
		03 = SERVED 8 YEARS OR MORE AND LEFT OR RETIRED
		04 = NEVER SERVED
		05 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_5	SP_5_	Current marital status
		01 = MARRIED FOR THE FIRST TIME
		02 = REMARRIED, WAS DIVORCED OR WIDOWED
		03 = LEGALLY SEPARATED OR FILING FOR DIVORCE
SP_6	SP_6_	Was previous spouse in military
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_7	SP_7_	Ever served in U.S. armed forces
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
SP_8	SP_8_	Currently serving in U.S. armed forces
		01 = YES, ON ACTIVE DUTY
		02 = YES, IN THE GUARD/RESERVE
		03 = NO
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_MIL	SP_MIL	Spouse military indicator
		1 = On active duty
		2 = Not on active duty
SP_9	SP_9_	Likelihood of staying at end of obligation
		01 = (0 IN 10) NO CHANCE
		02 = (1 IN 10) VERY SLIGHT POSSIBILITY
		03 = (2 IN 10) SLIGHT POSSIBILITY
		04 = (3 IN 10) SOME POSSIBILITY
		05 = (4 IN 10) FAIR POSSIBILITY
		06 = (5 IN 10) FAIRLY GOOD POSSIBILITY
		07 = (6 IN 10) GOOD POSSIBILITY
		08 = (7 IN 10) PROBABLE
		09 = (8 IN 10) VERY PROBABLE
		10 = (9 IN 10) ALMOST SURE
		11 = (10 IN 10) CERTAIN
		12 = I WILL RETIRE WHEN CURRENT OBLIGATION ENDS.
		13 = I PLAN TO LEAVE THE ARMY
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN



SP\_10      SP\_10\_      Decision maker RE: stay or leave Army  
01 = MAKE DECISION MYSELF  
02 = MAKE DECISION/CONSIDER MEMBER'S OPINION  
03 = MAKE DECISION TOGETHER WITH MEMBER  
04 = LARGELY DECIDE TO DO WHAT MEMBER WANTS  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_11      SP\_11\_      Unwanted assignment taken to be w/member  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_12      SP\_12\_      Member taken unwanted assign be together  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_13      SP\_13\_      My career more important than member's  
01 = STRONGLY DISAGREE  
02 = DISAGREE  
03 = CAN'T SAY  
04 = AGREE  
05 = STRONGLY AGREE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_14      SP\_14\_      Action if asgmts require long separation  
01 = ACCEPT ASSIGNMENTS  
02 = RESPONDENT WILL LEAVE THE MILITARY  
03 = MEMBER WILL LEAVE THE MILITARY  
04 = BOTH WILL LEAVE THE MILITARY  
05 = N/A, RESPONDENT PLANS TO LEAVE MILITARY  
06 = N/A, BOTH PLAN TO LEAVE MILITARY  
07 = N/A, MEMBER PLANS TO LEAVE MILITARY  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15A      Currently working for pay  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15B      Currently an unpaid worker  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15C      Unemployed/looked for work last 4 weeks  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15D      Unemployed/haven't looked for work  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15E                    Laid off from job  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15F                    In school  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15G                    Keeping house  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15H                    Unable to work due to long-term illness  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15I                    Doing volunteer work  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_15J                    Retired  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_EMP    SP\_EMP                    Spouse employment status  
                          1 = Employed  
                          2 = Unemployed, in labor force  
                          3 = Not employed, not in labor force  
                          4 = On active duty

SP\_EMP1    SP\_EMP1\_                    Spouse employment status  
                          1 = Employed  
                          2 = Not employed  
                          3 = On active duty

SP\_LF    SP\_LF\_                    X    Spouse labor force status  
                          1 = In labor force  
                          2 = Not in labor force  
                          3 = On active duty

SP\_16    SP\_16\_                    Current main job  
                          01 = ARMY AND AIR FORCE EXCHANGE SERVICE  
                          02 = CIVILIAN JOB (NOT FEDERAL)  
                          03 = SELF EMPLOYED  
                          04 = CAREER FED. CIVIL SERVICE/WAGE GRADE JOB  
                          05 = OTHER JOB FOR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT  
                          -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_17      SP\_17\_      Federal govt employer (if applicable)  
01 = DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
02 = NAVY, AIR FORCE OR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
03 = OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY  
-2 = BAD DATA  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SPEMPTYP SEMPTYP      Spouse employer type  
1 = Working in federal job  
2 = Working in civilian job  
3 = On active duty

SP\_18      Type of work in current paid job  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_19      Important job activities/duties  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_20      SP\_20\_      Current job description  
01 = SCHOOL TEACHER  
02 = CHILD CARE  
03 = CLERICAL  
04 = SALES  
05 = PROFESSIONAL (SOCIAL WORKER, ETC.)  
06 = PROFESSIONAL (DENTIST, ETC.)  
07 = MANAGER, ADMINISTRATOR  
08 = TECHNICAL  
09 = SERVICE  
10 = LABORER  
11 = CRAFTSMAN  
12 = OPERATIVE  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_21      Main job earnings before deductions  
RANGE = 00001 - 93000  
-2 = BAD DATA  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN  
-6 = DON'T KNOW

SP\_21PER SP\_21PER      Time period for main job earnings  
01 = HOUR  
02 = DAY  
03 = WEEK  
04 = TWO WEEKS  
05 = MONTH  
06 = YEAR  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-2 = BAD DATA  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_22      SP\_22\_      How found current job this location

- 01 = ARMY FMEAP OR ERC
- 02 = "ONE STOP" EMPLOYMENT CENTER ON-POST
- 03 = CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OFFICE
- 04 = STATE/OTHER EMPLOYMENT SERVICE
- 05 = PRIVATE CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT AGENCY
- 06 = ANSWERED AD IN THE NEWSPAPER
- 07 = DID VOLUNTEER WORK
- 08 = CONTACTED THE EMPLOYER DIRECTLY
- 09 = THROUGH INFORMATION FROM AN ARMY FRIEND
- 10 = THROUGH INFORMATION FROM CIVILIAN FRIEND
- 11 = OTHER SOURCE
- 1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
- 3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_23      #hrs in work week for currnt paid job(s)

- RANGE = 00 - 99
- 2 = BAD DATA
- 3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_JOBHR SP\_JOBHR      Hours worked per week in paid job

- 1 = Work 20 or fewer hours per week
- 2 = Work 21-40 hours per week
- 3 = Work 41-50 hours per week
- 4 = Work 51-60 hours per week
- 5 = Work 61 or more hours per week

SP\_24      Time start work - hour and minute

- RANGE = 0000 - 1233
- 2 = BAD DATA
- 3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_24APM SP\_24APM      Time start work - am/pm

- 01 = AM
- 02 = PM
- 3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_25      Time finish work - hour and minute

- RANGE = 0100 - 1245
- 2 = BAD DATA
- 3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_25APM SP\_25APM      Time finish work - am/pm

- 01 = AM
- 02 = PM
- 3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_DAY      Length of typical work day (hours)

- RANGE = 0 - 19
- 2 = BAD DATA

SPWRKDAY                      Length of Workday in Hours  
RANGE = 0 - 19  
-2 = BAD DATA

SPWRKDYR SPWRKDYR              Length of workday  
1 = Work 4 or fewer hours per day  
2 = Work 5-8 hours per day  
3 = Work 9 or more hours per day

SP\_26      SP\_26\_              Days of week you work at paid job  
01 = WEEKDAYS ONLY  
02 = WEEKENDS ONLY  
03 = BOTH WEEKDAYS AND WEEKENDS  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_27                      # of minutes - home to paid job  
RANGE = 000 - 800  
-2 = BAD DATA  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SPCOMUTE SPCOMUTE              Commuting time to place of work  
1 = Commute 5 minute or less to work  
2 = Commute 6-10 minutes to work  
3 = Commute 11-15 minutes to work  
4 = Commute 16-20 minutes to work  
5 = Commute 21-30 minutes to work  
6 = Commute more than 30 minutes to work

SP\_28A      SP\_28\_              Opportunity for advancement this job  
01 = VERY GOOD  
02 = GOOD  
03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD  
04 = BAD  
05 = VERY BAD  
06 = DON'T KNOW  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_28B      SP\_28\_              Pay at current job  
01 = VERY GOOD  
02 = GOOD  
03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD  
04 = BAD  
05 = VERY BAD  
06 = DON'T KNOW  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP_28C	SP_28_	Retirement benefits at current job
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_28D	SP_28_	Type of work in current job
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_28E	SP_28_	Other benefits in current job
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VEBY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_28F	SP_28_	Opportunity to use ability current job
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_28G	SP_28_	Job security in current job
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP_28H	SP_28_	Work hours/schedule of current job
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_28I	SP_28_	Location of current job
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_28J	SP_28_	Opportunity to work w/people you like
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_28K	SP_28_	Opportunity for career progress
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_29A	SP_29_	Your opportunities for advancement
		01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN
		02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN
		03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN
		04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN
		05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP_29B	SP_29_	<p>Your pay</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_29C	SP_29_	<p>Your retirement benefits</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_29D	SP_29_	<p>Type of work you do</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_29E	SP_29_	<p>Your other benefits</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_29F	SP_29_	<p>Your opportunities to use abilities</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>



SP_29G	SP_29_	<p>Your job security</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_29H	SP_29_	<p>Your work hours and schedule</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_29I	SP_29_	<p>Location of your job</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_29J	SP_29_	<p>Opportunity to work with people you like</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_29K	SP_29_	<p>Your opportunity for career progress</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>02 = WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>04 = BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>

SP_30	SP_30_	<p>Your job interferes w/member's Army job</p> <p>01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT</p> <p>02 = GREAT EXTENT</p> <p>03 = MODERATE EXTENT</p> <p>04 = SLIGHT EXTENT</p> <p>05 = NOT AT ALL</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_31	SP_31_	<p>Member's Army job interferes w/your job</p> <p>01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT</p> <p>02 = GREAT EXTENT</p> <p>03 = MODERATE EXTENT</p> <p>04 = SLIGHT EXTENT</p> <p>05 = NOT AT ALL</p> <p>-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN</p>
SP_32A	SP_32_	<p>Lack of jobs in skill area</p> <p>01 = YES</p> <p>02 = NO</p> <p>03 = N/A</p>
SP_32B	SP_32_	<p>Lack of transportation to available job</p> <p>01 = YES</p> <p>02 = NO</p> <p>03 = N/A</p>
SP_32C	SP_32_	<p>Child care not available</p> <p>01 = YES</p> <p>02 = NO</p> <p>03 = N/A</p> <p>04 = NOT APPLICABLE</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_32D	SP_32_	<p>Quality of child care not acceptable</p> <p>01 = YES</p> <p>02 = NO</p> <p>03 = N/A</p> <p>04 = NOT APPLICABLE</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_32E	SP_32_	<p>Child care too expensive</p> <p>01 = YES</p> <p>02 = NO</p> <p>03 = N/A</p> <p>04 = NOT APPLICABLE</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>

SP_32F	SP_32_	Child care hours not convenient
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = N/A
		04 = NOT APPLICABLE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_32G	SP_32_	Member not wanting me to work
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = N/A
SP_32H	SP_32_	Employers don't like hiring Army spouse
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = N/A
SP_32I	SP_32_	Army leaders don't support employment
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = N/A
SP_32J	SP_32_	Jobs in salary range not available
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = N/A
SP_32K	SP_32_	Lack of necessary skills
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = N/A
SP_32L	SP_32_	Too many family responsibilities
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = N/A
SP_32M	SP_32_	Available jobs too far away
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = N/A
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_32N	SP_32_	Member's job makes working too difficult
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = N/A

SP\_33A SP\_33\_ Time worked in paid employment-1985  
01 = DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL  
02 = WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS  
03 = WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_33B SP\_33\_ Time worked in paid employment-1986  
01 = DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL  
02 = WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS  
03 = WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_33C SP\_33\_ Time worked in paid employment-1987  
01 = DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL  
02 = WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS  
03 = WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_33D SP\_33\_ Time worked in paid employment-1988  
01 = DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL  
02 = WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS  
03 = WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_34 # of weeks worked in 1988  
RANGE = 01 - 52  
-2 = BAD DATA  
-7 = N/A

SP\_35 Average # hrs per week worked in 1988  
RANGE = 01 - 99  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_36 Total amount earned from job - 1988  
RANGE = 00000 - 90000  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_37 SP\_37\_ Work preference at present time  
01 = NOT WORKING FOR PAY  
02 = SERVING ON ACTIVE DUTY  
03 = WORKING FT IN CIVILIAN JOB, NOT A CAREER  
04 = WORKING PT IN CIVILIAN JOB, NOT A CAREER  
05 = WORKING FULL-TIME IN A CIVILIAN CAREER  
06 = WORKING PART-TIME IN A CIVILIAN CAREER  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_WTWK SP\_WTWK Spouse employed/wants/doesn't want work  
1 = Employed  
2 = Want to work  
3 = Don't want to work  
4 = On active duty  
5 = Want to be on active duty

SP_FTN	SP_FTN	<p>Want to work FT/PT/not now</p> <p>1 = Want to work full-time</p> <p>2 = Want to work part-time</p> <p>3 = Don't want to work</p> <p>4 = Want to be on active duty</p>
SP_CRN	SP_CRN	<p>Want to have job/career at present time</p> <p>1 = Want to have a career now</p> <p>2 = Want a job, not a career</p> <p>3 = Don't want to work</p> <p>4 = Want to be on active duty</p>
SP_38	SP_38_	<p>Work preference in 5 years</p> <p>01 = NOT WORKING FOR PAY</p> <p>02 = SERVING ON ACTIVE DUTY</p> <p>03 = WORKING FT IN CIVILIAN JOB, NOT A CAREER</p> <p>04 = WORKING PT IN CIVILIAN JOB, NOT A CAREER</p> <p>05 = WORKING FULL-TIME IN A CIVILIAN CAREER</p> <p>06 = WORKING PART-TIME IN A CIVILIAN CAREER</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_39	SP_39_	<p>Job opportunity in 5 yrs-member in Army</p> <p>01 = VERY GOOD</p> <p>02 = GOOD</p> <p>03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD</p> <p>04 = BAD</p> <p>05 = VERY BAD</p> <p>06 = MEMBER WILL NOT BE IN ARMY</p> <p>07 = I DO NOT WANT TO HAVE A JOB</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_40	SP_40_	<p>Job opportunity in 5 yrs-member civilian</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH</p> <p>04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>06 = DON'T WANT TO WORK 5 YEARS FROM NOW</p>
SP_41A	SP_41_	<p>Want to work for basic expenses</p> <p>01 = EXTREMELY IMPORTANT</p> <p>02 = VERY IMPORTANT</p> <p>03 = SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT</p> <p>04 = NOT VERY IMPORTANT</p> <p>05 = NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT</p> <p>06 = N/A</p>

SP\_41B    SP\_41\_            Want to work to buy extras now  
01 = EXTREMELY IMPORTANT  
02 = VERY IMPORTANT  
03 = SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT  
04 = NOT VERY IMPORTANT  
05 = NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT  
06 = N/A

SP\_41C    SP\_41\_            Want to work to save money for future  
01 = EXTREMELY IMPORTANT  
02 = VERY IMPORTANT  
03 = SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT  
04 = NOT VERY IMPORTANT  
05 = NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT  
06 = N/A  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_41D    SP\_41\_            Want to work to develop work skills  
01 = EXTREMELY IMPORTANT  
02 = VERY IMPORTANT  
03 = SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT  
04 = NOT VERY IMPORTANT  
05 = NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT  
06 = N/A  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_41E    SP\_41\_            Want to work to feel good about myself  
01 = EXTREMELY IMPORTANT  
02 = VERY IMPORTANT  
03 = SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT  
04 = NOT VERY IMPORTANT  
05 = NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT  
06 = N/A  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_41F    SP\_41\_            Want to work to meet people  
01 = EXTREMELY IMPORTANT  
02 = VERY IMPORTANT  
03 = SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT  
04 = NOT VERY IMPORTANT  
05 = NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT  
06 = N/A  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_41G    SP\_41\_            Want to work to have a career  
01 = EXTREMELY IMPORTANT  
02 = VERY IMPORTANT  
03 = SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT  
04 = NOT VERY IMPORTANT  
05 = NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT  
06 = N/A

SP\_41H    SP\_41\_            Want to work to contribute to society  
                          01 = EXTREMELY IMPORTANT  
                          02 = VERY IMPORTANT  
                          03 = SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT  
                          04 = NOT VERY IMPORTANT  
                          05 = NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT  
                          06 = N/A

SP\_42A    SP\_42\_            #hrs provide volunteer services on-post  
                          01 = 0 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          02 = 1-4 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          03 = 5-8 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          04 = 9-16 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          05 = MORE THAN 16 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_42B    SP\_42\_            #hrs provide volunteer services off-post  
                          01 = 0 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          02 = 1-4 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          03 = 5-8 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          04 = 9-16 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          05 = MORE THAN 16 HOURS PER WEEK  
                          -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_43A                        Volunteer to contribute to community  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_43B                        Volunteer to meet people/get out house  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_43C                        Volunteer for experience for future jobs  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_43D                        Volunteer to fulfill a sense of duty  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_43E                        Volunteer to support my kids' activities  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_43F                        Volunteer to become more influential  
                          RANGE = 01 - 01  
                          -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_43G                    Volunteer to respond to expectations  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_43H                    Volunteer to learn about an organization  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_43I                    Volunteer to have sense of achievement  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_43J                    Volunteer to help member's career  
RANGE = 01 - 01  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_44      SP\_44\_                    Want member to stay in or leave the Army  
01 = STRONGLY WANT MEMBER TO STAY  
02 = SOMEWHAT WANT MEMBER TO STAY  
03 = NEUTRAL  
04 = SOMEWHAT WANT MEMBER TO LEAVE THE ARMY  
05 = STRONGLY WANT MEMBER TO LEAVE THE ARMY

SP\_45      SP\_45\_                    Feeling if member stayed in the Army  
01 = EXTREMELY GOOD  
02 = QUITE GOOD  
03 = SLIGHTLY GOOD  
04 = NEITHER GOOD OR BAD  
05 = SLIGHTLY BAD  
06 = QUITE BAD  
07 = EXTREMELY BAD  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_46      SP\_46\_                    Support of member being in the Army now  
01 = VERY SUPPORTIVE  
02 = FAIRLY SUPPORTIVE  
03 = MIXED OR NEUTRAL  
04 = FAIRLY UNSUPPORTIVE  
05 = VERY UNSUPPORTIVE

SP\_47      SP\_47\_                    Support of member making Army a career  
01 = VERY SUPPORTIVE  
02 = FAIRLY SUPPORTIVE  
03 = MIXED OR NEUTRAL  
04 = FAIRLY UNSUPPORTIVE  
05 = VERY UNSUPPORTIVE



SP\_48      SP\_48\_      Feeling if member left the Army  
01 = EXTREMELY GOOD  
02 = QUITE GOOD  
03 = SLIGHTLY GOOD  
04 = NEITHER GOOD OR BAD  
05 = SLIGHTLY BAD  
06 = QUITE BAD  
07 = EXTREMELY BAD  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_49           Number of months at current location  
RANGE = 000 - 999  
-2 = BAD DATA

SP\_50           #times moved to new locale due to PCS  
RANGE = 01 - 90  
-2 = BAD DATA  
-7 = N/A

SP\_51      SP\_51\_      Receive info on new location before move  
01 = YES, WAS FURNISHED WITHOUT REQUESTING IT  
02 = YES, BUT IT HAD TO BE REQUESTED  
03 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_52      SP\_52\_      Given info on new location after moved  
01 = YES, THIS WAS FURNISHED WITHOUT REQUESTING  
02 = YES, BUT IT HAD TO BE REQUESTED  
03 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_53      SP\_53\_      Move preference for last PCS assignment  
01 = WANTED TO MOVE TO THAT LOCATION  
02 = WANTED THAT LOCATION, NOT AT THAT TIME  
03 = WANTED TO MOVE, NOT TO THAT LOCATION  
04 = DIDN'T WANT TO MOVE & NOT THAT LOCATION  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_54A      SP\_54\_      Problem moving/setting up new household  
01 = SERIOUS PROBLEM  
02 = SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM  
03 = SLIGHT PROBLEM  
04 = NOT A PROBLEM  
06 = DON'T KNOW  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP_54B	SP_54_	Problem with costs incurred during move 01 = SERIOUS PROBLEM 02 = SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM 03 = SLIGHT PROBLEM 04 = NOT A PROBLEM 06 = DON'T KNOW -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_54C	SP_54_	Problem w/children adjusting to location 01 = SERIOUS PROBLEM 02 = SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM 03 = SLIGHT PROBLEM 04 = NOT A PROBLEM 05 = NOT APPLICABLE 06 = DON'T KNOW -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_54D	SP_54_	Problem w/member adjusting to location 01 = SERIOUS PROBLEM 02 = SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM 03 = SLIGHT PROBLEM 04 = NOT A PROBLEM 06 = DON'T KNOW -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_54E	SP_54_	Problem adjusting to location 01 = SERIOUS PROBLEM 02 = SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM 03 = SLIGHT PROBLEM 04 = NOT A PROBLEM 06 = DON'T KNOW -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_54F	SP_54_	Problem finding employment at location 01 = SERIOUS PROBLEM 02 = SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM 03 = SLIGHT PROBLEM 04 = NOT A PROBLEM 05 = NOT APPLICABLE 06 = DON'T KNOW -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_54G	SP_54_	Problem finding permanent housing 01 = SERIOUS PROBLEM 02 = SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM 03 = SLIGHT PROBLEM 04 = NOT A PROBLEM 06 = DON'T KNOW -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE -3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP_55A	SP_55_	Assigned sponsor helped after move
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_55B	SP_55_	Member's Unit leader helped after move
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_55C	SP_55_	Someone else in unit helped after move
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_55D	SP_55_	A unit leader's spouse helped after move
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_55E	SP_55_	Another unit spouse helped after move
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_55F	SP_55_	Member helped after move
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_55G	SP_55_	Someone from ACS helped after move
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_55H	SP_55_	A neighbor or friend helped after move
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN
SP_56	SP_56_	# of months waited for permanent housing
		01 = NO WAIT, PERMANENT HOUSING IMMEDIATELY
		02 = LESS THAN 1 MONTH
		03 = 1-2 MONTHS
		04 = 3-4 MONTHS
		05 = 5-6 MONTHS
		06 = 7 OR MORE MONTHS
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_57                   # of places lived at current location  
RANGE = 00 - 19  
-2 = BAD DATA  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_58      SP\_58\_                   Satisfaction with current housing  
01 = VERY SATISFIED  
02 = SATISFIED  
03 = NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED  
04 = DISSATISFIED  
05 = VERY DISSATISFIED  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_59      SP\_59\_                   Extended duty separations past 12 mnts  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_60      SP\_60\_                   Length time since last separation return  
01 = WITHIN THE LAST 2 WEEKS  
02 = 2-3 WEEKS AGO  
03 = 1-3 MONTHS AGO  
04 = 4-6 MONTHS AGO  
05 = 7-12 MONTHS AGO  
06 = 13-24 MONTHS AGO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_61A     SP\_61\_                   Separation made me more independent  
01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT  
02 = GREAT EXTENT  
03 = MODERATE EXTENT  
04 = SLIGHT EXTENT  
05 = NOT AT ALL  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_61B     SP\_61\_                   Separation caused problems with kids  
01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT  
02 = GREAT EXTENT  
03 = MODERATE EXTENT  
04 = SLIGHT EXTENT  
05 = NOT AT ALL  
06 = N/A  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_61C    SP\_61\_            Separation caused decision-making prob.

01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT  
02 = GREAT EXTENT  
03 = MODERATE EXTENT  
04 = SLIGHT EXTENT  
05 = NOT AT ALL  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_61D    SP\_61\_            Member proud of my handling of things

01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT  
02 = GREAT EXTENT  
03 = MODERATE EXTENT  
04 = SLIGHT EXTENT  
05 = NOT AT ALL  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_61E    SP\_61\_            Time to adapt to member after separation

01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT  
02 = GREAT EXTENT  
03 = MODERATE EXTENT  
04 = SLIGHT EXTENT  
05 = NOT AT ALL  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_62     SP\_62\_            Description of separation experience

01 = DID BETTER DURING SEPARATION THAN NOW  
02 = DID AS WELL DURING SEPARATION AS NOW  
03 = DID WORSE DURING SEPARATION THAN NOW  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_63     SP\_63\_            How separation experience was handled

01 = VERY POORLY  
02 = SOMEWHAT POORLY  
03 = UNDECIDED  
04 = SOMEWHAT WELL  
05 = VERY WELL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_64A    SP\_64\_            Problem coping-separated < 2 wks

01 = VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING  
02 = SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING  
03 = MODERATE PROBLEM COPING  
04 = SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING  
05 = NO PROBLEM COPING

SP\_64B    SP\_64\_            Problem coping-separated 2 wks - 1 month

01 = VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING  
02 = SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING  
03 = MODERATE PROBLEM COPING  
04 = SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING  
05 = NO PROBLEM COPING

SP\_64C    SP\_64\_                    Problem coping-separated several months  
01 = VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING  
02 = SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING  
03 = MODERATE PROBLEM COPING  
04 = SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING  
05 = NO PROBLEM COPING  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_64D    SP\_64\_                    Problem coping-separated six months  
01 = VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING  
02 = SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING  
03 = MODERATE PROBLEM COPING  
04 = SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING  
05 = NO PROBLEM COPING  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_65A    SP\_65\_                    Concerned w/ability to cope w/stress  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS

SP\_65B    SP\_65\_                    Difficlt to maintain positive attitude  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS

SP\_65C    SP\_65\_                    How often worry about own safety  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_65D    SP\_65\_                    How often experience loneliness  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP_65E	SP_65_	How often fear member going into combat
		01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER
		02 = SELDOM
		03 = SOMETIMES
		04 = OFTEN
		05 = VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_66A	SP_66_	Power of attorney if member away
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = DON'T KNOW
SP_66B	SP_66_	Joint checking account with member
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_66C	SP_66_	Equivalent of 2 weeks pay on hand
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		03 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_67A	SP_67_	I feel no commitment to the Army
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_67B	SP_67_	My values and Army's values are similar
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_67C	SP_67_	Member made mistake joining Army
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP_67D	SP_67_	My goals met if member stays to retirement
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_67E	SP_67_	Army responsive to family needs
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_67F	SP_67_	Too many non-job activities (member)
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_67G	SP_67_	Too many non-important activities
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_67H	SP_67_	Spouse should feel part of Army community
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_67I	SP_67_	Member likely to stay-spouse has good job
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_67J	SP_67_	Man's family should adjust to his job
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE



SP_67K	SP_67_	Husband/wife share child care equally
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_67L	SP_67_	Woman should make career plans like man
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_67M	SP_67_	Husband should be head of the family
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_68A	SP_68_	Unit leaders encourage family activities
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
SP_68B	SP_68_	Leaders know about Army family programs
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
SP_68C	SP_68_	Unit concerned for Army families if war
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_69A	SP_69_	Member & I team working for Army goals
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP_69B	SP_69_	I understand demands of members Army job
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_69C	SP_69_	I help to further member's Army career
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_69D	SP_69_	Willing to change to help member advance
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_69E	SP_69_	Hurt member career/help from chain cmd
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_69F	SP_69_	Hurt member career/go to service providr
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
SP_69G	SP_69_	Member is someone I can talk with
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_69H	SP_69_	Family need should come before Army need
		01 = STRONGLY AGREE
		02 = AGREE
		03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
		04 = DISAGREE
		05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP_70A	SP_70_	Member opportunity for advancement
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
SP_70B	SP_70_	Member pay at this location
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_70C	SP_70_	Member retirement benefits this location
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_70D	SP_70_	Member treatment by supervisors
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_70E	SP_70_	Member opportunity to use abilities
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
SP_70F	SP_70_	Your personal freedom at this location
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP_70G	SP_70_	<p>Your time for personal/family life</p> <p>01 = VERY GOOD</p> <p>02 = GOOD</p> <p>03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD</p> <p>04 = BAD</p> <p>05 = VERY BAD</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_70H	SP_70_	<p>Member time for personal/family life</p> <p>01 = VERY GOOD</p> <p>02 = GOOD</p> <p>03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD</p> <p>04 = BAD</p> <p>05 = VERY BAD</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_70I	SP_70_	<p>Job/career opportunity for you</p> <p>01 = VERY GOOD</p> <p>02 = GOOD</p> <p>03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD</p> <p>04 = BAD</p> <p>05 = VERY BAD</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>07 = N/A</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_70J	SP_70_	<p>Your overall satisfaction this location</p> <p>01 = VERY GOOD</p> <p>02 = GOOD</p> <p>03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD</p> <p>04 = BAD</p> <p>05 = VERY BAD</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_70K	SP_70_	<p>Quality place for children</p> <p>01 = VERY GOOD</p> <p>02 = GOOD</p> <p>03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD</p> <p>04 = BAD</p> <p>05 = VERY BAD</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>

SP_70L	SP_70_	Quality of kids schools at this location
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_70M	SP_70_	Quality of medical care at this location
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_70N	SP_70_	Family programs/services this location
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
SP_70O	SP_70_	Quaility of your community this location
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_70P	SP_70_	Opportuntuy to make friends this location
		01 = VERY GOOD
		02 = GOOD
		03 = NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD
		04 = BAD
		05 = VERY BAD
		06 = DON'T KNOW
SP_71A	SP_71_	Member's opportunities for advancement
		01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH
		04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP_71B	SP_71_	<p>Compare member pay</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH</p> <p>04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_71C	SP_71_	<p>Compare member retirement benefits</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH</p> <p>04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_71D	SP_71_	<p>Compare member treatment by supervisors</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH</p> <p>04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_71E	SP_71_	<p>Member's opportunities to use abilities</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH</p> <p>04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p>
SP_71F	SP_71_	<p>Compare your personal freedom</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH</p> <p>04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>
SP_71G	SP_71_	<p>Compare time for personal/family life</p> <p>01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH</p> <p>04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE</p> <p>06 = DON'T KNOW</p> <p>-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE</p>

SP_71H	SP_71_	Member's time for personal/family life
		01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH
		04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_71I	SP_71_	Compare your job/career opportunities
		01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH
		04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		07 = N/A
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_71J	SP_71_	Compare your overall satisfaction
		01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH
		04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_71K	SP_71_	Compare quality of place for children
		01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH
		04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_71L	SP_71_	Quality of schools for children
		01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH
		04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE
		06 = DON'T KNOW
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_71M    SP\_71\_                    Compare quality of family medical care

01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH  
04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
06 = DON'T KNOW  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_71N    SP\_71\_                    Compare programs/services for families

01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH  
04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
06 = DON'T KNOW  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_71O    SP\_71\_                    Compare quality of community you live in

01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH  
04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
06 = DON'T KNOW

SP\_71P    SP\_71\_                    Compare opportunity to make good friends

01 = MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
02 = WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
03 = ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH  
04 = BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
05 = MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE  
06 = DON'T KNOW

SP\_72     SP\_72\_                    Satisfaction with Army as way of life

01 = VERY SATISFIED  
02 = SOMEWHAT SATISFIED  
03 = NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED  
04 = SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED  
05 = VERY DISSATISFIED  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_73A    SP\_73\_                    Demand of family responsibilities

01 = 1-NOT AT ALL DEMANDING  
02 = 2  
03 = 3  
04 = 4  
05 = 5  
06 = 6  
07 = 7-EXTREMELY DEMANDING  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE



SP_73B	SP_73_	Demand or work responsibilities
		01 = 1-NOT AT ALL DEMANDING
		02 = 2
		03 = 3
		04 = 4
		05 = 5
		06 = 6
		07 = 7-EXTREMELY DEMANDING
		08 = N/A
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_74A	SP_74_	Success w/family responsibilities
		01 = 1-NOT AT ALL SUCCESSFUL
		02 = 2
		03 = 3
		04 = 4
		05 = 5
		06 = 6
		07 = 7-EXTREMELY SUCCESSFUL
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_74B	SP_74_	Success w/work responsibilities
		01 = 1-NOT AT ALL SUCCESSFUL
		02 = 2
		03 = 3
		04 = 4
		05 = 5
		06 = 6
		07 = 7-EXTREMELY SUCCESSFUL
		08 = N/A
SP_75	SP_76_	Is member livng with you this location
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_76A	SP_76_	Knowledge of end of member's workday
		01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER
		02 = SELDOM
		03 = SOMETIMES
		04 = OFTEN
		05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
		-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_76B    SP\_76\_            Member at work beyond normal duty hours  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_76C    SP\_76\_            Cancel family plans due to member work  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_76D    SP\_76\_            Member assigned work w/no value to Army  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_76E    SP\_76\_            Member's boss interest in family welfare  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_77A    SP\_77\_            Last month problem with child care needs  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS  
06 = NOT APPLICABLE  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_77B    SP\_77\_            Last month problem finishing house work  
01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_77C    SP\_77\_            Last month problem getting health care

01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_77D    SP\_77\_            Last month member lack of time for famly

01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_77E    SP\_77\_            Last month member unable to attend event

01 = VERY SELDOM OR NEVER  
02 = SELDOM  
03 = SOMETIMES  
04 = OFTEN  
05 = VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_78A    SP\_78\_            Member too tired to enjoy doing things

01 = ALMOST EVERY DAY  
02 = ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK  
03 = ABOUT ONCE A WEEK  
04 = ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH  
05 = ABOUT ONCE A MONTH  
06 = ALMOST NEVER  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_78B    SP\_78\_            Member charged up by work accomplishment

01 = ALMOST EVERY DAY  
02 = ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK  
03 = ABOUT ONCE A WEEK  
04 = ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH  
05 = ABOUT ONCE A MONTH  
06 = ALMOST NEVER  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_78C    SP\_78\_            Member in good mood/ready to have fun

01 = ALMOST EVERY DAY  
02 = ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK  
03 = ABOUT ONCE A WEEK  
04 = ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH  
05 = ABOUT ONCE A MONTH  
06 = ALMOST NEVER  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_78D    SP\_78\_            Member in mood too difficult to be with

01 = ALMOST EVERY DAY  
02 = ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK  
03 = ABOUT ONCE A WEEK  
04 = ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH  
05 = ABOUT ONCE A MONTH  
06 = ALMOST NEVER  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_79A    SP\_79\_            Member informs me on unit activity

01 = STRONGLY AGREE  
02 = AGREE  
03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE  
04 = DISAGREE  
05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_79B    SP\_79\_            Member family life good before work well

01 = STRONGLY AGREE  
02 = AGREE  
03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE  
04 = DISAGREE  
05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_79C    SP\_79\_            Member too tired for time with family

01 = STRONGLY AGREE  
02 = AGREE  
03 = NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE  
04 = DISAGREE  
05 = STRONGLY DISAGREE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_80     SP\_80\_            Events in member's unit

01 = I NEVER WANT TO ATTEND  
02 = I RARELY WANT TO ATTEND  
03 = I SOMETIMES WANT TO ATTEND  
04 = I OFTEN WANT TO ATTEND  
05 = I ALWAYS WANT TO ATTEND  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP_81_1	SP_81_	Person who listens to you
		01 = YES, ALWAYS
		02 = YES, SOMETIMES
		03 = NO
SP_81_2	SP_81_	Person who does enjoyable things w/you
		01 = YES, ALWAYS
		02 = YES, SOMETIMES
		03 = NO
SP_81_3	SP_81_	Persn who helps w/chores if you are sick
		01 = YES, ALWAYS
		02 = YES, SOMETIMES
		03 = NO
SP_81_4	SP_81_	Person who takes care of your children
		01 = YES, ALWAYS
		02 = YES, SOMETIMES
		03 = NO
		04 = N/A
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_81_5	SP_81_	Person who lends you household tools
		01 = YES, ALWAYS
		02 = YES, SOMETIMES
		03 = NO
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_81_6	SP_81_	Person who makes loans of \$25-\$50
		01 = YES, ALWAYS
		02 = YES, SOMETIMES
		03 = NO
SP_81_7	SP_81_	Person who provides transportation
		01 = YES, ALWAYS
		02 = YES, SOMETIMES
		03 = NO
SP_82A	SP_82_	Count on leader at member place of duty
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP_82B	SP_82_	Count on someone else member works with
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_82C	SP_82_	Count on spouse of member's leader
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
SP_82D	SP_82_	Count on spouse of member's co-worker
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
SP_82E	SP_82_	Count on neighbor/friend-Army spouse
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_82F	SP_82_	Count on neighbor/friend-not Army spouse
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
SP_82G	SP_82_	Count on a co-worker
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
		06 = N/A
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP_82H	SP_82_	Count on staff of an Army service agency
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
SP_82I	SP_82_	Count on parents or other relatives
		01 = VERY GREAT EXTENT
		02 = GREAT EXTENT
		03 = MODERATE EXTENT
		04 = SLIGHT EXTENT
		05 = NOT AT ALL
SP_83	SP_83_	Close relatives who live w/in 2 hr drive
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
SP_84	SP_84_	Describe happiness of marriage
		01 = 1-VERY UNHAPPY
		02 = 2
		03 = 3
		04 = 4
		05 = 5
		06 = 6
		07 = 7-VERY HAPPY
		-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE
SP_85A	SP_85_	Think marriage in trouble last 12 months
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
SP_85B	SP_85_	Think of getting divorce last 12 months
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
SP_85C	SP_85_	Discuss divorce/separation last 12 mnths
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
SP_85D	SP_85_	File for divorce/separation last 12 mnts
		01 = YES
		02 = NO
SP_86A	SP_86_	Disagree w/member about spending money
		01 = EVERY DAY
		02 = MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK
		03 = WEEKLY
		04 = MONTHLY
		05 = LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH
		06 = NEVER

SP\_86B    SP\_86\_                    Disagree w/member about enough affection

01 = EVERY DAY

02 = MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK

03 = WEEKLY

04 = MONTHLY

05 = LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH

06 = NEVER

-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

  

SP\_86C    SP\_86\_                    Disagree w/member about time together

01 = EVERY DAY

02 = MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK

03 = WEEKLY

04 = MONTHLY

05 = LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH

06 = NEVER

-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

  

SP\_86D    SP\_86\_                    Disagree with member about the children

01 = EVERY DAY

02 = MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK

03 = WEEKLY

04 = MONTHLY

05 = LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH

06 = NEVER

07 = N/A

-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

  

SP\_86E    SP\_86\_                    Disagree w/member about household chores

01 = EVERY DAY

02 = MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK

03 = WEEKLY

04 = MONTHLY

05 = LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH

06 = NEVER

-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

  

SP\_87A    SP\_87\_1\_                    Family cooperation getting things done

01 = 1-ALMOST NO CHANCE THINGS GET DONE

02 = 2

03 = 3

04 = 4

05 = 5

06 = 6

07 = 7-THINGS WILL ALWAYS GET DONE



SP\_87B    SP\_87\_2\_            Resolution of tough family problems

01 = 1-NO HOPE OF SOLVING THE PROBLEM

02 = 2

03 = 3

04 = 4

05 = 5

06 = 6

07 = 7-WE WILL SOLVE THE WHOLE PROBLEM

  

SP\_87C    SP\_87\_3\_            Family behavior tendencies in bad times

01 = 1-SURE THAT THINGS WILL GET BETTER

02 = 2

03 = 3

04 = 4

05 = 5

06 = 6

07 = 7-DISCOURAGED/DOUBT THINGS WILL IMPROVE

-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

  

SP\_88A    SP\_88\_            How often past month felt secure

01 = ALL OF THE TIME

02 = MOST OF THE TIME

03 = MORE OFTEN THAN NOT

04 = OCCASIONALLY

05 = RARELY

06 = NEVER

-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

  

SP\_88B    SP\_88\_            How often past month felt isolated

01 = ALL OF THE TIME

02 = MOST OF THE TIME

03 = MORE OFTEN THAN NOT

04 = OCCASIONALLY

05 = RARELY

06 = NEVER

  

SP\_88C    SP\_88\_            How often past month felt pleased w/self

01 = ALL OF THE TIME

02 = MOST OF THE TIME

03 = MORE OFTEN THAN NOT

04 = OCCASIONALLY

05 = RARELY

06 = NEVER

-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_88D    SP\_88\_            How often past month felt lonely  
01 = ALL OF THE TIME  
02 = MOST OF THE TIME  
03 = MORE OFTEN THAN NOT  
04 = OCCASIONALLY  
05 = RARELY  
06 = NEVER  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_88E    SP\_88\_            How often past month felt afraid  
01 = ALL OF THE TIME  
02 = MOST OF THE TIME  
03 = MORE OFTEN THAN NOT  
04 = OCCASIONALLY  
05 = RARELY  
06 = NEVER

SP\_88F    SP\_88\_            How often past month felt hopeful  
01 = ALL OF THE TIME  
02 = MOST OF THE TIME  
03 = MORE OFTEN THAN NOT  
04 = OCCASIONALLY  
05 = RARELY  
06 = NEVER

SP\_89     SP\_89\_            # additional kids expect next 5 yrs  
01 = NONE  
02 = ONE  
03 = TWO  
04 = THREE OR MORE  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_90     SP\_90\_            # dependent children living with you  
01 = NONE  
02 = ONE  
03 = TWO  
04 = THREE  
05 = FOUR  
06 = FIVE  
07 = SIX OR MORE  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_KIDS   SP\_KIDS            Have children in the household  
1 = HAVE CHILDREN IN THE HOUSEHOLD  
2 = HAVE NO CHILDREN IN THE HOUSEHOLD

SP\_91A    SP\_91\_            Amount time spent with children  
01 = VERY SATISFIED  
02 = SATISFIED  
03 = NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED  
04 = DISSATISFIED  
05 = VERY DISSATISFIED  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_91B    SP\_91\_            Ability to meet childrens emotional need  
01 = VERY SATISFIED  
02 = SATISFIED  
03 = NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED  
04 = DISSATISFIED  
05 = VERY DISSATISFIED  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_91C    SP\_91\_            Ability to meet childrens learning needs  
01 = VERY SATISFIED  
02 = SATISFIED  
03 = NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED  
04 = DISSATISFIED  
05 = VERY DISSATISFIED  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_91D    SP\_91\_            Overall quality of time spent w/children  
01 = VERY SATISFIED  
02 = SATISFIED  
03 = NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED  
04 = DISSATISFIED  
05 = VERY DISSATISFIED  
-1 = MULTIPLE RLSPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_92     SP\_92\_            Children 5 yrs old or less living w/you  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_93     SP\_93\_            Where is youngest child < 6 cared for  
01 = ARMY CHILD CARE CENTER  
02 = CIVILIAN CHILD CARE CENTER OFF-POST  
03 = ARMY PRESCHOOL PROGRAM/NURSERY SCHOOL  
04 = NON-ARMY PRESCHOOL/NURSERY SCHOOL  
05 = KINDERGARTEN  
06 = CAREGIVER IN YOUR HOME  
07 = ARMY-LICENSED FAMILY CHILD CARE HOME  
08 = CAREGIVER IN ANOTHER HOME <=6 CHILDREN  
09 = SMALL GROUP CHILD CARE HOME 6+ CHILDREN  
10 = SPECIAL NEEDS CHILD DAY CARE  
11 = OLDER BROTHER OR SISTER  
12 = GRANDPARENT OR OTHER RELATIVE  
13 = BABYSITTING COOPERATIVE AND/OR FRIENDS

14 = CHILD TAKES CARE OF SELF AT HOME  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_94                   #hrs youngest child <=5 use arrangement  
RANGE = 000 - 168  
-2 = BAD DATA  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_95      SP\_95\_                   Rate your youngest child's child care  
01 = EXCELLENT  
02 = VERY GOOD  
03 = GOOD  
04 = FAIR  
05 = POOR  
06 = I DO NOT USE ANY CHILD CARE SERVICES  
-3 = SKIP DUE TO SKIP PATTERN

SP\_96A      SP\_96\_                   Help spouses w/child care member away  
01 = VERY OFTEN  
02 = QUITE OFTEN  
03 = OCCASIONALLY  
04 = RARELY  
05 = NEVER

SP\_96B      SP\_96\_                   Help spouses w/child care in emergency  
01 = VERY OFTEN  
02 = QUITE OFTEN  
03 = OCCASIONALLY  
04 = RARELY  
05 = NEVER  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_96C      SP\_96\_                   Helped other spouses learn the ropes  
01 = VERY OFTEN  
02 = QUITE OFTEN  
03 = OCCASIONALLY  
04 = RARELY  
05 = NEVER

SP\_96D      SP\_96\_                   Helped other spouses meet people  
01 = VERY OFTEN  
02 = QUITE OFTEN  
03 = OCCASIONALLY  
04 = RARELY  
05 = NEVER  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_96E    SP\_96\_                    Helped other spouses get Army services  
    01 = VERY OFTEN  
    02 = QUITE OFTEN  
    03 = OCCASIONALLY  
    04 = RARELY  
    05 = NEVER

SP\_96F    SP\_96\_                    Helpd other spouses get civilian service  
    01 = VERY OFTEN  
    02 = QUITE OFTEN  
    03 = OCCASIONALLY  
    04 = RARELY  
    05 = NEVER  
    -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97A1   SP\_97\_1\_                  Usefulness of budget counseling  
    01 = VERY USEFUL  
    02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
    03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97A2   SP\_97\_2\_                  Have used budget counseling  
    01 = YES  
    02 = NO

SP\_97B1   SP\_97\_1\_                  Usefulness of financial class for PCS  
    01 = VERY USEFUL  
    02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
    03 = NOT USEFUL  
    -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97B2   SP\_97\_2\_                  Have used financial class for PCS  
    01 = YES  
    02 = NO

SP\_97C1   SP\_97\_1\_                  Usefulness of emergency loan service  
    01 = VERY USEFUL  
    02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
    03 = NOT USEFUL  
    -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97C2   SP\_97\_2\_                  Have used emergency loan service  
    01 = YES  
    02 = NO

SP\_97D1   SP\_97\_1\_                  Usefulness of spouse employment referral  
    01 = VERY USEFUL  
    02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
    03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97D2   SP\_97\_2\_                  Have used spouse employment referrals  
    01 = YES  
    02 = NO

SP\_97E1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness of spouse career planning  
                          01 = VERY USEFUL  
                          02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
                          03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97E2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used spouse career planning  
                          01 = YES  
                          02 = NO

SP\_97F1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness of spouse employment training  
                          01 = VERY USEFUL  
                          02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
                          03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97F2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used spouse emploment training  
                          01 = YES  
                          02 = NO

SP\_97G1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness of community orientation  
                          01 = VERY USEFUL  
                          02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
                          03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97G2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used community orientation  
                          01 = YES  
                          02 = NO  
                          -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97H1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness of premove information  
                          01 = VERY USEFUL  
                          02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
                          03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97H2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used premove information  
                          01 = YES  
                          02 = NO

SP\_97I1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness of sponsorship assistance  
                          01 = VERY USEFUL  
                          02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
                          03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97I2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used sponsorship assistance  
                          01 = YES  
                          02 = NO  
                          -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97J1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness of lending closet  
                          01 = VERY USEFUL  
                          02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
                          03 = NOT USEFUL  
                          -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97J2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used lending closet  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97K1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of relocation counseling  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97K2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used relocation counseling  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97L1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness-directory community services  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97L2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used directory of community service  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97M1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness-services for families offpost  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97M2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used services for families offpost  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97N1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of family separation services  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97N2 0723 0724 2 Have used family separation services  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO

SP\_97O1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness-information/referral services  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97O2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used information/referral services  
01 = YES  
02 = NO

SP\_97P1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of libraries  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97P2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used libraries  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97Q1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness - housing location referrals  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97Q2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used housing location referrals  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97R1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of legal services  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97R2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used legal services  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97S1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of recreation services  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97S2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used recreation services  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97T1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of crisis hot line  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL



SP\_97T2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used crisis hot line  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97U1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of emergency food  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97U2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used emergency food  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97V1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of emergency home furnishings  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97V2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used emergency home furnishings  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97W1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness-emergency long distance calls  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97W2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used emergency long distance calls  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97X1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of drug/alcohol treatment  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97X2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used drug and alcohol treatment  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97Y1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of individual counseling  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97Y2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used individual counseling  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97Z1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of marriage/family therapy  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97Z2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used marriage and family therapy  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97AA1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness-handicapped family services  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97AA2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used handicapped family services  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO

SP\_97BB1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of child daycare - drop-in  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97BB2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used child daycare - drop-in  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97CC1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of child daycare - full-day  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97CC2 SP\_97\_2\_ Have used child daycare - full-day  
 01 = YES  
 02 = NO  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97DD1 SP\_97\_1\_ Usefulness of child abuse services  
 01 = VERY USEFUL  
 02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
 03 = NOT USEFUL  
 -1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97DD2 SP\_97\_2\_            Have used child abuse services  
01 = YES  
02 = NO

SP\_97EE1 SP\_97\_1\_            Usefulness of spouse abuse services  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97EE2 SP\_97\_2\_            Have used spouse abuse services  
01 = YES  
02 = NO

SP\_97FF1 SP\_97\_1\_            Usefulness of youth recreation programs  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97FF2 SP\_97\_2\_            Have used youth recreation programs  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97GG1 SP\_97\_1\_            Usefulness of youth employment programs  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97GG2 SP\_97\_2\_            Have used youth employment programs  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97HH1 SP\_97\_1\_            Usefulness-foreign born spouse services  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97HH2 SP\_97\_2\_            Have used foreign born spouse services  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97II1 SP\_97\_1\_            Usefulness-spouse programs-TDys/deplymnt  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97II2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used spouse programs-TDYs/deploymnt  
01 = YES  
02 = NO

SP\_97JJ1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness of premarriage counseling  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97JJ2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used premarriage counseling  
01 = YES  
02 = NO  
-1 = MULTIPLE RESPONSE

SP\_97KK1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness-single parent support groups  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97KK2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used single parent support groups  
01 = YES  
02 = NO

SP\_97LL1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness-social programs for singles  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97LL2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used social programs for singles  
01 = YES  
02 = NO

SP\_97MM1 SP\_97\_1\_      Usefulness-child care for single parents  
01 = VERY USEFUL  
02 = SOMEWHAT USEFUL  
03 = NOT USEFUL

SP\_97MM2 SP\_97\_2\_      Have used child care for single parents  
01 = YES  
02 = NO

SP\_COMSH      Comment sheet  
RANGE = 01 - 01

F\_1      Edit Flag

F\_2MO      Edit Flag

F\_2YR      Edit Flag

F\_5      Edit Flag

F_6	Edit Flag
F_8	Edit Flag
F_9A	Edit Flag
F_9B	Edit Flag
F_10	Edit Flag
F_11	Edit Flag
F_12	Edit Flag
F_13	Edit Flag
F_14A	Edit Flag
F_14B	Edit Flag
F_15	Edit Flag
F_15C	Edit Flag
F_16	Edit Flag
F_17	Edit Flag
F_18	Edit Flag
F_19	Edit Flag
F_20	Edit Flag
F_21A	Edit Flag
F_21B	Edit Flag
F_21PER	Edit Flag
F_22	Edit Flag
F_23	Edit Flag
F_24APM	Edit Flag
F_24HR	Edit Flag
F_24MIN	Edit Flag
F_25APM	Edit Flag

F_25HR	Edit Flag
F_25MIN	Edit Flag
F_26	Edit Flag
F_27	Edit Flag
F_28	Edit Flag
F_29	Edit Flag
F_30	Edit Flag
F_31	Edit Flag
F_32	Edit Flag
F_34A	Edit Flag
F_34B	Edit Flag
F_35	Edit Flag
F_36	Edit Flag
F_41	Edit Flag
F_43	Edit Flag
F_49	Edit Flag
F_50A	Edit Flag
F_50B	Edit Flag
F_51	Edit Flag
F_52	Edit Flag
F_53	Edit Flag
F_54	Edit Flag
F_55	Edit Flag
F_56A	Edit Flag
F_56B	Edit Flag
F_57	Edit Flag

F_58	Edit Flag
F_59	Edit Flag
F_60	Edit Flag
F_61	Edit Flag
F_62	Edit Flag
F_63	Edit Flag
F_76	Edit Flag
F_77	Edit Flag
F_78	Edit Flag
F_79	Edit Flag
F_80	Edit Flag
F_91	Edit Flag
F_92	Edit Flag
F_93	Edit Flag
F_94A	Edit Flag
F_94B	Edit Flag
F_95A	Edit Flag
F_95B	Edit Flag

<b>SPOUWT</b>		<b>Final Spouse Response Weight</b>
<b>SPREDWT</b>		<b>Spouse Readiness Weight</b>
<b>SPWRKSAT</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Work Satisfaction 7 - 35</b>
<b>SPJOBBCMP</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Army-Civilian Job Comparison 7 - 35</b>
<b>SPJOBINT</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Army-Job Interference 2 - 10</b>
<b>SPBEMPAR</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse-Barriers to Employment for Parents 14 - 28</b>
<b>SPBAREMP</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse-Barriers to Employment 10 - 20</b>
<b>SPCAREER</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Careerism 8 - 38</b>
<b>SPRELADJ</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Relocation Adjustment 4 - 16</b>
<b>SPRELSUP</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Relocation Support 8 - 16</b>
<b>SPSEPCOP</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Separation Coping 4 - 20</b>
<b>SPSEPANX</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Separation Anxiety-Family 5 - 25</b>
<b>SPARVAGR</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Army Values Agreement 6 - 30</b>
<b>SPMAREQY</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Marital Equity 2 - 10</b>
<b>SPULFSUP</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Unit Leader Family Support 3 - 15</b>
<b>SPINVOLV</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Involvement 6 - 30</b>
<b>SPARSERE</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse Army Services Resistance 2 - 10</b>
<b>SPMEMWKS</b>	<b>RANGE =</b>	<b>Spouse-Mil. Member's Work Satisfaction 5 - 25</b>



SPCOMSAT	RANGE =	Spouse Community Satisfaction 5 - 25
SPTIMSAT	RANGE =	Spouse Freedom/Time Satisfaction 2 - 10
SPMJBCMP	RANGE =	Spouse-Member's Job Comparison 5 - 25
SPCOMCMP	RANGE =	Spouse Community Comparison 5 - 25
SPTIMCMP	RANGE =	Spouse Freedom/Time Comparison 2 - 10
SPWRKUNT	RANGE =	Spouse-Military Member's Work Unit 5 - 25
SPARFMIN	RANGE =	Spouse Army-Family Interference 4 - 20
SPWRKSTR	RANGE =	Spouse Work Stress 5 - 29
SPSOCSUP	RANGE =	Spouse Social Support Availability 6 - 18
SPCOMSUP	RANGE =	Spouse Community Support Network 6 - 30
SPMARSEP	RANGE =	Spouse Marital Separation Risk 4 - 8
SPFAMDIS	RANGE =	Spouse Family Disagreements 4 - 24
SPFAMSTR	RANGE =	Spouse Family Coherence and Strength 3 - 21
SPALIEN	RANGE =	Spouse Alienation 3 - 18
SPESTEEM	RANGE =	Spouse Self Esteem 3 - 18
SPPCHSAT	RANGE =	Spouse Parent-Child Satisfaction 4 - 20
SPHELPOS	RANGE =	Spouse Helping Other Spouses 6 - 30

FSSTRAT FSSTRAT 1st-stage strata  
1 = CONUS, Alaska, Hawaii  
2 = Europe  
3 = Other

XFSU Recoded RFSU identifier  
RANGE = 01 - 43

XID Recoded Soldier identifier

PAYGRADE PAYGRADE Soldier's paygrade  
1 = E2-E4  
2 = E5-E6  
3 = E7-E9  
4 = W1-W4  
5 = 01-03  
6 = 04-06

REGION REGION Geographic region from the soldier file  
1 = CONUS  
2 = Europe  
3 = Other OCONUS

UICTYPE UICTYPE Type of unit from the soldier file  
1 = Combat  
2 = Combat Support  
3 = Combat Service Support  
4 = TDA

**APPENDIX-E: FAMILY SERVICE PROVIDERS (SPF) Data Set Code Book**

ACS1	ACS1_	Need for ACS
		1 = Strongly Agree
		2 = Agree
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Disagree
		5 = Strongly Disagree
ACS2	ACS2_	ACS Available
		1 = Yes, at this location
		2 = No
		3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive
ACS3	ACS3_	Proportion Briefed on ACS
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
ACS4	ACS4_	Proportion Referred to ACS
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
ACS5	ACS5_	Competence of ACS
		1 = Very Competent
		2 = Competent
		3 = Average
		4 = Incompetent
		5 = Very Incompetent
ACS6	ACS6_	Satisfaction with ACS
		1 = Very Satisfied
		2 = Satisfied
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Dissatisfied
		5 = Very Dissatisfied
ACS7		ACS - Poor Repair
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
ACS8		ACS - Too Crowded
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
ACS9		ACS - Inconvenient Hrs
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
ACS10		ACS - Lack of Privacy
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
ACS11		ACS - Poor Publicity
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

ACS12                    ACS - Understaffed  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

ACS13                    ACS - Wait Too Long  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AEC1     AEC1\_           Need for AEC  
          1 = Strongly Agree  
          2 = Agree  
          3 = No Opinion  
          4 = Disagree  
          5 = Strongly Disagree

AEC2     AEC2\_           AEC Available  
          1 = Yes, at this location  
          2 = No  
          3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive

AEC3     AEC3\_           Proportion Briefed on AEC  
          1 = Yes  
          2 = No

AEC4     AEC4\_           Proportion Referred to AEC  
          1 = Yes  
          2 = No

AEC5     AEC5\_           Competence of AEC  
          1 = Very Competent  
          2 = Competent  
          3 = Average  
          4 = Incompetent  
          5 = Very Incompetent

AEC6     AEC6\_           Satisfaction with AEC  
          1 = Very Satisfied  
          2 = Satisfied  
          3 = No Opinion  
          4 = Dissatisfied  
          5 = Very Dissatisfied

AEC7                    AEC - Poor Repair  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AEC8                    AEC - Too Crowded  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AEC9                    AEC - Inconvenient Hrs  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AEC10                   AEC - Lack of Privacy  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AEC11                    AEC - Poor Publicity  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AEC12                    AEC - Understaffed  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AEC13                    AEC - Wait Too Long  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AER1      AER1\_            Need for AER  
1 = Strongly Agree  
2 = Agree  
3 = No Opinion  
4 = Disagree  
5 = Strongly Disagree

AER2      AER2\_            AER Available  
1 = Yes, at this location  
2 = No  
3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive

AER3      AER3\_            Proportion Briefed on AER  
1 = Yes  
2 = No

AER4      AER4\_            Proportion Referred to AER  
1 = Yes  
2 = No

AER5      AER5\_            Competence of AER  
1 = Very Competent  
2 = Competent  
3 = Average  
4 = Incompetent  
5 = Very Incompetent

AER6      AER6\_            Satisfaction with AER  
1 = Very Satisfied  
2 = Satisfied  
3 = No Opinion  
4 = Dissatisfied  
5 = Very Dissatisfied

AER7                    AER - Poor Repair  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AER8                    AER - Too Crowded  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AER9                    AER - Inconvenient Hrs  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AER10                    AER - Lack of Privacy  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AER11                    AER - Poor Publicity  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AER12                    AER - Understaffed  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

AER13                    AER - Wait Too Long  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

CDS1      CDS1\_            Need for CDS  
                 1 = Strongly Agree  
                 2 = Agree  
                 3 = No Opinion  
                 4 = Disagree  
                 5 = Strongly Disagree

CDS2      CDS2\_            CDS Available  
                 1 = Yes, at this location  
                 2 = No  
                 3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive

CDS3      CDS3\_            Proportion Briefed on CDS  
                 1 = Yes  
                 2 = No

CDS4      CDS4\_            Proportion Referred to CDS  
                 1 = Yes  
                 2 = No

CDS5      CDS5\_            Competence of CDS  
                 1 = Very Competent  
                 2 = Competent  
                 3 = Average  
                 4 = Incompetent  
                 5 = Very Incompetent

CDS6      CDS6\_            Satisfaction with CDS  
                 1 = Very Satisfied  
                 2 = Satisfied  
                 3 = No Opinion  
                 4 = Dissatisfied  
                 5 = Very Dissatisfied

CDS7                    CDS - Poor Repair  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

CDS8                    CDS - Too Crowded  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

CDS9                    CDS - Inconvenient Hrs  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

CDS10                  CDS - Lack of Privacy  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

CDS11                  CDS - Poor Publicity  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

CDS12                  CDS - Understaffed  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

CDS13                  CDS - Wait Too Long  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

CLO1    CLO1\_           Need for Mayor's Office  
          1 = Strongly Agree  
          2 = Agree  
          3 = No Opinion  
          4 = Disagree  
          5 = Strongly Disagree

CLO2    CLO2\_           Mayor's Office Available  
          1 = Yes, at this location.  
          2 = No  
          3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive

CLO3    CLO3\_           Proportion Briefed on Mayor's Office  
          1 = Yes  
          2 = No

CLO4    CLO4\_           Proportion Referred to Mayor's Office  
          1 = Yes  
          2 = No

CLO5    CLO5\_           Competence of Mayor's Office  
          1 = Very Competent  
          2 = Competent  
          3 = Average  
          4 = Incompetent  
          5 = Very Incompetent

CLO6    CLO6\_           Satisfaction with Mayor's Office  
          1 = Very Satisfied  
          2 = Satisfied  
          3 = No Opinion  
          4 = Dissatisfied  
          5 = Very Dissatisfied

CLO7                    Mayor's Office - Poor Repair  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

CLO8		Mayor's Office - Too Crowded RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
CLO9		Mayor's Office - Inconvenient Hrs RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
CLO10		Mayor's Office - Lack of Privacy RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
CLO11		Mayor's Office - Poor Publicity RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
CLO12		Mayor's Office - Understaffed RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
CLO13		Mayor's Office - Wait Too Long RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
DA1	DA1_	Need for DENTAC 1 = Strongly Agree 2 = Agree 3 = No Opinion 4 = Disagree 5 = Strongly Disagree
DA2	DA2_	DENTAC Available 1 = Yes, at this location 2 = No 3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive
DA3	DA3_	Proportion Briefed on DENTAC 1 = Yes 2 = No
DA4	DA4_	Proportion Referred to DENTAC 1 = Yes 2 = No
DA5	DA5_	Competence of DENTAC 1 = Very Competent 2 = Competent 3 = Average 4 = Incompetent 5 = Very Incompetent
DA6	DA6_	Satisfaction with DENTAC 1 = Very Satisfied 2 = Satisfied 3 = No Opinion 4 = Dissatisfied 5 = Very Dissatisfied



DA7		DENTAC - Poor Repair
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
DA8		DENTAC - Too Crowded
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
DA9		DENTAC - Inconvenient Hrs
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
DA10		DENTAC - Lack of Privacy
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
DA11		DENTAC - Poor Publicity
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
DA12		DENTAC - Understaffed
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
DA13		DENTAC - Wait Too Long
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FLC1	FLC1_	Need for Chaplain
		1 = Strongly Agree
		2 = Agree
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Disagree
		5 = Strongly Disagree
FLC2	FLC2_	Chaplain Available
		1 = Yes, at this location
		2 = No
		3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive
FLC3	FLC3_	Proportion Briefed on Chaplain
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
FLC4	FLC4_	Proportion Referred to Chaplain
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
FLC5	FLC5_	Competence of Chaplain
		1 = Very Competent
		2 = Competent
		3 = Average
		4 = Incompetent
		5 = Very Incompetent

FLC6	FLC6_	Satisfaction with Chaplain
		1 = Very Satisfied
		2 = Satisfied
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Dissatisfied
		5 = Very Dissatisfied
FLC7		Chaplain - Poor Repair
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FLC8		Chaplain - Too Crowded
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FLC9		Chaplain - Inconvenient Hrs
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FLC10		Chaplain - Lack of Privacy
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FLC11		Chaplain - Poor Publicity
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FLC12		Chaplain - Understaffed
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FLC13		Chaplain - Wait Too Long
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FME1	FME1_	Need for Family Employment Assistance
		1 = Strongly Agree
		2 = Agree
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Disagree
		5 = Strongly Disagree
FME2	FME2_	Family Employment Assistance Available
		1 = Yes, at this location
		2 = No
		3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive
FME3	FME3_	Proportion Briefed on Fam. Employment
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
FME4	FME4_	Proportion Referred to Fam. Employment
		1 = Yes
		2 = No

FME5	FME5_	Competence of Fam. Employment
		1 = Very Competent
		2 = Competent
		3 = Average
		4 = Incompetent
		5 = Very Incompetent
FME6	FME6_	Satisfaction with Fam. Employment
		1 = Very Satisfied
		2 = Satisfied
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Dissatisfied
		5 = Very Dissatisfied
FME7		Fam. Employment - Poor Repair
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FME8		Fam. Employment - Too Crowded
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FME9		Fam. Employment - Inconvenient Hrs
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FME10		Fam. Employment - Lack of Privacy
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FME11		Fam. Employment - Poor Publicity
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FME12		Fam. Employment - Understaffed
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FME13		Fam. Employment - Wait Too Long
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FPA1	FPA1_	Need for Financial Planning Assistance
		1 = Strongly Agree
		2 = Agree
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Disagree
		5 = Strongly Disagree
FPA2	FPA2_	Financial Planning Assistance Available
		1 = Yes, at this location
		2 = No
		3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive
FPA3	FPA3_	Proportion Briefed on Fin. Planning
		1 = Yes
		2 = No

FPA4	FPA4_	Proportion Referred to Fin. Planning
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
FPA5	FPA5_	Competence of Fin. Planning
		1 = Very Competent
		2 = Competent
		3 = Average
		4 = Incompetent
		5 = Very Incompetent
FPA6	FPA6_	Satisfaction with Fin. Planning
		1 = Very Satisfied
		2 = Satisfied
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Dissatisfied
		5 = Very Dissatisfied
FPA7		Fin. Planning - Poor Repair
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FPA8		Fin. Planning - Too Crowded
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FPA9		Fin. Planning - Inconvenient Hrs
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FPA10		Fin. Planning - Lack of Privacy
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FPA11		Fin. Planning - Poor Publicity
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FPA12		Fin. Planning - Understaffed
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
FPA13		Fin. Planning - Wait Too Long
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
GH01	GH01_	Need for Government Housing Office
		1 = Strongly Agree
		2 = Agree
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Disagree
		5 = Strongly Disagree
GH02	GH02_	Government Housing Office Available
		1 = Yes, at this location
		2 = No
		3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive

GH03	GH03_	Proportion Briefed on Government Housing
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
GH04	GH04_	Proportion Referred/Govt Housing Office
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
GH05	GH05_	Competence of Govt Housing Office
		1 = Very Competent
		2 = Competent
		3 = Average
		4 = Incompetent
		5 = Very Incompetent
GH06	GH06_	Satisfaction with Govt Housing Office
		1 = Very Satisfied
		2 = Satisfied
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Dissatisfied
		5 = Very Dissatisfied
GH07		Govt Housing Office - Poor Repair
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
GH08		Govt Housing Office - Too Crowded
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
GH09		Govt Housing Office - Inconvenient Hrs
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
GH010		Govt Office - Lack of Privacy
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
GH011		Govt Housing Office - Poor Publicity
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
GH012		Govt Housing Office - Understaffed
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
GH013		Govt Housing Office - Wait Too Long
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
HR01	HR01_	Need for Housing Referral Office
		1 = Strongly Agree
		2 = Agree
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Disagree
		5 = Strongly Disagree

HR02	HR02_	Housing Referral Office Available
		1 = Yes, at this location
		2 = No
		3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive
HR03	HR03_	Proportion Briefed on Housing Referral
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
HR04	HR04_	Proportion Referred/Housing Office
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
HR05	HR05_	Competence of Offpost Housing Office
		1 = Very Competent
		2 = Competent
		3 = Average
		4 = Incompetent
		5 = Very Incompetent
HR06	HR06_	Satisfaction/Offpost Housing Office
		1 = Very Satisfied
		2 = Satisfied
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Dissatisfied
		5 = Very Dissatisfied
HR07		Offpost Housing Office - Poor Repair
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
HR08		Offpost Housing Office - Too Crowded
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
HR09		Offpost Housing Off. - Inconvenient Hrs
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
HR010		Offpost Housing Office - Lack of Privacy
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
HR011		Offpost Housing Office - Poor Publicity
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
HR012		Offpost Housing Office - Understaffed
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
HR013		Offpost Housing Office - Wait Too Long
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

JAG1	JAG1_	Need for JAG
		1 = Strongly Agree
		2 = Agree
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Disagree
		5 = Strongly Disagree
JAG2	JAG2_	JAG Available
		1 = Yes, at this location
		2 = No
		3 = Yes, whithin 1 hour drive
JAG3	JAG3_	Proportion Briefed on JAG
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
JAG4	JAG4_	Proportion Referred to JAG
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
JAG5	JAG5_	Competence of JAG
		1 = Very Competent
		2 = Competent
		3 = Average
		4 = Incompetent
		5 = Very Incompetent
JAG6	JAG6_	Satisfaction with JAG
		1 = Very Satisfied
		2 = Satisfied
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Dissatisfied
		5 = Very Dissatisfied
JAG7		JAG - Poor Repair
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
JAG8		JAG - Too Crowded
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
JAG9		JAG - Inconvenient Hrs
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
JAG10		JAG - Lack of Privacy
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
JAG11		JAG - Poor Publicity
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
JAG12		JAG - Understaffed
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

JAG13                    JAG - Wait Too Long  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MA1        MA1\_        Need for MEDDAC  
1 = Strongly Agree  
2 = Agree  
3 = No Opinion  
4 = Disagree  
5 = Strongly Disagree

MA2        MA2\_        MEDDAC Available  
1 = Yes, at this location  
2 = No  
3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive

MA3        MA3\_        Proportion Briefed on MEDDAC  
1 = Yes  
2 = No

MA4        MA4\_        Proportion Referred to MEDDAC  
1 = Yes  
2 = No

MA5        MA5\_        Competence of MEDDAC  
1 = Very Competent  
2 = Competent  
3 = Average  
4 = Incompetent  
5 = Very Incompetent

MA6        MA6\_        Satisfaction with MEDDAC  
1 = Very Satisfied  
2 = Satisfied  
3 = No Opinion  
4 = Dissatisfied  
5 = Very Dissatisfied

MA7                    MEDDAC - Poor Repair  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MA8                    MEDDAC - Too Crowded  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MA9                    MEDDAC - Inconvenient Hrs  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MA10                   MEDDAC - Lack of Privacy  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MA11                   MEDDAC - Poor Publicity  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0



MA12 MEDDAC - Understaffed  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MA13 MEDDAC - Wait Too Long  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MHS1 MHS1\_ Need for Mental Health Services  
1 = Strongly Agree  
2 = Agree  
3 = No Opinion  
4 = Disagree  
5 = Strongly Disagree

MHS2 MHS2\_ Mental Health Services Available  
1 = Yes, at this location  
2 = No  
3 = Yes, whithin 1 hour drive

MHS3 MHS3\_ Proportion Briefed on Mental Health  
1 = Yes  
2 = No

MHS4 MHS4\_ Proportion Referred to Mental Health  
1 = Yes  
2 = No

MHS5 MHS5\_ Competence of Mental Health Services  
1 = Very Competent  
2 = Competent  
3 = Average  
4 = Incompetent  
5 = Very Incompetent

MHS6 MHS6\_ Satisfaction/Mental Health Services  
1 = Very Satisfied  
2 = Satisfied  
3 = No Opinion  
4 = Dissatisfied  
5 = Very Dissatisfied

MHS7 Mental Health - Poor Repair  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MHS8 Mental Health - Too Crowded  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MHS9 Mental Health - Inconvenient Hrs  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MHS10 Mental Health - Lack of Privacy  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MHS11                      Mental Health - Poor Publicity  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MHS12                      Mental Health - Understaffed  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

MHS13                      Mental Health - Wait Too Long  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

RFSUWGT                    First-stage weight  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

RS01      RS01\_            Need for Recreational Services On-post  
                 1 = Strongly Agree  
                 2 = Agree  
                 3 = No Opinion  
                 4 = Disagree  
                 5 = Strongly Disagree

RS02      RS02\_            Recreational Services On-post Available  
                 1 = Yes, at this location  
                 2 = No  
                 3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive

RS03      RS03\_            Proportion Briefed on Recreation  
                 1 = Yes  
                 2 = No

RS04      RS04\_            Proportion Referred/Recreation Services  
                 1 = Yes  
                 2 = No

RS05      RS05\_            Competence of Recreational Services  
                 1 = Very Competent  
                 2 = Competent  
                 3 = Average  
                 4 = Incompetent  
                 5 = Very Incompetent

RS06      RS06\_            Satisfaction/Recreational Services  
                 1 = Very Satisfied  
                 2 = Satisfied  
                 3 = No Opinion  
                 4 = Dissatisfied  
                 5 = Very Dissatisfied

RS07                        Recreation Services - Poor Repair  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

RS08                        Recreation Services - Too Crowded  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

RS09                    Recreation Services - Inconvenient Hrs  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

RS010                   Recreation Services - Lack of Privacy  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

RS011                   Recreation Services - Poor Publicity  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

RS012                   Recreation Services - Understaffed  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

RS013                   Recreation Services - Wait Too Long  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

SCH1    SCH1\_           Need for Schools  
          1 = Strongly Agree  
          2 = Agree  
          3 = No Opinion  
          4 = Disagree  
          5 = Strongly Disagree

SCH2    SCH2\_           Schools Available  
          1 = Yes, at this location  
          2 = No  
          3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive

SCH3    SCH3\_           Proportion Briefed on Schools  
          1 = Yes  
          2 = No

SCH4    SCH4\_           Proportion Referred to Schools  
          1 = Yes  
          2 = No

SCH5    SCH5\_           Competence of Schools  
          1 = Very Competent  
          2 = Competent  
          3 = Average  
          4 = Incompetent  
          5 = Very Incompetent

SCH6    SCH6\_           Satisfaction with Schools  
          1 = Very Satisfied  
          2 = Satisfied  
          3 = No Opinion  
          4 = Dissatisfied  
          5 = Very Dissatisfied

SCH7                    Schools - Poor Repair  
RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

SCH8		Schools - Too Crowded RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
SCH9		Schools - Inconvenient Hrs RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
SCH10		Schools - Lack of Privacy RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
SCH11		Schools - Poor Publicity RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
SCH12		Schools - Understaffed RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
SCH13		Schools - Wait Too Long RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
T01	T01_	Need for Transportation Office 1 = Strongly Agree 2 = Agree 3 = No Opinion 4 = Disagree 5 = Strongly Disagree
T02	T02_	Transportation Office Available 1 = Yes, at this location 2 = No 3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive
T03	T03_	Proportion Briefed on Transportation 1 = Yes 2 = No
T04	T04_	Proportion Referred to Transportation 1 = Yes 2 = No
T05	T05_	Competence of Transportation Office 1 = Very Competent 2 = Competent 3 = Average 4 = Incompetent 5 = Very Incompetent
T06	T06_	Satisfaction/Transportation Office 1 = Very Satisfied 2 = Satisfied 3 = No Opinion 4 = Dissatisfied 5 = Very Dissatisfied

TO7		Transportation Office - Poor Repair
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
TO8		Transportation Office - Too Crowded
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
TO9		Transportation Office - Inconvenient Hrs
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
TO10		Transportation Office - Lack of Privacy
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
TO11		Transportation Office - Poor Publicity
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
TO12		Transportation Office - Understaffed
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
TO13		Transportation Office - Wait Too Long
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
XSPF		SPF ID to link                      SPF's to other forms
		RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0
YS1	YS1_	Need for Youth Services
		1 = Strongly Agree
		2 = Agree
		3 = No Opinion
		4 = Disagree
		5 = Strongly Disagree
YS2	YS2_	Youth Services Available
		1 = Yes, at this location
		2 = No
		3 = Yes, within 1 hour drive
YS3	YS3_	Proportion Briefed on Youth Services
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
YS4	YS4_	Proportion Referred to Youth Services
		1 = Yes
		2 = No
YS5	YS5_	Competence of Youth Services
		1 = Very Competent
		2 = Competent
		3 = Average
		4 = Incompetent
		5 = Very Incompetent

YS6      YS6\_      Satisfaction with Youth Services  
                 1 =    Very Satisfied  
                 2 =    Satisfied  
                 3 =    No Opinion  
                 4 =    Dissatisfied  
                 5 =    Very Dissatisfied

YS7                    Youth Services - Poor Repair  
         RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

YS8                    Youth Services - Too Crowded  
         RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

YS9                    Youth Services - Inconvenient   Hrs  
         RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

YS10                   Youth Services - Lack of Privacy  
         RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

YS11                   Youth Services - Poor Publicity  
         RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

YS12                   Youth Services - Understaffed  
         RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

YS13                   Youth Services - Wait Too Long  
         RANGE = 0.0 to 5.0

**APPENDIX-F: INSTALLATION AND COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY  
(ICCI) Data Set Code Book**

<b>FIC_I14</b>	<b>Edit Flag</b>
<b>ICI1201P</b>	<b>Average wating period(mnts) for 01 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 36</b>
<b>ICI1201U</b>	<b>#of on-post housing units for 01 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 37</b>
<b>ICI1202P</b>	<b>Average wating period(mnts) for 02 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 36</b>
<b>ICI1202U</b>	<b>#of on-post housing units for 02 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 150</b>
<b>ICI1203P</b>	<b>Average wating period(mnts) for 03 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 36</b>
<b>ICI1203U</b>	<b>#of on-post housing units for 03 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 2 - 413</b>
<b>ICI1204P</b>	<b>Average wating period(mnts) for 04 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 36</b>
<b>ICI1204U</b>	<b>#of on-post housing units for 04 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 213</b>
<b>ICI1205P</b>	<b>Average wating period(mnts) for 05 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 36</b>
<b>ICI1205U</b>	<b>#of on-post housing units for 05 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 1 - 150</b>
<b>ICI1206P</b>	<b>Average wating period(mnts) for 06 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 36</b>
<b>ICI1206U</b>	<b>#of on-post housing units for 06 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 115</b>
<b>ICI1207P</b>	<b>Average wating period(mnts) for 07 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 36</b>
<b>ICI1207U</b>	<b>#of on-post housing units for 07 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 8</b>
<b>ICI12E1P</b>	<b>Average wating period(mnts) for e1 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 21</b>
<b>ICI12E1U</b>	<b>#of on-post housing units for e1 rank</b> <b>RANGE = 0 - 2</b>

ICI12E2P	Average wating period(mnts) for e2 rank RANGE = 0 - 21
ICI12E2U	#of on-post housing units for e2 rank RANGE = 0 - 30
ICI12E3P	Average wating period(mnts) for e3 rank RANGE = 0 - 24
ICI12E3U	#of on-post housing units for e3 rank RANGE = 0 - 90
ICI12E4P	Average wating period(mnts) for e4 rank RANGE = 1 - 24
ICI12E4U	#of on-post housing units for e4 rank RANGE = 0 - 1272
ICI12E5P	Average wating period(mnts) for e5 rank RANGE = 1 - 18
ICI12E5U	#of on-post housing units for e5 rank RANGE = 0 - 1309
ICI12E6P	Average wating period(mnts) for e6 rank RANGE = 1 - 18
ICI12E6U	#of on-post housing units for e6 rank RANGE = 0 - 1054
ICI12E7P	Average wating period(mnts) for e7 rank RANGE = 0 - 21
ICI12E7U	#of on-post housing units for e7 rank RANGE = 0 - 738
ICI12E8P	Average wating period(mnts) for e8 rank RANGE = 0 - 18
ICI12E8U	#of on-post housing units for e8 rank RANGE = 0 - 212
ICI12E9P	Average wating period(mnts) for e9 rank RANGE = 0 - 21
ICI12E9U	#of on-post housing units for e9 rank RANGE = 1 - 61
ICI12W1P	Average wating period(mnts) for w1 rank RANGE = 0 - 36



ICI12W1U	#of on-post housing units for w1 rank RANGE = 0 - 68
ICI12W2P	Average wating period(mnts) for w2 rank RANGE = 0 - 36
ICI12W2U	#of on-post housing units for w2 rank RANGE = 0 - 295
ICI12W3P	Average wating period(mnts) for w3 rank RANGE = 0 - 36
ICI12W3U	#of on-post housing units for w3 rank RANGE = 0 - 163
ICI12W4P	Average wating period(mnts) for w4 rank RANGE = 0 - 36
ICI12W4U	#of on-post housing units for w4 rank RANGE = 0 - 137
IC_I3	Number of soldiers in mtoe units RANGE = 0 - 36964
IC_I4	Number of soldiers in tda units RANGE = 0 - 5097
IC_I5	Number of trainees RANGE = 0 - 32000
IC_I6	#reservists present for annual training RANGE = 0 - 75000
IC_I7	#reserve centrs supported by installatn RANGE = 0 - 300
IC_I8	Number of dacs (americans) RANGE = 5 - 8765
IC_I9	Number of dacs (foreign nationals) RANGE = 0 - 2828
IC_I10	Number of command-sponsered dependents RANGE = 25 - 55910
IC_I11	Number non-command-sponsored dependents RANGE = 0 - 6000
IC_I14	Active installation family action plan? 1 = YES 0 = NO

IC_I16	Payday activities policy 1 = YES 0 = NO
IC_I17	Family time policy 1 = YES 0 = NO
IC_I13NL	#licensed family child care providers RANGE = 0 - 216
IC_I13NS	#of spaces for children in cds center RANGE = 0 - 879
IC_I13NW	Number on waiting list for cds/fcc RANGE = 0 - 995
IC_I14D	Day of most recent version RANGE = 2 - 2
IC_I14M	Month of most recent version RANGE = 5 - 11
IC_I14Y	Year of most recent version RANGE = 87 - 89
IC_I15M	#of family-oriented events per month RANGE = 0 - 35
IC_I15Y	#of family-oriented events per year RANGE = 0 - 416
IC_I1N	Number of units - mtoe RANGE = 0 - 174
IC_I1T	Type of units - tda RANGE = 0 - 490
IC_I21D	Day of appointment for cg RANGE = 1 - 28
IC_I21M	Month of appointment for cg RANGE = 1 - 11
IC_I21Y	Year of appointment for cg RANGE = 86 - 89
IC_I22D	Day of appointment for dcg RANGE = 1 - 26
IC_I22M	Month of appointment for dcg RANGE = 1 - 12

IC_I22Y	Year of appointment for dcg RANGE = 85 - 89
IC_I23D	Day of appointmnt for commnd sergnt majr RANGE = 1 - 31
IC_I23M	Mnt of appointmnt for commnd sergnt majr RANGE = 1 - 12
IC_I23Y	Yr of appointmnt for commnd sergnt major RANGE = 84 - 89
IC_I24D	Day of appointment for dpca RANGE = 1 - 30
IC_I24M	Month of appointment for dpca RANGE = 1 - 12
IC_I24Y	Year of appointment for dpca RANGE = 81 - 89
IC_I25D	Day of appointment for director of acs RANGE = 1 - 30
IC_I25M	Month of appointment for director of acs RANGE = 1 - 12
IC_I25Y	Year of appointment for director of acs RANGE = 81 - 89
IC_I26D	Day of appointmnt for directr of housing RANGE = 1 - 30
IC_I26M	Mnt of appointmnt for directr of housing RANGE = 1 - 11
IC_I26Y	Yr of appointment for directr of housing RANGE = 59 - 89
IC_I27D	Day of appointmnt for hospital commander RANGE = 1 - 31
IC_I27M	Mnt of appointmnt for hospital commander RANGE = 1 - 12
IC_I27Y	Yr of appointment for hospital commander RANGE = 87 - 89
IC_L3	Distance (miles) to nearest unban center RANGE = 1 - 80

IC_L4	Time (minutes) to nearest urban center RANGE = 2 - 105
IC_L7	#of military retirees in local community RANGE = 0 - 92000
IC_L21	Population of st community RANGE = 2000 - 1000000
IC_L22	Population of nd community RANGE = 1725 - 623724
IC_L23	Population of rd community RANGE = 1150 - 780000
IC_L51	Rate availability of jobs for army spouses 1 = Ver. good 2 = Good 3 = Fair 4 = Poor 5 = Ver. poor
IC_L52	Rate availability cultural/rec activities 1 = Ver. good 2 = Good 3 = Fair 4 = Poor 5 = Ver. poor
IC_L52SP	List types cultural/rec activities CHARACTER
IC_L6AF	#air force installatns w/in 25 ml radius RANGE = 0 - 2
IC_L6AR	#army installations w/in 25 ml radius RANGE = 0 - 32
IC_L6M	#marine installatns w/in 25 ml radius RANGE = 0 - 1
IC_L6N	#navy installations w/in 25 ml radius RANGE = 0 - 1
XICCI	ICCI identifier for file linkage RANGE = 1 - 38

**Appendix G: Spouse Reports**

Table SP\_1

Gender  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
				Company Grade	Field Grade	Other	COMUS	Europe	COMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Officer	Officer	Other					TDA
MALE	8.5%	13.9%	5.5%	2.5%	12.9%	9.8%	5.9%	8.0%	8.2%	2.6%	5.4%
	* 1.9	4.6	1.2	3.1	4.4	4.9	2.2	2.0	3.4	2.3	6.3
FEMALE	91.5	86.1	94.5	97.5	87.1	90.2	94.1	92.0	91.8	97.4	83.5
	* 1.9	4.6	1.2	3.1	4.4	4.9	2.2	2.0	3.4	2.3	6.3
Sample size	3,277	826	839	87	752	850	773	2,098	329	1,127	521
Weighted total	278,018	83,113	148,970	8,177	19,799	75,987	17,959	185,349	16,682	104,902	43,809

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_1 (cont.)

Gender  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MALE	6.1%	15.9%	6.2%	2.6%	48.3%
	* 1.8	4.7	1.8	1.4	7.3
FEMALE	93.9	84.1	93.8	97.4	51.7
	* 1.8	4.7	1.8	1.4	7.3
Sample size	2,433	787	1,461	1,515	278
Weighted total	208,025	63,954	123,548	125,318	25,670

(\*) Standard Error





Table SP\_3 (cont.)

Highest level of education completed  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
SOME HIGH SCHOOL OR LESS, BUT NO DIPLOMA	19.6%	12.1%	17.0%	21.1%	0.0%
	* 3.5	5.8	3.9	4.1	0.0
HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETED WITH DIPLOMA	80.4	87.9	83.0	78.9	100.0
	* 3.5	5.8	3.9	4.1	0.0
Sample size	831	241	418	609	60
Weighted total	101,307	28,320	49,644	72,589	9,517

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_EDUC

Education  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		CONUS		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	Europe	OCOMUS	Support	Service	Support	Support	TDA
Less than high school	8.6%	9.6%	10.4%	1.8%	0.5%	0.9%	7.6%	12.7%	9.7%	8.7%	9.7%	8.5%
	* 1.6	2.5	2.2	2.6	0.6	1.0	2.5	4.0	3.6	1.9	3.6	2.7
High school graduate, GED	7.1	7.5	8.4	6.0	1.5	1.0	4.8	9.3	8.1	6.8	8.1	8.1
	* 1.4	1.9	2.0	6.0	0.8	0.5	2.0	6.5	3.9	2.0	3.9	3.3
High school graduate, diploma	39.7	50.3	41.5	39.6	9.0	10.2	43.8	32.3	42.3	43.8	42.3	41.0
	* 2.9	4.4	3.9	13.4	2.4	2.1	6.0	8.5	5.6	4.2	5.6	4.7
Some post-secondary	29.2	27.5	29.9	39.7	30.5	24.5	30.6	31.9	30.2	27.2	30.2	30.3
	* 2.2	3.4	3.6	9.8	4.2	2.5	3.2	6.1	5.4	4.4	5.4	4.6
Bachelor's degree	8.3	2.5	4.9	8.3	39.1	28.5	8.6	7.0	6.7	7.6	6.7	6.4
	* 1.1	0.9	1.8	4.7	3.8	2.6	2.9	3.6	3.4	1.6	3.4	2.0
Beyond Bachelor's degree	7.1	2.5	4.9	4.5	19.3	35.0	5.2	6.9	3.1	5.9	3.1	5.6
	* 1.5	1.1	1.7	4.1	3.7	2.8	1.8	3.6	1.8	2.0	1.8	2.8
Sample size	3,251	817	831	86	750	767	2,080	329	372	1,118	372	515
Weighted total	275,542	82,372	147,474	8,119	19,751	17,827	75,360	16,682	43,595	104,070	43,595	47,736

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_EDUC (cont.)

Education  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
Less than high school	9.6%	5.4%	6.9%	12.3%	0.0%
	* 1.8	2.9	1.9	2.4	0.0
High school graduate, GED	7.4	6.2	8.3	6.8	2.3
	* 1.5	2.4	2.2	1.7	2.5
High school graduate, diploma	39.4	39.6	33.6	46.1	37.2
	* 3.6	4.2	3.4	3.9	8.3
Some post-secondary	28.5	31.6	32.7	23.2	43.1
	* 2.4	5.0	3.7	2.7	6.8
Bachelor's degree	8.1	9.2	9.2	6.9	9.3
	* 1.4	2.1	1.6	1.4	3.7
Beyond Bachelor's degree	7.0	8.0	9.4	4.8	8.1
	* 1.5	3.0	2.0	1.6	3.2
Sample size	2,422	775	1,451	1,504	277
Weighted total	206,950	62,829	122,617	124,363	25,585

(\*) Standard Error

Table SPENLEDC

Education - spouses of enlisted soldiers  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location					Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Less than high school or GED	18.2%	17.1%	18.9%	--	--	--	19.4%	13.9%	26.1%	17.7%	19.1%	17.7%
	* 2.5	3.0	3.1				3.1	4.1	11.0	3.1	5.8	4.8
High school graduate, diploma	44.7	50.3	41.5	--	--	--	44.0	47.7	37.1	47.8	44.1	44.5
	* 3.0	4.4	3.9				3.4	6.5	7.6	4.7	5.8	5.0
1-2 years college, no degree	18.6	20.3	17.7	--	--	--	17.6	20.6	20.4	18.0	20.8	19.0
	* 1.8	2.8	2.5				2.2	3.0	8.9	2.6	5.8	4.0
Associates degree or higher	18.5	12.2	22.0	--	--	--	19.0	17.7	16.4	16.5	16.1	18.8
	* 2.4	2.6	3.4				3.0	4.4	8.5	3.7	4.5	5.6
Sample size	1,648	817	831	0	0	0	1,021	470	157	675	273	314
Weighted total	229,845	82,372	147,474	0	0	0	150,789	65,499	13,557	90,571	40,118	41,834

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SPENLEDC (cont.)

Education - spouses of enlisted soldiers  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
Less than high school or GED	19.9% * 2.7	13.6% 4.2	17.9% 3.4	22.0% 3.3	2.7% 3.0
High school graduate, diploma	44.2 * 3.9	44.7 4.7	38.2 4.0	51.1 4.1	43.1 8.5
1-2 years college, no degree	18.4 * 1.8	19.7 4.5	19.5 3.1	15.7 2.4	29.2 9.8
Associates degree or higher	17.6 * 2.7	21.9 5.3	24.5 3.7	11.2 2.6	25.0 8.3
Sample size	1,221	395	716	777	140
Weighted total	172,773	52,124	100,446	104,815	21,691

(\*) Standard Error

Table SPOFFEDC

Education - spouses of officers  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company		COMUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
				Grade	Officer							
Bachelor's degree or less	77.2% * 3.6	--	--	95.5% 4.1	80.7% 3.7	65.0% 2.8	76.9% 4.8	79.2% 4.6	74.8% 5.4	85.0% 4.3	84.1% 6.3	80.6% 6.3
Some graduate work, no degree	8.0 * 2.0	--	--	0.5 1.1	7.4 2.3	12.1 2.2	8.2 2.4	7.9 4.0	6.7 2.6	6.4 3.3	3.5 3.0	5.2 3.2
Master's degree	12.9 * 2.3	--	--	3.9 3.7	10.4 2.5	19.8 2.7	13.0 3.1	12.2 3.0	15.1 4.7	8.1 2.7	11.8 5.3	12.2 4.1
Doctorate or professional degree	1.8 * 0.5	--	--	0.0 0.0	1.5 0.9	3.1 1.1	2.0 0.7	0.7 0.7	3.4 1.3	0.4 0.5	0.5 1.0	2.1 1.8
Sample size	1,603	0	0	86	750	767	1,059	372	172	443	99	201
Weighted total	45,697	0	0	8,119	19,751	17,827	32,710	9,861	3,125	13,499	3,477	5,902

(\*) Standard Error

(-) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SPOFFEDC (cont.)

Education - spouses of officers  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
Bachelor's degree or less	77.5%	75.0%	73.7%	85.2%	56.9%
	* 3.6	6.8	4.7	3.7	13.4
Some graduate work, no degree	8.5	6.8	9.1	6.8	8.2
	* 1.9	3.1	2.6	2.1	5.2
Master's degree	12.2	16.1	14.8	7.7	28.8
	* 2.6	4.1	2.8	2.5	10.8
Doctorate or professional degree	1.8	2.1	2.5	0.3	6.1
	* 0.7	1.4	0.9	0.4	3.4
Sample size	1,201	380	735	727	137
Weighted total	34,176	10,705	22,171	19,548	3,893

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_4A

Active duty status-father/male guardian  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
NOW SERVING	4.7%	5.4%	4.7%	2.8%	5.1%	2.0%	4.9%	3.4%	8.9%	5.2%	5.0%	5.4%
	* 1.3	2.0	1.7	4.0	2.3	0.9	1.7	1.9	2.4	1.8	2.1	3.7
SERVED LESS THAN 8 YEARS AND LEFT	37.0	35.3	35.1	39.3	46.1	48.1	37.8	36.1	31.3	30.5	41.8	38.3
	* 2.5	3.7	4.2	14.5	3.9	3.1	3.5	3.4	3.8	3.3	6.0	7.2
SERVED 8 YEARS OR MORE AND LEFT OR RETIR	13.6	12.3	14.2	12.8	14.6	14.1	14.0	13.0	11.8	13.9	11.6	13.6
	* 1.7	2.6	2.8	7.9	3.0	3.0	2.3	2.7	5.1	3.1	3.7	3.9
NEVER SERVED	40.0	42.3	40.3	43.8	31.7	35.0	38.6	42.7	44.0	45.6	37.1	37.9
	* 2.7	5.2	3.9	18.7	3.8	2.9	3.5	5.2	7.1	4.3	7.8	6.2
DON'T KNOW	4.7	4.7	5.6	1.4	2.5	0.8	4.7	4.8	4.0	4.7	4.5	4.7
	* 1.0	1.3	1.6	2.8	1.5	0.6	1.1	2.4	2.2	1.7	3.1	2.6
Sample size	3,135	793	790	78	730	744	2,009	810	316	1,077	365	497
Weighted total	263,897	79,610	140,390	7,459	19,233	17,204	175,360	72,608	15,929	99,950	42,651	45,139

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_4A (cont.)

Active duty status-father/male guardian  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
NOW SERVING	4.5%	5.7%	5.0%	5.2%	1.7%
	* 1.4	2.2	1.8	1.8	1.5
SERVED LESS THAN 8 YEARS AND LEFT	36.4	39.2	37.4	34.6	46.9
	* 2.8	4.5	3.2	4.0	7.8
SERVED 8 YEARS OR MORE AND LEFT OR RETIR	14.4	10.3	14.6	13.2	11.7
	* 2.0	2.8	2.7	2.4	4.4
NEVER SERVED	39.5	41.5	39.7	40.2	37.9
	* 3.1	5.3	3.0	4.7	9.3
DON'T KNOW	5.1	3.2	3.3	6.8	1.8
	* 1.2	1.5	1.3	1.8	1.7
Sample size	2,328	755	1,404	1,443	271
Weighted total	197,726	60,715	118,019	118,199	24,971

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_48

Active duty status-mother/female guardian  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
NOW SERVING	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.9%	0.5%	0.9%	0.5%	2.7%	0.3%	1.2%
	* 0.5	1.2	0.6	0.0	1.4	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	3.0	0.5	1.3
SERVED LESS THAN 8 YEARS AND LEFT	2.1	1.1	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.8	2.0	2.2	3.2	2.8	1.5
	* 0.8	0.9	1.4	2.9	1.1	0.8	1.2	0.8	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.4
SERVED 8 YEARS OR MORE AND LEFT OR RETIR	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3
	* 0.3	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.5
NEVER SERVED	95.6	96.4	95.0	97.9	95.4	95.7	96.5	95.7	95.9	93.7	96.3	96.7
	* 1.2	1.9	1.7	2.9	2.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	2.7	4.5	2.0	2.6
DON'T KNOW	1.1	0.8	1.4	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.2	1.0	1.2	0.4	0.6	0.4
	* 0.6	0.8	1.1	0.0	1.5	0.7	0.3	0.7	1.5	0.6	0.6	0.7
Sample size	2,907	730	731	69	679	1,858	698	1,858	763	286	989	332
Weighted total	243,587	73,534	129,272	6,661	17,901	68,356	16,220	161,106	68,356	14,125	92,014	38,767
												41,630

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_48 (cont.)

Active duty status-mother/female guardian  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
NOW SERVING	0.8%	1.2%	0.9%	0.7%
	* 0.6	1.2	0.7	0.6
SERVED LESS THAN 8 YEARS AND LEFT	1.9	2.0	2.2	1.9
	* 0.9	1.5	1.1	1.1
SERVED 8 YEARS OR MORE AND LEFT OR RETIR	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.5
	* 0.3	1.1	0.2	0.6
NEVER SERVED	96.1	95.0	96.3	95.1
	* 1.4	2.7	1.9	1.7
DON'T KNOW	1.0	1.3	0.4	1.8
	* 0.7	1.2	0.5	1.2
Sample size	2,161	703	1,302	1,338
Weighted total	183,013	56,076	107,479	110,065
				23,672

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_5

Current marital status  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Support
MARRIED FOR THE FIRST TIME	79.9%	86.4%	75.3%	71.4%	88.7%	88.7%	82.5%	79.8%	80.8%	76.5%	82.3%	82.3%
	* 2.0	1.8	3.2	7.6	2.5	2.5	3.4	2.3	3.9	8.4	3.5	4.4
REMARIED, WAS DIVORCED OR WIDOWED	19.6	13.0	24.2	28.6	11.1	11.1	17.5	19.6	18.8	23.5	17.3	17.5
	* 2.0	1.9	3.2	7.8	2.4	2.4	3.4	2.4	3.7	8.4	3.4	4.4
LEGALLY SEPARATED OR FILING FOR DIVORCE	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.2
	* 0.4	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.4
Sample size	3,277	826	839	87	752	752	773	2,098	850	329	1,127	375
Weighted total	278,018	83,113	148,970	8,177	19,799	19,799	17,959	185,349	75,987	16,682	104,902	43,809
												48,080

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_5 (cont.)

Current marital status  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MARRIED FOR THE FIRST TIME	79.2%	82.1%	76.5%	84.2%	75.1%
• 2.1	3.5	3.0	2.7	8.2	
REMARIED, WAS DIVORCED OR WIDOWED	20.4	17.2	23.1	15.4	23.8
• 2.1	3.4	2.9	2.6	7.5	
LEGALLY SEPARATED OR FILING FOR DIVORCE	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.4	1.1
• 0.5	0.7	0.7	0.4	1.6	
Sample size	2,433	787	1,461	1,515	278
Weighted total	208,025	63,954	123,548	125,318	25,670

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_6

If remarred was previous spse in military  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
YES	39.2%	29.9%	43.2%	--	28.1%	38.7%	39.3%	35.0%	54.2%	40.9%	32.1%	43.7%
	* 5.1	9.8	6.8		11.3	7.9	6.4	8.2	9.5	9.8	15.7	12.3
NO	60.8	70.1	56.8	--	71.9	61.3	60.7	65.0	45.8	59.1	67.9	56.3
	* 5.1	9.8	6.8		11.3	7.9	6.4	8.2	9.5	9.8	15.7	12.3
Sample size	539	117	207	24	74	117	347	138	54	159	53	98
Weighted total	52,900	10,666	35,031	2,183	2,088	2,931	35,316	13,885	3,699	17,902	7,567	10,160

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_6 (cont.)

If remarried was previous spouse in military  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	37.5%	45.7%	40.6%	39.9%	34.1%
	* 5.2	13.1	7.7	9.0	12.8
NO	62.5	54.3	59.4	60.1	65.9
	* 5.2	13.1	7.7	9.0	12.8
Sample size	410	120	269	200	66
Weighted total	41,334	10,473	27,531	18,712	5,989

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_7

Have you ever served in U.S. armed forces  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	20.3%	21.2%	20.1%	16.9%	25.0%	20.2%	14.1%	20.2%	19.9%	23.3%	13.1%	17.2%
	* 2.8	4.9	3.3	7.4	3.8	3.2	2.6	3.2	6.6	3.9	2.3	6.6
NO	79.7	78.8	79.9	83.1	75.0	79.8	85.9	79.8	80.1	76.7	86.9	82.8
	* 2.8	4.9	3.3	7.4	3.8	3.2	2.6	3.2	6.6	3.9	2.3	6.6
Sample size	3,254	821	829	86	748	2,080	770	2,080	845	329	1,117	373
Weighted total	275,070	82,719	146,606	8,133	19,712	183,172	17,900	183,172	75,216	16,682	103,500	43,680
												47,742

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_7 (cont.)

Have you ever served in U.S. armed forces  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	17.4%	29.4%	13.0%	11.3%	100.0%
	* 2.5	5.8	1.7	3.0	0.0
NO	82.6	70.6	87.0	88.7	0.0
	* 2.5	5.8	1.7	3.0	0.0
Sample size	2,417	786	1,455	1,506	277
Weighted total	206,367	63,850	122,876	124,349	25,567

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_8

Currently serving in U.S. armed forces  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Combat	Support
YES, ON ACTIVE DUTY	46.4%	53.7%	42.5%	--	53.1%	37.1%	46.7%	46.9%	41.5%	34.9%	41.3%	57.5%
	* 6.1	13.2	6.5		11.1	11.3	7.5	12.5	9.7	8.2	14.7	14.4
YES, IN THE GUARD/RESERVE	10.5	8.3	11.0	--	14.7	16.5	10.9	11.5	2.0	11.1	16.5	7.5
	* 3.4	3.5	5.5		4.5	8.5	4.2	6.9	1.8	7.1	12.0	5.1
NO	43.2	38.0	46.5	--	32.2	46.3	42.5	41.6	56.5	54.1	42.2	35.0
	* 5.9	12.9	7.1		11.6	11.4	6.5	13.3	11.0	10.9	16.5	13.3
Sample size	603	136	177	14	174	102	372	162	69	144	67	137
Weighted total	55,374	17,766	28,814	1,373	4,894	2,526	36,862	14,817	3,695	13,507	7,573	14,433

(\*) Standard Error

(--\*) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_8 (cont.)

Currently serving in U.S. armed forces  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, ON ACTIVE DUTY	37.6%	62.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	* 6.6	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YES, IN THE GUARD/RESERVE	13.1	6.2	16.9	22.5	0.0
	* 5.2	3.7	8.2	9.7	0.0
NO	49.3	31.4	83.1	77.5	0.0
	* 8.4	8.5	8.2	9.7	0.0
Sample size	380	211	177	146	278
Weighted total	35,378	18,761	15,860	13,525	25,670

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_MIL

Spouse military indicator  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		CONUS		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer		Europe			Combat	Support	Service	TDA
On active duty	9.4%	11.5%	8.4%	4.4%	13.2%	9.4%	5.2%	9.2%	9.3%	4.5%	7.1%	17.4%
	* 2.2	4.7	1.9	4.5	2.7	2.5	1.7	4.9	1.4	1.3	3.8	7.6
Not on active duty	90.6	88.5	91.6	95.6	86.8	90.6	94.8	90.8	90.7	95.5	92.9	82.6
	* 2.2	4.7	1.9	4.5	2.7	2.5	1.7	4.9	1.4	1.3	3.8	7.6
Sample size	3,250	823	825	86	746	2,079	770	844	327	1,117	373	514
Weighted total	274,530	82,927	145,892	8,133	19,677	182,963	17,900	75,080	16,487	103,498	43,756	47,601

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_MIL (cont.)

Spouse military indicator  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
On active duty	6.5%	18.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	* 1.7	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Not on active duty	93.5	81.7	100.0	100.0	0.0
	* 1.7	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sample size	2,414	785	1,453	1,504	278
Weighted total	205,818	63,859	122,726	123,861	25,670

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9

Likelihood of staying at end of obligatn  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Support	Service Support	TDA
(0 IN 10) NO CHANCE	4.1% * 2.9	3.3% 3.6	5.0% 5.1	--	3.1% 3.7	4.5% 8.9	3.7% 3.8	5.6% 5.0	1.3% 1.9	3.6% 4.5	--	3.7% 3.6
(1 IN 10) VERY SLIGHT POSSIBILITY	5.8 * 3.6	9.6 9.0	3.2 4.4	--	6.1 4.7	3.0 5.7	5.5 3.9	7.9 8.9	0.4 0.8	9.5 8.8	--	4.6 8.1
(2 IN 10) SLIGHT POSSIBILITY	5.9 * 4.7	12.6 11.7	1.8 3.5	--	3.6 3.3	0.0 0.0	6.8 6.6	4.5 5.2	2.1 3.1	5.7 9.2	--	2.4 3.2
(3 IN 10) SOME POSSIBILITY	3.8 * 2.8	4.1 4.4	4.2 4.4	--	1.9 2.3	3.1 6.3	3.1 2.9	1.5 2.7	22.3 27.7	5.2 8.1	--	5.8 6.6
(4 IN 10) FAIR POSSIBILITY	2.6 * 2.7	6.0 7.4	0.0 0.0	--	1.6 2.3	0.0 0.0	2.3 3.1	3.8 6.4	0.9 2.2	1.3 2.6	--	3.7 5.6
(5 IN 10) FAIRLY GOOD POSSIBILITY	4.6 * 2.4	4.5 4.0	3.5 4.0	--	11.7 8.4	1.5 2.9	4.1 2.7	5.0 4.6	8.0 11.7	1.1 1.9	--	4.2 4.5
(6 IN 10) GOOD POSSIBILITY	3.7 * 3.0	4.2 6.9	3.3 3.6	--	4.1 3.7	4.6 7.0	3.9 4.2	2.2 2.8	8.9 5.2	0.6 1.1	--	1.8 2.5
(7 IN 10) PROBABLE	6.3 * 4.7	10.5 11.8	3.1 4.1	--	4.3 3.7	13.0 16.9	4.8 3.8	11.0 13.5	2.2 1.5	8.1 9.7	--	11.2 12.8
(8 IN 10) VERY PROBABLE	8.9 * 7.3	15.0 18.2	5.7 5.0	--	4.4 5.5	4.0 5.5	6.4 4.0	15.9 23.6	4.1 6.0	5.6 10.5	--	18.6 20.4

Table SP\_9

Likelihood of staying at end of obligatn  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	MCO	Grade Officer	Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
(9 IN 10) ALMOST SURE	8.1% * 4.4	4.8% 4.8	11.1% 7.3	--	8.2% 6.3	5.9% 7.3	8.2% 5.9	7.9% 7.5	7.8% 9.3	14.0% 13.5	--	4.5% 5.0
(10 IN 10) CERTAIN	30.5 * 8.6	16.4 10.2	39.5 13.4	--	38.7 13.2	33.4 14.6	32.2 9.8	25.4 16.9	35.1 35.1	21.1 14.6	--	25.0 19.1
I WILL RETIRE WHEN CURRENT OBLIGATION EN	7.9 * 4.7	0.9 1.8	13.0 9.5	--	2.1 4.0	27.0 14.0	9.6 6.5	5.2 6.0	0.7 1.7	2.7 5.3	--	11.9 13.2
I PLAN TO LEAVE THE ARMY	7.7 * 4.9	8.1 7.7	6.6 7.0	--	10.2 8.4	0.0 0.0	9.3 6.6	4.1 7.3	6.2 10.2	20.9 18.7	--	2.4 2.9
Sample size	280	61	80	4	95	40	181	67	32	57	28	70
Weighted total	25,694	9,544	12,233	355	2,624	938	17,221	6,942	1,532	4,709	3,127	8,323

(\*) Standard Error

(-) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_9 (cont.)

Likelihood of staying at end of obligatn  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Children Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
(0 IN 10) NO CHANCE	4.1% * 2.9	3.3% 3.6	5.0% 5.1	-- 3.7 3.1%
(1 IN 10) VERY SLIGHT POSSIBILITY	5.8 * 3.6	9.6 9.0	3.2 4.4	-- 6.1 4.7
(2 IN 10) SLIGHT POSSIBILITY	5.9 * 4.7	12.6 11.7	1.8 3.5	-- 3.6 3.3
(3 IN 10) SOME POSSIBILITY	3.8 * 2.8	4.1 4.4	4.2 4.4	-- 1.9 2.3
(4 IN 10) FAIR POSSIBILITY	2.6 * 2.7	6.0 7.4	0.0 0.0	-- 1.6 2.3
(5 IN 10) FAIRLY GOOD POSSIBILITY	4.6 * 2.4	4.5 4.0	3.5 4.0	-- 11.7 8.4
(6 IN 10) GOOD POSSIBILITY	3.7 * 3.0	4.2 6.9	3.3 3.6	-- 4.1 3.7
(7 IN 10) PROBABLE	6.3 * 4.7	10.5 11.8	3.1 4.1	-- 4.3 3.7
(8 IN 10) VERY PROBABLE	8.9 * 7.3	15.0 18.2	5.7 5.0	-- 4.4 5.5
(9 IN 10) ALMOST SURE	8.1 * 4.4	4.8 4.8	11.1 7.3	-- 8.2 6.3



Table SP\_9 (cont.)

Likelihood of staying at end of obligatn  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
(10 IN 10) CERTAIN	30.5%	16.4%	39.5%	--	38.7%
	* 8.6	10.2	13.4		13.2
I WILL RETIRE WHEN CURRENT OBLIGATION EN	7.9	0.9	13.0	--	2.1
	* 4.7	1.8	9.5		4.0
I PLAN TO LEAVE THE ARMY	7.7	8.1	6.6	--	10.2
	* 4.9	7.7	7.0		8.4
Sample size	280	61	80	4	95
Weighted total	25,694	9,544	12,233	355	2,624

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_10

Decision maker RE: stay or leave Army  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
MAKE DECISION MYSELF	11.1%	8.9%	14.0%	--	10.3%	1.8%	16.1%	1.0%	3.4%	8.8%	--	10.2%
* 8.1	8.5	11.2	3.4	6.3	10.9	1.1	5.0	8.8	12.9			
MAKE DECISION/CONSIDER MEMBER'S OPINION	30.0	25.8	33.4	--	29.5	38.6	28.8	28.6	49.3	48.2	--	18.6
* 8.3	13.9	11.3	14.0	10.3	11.4	10.4	24.0	21.4	12.2			
MAKE DECISION TOGETHER WITH MEMBER	57.5	63.5	51.0	--	60.1	59.6	53.0	70.4	47.3	43.0	--	66.7
* 6.1	11.5	10.8	8.6	8.6	7.6	10.1	21.4	19.6	13.0			
LARGELY DECIDE TO DO WHAT MEMBER WANTS	1.5	1.8	1.6	--	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	--	4.6
* 2.0	3.7	3.2	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4			
Sample size	278	60	81	4	94	39	179	67	32	58	28	68
Weighted total	25,778	9,437	12,463	355	2,606	917	17,095	7,151	1,532	4,939	3,127	8,198

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_10 (cont.)

Decision maker RE: stay or leave Army  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MAKE DECISION MYSELF	5.2%	17.5%	--	--	11.2%
* 6.5	14.7				8.1
MAKE DECISION/CONSIDER MEMBER'S OPINION	26.1	35.9	--	--	30.2
* 8.9	13.4				8.3
MAKE DECISION TOGETHER WITH MEMBER	67.5	44.8	--	--	57.1
* 9.9	12.0				6.0
LARGELY DECIDE TO DO WHAT MEMBER WANTS	1.3	1.8	--	--	1.5
* 2.4	3.5				2.0
Sample size	143	128	0	1	276
Weighted total	13,545	11,572	0	230	25,543

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_11

Unwanted assignment taken to be w/member  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type		
	Rank			Location			Unit Type		

Table SP\_11 (cont.)

Unwanted assignment taken to be w/member  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	35.3%	34.0%	--	35.5%
	* 10.6	12.6		7.4
NO	64.7	66.0	--	64.5
	* 10.6	12.6		7.4
Sample size	143	130	0	1
				277
Weighted total	13,460	11,699	0	230
				25,567

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_13

My career more important than member's  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY DISAGREE	30.7%	37.2%	28.7%	--	18.9%	18.4%	30.6%	35.5%	10.2%	36.4%	--	38.0%
	* 8.2	18.5	9.5	--	7.4	12.7	10.3	15.1	9.4	14.9	--	17.0
DISAGREE	34.7	29.4	36.8	--	34.8	53.2	38.4	24.1	41.2	31.8	--	29.7
	* 9.1	12.5	12.3	--	11.4	13.7	10.9	13.7	28.1	14.2	--	15.6
CAN'T SAY	22.9	26.0	21.5	--	25.5	12.4	19.0	35.2	10.7	16.2	--	25.5
	* 6.0	18.5	9.4	--	11.0	8.5	5.0	14.4	5.0	14.3	--	17.2
AGREE	6.2	3.2	6.1	--	16.8	11.0	7.7	2.9	4.6	1.7	--	3.5
	* 2.6	4.2	4.9	--	9.5	10.5	3.3	3.7	4.9	3.4	--	4.0
STRONGLY AGREE	5.5	4.2	6.9	--	4.0	4.9	4.4	2.3	33.2	13.8	--	3.3
	* 4.1	4.8	7.4	--	3.9	9.6	4.5	2.9	37.0	14.2	--	3.6
Sample size	283	61	83	4	96	39	184	68	31	58	29	70
Weighted total	26,398	9,544	12,926	355	2,654	920	17,712	7,172	1,514	4,939	3,157	8,323

(\*) Standard error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_13 (cont.)

My career more important than member's  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY DISAGREE	31.0% * 10.7	30.4% 15.9	--	30.8% 8.2
DISAGREE	36.8 * 12.0	32.1 13.4	--	33.7 9.3
CAN'T SAY	20.6 * 11.5	25.4 8.8	--	23.5 6.0
AGREE	5.5 * 4.2	7.2 4.7	--	6.4 2.7
STRONGLY AGREE	6.1 * 6.2	4.8 5.5	--	5.6 4.2
Sample size	146	130	3	1 277
Weighted total	14,038	11,699	492	230 25,653

(\*) Standard Error

(-) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_14

Action if asgmts require long separation  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
ACCEPT ASSIGNMENTS	29.5% * 7.2	15.4% 9.7	40.1% 12.0	--	20.3% 10.3	20.3% 10.3	43.5% 21.6	29.4% 5.4	28.9% 20.8	32.7% 36.4	27.2% 14.9	-- 12.5
RESPONDENT WILL LEAVE THE MILITARY	25.0 * 10.2	27.9 18.0	24.4 11.0	--	24.4 9.6	24.4 9.6	14.2 11.3	29.8 14.1	13.4 11.1	27.6 22.1	20.2 16.4	-- 17.6
MEMBER WILL LEAVE THE MILITARY	12.9 * 5.7	14.0 10.1	11.2 7.5	--	18.8 10.1	18.8 10.1	6.1 6.0	13.8 7.6	9.1 8.9	20.5 14.2	17.4 16.4	-- 3.4
BOTH WILL LEAVE THE MILITARY	13.6 * 7.3	20.9 18.8	6.5 5.9	--	23.6 7.8	23.6 7.8	18.3 12.3	11.1 4.9	21.8 21.5	2.8 3.1	4.4 4.5	-- 21.2
N/A, RESPONDENT PLANS TO LEAVE MILITARY	8.3 * 4.5	1.6 2.4	11.9 8.0	--	8.9 6.4	8.9 6.4	9.8 9.9	9.5 6.2	3.8 3.7	15.3 24.3	22.9 16.0	-- 6.1
N/A, BOTH PLAN TO LEAVE MILITARY	5.7 * 4.2	14.6 10.2	0.8 1.5	--	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	5.9 7.9	3.6 3.0	11.9 12.1	0.0 0.0	6.6 9.4	-- 8.8
N/A, MEMBER PLANS TO LEAVE MILITARY	5.0 * 3.4	5.6 5.5	5.1 6.7	--	4.1 5.4	4.1 5.4	2.2 4.3	2.8 2.3	11.2 10.0	1.1 2.3	1.2 2.4	-- 5.6
Sample size	278	58	82	4	94	94	40	178	68	32	57	28
Weighted total	25,595	8,951	12,751	355	2,600	2,600	938	16,892	7,172	1,532	4,915	3,127
												7,663

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_14 (cont.)

Action if asgmts require long separation  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Children Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ACCEPT ASSIGNMENTS	29.8% * 11.2	29.5% 12.6	--	29.0% 7.0
RESPONDENT WILL LEAVE THE MILITARY	16.6 * 8.8	33.7 18.7	--	25.9 10.3
MEMBER WILL LEAVE THE MILITARY	17.0 * 8.8	7.4 6.6	--	12.3 5.7
BOTH WILL LEAVE THE MILITARY	10.9 * 7.0	17.2 14.6	--	13.2 7.3
N/A, RESPONDENT PLANS TO LEAVE MILITARY	11.2 * 7.4	5.4 4.2	--	8.5 4.6
N/A, BOTH PLAN TO LEAVE MILITARY	8.8 * 7.0	2.5 2.8	--	5.9 4.3
N/A, MEMBER PLANS TO LEAVE MILITARY	5.7 * 4.4	4.4 4.4	--	5.2 3.5
Sample size	143	128	2	272
Weighted total	13,338	11,595	462	349 24,760

(\*) Standard Error

(...) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_16

Current main job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		TDA	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
ARMY AND AIR FORCE EXCHANGE SERVICE	6.3%	6.3%	7.6%	5.7%	1.9%	0.5%	4.7%	8.0%	13.0%	5.5%	8.4%	10.9%
	* 2.0	3.2	2.8	6.9	1.7	0.6	2.0	3.7	8.0	2.8	5.0	6.6
CIVILIAN JOB (NOT FEDERAL)	56.6	63.8	52.4	58.3	61.8	58.3	67.0	33.8	56.6	62.0	52.0	45.6
	* 3.7	4.6	5.9	19.3	7.0	8.9	3.5	8.7	5.7	5.9	10.0	8.6
SELF EMPLOYED	7.6	10.5	6.5	3.9	7.5	7.5	7.8	7.1	7.1	7.3	7.4	9.1
	* 1.6	2.9	2.4	5.3	3.1	2.7	1.9	3.0	6.7	2.5	5.4	4.9
CAREER FED. CIVIL SERVICE/HAZE GRADE JOB	22.1	12.1	26.3	27.6	19.2	22.9	14.6	39.8	16.4	17.5	22.8	28.4
	* 3.8	4.0	5.6	17.8	4.9	5.8	3.0	11.0	5.0	4.6	10.2	7.3
OTHER JOB FOR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT	7.5	7.3	7.1	4.5	9.6	10.8	5.8	11.3	6.9	7.7	9.5	5.9
	* 2.0	3.5	2.6	5.3	3.2	4.6	2.2	4.7	5.4	3.2	6.3	3.7
Sample size	1,408	303	391	48	301	365	889	382	137	482	153	218
Weighted total	118,841	29,536	67,843	4,507	8,334	8,621	75,939	34,740	8,162	43,883	19,087	20,469

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_16 (cont.)

Current main job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ARMY AND AIR FORCE EXCHANGE SERVICE	6.1% * 2.3	7.2% 3.7	6.3% 2.0	--	--
CIVILIAN JOB (NOT FEDERAL)	52.9 * 4.9	65.8 6.8	56.6 3.8	--	--
SELF EMPLOYED	9.5 * 2.1	2.8 1.5	7.6 1.6	--	--
CAREER FED. CIVIL SERVICE/WAGE GRADE JOB	23.3 * 4.8	18.4 4.7	22.0 3.8	--	--
OTHER JOB FOR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT	8.2 * 2.2	5.9 2.8	7.5 2.0	--	--
Sample size	965	424	1,406	0	0
Weighted total	84,775	32,461	118,443	0	0

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_17

Federal govt employer (if applicable)  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TDA
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY	77.5%	66.5%	83.0%	--	73.0%	77.0%	76.3%	90.6%	79.8%	72.4%	78.8%	
	* 5.1	10.7	6.5		10.0	8.6	6.6	6.9	7.5	8.9	8.0	
NAVY, AIR FORCE OR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	12.3	16.5	8.6	--	18.5	8.3	16.8	3.7	9.5	15.1	12.8	
	* 4.5	9.7	4.9		7.9	6.1	6.9	5.0	5.5	9.9	6.9	
OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY	10.2	17.0	8.5	--	8.5	14.7	7.0	5.7	10.7	12.5	8.4	
	* 3.7	7.1	5.3		6.0	6.9	3.9	4.0	4.9	10.1	5.3	
Sample size	477	80	150	21	96	189	250	38	150	59	100	
Weighted total	38,604	7,425	24,134	1,652	2,494	16,550	19,605	2,449	12,439	6,780	8,246	

(\*) Standard Error

(...) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_17 (cont.)

Federal govt employer (if applicable)  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY	78.0%	74.7%	77.3%	--	--
	* 5.9	10.1	5.1		
NAVY, AIR FORCE OR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	11.4	15.9	12.4	--	--
	* 5.1	7.6	4.5		
OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY	10.6	9.3	10.3	--	--
	* 4.0	6.3	3.7		
Sample size	332	137	475	0	0
Weighted total	28,762	9,251	38,381	0	0

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_20

Current job description  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
SCHOOL TEACHER	5.5%	1.3%	3.8%	8.3%	17.4%	19.7%	5.1%	6.7%	3.9%	5.5%	5.5%	4.0%
	* 1.5	1.6	2.0	7.4	4.6	3.8	1.9	2.9	2.4	2.1	3.9	2.4
CHILD CARE	8.5	13.7	7.7	9.0	3.3	2.1	7.9	9.7	9.6	8.7	11.3	9.3
	* 2.0	4.6	3.1	7.3	2.7	1.4	2.6	3.3	5.8	3.6	6.5	4.9
CLERICAL	27.7	28.1	29.7	36.2	19.3	15.3	24.4	34.7	28.4	26.1	26.6	31.9
	* 2.7	6.6	5.0	20.4	4.9	4.7	2.6	6.9	9.8	5.1	7.0	7.4
SALES	10.6	16.5	8.8	6.3	6.8	9.6	10.1	9.3	20.6	11.2	9.4	13.6
	* 2.2	4.7	3.1	6.0	4.0	3.2	2.8	2.0	5.8	3.9	6.5	7.0
PROFESSIONAL (SOCIAL WORKER, ETC.)	13.7	4.7	14.3	9.4	26.8	29.3	15.1	10.5	15.3	12.3	16.0	10.9
	* 2.9	2.4	5.0	10.0	5.9	6.0	4.0	4.3	8.9	4.6	10.9	4.7
PROFESSIONAL (DENTIST, ETC.)	1.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	7.5	4.7	1.4	0.2	2.0	0.6	0.6	0.5
	* 0.4	0.8	0.2	0.0	4.4	1.7	0.6	0.2	1.8	0.3	1.3	0.5
MANAGER, ADMINISTRATOR	5.9	4.9	4.2	24.3	6.7	12.3	6.1	5.7	5.1	7.6	3.9	3.5
	* 1.8	2.7	2.3	20.3	3.2	4.2	2.4	3.0	4.0	3.4	2.6	2.3
TECHNICAL	3.4	1.7	4.4	3.8	2.6	1.8	4.2	2.1	2.0	3.0	0.8	4.4
	* 1.2	1.5	1.8	6.4	1.8	1.5	1.7	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	3.3
SERVICE	17.6	21.1	20.7	2.7	4.2	3.6	19.7	15.0	10.3	21.6	19.3	13.1
	* 3.8	7.2	4.3	4.3	3.1	2.6	5.2	5.8	4.3	4.5	8.4	6.4

Table SP\_20

Current job description  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
LABORER	2.0%	3.7%	1.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	3.2%	0.9%	1.0%	1.9%
	* 0.9	3.0	1.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.2	1.4	1.0	2.6
CRAFTSMAN	1.0	1.9	0.4	0.0	3.6	0.5	0.5	1.3	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0
	* 0.6	1.4	0.6	0.0	5.8	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.0
OPERATIVE	3.0	1.9	4.1	0.0	0.9	1.2	1.2	3.3	2.6	1.9	2.0	4.5
	* 1.1	1.6	1.7	0.0	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.3	2.2	2.2	1.8	4.0
Sample size	1,374	293	377	47	296	361	361	859	382	133	467	147
Weighted total	114,743	28,545	65,067	4,418	8,206	8,506	8,506	72,770	34,227	7,746	42,059	17,846
												20,515

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_20 (cont.)

Current job description  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
SCHOOL TEACHER	5.9% * 1.7	4.7% 2.9	5.5% 1.5	--
CHILD CARE	10.1 * 2.6	4.5 2.3	8.5 2.0	--
CLERICAL	26.2 * 3.3	31.8 6.0	27.7 2.8	--
SALES	9.6 * 2.5	13.0 5.2	10.6 2.2	--
PROFESSIONAL (SOCIAL WORKER, ETC.)	14.0 * 3.8	13.2 4.4	13.8 2.9	--
PROFESSIONAL (DENTIST, ETC.)	1.0 * 0.5	1.1 0.8	1.0 0.4	--
MANAGER, ADMINISTRATOR	5.8 * 2.2	6.7 2.7	6.0 1.8	--
TECHNICAL	3.9 * 1.5	2.0 1.7	3.4 1.2	--
SERVICE	18.0 * 4.0	16.2 6.5	17.5 3.8	--
LABORER	1.8 * 1.1	2.2 2.2	2.0 0.9	--



Table SP\_20 (cont.)

Current job description  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
CRAFTSMAN	0.7%	1.7%	1.0%	--	--
	* 0.7	1.4	0.6		
OPERATIVE	3.1	2.9	3.0	--	--
	* 1.3	2.4	1.1		
Sample size	938	417	1,371	0	0
Weighted total	81,003	32,085	114,272	0	0

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_21PER

Time period for main job earnings  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
HOUR	27.7%	27.2%	29.1%	24.2%	24.9%	22.4%	28.6%	25.8%	27.1%	30.2%	25.6%	28.5%
	* 3.4	5.5	4.8	16.2	6.2	4.8	4.4	5.4	14.5	7.0	8.1	8.5
DAY	1.8	1.3	1.0	3.7	2.6	8.4	1.7	2.4	0.5	2.5	2.0	0.2
	* 0.8	1.7	1.0	6.3	1.6	2.3	0.9	1.9	0.3	1.5	2.7	0.4
WEEK	11.8	14.1	12.3	6.4	9.1	5.4	15.6	4.1	9.1	15.0	6.8	7.2
	* 2.9	5.3	4.1	12.4	5.0	2.7	4.2	2.4	5.4	5.2	4.0	4.0
TWO WEEKS	19.5	20.7	21.4	12.8	15.5	8.5	17.3	23.5	22.2	17.0	26.0	22.5
	* 3.7	6.1	5.5	9.1	8.3	2.9	3.9	8.9	3.9	4.0	10.2	6.2
MONTH	17.8	25.4	16.1	14.5	12.8	12.6	16.2	20.6	20.8	16.9	24.2	20.1
	* 2.6	7.0	3.8	11.1	4.4	3.8	2.4	6.5	7.3	4.1	9.0	7.8
YEAR	21.5	11.4	20.0	38.4	35.0	42.7	20.6	23.6	20.3	18.4	15.4	21.5
	* 4.1	5.7	4.9	19.8	7.9	6.0	5.3	7.8	7.3	4.8	9.4	7.1
Sample size	1,293	266	355	46	280	346	817	357	119	436	138	202
Weighted total	107,563	26,215	61,116	4,389	7,729	8,114	68,775	32,182	6,606	38,531	17,540	19,322

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_21PER (cont.)

Time period for main job earnings  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
HOUR	28.8% * 3.9	24.4% 5.4	27.8% 3.4	--	--
DAY	1.8 * 1.0	2.1 1.6	1.9 0.8	--	--
WEEK	11.0 * 3.9	13.3 5.2	11.8 2.9	--	--
TWO WEEKS	19.4 * 5.0	20.4 5.6	19.6 3.8	--	--
MONTH	17.2 * 3.5	19.4 5.4	17.6 2.6	--	--
YEAR	21.8 * 4.6	20.3 6.4	21.4 4.1	--	--
Sample size	884	392	1,290	0	0
Weighted total	76,387	29,820	107,092	0	0

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_22

How found current job this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
ARMY FMEAP OR ERC	2.4%	1.2%	3.0%	2.3%	3.0%	0.9%	0.8%	5.1%	5.3%	1.7%	3.6%	3.4%
	* 1.1	1.2	1.8	4.6	3.2	1.3	0.6	2.9	6.7	1.2	3.4	3.8
'ONE STOP' EMPLOYMENT CENTER ON-POST	3.6	3.0	4.6	0.0	3.0	0.6	4.2	2.7	2.4	4.8	3.5	3.2
	* 2.4	2.0	3.5	0.0	2.2	0.9	3.4	2.3	3.8	3.2	3.2	2.7
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OFFICE	22.1	17.2	25.9	21.8	12.6	19.4	11.9	45.4	18.5	18.3	25.8	29.4
	* 3.2	5.9	4.3	12.3	4.2	6.1	3.4	7.2	7.9	5.7	10.0	9.1
STATE/OTHER EMPLOYMENT SERVICE	3.0	4.4	2.3	2.1	5.7	1.2	3.0	2.1	6.6	4.1	0.9	5.0
	* 1.5	3.3	1.8	4.1	6.6	1.4	1.7	2.6	8.8	2.8	1.8	4.6
PRIVATE CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT AGENCY	3.0	2.9	3.2	2.2	3.2	1.7	3.6	1.9	1.7	4.2	2.0	0.1
	* 1.2	2.3	1.8	4.4	3.3	1.3	1.4	2.6	1.5	2.8	2.6	0.2
ANSWERED AD IN THE NEWSPAPER	10.7	10.4	10.8	7.3	10.9	11.9	14.8	2.5	7.0	11.2	12.9	7.6
	* 2.3	4.3	3.3	8.2	4.3	4.8	3.4	2.5	1.5	3.5	8.9	4.3
DID VOLUNTEER WORK	1.4	0.4	1.4	2.4	3.0	2.8	0.9	2.5	1.6	1.1	1.9	1.2
	* 0.7	0.7	1.1	4.1	1.9	1.5	0.6	1.7	3.1	1.1	2.0	1.2
CONTACTED THE EMPLOYER DIRECTLY	25.7	28.4	21.2	32.4	35.0	39.3	32.3	11.5	24.6	26.7	21.5	23.0
	* 3.5	5.9	4.0	17.7	7.1	5.5	4.7	5.4	10.0	5.4	8.2	10.1
THROUGH INFORMATION FROM AN ARMY FRIEND	4.8	5.0	4.1	16.9	4.1	3.7	4.0	4.0	15.2	5.3	2.7	7.2
	* 1.8	2.8	2.3	10.1	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.4	6.5	2.4	4.5	3.7

Table SP\_22

How found current job this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
THROUGH INFORMATION FROM CIVILIAN FRIEND	11.2%	13.0%	11.5%	5.7%	7.2%	8.8%	11.0%	12.1%	9.1%	12.2%	11.0%	9.5%
	* 2.0	4.7	3.2	5.7	3.2	3.2	2.1	4.7	6.8	3.4	6.1	5.1
OTHER SOURCE	12.3	14.1	12.1	6.9	12.3	9.7	13.6	10.3	8.1	10.5	14.2	10.4
	* 2.5	4.0	4.2	10.1	5.0	2.9	3.4	3.8	6.1	4.2	6.6	5.3
Sample size	1,390	301	387	45	294	363	876	378	136	471	153	215
Weighted total	117,024	29,542	66,520	4,286	8,143	8,532	74,832	34,052	8,140	43,099	18,981	20,419

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_22 (cont.)

How found current job this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ARMY FHEAP OR ERC	2.7% * 1.7	1.6% 1.3	2.4% 1.2	--
'ONE STOP' EMPLOYMENT CENTER ON-POST	3.6 * 2.5	3.4 2.6	3.6 2.4	--
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OFFICE	23.1 * 3.9	19.1 5.3	22.1 3.3	--
STATE/OTHER EMPLOYMENT SERVICE	2.9 * 1.8	3.2 2.0	3.0 1.5	--
PRIVATE CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT AGENCY	3.2 * 1.6	2.5 2.0	3.0 1.2	--
ANSWERED AD IN THE NEWSPAPER	9.8 * 2.7	12.3 4.2	10.7 2.3	--
DID VOLUNTEER WORK	1.7 * 0.8	0.7 0.8	1.4 0.7	--
CONTACTED THE EMPLOYER DIRECTLY	24.4 * 3.8	29.5 5.7	25.8 3.5	--
THROUGH INFORMATION FROM AN ARMY FRIEND	5.3 * 2.1	3.6 1.9	4.7 1.8	--
THROUGH INFORMATION FROM CIVILIAN FRIEND	10.9 * 2.8	12.3 5.4	11.2 2.0	--

Table SP\_22 (cont.)

How found current job this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
OTHER SOURCE	12.4%	11.9%	12.1%	--	--
	* 3.3	4.4	2.5		
Sample size	949	422	1,387	0	0
Weighted total	83,118	32,294	116,553	0	0

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_J08HR

Hours worked per week in paid job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
				Grade Officer								
Work 20 or fewer hours per week	15.0%	17.7%	12.6%	12.2%	18.9%	21.2%	14.9%	15.1%	15.6%	16.9%	10.3%	18.8%
	* 2.2	4.5	3.4	7.0	5.6	4.2	2.3	4.2	15.2	3.9	5.3	7.8
Work 21-40 hours per week	55.6	51.7	59.4	64.2	41.1	51.9	55.3	55.1	60.8	60.0	57.0	48.3
	* 3.8	6.4	5.1	14.4	5.9	5.5	4.2	8.7	14.7	4.7	7.7	9.3
Work 41-50 hours per week	16.3	16.2	14.7	16.8	25.0	19.9	17.4	14.4	14.1	12.2	21.0	14.1
	* 2.8	4.2	4.3	13.3	5.2	5.1	4.1	3.1	3.8	3.4	6.7	5.2
Work 51-60 hours per week	8.7	9.4	8.6	6.9	10.5	5.6	7.9	11.1	5.5	6.7	6.8	14.9
	* 2.6	5.7	3.0	9.3	2.8	1.9	2.5	7.0	3.0	3.3	5.0	8.9
Work 61 or more hours per week	4.4	5.0	4.7	0.0	4.5	1.4	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.8	3.9
	* 1.6	3.2	2.1	0.0	1.9	1.2	2.0	2.9	6.7	2.5	3.9	3.0
Sample size	1,684	360	474	51	389	410	1,063	456	165	534	183	288
Weighted total	144,476	38,964	80,420	4,727	10,727	9,638	92,450	42,693	9,333	47,749	22,772	29,319

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_J08HR (cont.)

Hours worked per week in paid job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
Work 20 or fewer hours per week	16.5% * 3.0	11.1% 3.4	15.1% 2.6	--	15.0% 6.8
Work 21-40 hours per week	57.9 * 4.9	51.0 5.6	65.3 3.2	--	8.5 4.3
Work 41-50 hours per week	14.2 * 3.4	21.0 4.9	14.1 2.8	--	26.2 8.4
Work 51-60 hours per week	7.6 * 2.2	11.1 5.4	3.3 1.1	--	35.2 12.3
Work 61 or more hours per week	3.8 * 2.1	5.8 2.6	2.2 1.3	--	15.0 4.9
Sample size	1,107	551	1,413	0	264
Weighted total	97,814	44,441	118,898	0	24,682

(\*) Standard Error

(---) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_24APM

Time start work - am/pm  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type		
	Company			Field			Other		
	Junior	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	Other
	Total								
AM	87.0%	85.4%	85.8%	99.1%	91.8%	92.4%	84.2%	92.9%	87.5%
	* 2.2	3.0	3.6	1.7	2.4	2.6	3.1	2.5	9.1
									82.0%
									4.0
									87.0%
									7.6
									3.7
PM	13.0	14.6	14.2	0.9	8.2	7.6	15.8	7.1	12.5
	* 2.2	3.0	3.6	1.7	2.4	2.6	3.1	2.5	9.1
									18.0
									4.0
									13.0
									7.6
									3.7
Sample size	1,690	358	475	51	394	412	1,068	455	167
									534
									185
Weighted total	144,692	38,774	80,551	4,727	10,938	9,702	92,742	42,536	9,413
									47,981
									22,931
									29,239

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_24APM (cont.)

Time spent work - am/pm  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
AM	86.0% * 2.8	89.4% 3.4	85.1% 2.7	--	95.8% 3.8
PM	14.0 * 2.8	10.6 3.4	14.9 2.7	--	4.2 3.8
Sample size	1,114	550	1,412	0	271
Weighted total	98,324	44,148	118,802	0	24,994

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_25APM

Time finish work - am/pm  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location					Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
AM	6.4%	6.7%	7.3%	1.9%	3.5%	3.0%	7.2%	5.7%	2.0%	8.2%	5.3%	6.1%
	* 1.6	3.0	2.4	3.6	1.5	1.7	2.2	2.2	2.3	3.5	4.3	3.1
PM	93.6	93.3	92.7	98.1	96.5	97.0	92.8	94.3	98.0	91.8	94.7	93.9
	* 1.6	3.0	2.4	3.6	1.5	1.7	2.2	2.2	2.3	3.5	4.3	3.1
Sample size	1,685	357	473	51	391	413	1,062	455	168	532	185	289
Weighted total	144,063	38,680	80,168	4,727	10,764	9,725	92,038	42,536	9,489	47,898	22,931	28,925

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_25APM (cont.)

Time finish work - am/pm  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
AM	7.3%	4.2%	6.8%	--	4.8%
	* 2.0	2.4	1.6		4.2
PM	92.7	95.8	93.2	--	95.2
	* 2.0	2.4	1.6		4.2
Sample size	1,110	548	1,408	0	270
Weighted total	97,826	43,941	118,336	0	24,831

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_26

Days of week you work at paid job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
WEEKDAYS ONLY	57.7%	45.4%	59.1%	83.9%	64.8%	72.6%	55.1%	64.0%	54.3%	56.3%	54.8%	57.0%
	* 2.7	6.3	3.8	14.1	5.4	4.6	3.3	5.4	6.0	5.4	9.7	8.1
WEEKENDS ONLY	1.1	2.0	0.9	0.0	0.4	0.7	0.8	2.0	0.4	0.6	2.6	1.3
	* 0.7	1.6	1.0	0.0	0.6	0.9	0.5	2.0	0.5	0.7	3.1	1.7
BOTH WEEKDAYS AND WEEKENDS	41.2	52.5	40.0	16.1	34.7	26.6	44.1	33.9	45.4	43.1	42.6	41.7
	* 2.9	6.1	4.2	14.1	5.4	4.6	3.1	6.5	5.7	5.3	11.3	7.7
Sample size	1,686	357	469	50	395	415	1,064	452	170	537	184	285
Weighted total	142,894	37,880	79,636	4,679	10,951	9,749	91,553	41,779	9,561	47,960	22,831	28,186

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_26 (cont.)

Days of week you work at paid job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
WEEKDAYS ONLY	58.5%	56.2%	60.5%	--	44.5%
	* 3.7	5.7	3.0		10.0
WEEKENDS ONLY	1.5	0.2	0.9	--	0.8
	* 1.0	0.4	0.5		1.0
BOTH WEEKDAYS AND WEEKENDS	40.0	43.6	38.6	--	54.7
	* 3.9	5.7	3.1		10.0
Sample size	1,108	552	1,415	0	265
Weighted total	96,929	43,692	118,703	0	23,313

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SPCOMUTE

Commuting time to place of work  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
Commute 5 minute or less to work	15.0% * 2.9	17.5% 4.5	14.7% 3.9	12.8% 11.6	12.4% 3.3	12.1% 3.8	12.1% 3.8	15.1% 3.9	13.6% 4.4	21.1% 6.6	16.6% 4.3	15.6% 6.0
Commute 6-10 minutes to work	17.0% * 2.8	16.4% 4.7	17.1% 4.6	20.9% 15.2	15.8% 4.4	18.2% 5.4	18.2% 5.4	15.4% 3.3	18.7% 5.9	25.3% 10.3	17.8% 4.4	17.0% 6.0
Commute 11-15 minutes to work	21.0% * 3.2	25.3% 7.3	20.4% 4.5	7.9% 8.2	18.6% 4.7	17.8% 4.5	17.8% 4.5	21.5% 3.8	21.0% 7.2	16.5% 6.6	19.9% 6.2	23.7% 8.6
Commute 16-20 minutes to work	20.5% * 3.4	19.0% 5.0	20.9% 4.7	28.7% 21.6	22.0% 5.9	17.3% 4.9	17.3% 4.9	21.6% 4.7	19.1% 4.7	15.2% 2.1	20.6% 4.3	21.0% 6.4
Commute 21-30 minutes to work	17.3% * 2.4	11.5% 3.9	18.6% 4.3	24.5% 14.9	19.5% 5.7	21.5% 5.5	21.5% 5.5	18.2% 3.3	15.8% 3.4	14.6% 5.7	18.5% 4.3	11.0% 5.1
Commute more than 30 minutes to work	9.2% * 2.8	10.2% 3.9	8.1% 3.2	5.2% 5.9	11.7% 5.0	13.1% 6.6	13.1% 6.6	8.2% 3.5	11.8% 5.4	7.2% 7.6	6.5% 2.7	11.8% 8.0
Sample size	1,669	356	464	50	393	406	406	1,052	449	168	530	179
Weighted total	142,297	38,373	78,856	4,607	10,896	9,566	9,566	91,314	41,640	9,343	47,618	21,932
												28,264

(\*) Standard Error



Table SPCOMUTE (cont.)

Commuting time to place of work  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
Commute 5 minute or less to work	16.1% * 2.8	13.1% 4.3	16.3% 3.1	-- 8.9% 4.4
Commute 6-10 minutes to work	16.3 * 3.2	18.6 4.0	17.8 3.0	-- 13.9 4.6
Commute 11-15 minutes to work	18.4 * 2.9	27.4 5.8	20.6 3.2	-- 23.6 8.3
Commute 16-20 minutes to work	21.6 * 3.6	17.1 4.7	20.3 3.3	-- 21.0 7.3
Commute 21-30 minutes to work	18.5 * 3.2	14.7 4.1	16.2 2.6	-- 23.0 4.8
Commute more than 30 minutes to work	9.1 * 3.0	9.0 3.7	8.8 2.6	-- 9.5 6.7
Sample size	1,093	551	1,392	0 271
Weighted total	95,766	44,271	116,896	0 24,577

(\*) Standard Error

(...) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_28A

Opportunity for advancement this job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GOOD	10.4%	10.4%	10.2%	4.9%	15.4%	9.5%	11.0%	7.8%	17.0%	9.8%	11.4%	10.4%
	* 2.2	3.7	3.2	5.7	4.8	3.2	2.8	4.0	11.1	3.0	6.2	4.7
GOOD	26.3	27.4	26.0	21.2	29.2	23.1	26.7	24.4	30.4	27.5	16.9	30.6
	* 2.9	5.3	4.4	14.2	4.5	5.8	3.6	5.5	10.0	5.4	7.3	6.8
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	30.3	30.4	30.0	34.2	28.5	33.0	28.0	35.3	31.1	28.6	40.4	28.5
	* 2.7	4.6	4.2	15.3	5.1	4.8	3.3	5.3	5.9	5.7	7.7	7.5
BAD	15.3	14.5	14.7	28.6	14.4	17.3	16.7	14.2	6.1	15.9	15.3	15.2
	* 2.5	4.3	3.9	16.2	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.1	1.5	4.8	6.7	4.5
VERY BAD	14.6	14.8	15.0	11.2	12.2	14.3	15.1	13.7	13.1	15.3	14.1	12.5
	* 2.1	4.5	2.9	7.7	5.3	3.8	2.5	4.3	7.1	3.5	6.2	5.0
DON'T KNOW	3.1	2.4	4.1	0.0	0.3	2.8	2.6	4.6	2.2	3.0	1.9	2.8
	* 1.1	1.5	2.0	0.0	0.6	1.7	1.4	2.2	2.1	1.8	2.1	2.5
Sample size	1,676	357	464	50	395	410	1,062	449	165	531	182	286
Weighted total	142,886	38,438	79,159	4,701	10,936	9,652	91,859	41,657	9,370	47,425	22,253	28,708

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_28A (cont.)

Opportunity for advancement this job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	10.0%	11.9%	9.7%	--	14.5%
	* 2.3	4.7	1.9		6.2
GOOD	26.0	26.1	24.2	--	36.5
	* 3.7	5.5	3.4		7.1
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	31.2	29.1	31.4	--	24.0
	* 3.5	6.0	3.4		9.3
BAD	14.9	16.1	15.8	--	12.6
	* 3.2	5.3	3.2		5.4
VERY BAD	14.1	15.1	15.3	--	11.6
	* 2.5	4.3	2.5		4.4
DON'T KNOW	3.8	1.8	3.6	--	0.8
	* 1.6	1.4	1.4		1.0
Sample size	1,103	548	1,401	0	267
Weighted total	96,942	43,674	117,452	0	24,274

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_288

Pay at current job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	MCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Support	Service Support
VERY GOOD	6.4%	5.3%	6.6%	9.3%	7.0%	7.3%	6.8%	5.8%	6.1%	9.2%	3.3%	5.2%
	* 1.5	2.7	2.3	11.5	2.7	2.7	1.8	3.3	5.0	3.2	3.1	3.2
GOOD	41.0	40.0	41.7	31.8	42.4	42.2	41.3	40.5	39.6	38.8	39.6	47.4
	* 4.1	6.0	7.0	15.1	5.4	5.1	5.6	6.5	8.6	5.8	8.9	8.7
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	34.0	32.9	35.2	37.5	31.1	29.4	32.4	35.4	42.5	32.5	40.2	30.8
	* 3.1	6.6	4.5	15.0	5.0	4.4	3.8	5.7	6.5	5.4	6.8	10.0
BAD	14.2	18.4	11.9	18.0	14.2	14.3	14.3	15.6	6.7	14.5	14.2	14.7
	* 2.8	5.5	3.6	9.8	5.2	3.5	3.3	6.3	5.4	4.2	5.5	8.1
VERY BAD	4.2	3.5	4.3	3.3	4.8	6.3	4.9	2.7	5.1	4.5	2.7	1.8
	* 1.3	2.6	1.8	4.8	1.9	2.3	1.6	2.1	4.4	2.1	3.3	2.0
DON'T KNOW	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1
	* 0.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.2
Sample size	1,686	360	469	51	394	412	1,064	453	169	534	182	290
Weighted total	143,541	38,545	79,765	4,727	10,813	9,691	91,977	41,998	9,565	47,767	22,241	28,981

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_288 (cont.)

Pay at current job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	7.8% * 1.9	3.7% 1.7	7.3% 1.9	--	2.5% 1.9
GOOD	39.7 * 5.2	43.6 7.0	40.6 4.3	--	45.0 7.3
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	35.6 * 3.5	30.6 4.9	33.2 3.2	--	35.5 9.7
BAD	13.1 * 3.5	17.1 6.0	13.8 2.7	--	16.5 8.7
VERY BAD	3.6 * 1.4	5.0 2.8	4.8 1.3	--	0.5 0.7
DON'T KNOW	0.2 * 0.4	0.1 0.2	0.2 0.3	--	0.0 0.0
Sample size	1,109	552	1,410	0	268
Weighted total	97,185	44,086	118,056	0	24,324

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_28C

Retirement benefits at current job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		TDA	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support
VERY GOOD	7.3%	6.1%	8.2%	4.6%	7.5%	5.5%	7.9%	5.8%	8.0%	6.3%	2.8%	10.8%
	* 1.7	2.6	2.8	5.8	2.2	1.9	2.1	3.3	2.9	3.1	2.1	4.8
GOOD	25.8	23.3	26.8	12.1	32.6	27.1	24.8	27.8	27.3	22.2	23.6	31.4
	* 2.7	5.8	3.9	9.8	6.0	4.4	3.2	5.4	10.3	4.4	7.8	7.3
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	22.5	25.0	21.4	38.8	19.6	16.5	21.0	26.9	17.1	23.1	24.6	24.6
	* 2.1	5.2	3.5	16.8	4.4	3.3	2.6	4.2	4.7	5.1	7.4	7.3
BAD	10.3	7.8	10.1	24.9	12.4	12.1	9.9	10.3	13.4	10.3	12.4	9.2
	* 1.9	3.0	3.4	13.1	4.0	3.1	2.2	4.1	3.6	2.9	6.5	4.0
VERY BAD	20.3	19.6	19.9	12.5	22.5	28.5	23.2	14.3	19.4	25.4	18.4	13.2
	* 2.7	4.9	3.7	10.5	6.4	4.8	3.5	5.2	7.3	4.4	6.4	4.6
DON'T KNOW	13.8	18.3	13.5	7.0	5.4	10.3	13.2	14.8	14.9	12.7	18.2	10.8
	* 2.4	4.7	3.6	6.1	2.4	3.5	3.2	4.0	7.4	3.3	6.3	4.1
Sample size	1,662	357	464	49	388	404	1,054	446	162	524	182	286
Weighted total	142,571	38,662	79,088	4,637	10,662	9,523	91,501	42,004	9,067	47,113	22,340	28,832

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_28C (cont.)

Retirement benefits at current job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	6.3% * 2.1	9.6% 3.5	5.3% 1.5	--	17.2% 5.8
GOOD	25.4 * 3.3	26.2 4.4	20.4 2.8	--	52.1 7.9
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	24.6 * 3.2	18.3 5.3	22.6 2.6	--	21.6 7.6
BAD	9.4 * 1.8	12.6 4.2	12.0 2.4	--	2.2 1.9
VERY BAD	20.6 * 3.6	19.5 4.3	24.6 3.1	--	1.0 1.2
DON'T KNOW	13.7 * 2.9	13.7 3.9	15.1 2.9	--	6.1 3.9
Sample size	1,092	545	1,386	0	268
Weighted total	96,393	43,909	116,897	0	24,514

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_280

Type of work in current job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior	NCO	Company	Field	CONUS	Europe	Other	COMUS	Combat	Combat	Combat	TDA
		Enlisted		Grade	Grade								
VERY GOOD	21.1%	19.7%	19.5%	19.6%	28.3%	33.1%	21.9%	19.7%	19.7%	19.7%	25.8%	21.0%	
	* 2.8	5.0	4.4	13.4	4.1	5.3	4.0	2.9	8.3	4.6	5.9	6.5	
GOOD	48.8	41.4	52.2	55.5	47.6	49.2	48.7	49.7	46.2	49.9	50.4	43.3	
	* 3.4	4.8	5.2	14.8	6.2	4.9	4.7	5.6	3.2	6.5	9.4	8.0	
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	22.7	25.1	23.3	22.4	16.8	14.1	22.7	21.3	28.9	23.3	17.6	23.8	
	* 2.4	5.9	4.1	15.3	4.7	3.8	3.2	3.9	7.1	5.2	7.2	6.2	
BAD	5.4	10.8	3.6	2.4	3.1	2.1	4.8	7.0	4.3	5.6	4.8	8.6	
	* 2.2	6.9	1.6	4.7	1.5	1.5	1.8	6.4	2.3	2.5	4.1	6.7	
VERY BAD	1.6	2.5	1.3	0.0	1.6	1.6	1.4	2.2	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.7	
	* 0.7	1.9	0.9	0.0	1.3	1.1	0.9	1.5	1.4	1.2	2.5	1.8	
DON'T KNOW	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.6	
	* 0.4	0.7	0.2	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	1.9	
Sample size	1,685	361	470	50	393	411	1,065	452	168	534	182	289	
Weighted total	144,151	38,906	80,081	4,701	10,781	9,682	92,562	42,041	9,548	47,946	22,416	29,023	

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_280 (cont.)

Type of work in current job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	21.2% * 3.1	20.8% 4.2	22.1% 3.1	--	17.1% 5.6
GOOD	51.3 * 3.6	43.1 6.8	50.2 3.6	--	42.9 8.5
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	21.7 * 2.8	25.0 5.2	21.4 3.1	--	26.8 6.4
BAD	4.1 * 1.5	8.6 5.0	4.3 1.4	--	11.1 7.5
VERY BAD	1.3 * 0.9	2.4 1.8	1.6 0.8	--	1.8 1.4
DON'T KNOW	0.5 * 0.5	0.3 0.4	0.4 0.5	--	0.4 0.7
Sample size	1,110	550	1,409	0	268
Weighted total	97,705	44,177	118,493	0	24,498

(\*) Standard Error

(...) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Other benefits in current job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS		Europe	Other	Combat Support		Combat Service Support	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer				OCOMUS				TDA
VERY GOOD	12.6%	16.1%	11.5%	4.8%	16.8%	6.9%	12.8%	10.4%	20.4%	10.3%	15.2%	16.2%	
	* 1.9	3.7	3.0	6.0	4.1	3.1	2.2	4.0	4.0	3.5	7.5	5.6	
GOOD	26.3	22.3	29.2	16.2	29.4	19.1	26.4	26.7	23.0	27.4	21.0	30.9	
	* 3.5	4.7	5.7	10.5	6.4	4.9	3.7	8.3	9.8	5.4	9.6	6.5	
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	21.4	23.3	18.8	34.5	23.1	26.1	19.6	26.3	16.7	20.6	19.5	18.9	
	* 2.3	5.4	4.1	15.8	4.3	4.1	2.8	4.5	7.0	4.5	7.8	6.8	
BAD	10.0	7.0	10.7	21.6	8.6	12.4	10.5	8.1	14.0	8.2	10.5	8.6	
	* 2.1	2.6	3.3	13.3	3.1	3.7	2.5	4.4	3.7	2.9	6.2	4.7	
VERY BAD	19.9	20.7	19.1	16.7	17.4	27.0	21.7	16.3	17.9	25.7	18.8	13.7	
	* 2.8	5.9	4.0	13.1	5.2	4.2	3.3	6.4	6.0	5.3	6.2	4.3	
DON'T KNOW	9.9	10.5	10.6	6.2	4.7	8.5	9.0	12.2	8.0	7.7	15.0	11.7	
	* 1.8	3.3	3.1	6.5	1.7	2.7	2.4	3.2	4.3	2.8	5.1	4.7	
Sample size	1,664	358	467	49	385	405	1,056	444	164	528	179	287	
Weighted total	143,253	38,712	79,785	4,637	10,561	9,558	92,083	41,965	9,205	47,677	22,188	29,032	

G-69

Table SP\_28E (cont.)

Other benefits in current job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	11.1% * 2.4	16.0% 4.3	9.3% 2.0	--	28.9% 7.9
GOOD	26.0 * 4.5	27.0 5.0	21.8 3.2	--	48.6 7.1
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	21.1 * 3.1	22.1 5.1	21.9 2.6	--	18.0 7.7
BAD	10.2 * 2.4	10.0 4.0	11.4 2.5	--	3.9 3.2
VERY BAD	20.4 * 3.4	18.4 5.2	24.0 3.2	--	0.6 0.8
DON'T KNOW	11.2 * 2.5	6.5 2.6	11.6 2.1	--	0.0 0.0
Sample size	1,093	546	1,388	0	268
Weighted total	97,099	43,885	117,595	0	24,498

(\*) Standard Error

(-) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_28F

Opportunity to use ability current job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY GOOD	22.9%	20.7%	21.4%	16.1%	31.6%	37.9%	24.0%	20.8%	22.5%	21.2%	23.4%	21.7%
	* 1.7	4.6	3.4	11.9	4.0	4.6	2.5	1.8	4.7	4.3	6.7	7.1
GOOD	39.7	36.0	41.2	42.9	43.2	37.2	38.7	40.7	45.9	38.5	40.6	41.7
	* 2.9	4.9	5.4	13.5	5.4	4.3	3.3	6.1	11.3	4.0	9.9	7.9
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	17.5	16.1	19.6	12.1	11.1	14.9	16.8	18.8	17.9	17.6	21.2	18.3
	* 3.1	4.9	4.7	11.5	3.8	4.1	2.7	8.1	15.3	5.3	7.9	5.2
BAD	10.2	15.1	7.7	21.2	9.5	6.4	10.5	11.4	2.1	12.5	5.4	10.7
	* 2.3	5.4	2.9	17.2	3.2	2.4	2.4	5.3	1.2	4.2	3.6	6.4
VERY BAD	9.0	11.1	9.2	7.7	4.7	3.6	9.4	7.4	11.5	9.8	9.4	6.9
	* 1.9	3.0	2.8	7.6	2.3	1.9	2.6	2.7	5.9	3.2	4.9	3.2
DON'T KNOW	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.7
	* 0.6	0.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.0
Sample size	1,680	360	468	50	391	411	1,064	450	166	532	179	289
Weighted total	143,873	38,877	79,887	4,701	10,733	9,675	92,328	42,125	9,420	47,841	22,261	29,139

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_28F (cont.)

Opportunity to use ability current job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	23.1% * 2.6	22.7% 3.3	24.5% 2.2	--	16.2% 5.1
GOOD	41.9% * 3.6	35.2% 6.1	40.1% 3.4	--	40.0% 5.6
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	17.0% * 3.9	18.1% 4.7	16.6% 3.5	--	19.0% 7.9
BAD	8.9% * 2.7	13.2% 5.0	9.0% 2.2	--	16.5% 7.1
VERY BAD	8.4% * 2.3	10.6% 3.3	9.2% 2.4	--	8.0% 4.1
DON'T KNOW	0.6% * 0.6	0.2% 0.4	0.5% 0.5	--	0.4% 0.7
Sample size	1,106	549	1,406	0	266
Weighted total	97,494	44,110	118,394	0	24,319

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_28G

Job security in current job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
VERY GOOD	20.2%	20.9%	19.7%	14.3%	21.8%	22.4%	21.6%	16.7%	21.9%	22.6%	17.1%
	* 1.9	4.4	2.9	11.9	3.2	3.2	2.6	3.1	4.8	5.4	6.0
GOOD	41.8	40.2	42.2	43.5	44.7	40.9	40.0	45.7	42.4	42.1	39.9
	* 2.8	6.8	4.2	19.4	5.3	4.6	3.4	6.0	4.8	5.4	9.7
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	20.4	21.8	20.1	26.2	17.1	17.7	20.7	20.3	17.1	19.6	26.9
	* 2.7	4.9	4.5	16.9	3.7	4.0	3.6	5.1	3.5	5.2	8.7
BAD	8.2	9.9	7.6	6.6	7.6	7.9	8.2	9.0	5.1	6.9	11.2
	* 2.7	6.4	2.6	6.2	3.6	2.3	3.6	4.6	5.3	3.4	9.8
VERY BAD	7.0	5.5	7.1	9.3	7.8	10.6	8.0	4.6	7.9	6.6	4.3
	* 1.5	2.6	2.1	7.5	5.1	2.9	1.8	2.6	4.6	3.0	3.0
DON'T KNOW	2.4	1.7	3.3	0.0	0.9	0.5	1.5	3.6	5.6	2.2	0.5
	* 1.0	1.7	1.8	0.0	1.1	0.7	1.0	2.4	4.8	1.7	1.0
Sample size	1,671	357	465	49	389	411	1,057	447	167	530	179
Weighted total	142,525	38,556	78,994	4,637	10,676	9,662	91,465	41,547	9,512	47,487	22,205
											28,634

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_28G (cont.)

Job security in current job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	19.6%	21.6%	19.5%	--	25.1%
	* 2.9	4.6	7.4		7.5
GOOD	41.9	41.4	40.0	--	41.6
	* 3.4	5.6	3.0		7.0
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	20.9	18.4	21.2	--	14.6
	* 3.4	4.0	3.1		6.5
BAD	7.0	11.5	8.4	--	6.7
	* 2.5	5.9	3.1		7.5
VERY BAD	7.7	5.9	8.2	--	1.5
	* 1.7	2.6	1.7		1.4
DON'T KNOW	2.9	1.3	2.8	--	0.5
	* 1.5	1.1	1.2		0.9
Sample size	1,100	546	1,397	0	266
Weighted total	96,492	43,763	117,168	0	24,197

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_28H

Work hours/schedule of current job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	WCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY GOOD	22.9%	22.1%	21.8%	26.5%	25.6%	25.6%	30.0%	22.3%	22.7%	29.1%	25.2%	21.4%
	* 2.7	4.2	4.4	11.8	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.0	5.7	10.1	5.1	5.6
GOOD	39.7	34.6	40.7	39.6	42.3	42.3	49.1	38.2	42.7	40.8	38.8	32.0
	* 3.7	5.8	5.2	15.2	5.9	5.9	4.6	3.9	9.1	13.2	5.6	7.7
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	20.8	24.4	20.8	23.9	15.3	15.3	10.3	21.4	21.2	13.1	18.1	26.4
	* 2.6	5.7	3.9	17.1	4.0	4.0	2.5	2.7	6.2	9.9	3.6	9.2
BAD	10.5	11.9	10.0	6.0	13.4	13.4	8.0	12.0	7.4	9.6	11.8	15.5
	* 2.1	4.4	3.2	6.5	6.3	6.3	3.3	2.8	3.3	5.9	3.1	5.7
VERY BAD	6.1	6.8	6.7	4.0	3.3	3.3	2.6	6.0	5.9	7.4	6.0	4.6
	* 1.6	3.8	2.4	5.8	1.9	1.9	1.6	2.1	2.6	5.6	3.1	3.0
DON'T KNOW	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
	* 0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
Sample size	1,674	360	462	50	390	390	412	1,058	448	168	532	287
Weighted total	142,154	38,797	78,272	4,701	10,689	10,689	9,695	91,424	41,182	9,548	47,384	28,618

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_28H (cont.)

Work hours/schedule of current job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	23.7%	22.0%	26.6%	--	5.6%
	* 3.4	4.9	3.4		2.7
GOOD	41.4	35.8	42.9	--	24.1
	* 4.2	6.2	3.6		7.5
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	19.6	22.9	17.7	--	35.4
	* 2.9	6.3	2.8		9.1
BAD	10.4	10.6	8.6	--	19.1
	* 2.8	3.5	2.1		6.1
VERY BAD	5.0	8.6	4.1	--	15.8
	* 1.9	3.5	1.4		5.3
DON'T KNOW	0.1	0.0	0.1	--	0.0
	* 0.1	0.0	0.1		0.0
Sample size	1,100	549	1,399	0	267
Weighted total	96,391	43,494	116,645	0	24,349

(\*) Standard Error

(...) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_281

Location of current job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GOOD	28.6%	25.2%	29.0%	22.5%	32.3%	28.1%	37.6%	28.1%	27.0%	40.1%	30.3%	23.0%
	* 2.8	5.4	4.4	11.9	4.1	3.5	6.0	3.5	4.3	4.7	4.7	6.3
GOOD	45.0	45.5	45.7	50.3	37.5	44.8	42.0	44.8	46.0	41.9	44.7	45.0
	* 3.2	5.6	4.9	17.7	5.5	4.2	6.2	4.2	5.5	10.6	4.5	9.7
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	17.4	20.7	16.0	18.0	20.2	18.2	13.2	18.2	16.8	13.4	16.9	19.7
	* 2.9	5.8	4.4	14.2	5.3	3.4	3.6	3.4	6.1	8.1	4.7	9.0
BAD	7.3	7.5	7.2	7.2	8.6	7.1	5.4	7.1	8.5	3.8	6.2	11.2
	* 1.9	3.8	3.1	9.4	4.1	2.4	3.0	2.4	3.7	4.1	2.6	5.2
VERY BAD	1.7	1.0	2.1	2.0	0.9	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.7	0.6	1.8	1.1
	* 1.0	0.9	1.4	3.8	0.8	1.3	1.8	1.3	1.4	0.9	2.0	2.0
DON'T KNOW	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0
	* 0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0
Sample size	1,676	361	466	51	387	1,059	411	1,059	451	166	533	181
Weighted total	142,962	38,724	79,250	4,727	10,592	91,530	9,669	91,530	41,924	9,507	47,576	22,402
												28,613

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_281 (cont.)

Location of current job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	28.3%	29.9%	31.9%	--	11.7%
	* 3.1	4.8	2.9		6.1
GOOD	47.6	38.8	47.0	--	37.2
	* 3.9	4.7	3.2		8.9
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	14.6	23.0	14.3	--	32.4
	* 3.1	5.9	2.6		9.1
BAD	7.7	6.8	6.0	--	12.5
	* 2.5	2.9	2.0		5.1
VERY BAD	1.8	1.4	0.8	--	6.2
	* 1.3	1.1	0.5		4.1
DON'T KNOW	0.0	0.1	0.0	--	0.1
	* 0.1	0.1	0.1		0.2
Sample size	1,102	549	1,402	0	266
Weighted total	96,775	43,917	117,652	0	24,150

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_28J

Opportunity to work w/people you like  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Service Support	TDA
VERY GOOD	25.7%	24.9%	23.7%	21.2%	35.4%	36.5%	26.5%	23.9%	25.5%	30.5%	22.3%	24.0%
	* 2.0	4.6	3.7	9.9	5.1	4.3	2.5	4.2	2.7	4.2	6.1	7.1
GOOD	47.6	44.6	49.0	57.4	44.6	45.9	48.5	46.1	45.1	45.0	46.2	46.9
	* 3.3	7.0	4.8	16.2	6.8	5.2	3.9	7.0	11.9	5.1	8.4	8.0
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	21.0	24.5	21.5	12.6	14.9	13.4	19.3	23.5	25.9	18.1	26.3	23.8
	* 2.8	6.1	4.0	14.1	4.8	2.6	3.2	5.9	10.4	3.9	6.6	8.1
BAD	3.4	2.4	3.6	8.8	3.8	2.9	3.4	3.4	3.3	4.6	3.1	2.2
	* 0.9	1.8	1.6	9.4	1.8	2.2	1.0	1.9	3.3	2.2	3.0	1.9
VERY BAD	2.0	3.4	1.6	0.0	1.2	1.1	2.0	2.2	0.2	1.2	2.2	2.4
	* 0.7	2.3	1.1	0.0	1.4	1.2	0.9	1.6	0.3	1.0	2.6	2.1
DON'T KNOW	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.7	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.7
	* 0.5	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	1.5	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.0
Sample size	1,687	362	471	50	392	412	1,067	452	168	535	181	290
Weighted total	144,366	38,971	80,232	4,701	10,767	9,695	92,640	42,178	9,548	48,011	22,402	29,174

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_28J (cont.)

Opportunity to work w/people you like  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	26.2% * 3.0	24.5% 4.0	28.6% 2.6	-- 11.8% 4.8
GOOD	49.8 * 4.5	42.5 6.2	49.3 3.2	-- 41.1 7.1
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	19.0 * 3.1	25.2 6.2	16.8 2.4	-- 39.2 8.8
BAD	2.7 * 1.1	5.2 2.2	3.2 1.1	-- 4.7 3.1
VERY BAD	1.8 * 0.9	2.4 1.6	1.8 0.8	-- 2.8 2.6
DON'T KNOW	0.5 * 0.7	0.2 0.4	0.4 0.6	-- 0.4 0.7
Sample size	1,110	552	1,411	0 268
Weighted total	97,841	44,255	118,707	0 24,498

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_28K

Opportunity for career progress  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GOOD	14.5%	16.1%	13.0%	12.3%	18.1%	18.1%	17.3%	15.0%	12.8%	17.2%	14.2%	10.7%
	* 2.3	4.2	3.3	13.2	3.2	3.2	4.4	3.1	3.6	8.2	3.3	5.1
GOOD	25.7	22.7	27.1	14.5	32.3	32.3	23.5	25.3	24.8	33.3	23.8	26.9
	* 2.7	4.2	3.9	10.9	5.1	5.1	6.7	3.8	3.1	8.1	5.5	6.2
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	27.1	26.5	28.3	20.4	23.9	23.9	25.4	25.7	30.8	23.9	27.2	34.1
	* 3.1	5.4	4.0	14.3	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.3	7.8	6.3	5.4	8.7
BAD	15.8	15.9	14.7	39.0	12.7	12.7	16.4	15.9	16.4	11.6	14.2	13.9
	* 2.7	5.9	3.1	19.8	3.5	3.5	4.4	3.3	5.1	8.8	4.8	6.8
VERY BAD	15.1	16.1	14.9	13.9	12.7	12.7	15.5	16.3	12.6	13.9	18.7	12.4
	* 2.5	4.5	3.4	8.8	5.1	5.1	4.1	3.0	5.1	4.2	3.5	6.9
DON'T KNOW	2.0	2.8	1.9	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.0	1.8	2.7	0.1	1.9	2.0
	* 0.9	1.5	1.4	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.1	1.1	1.9	0.2	1.7	1.9
Sample size	1,680	359	470	49	391	391	411	1,063	449	168	533	181
Weighted total	143,437	38,336	80,081	4,588	10,753	10,753	9,678	91,992	41,897	9,548	47,825	22,402
												29,023

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_28K (cont.)

Opportunity for career progress  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	13.6% * 2.4	17.2% 4.7	14.8% 2.2	--	13.6% 6.7
GOOD	27.1 * 3.5	22.2 3.8	23.3 3.0	--	37.7 7.8
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	28.2 * 3.8	24.6 4.7	27.4 3.2	--	24.6 10.0
BAD	14.5 * 3.1	19.1 5.9	15.5 2.9	--	16.1 9.1
VERY BAD	14.3 * 3.1	15.6 4.8	16.7 2.7	--	7.6 3.7
DON'T KNOW	2.3 * 1.2	1.3 1.1	2.3 1.1	--	0.5 0.8
Sample size	1,106	549	1,406	0	266
Weighted total	97,502	43,666	118,354	0	23,922

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_29A

Your opportunities for advancement  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade		Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
				Officer	Grade									
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.7%	1.4%	2.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.3%	1.9%	1.5%	0.8%	2.0%	3.1%	1.7%	1.7%	
	* 1.1	1.1	1.8	0.0	0.9	0.7	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.5	3.6	1.9	1.9	
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	5.9	6.6	6.5	2.1	3.0	3.3	5.1	6.7	10.0	5.5	5.7	10.2	10.2	
	* 1.5	3.9	2.3	4.1	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.8	8.8	2.9	3.3	4.6	4.6	
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	51.8	53.8	52.3	56.3	44.0	46.2	52.9	48.5	55.5	51.6	49.7	48.6	48.6	
	* 3.2	6.4	4.6	12.2	6.4	6.7	3.9	5.7	14.1	6.3	10.2	7.7	7.7	
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	16.2	13.3	16.2	11.5	23.6	21.5	16.1	16.4	15.7	17.3	19.6	11.5	11.5	
	* 2.4	5.0	3.8	8.7	4.7	4.0	2.9	5.0	6.9	4.2	6.8	6.2	6.2	
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	14.7	14.6	12.0	22.3	24.5	22.7	15.9	13.0	10.3	15.3	11.5	16.1	16.1	
	* 2.4	4.2	3.3	9.5	5.6	4.4	3.2	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.4	5.9	5.9	
DON'T KNOW	9.7	10.3	10.8	7.8	4.0	5.9	8.0	14.0	7.7	8.3	10.4	11.9	11.9	
	* 2.2	4.2	3.4	10.2	2.1	2.7	2.6	4.5	2.8	4.1	6.1	4.9	4.9	
Sample size	1,661	355	465	49	385	407	1,045	448	168	527	180	282	282	
Weighted total	142,237	38,462	79,158	4,420	10,640	9,558	91,119	41,569	9,549	47,135	22,303	28,814	28,814	

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_29A (cont.)

Your opportunities for advancement  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.5%	2.2%	1.8%	--	0.7%
	* 1.3	1.8	1.3		.3
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	5.4	7.3	4.8	--	11.3
	* 1.6	3.5	1.5		5.3
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	51.8	51.5	51.1	--	55.0
	* 3.8	6.5	3.2		7.3
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	16.1	16.3	16.2	--	16.0
	* 2.9	4.3	2.8		4.9
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	14.3	15.5	16.4	--	7.1
	* 3.0	4.2	2.8		2.9
DON'T KNOW	10.8	7.1	9.5	--	10.0
	* 3.1	3.4	2.4		4.9
Sample size	1,098	539	1,390	0	262
Weighted total	96,730	43,259	116,444	0	24,489

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_298

Your pay  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	MCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.8%	2.1%	1.9%	1.6%	0.6%	0.9%	1.9%	1.7%	1.5%	2.7%	1.1%	2.6%
	* 0.8	1.4	1.2	3.1	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.1	2.2	1.5	1.4	2.2
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	7.6	5.7	9.2	9.8	5.2	3.7	4.6	12.4	15.2	5.6	10.3	9.8
	* 2.0	2.1	3.0	7.6	1.9	2.3	1.6	4.4	10.3	2.6	6.5	4.4
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	51.2	53.5	50.3	56.7	44.3	54.6	55.5	43.3	45.4	53.7	44.6	43.8
	* 3.5	7.5	4.8	19.2	5.8	5.2	4.1	6.5	12.4	5.8	9.8	8.4
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	15.7	15.4	15.0	9.3	23.3	17.8	15.4	16.4	15.5	15.4	14.8	18.7
	* 2.5	5.4	3.2	6.8	4.9	3.5	2.9	5.8	3.6	3.8	5.6	6.9
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	16.0	15.5	15.0	12.8	24.7	18.7	16.7	14.7	15.4	16.0	17.4	17.2
	* 2.1	4.2	2.9	8.9	4.6	4.8	2.9	3.3	4.1	3.0	5.6	5.8
DON'T KNOW	7.6	7.8	8.6	9.8	1.8	4.3	5.9	11.6	7.0	6.8	11.7	8.0
	* 1.7	2.7	2.4	10.4	1.4	1.7	1.6	4.4	3.3	3.6	5.1	3.5
Sample size	1,664	355	467	50	385	407	1,044	452	168	527	180	286
Weighted total	142,578	38,465	79,470	4,445	10,640	9,558	90,950	42,079	9,549	47,135	22,303	29,324

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_298 (cont.)

Your pay  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Hot Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	2.0%	1.4%	1.8%	--	1.4%
* 1.0	1.4	0.8			1.7
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	8.5	6.0	7.5	--	6.0
* 2.5	2.8	2.0			4.8
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	49.7	53.6	51.9	--	49.3
* 4.4	6.3	3.3			6.2
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	15.7	16.0	14.5	--	22.1
* 3.2	4.6	2.5			7.9
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	15.3	18.0	16.4	--	14.8
* 2.9	4.6	2.1			5.9
DON'T KNOW	8.8	5.0	8.0	--	6.4
* 2.3	2.5	2.0			3.1
Sample size	1,099	541	1,393	0	262
Weighted total	96,873	43,457	116,784	0	24,489

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_29C

Your retirement benefits  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat		
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	2.6%	2.5%	3.2%	0.0%	1.6%		0.2%	2.5%	2.8%	2.4%	3.2%	1.3%	5.0%
	* 1.1	1.9	1.7	0.0	1.3		0.4	1.3	2.1	3.5	2.6	1.5	3.0
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	11.3	11.7	12.3	3.2	9.3		6.5	11.9	9.0	15.6	10.0	11.6	11.3
	* 2.2	4.5	3.5	3.4	2.9		3.0	2.9	3.2	8.6	3.7	7.0	5.0
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	50.3	50.2	50.0	49.1	52.5		51.0	51.9	47.1	49.3	50.5	49.3	47.1
	* 3.3	7.8	4.5	12.5	6.4		5.7	4.5	5.6	5.3	6.4	9.5	8.7
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.2	6.2	9.7	16.6	11.3		10.3	8.9	10.2	7.0	10.5	10.1	9.1
	* 1.9	2.5	2.9	16.8	4.3		3.0	2.4	3.8	7.3	3.4	5.0	4.4
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.2	7.8	7.9	7.3	17.0		17.6	9.7	7.7	10.7	10.3	8.0	8.4
	* 1.7	3.4	2.4	7.5	5.2		4.3	2.3	2.6	2.3	3.2	3.3	3.9
DON'T KNOW	17.5	21.6	16.8	23.8	8.2		14.4	15.1	23.2	15.1	15.5	19.7	19.1
	* 2.6	5.5	3.4	14.6	2.9		3.1	3.3	5.0	4.8	3.7	8.2	6.0
Sample size	1,653	353	461	49	384		406	1,039	447	167	523	179	283
Weighted total	141,557	38,363	78,623	4,420	10,629		9,523	90,193	41,850	9,513	46,792	22,231	29,004

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_29C (cont.)

Your retirement benefits  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	2.9% * 1.4	2.1% 1.6	2.0% 1.2	-- 4.8% 3.0
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.4 * 2.6	15.6 4.5	8.8 2.3	-- 23.6 7.3
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	50.7 * 3.6	49.2 5.8	49.7 3.7	-- 53.8 12.5
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	10.3 * 2.6	7.1 2.3	10.1 2.2	-- 4.8 3.5
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.3 * 2.1	9.3 4.0	10.9 1.9	-- 0.8 0.8
DON'T KNOW	17.5 * 3.1	16.8 3.9	18.4 2.9	-- 12.2 6.0
Sample size	1,090	539	1,384	0 261
Weighted total	95,930	43,378	116,094	0 24,230

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_290

Type of work you do  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.6%	1.1%	2.0%	1.4%	1.3%	1.3%	0.4%	1.3%	1.8%	2.9%	2.1%	0.0%
	* 0.7	1.1	1.2	2.6	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.7	1.7	2.7	1.4	0.0
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	4.5	6.0	4.4	0.0	3.1	4.1	3.4	4.1	5.6	4.0	5.3	3.7
	* 1.3	3.0	2.1	0.0	2.0	1.2	1.6	1.2	3.6	1.8	3.3	2.8
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	60.2	58.5	60.7	53.7	59.4	63.2	66.6	63.2	54.4	57.2	60.0	59.5
	* 3.4	6.4	5.4	21.4	5.3	4.2	5.7	4.2	6.4	14.1	7.2	9.2
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	15.7	14.3	16.1	26.4	16.5	14.9	12.5	14.9	18.6	10.8	16.7	12.7
	* 2.7	4.8	3.3	17.3	4.7	3.1	2.6	3.1	5.9	1.2	4.8	5.5
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	11.9	13.9	10.1	12.3	17.0	11.6	12.6	11.6	11.7	15.4	11.2	16.5
	* 1.8	4.1	2.9	10.7	5.2	2.2	4.9	2.2	3.4	6.9	2.7	6.4
DON'T KNOW	6.1	6.2	6.8	6.2	2.6	4.9	4.5	4.9	8.0	9.7	4.7	7.7
	* 1.6	2.6	2.1	6.7	1.6	1.5	2.0	1.5	3.7	9.8	3.1	4.5
Sample size	1,650	351	462	50	382	1,036	405	1,036	448	166	519	180
Weighted total	141,153	38,196	78,531	4,445	10,548	90,201	9,433	90,201	41,610	9,342	46,571	22,303
												28,777

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_290 (cont.)

Type of work you do  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.3% * 0.8	2.2% 1.5	1.5% 0.8	-- 1.5% 1.8
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	4.5 * 1.8	4.3 2.2	4.1 1.5	-- 5.7 3.2
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	60.7 * 4.3	59.6 6.0	61.3 3.5	-- 56.1 8.3
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	15.6 * 3.0	16.0 4.7	14.7 2.5	-- 20.6 7.7
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	11.4 * 2.1	12.8 3.7	12.0 2.0	-- 11.2 4.7
DON'T KNOW	6.5 * 2.0	5.1 2.5	6.4 1.8	-- 4.9 2.8
Sample size	1,091	535	1,383	0 258
Weighted total	95,780	43,125	115,637	0 24,211

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_29E

Your other benefits  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		COMUS		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Field Grade								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer		Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	7.1% * 1.8	8.2% 3.0	8.0% 2.7	2.5% 3.8	3.6% 1.8	1.5% 1.0	7.7% 2.4	6.1% 2.8	6.5% 6.4	7.5% 3.8	3.1% 2.4	7.5% 3.7
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	17.5 * 2.8	17.6 5.7	19.2 4.3	11.4 8.9	13.6 4.3	10.6 3.5	15.5 3.5	23.3 5.4	12.0 7.5	18.9 5.1	22.7 8.0	19.1 6.8
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	44.7 * 3.3	44.9 6.7	43.2 4.8	40.2 11.5	53.4 5.0	49.0 5.8	49.6 3.8	34.9 6.8	41.4 2.7	42.9 7.0	46.7 9.4	37.1 6.6
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.6 * 2.6	7.8 3.5	9.6 3.3	18.7 16.4	10.8 3.5	11.7 3.7	7.7 2.4	13.0 6.7	13.6 2.7	8.1 3.3	9.3 3.9	13.6 5.5
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	8.4 * 1.9	5.8 2.8	8.4 3.0	8.7 7.8	13.0 5.1	14.1 2.7	8.6 2.3	8.1 3.9	8.4 3.9	9.3 3.9	5.1 3.5	8.9 4.3
DON'T KNOW	12.5 * 2.3	15.7 4.8	11.5 3.4	18.6 14.2	5.6 2.3	13.1 3.2	11.0 2.8	14.7 3.9	18.0 9.0	13.2 3.8	13.1 6.0	13.8 4.7
Sample size	1,645	349	461	48	380	407	1,038	442	165	519	176	282
Weighted total	140,947	37,931	78,595	4,356	10,498	9,568	90,244	41,376	9,327	46,549	21,757	29,156

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_29E (cont.)

Your other benefits  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	7.2% * 2.5	6.4% 2.8	6.8% 2.2	-- 7.5% 4.6
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	16.5 * 2.9	20.4 5.1	16.1 3.1	-- 23.9 6.7
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	44.6 * 3.2	45.1 6.3	44.6 3.4	-- 47.4 8.4
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.7 * 2.4	9.8 4.8	9.7 2.1	-- 9.8 7.3
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.3 * 2.5	7.0 3.0	9.6 2.1	-- 2.8 2.3
DON'T KNOW	12.8 * 3.1	11.3 3.2	13.3 2.5	-- 8.5 4.5
Sample size	1,087	534	1,376	0 260
Weighted total	95,683	43,015	115,305	0 24,337

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_29F

Your opportunities to use abilities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	0.9%	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	1.1%	0.7%	1.2%	1.7%	0.0%
	* 0.5	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.9	2.0	1.3	0.0
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	3.6	2.8	4.4	1.4	2.8	2.8	1.9	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.0	6.2
	* 1.2	2.1	1.8	2.8	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.4	2.2	4.3	1.8	4.7
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	59.2	59.7	59.9	46.8	56.6	56.6	60.1	61.6	55.2	54.3	61.1	52.3
	* 2.6	6.0	4.4	17.8	5.3	5.3	5.2	3.6	3.8	9.6	6.2	8.4
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	15.4	15.7	14.1	26.9	18.3	18.3	15.8	15.6	15.6	12.1	17.2	16.5
	* 1.7	4.9	2.5	15.1	4.0	4.0	3.5	2.3	2.7	5.7	4.2	4.9
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	14.1	13.7	12.7	18.1	19.6	19.6	18.7	12.9	15.2	19.6	12.7	16.8
	* 1.9	3.7	2.8	9.3	4.4	4.4	3.6	2.4	3.4	2.8	3.5	7.1
DON'T KNOW	6.8	7.4	7.7	6.8	2.2	2.2	2.8	5.3	9.6	8.9	4.3	8.2
	* 1.8	3.1	2.6	10.1	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.9	4.1	7.4	2.7	5.3
Sample size	1,652	353	463	49	383	383	404	1,036	450	166	524	179
Weighted total	141,132	38,339	78,392	4,420	10,563	10,563	9,418	89,669	41,964	9,499	46,809	22,196
												28,635

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_29F (cont.)

Your opportunities to use abilities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	0.7%	1.5%	0.7%	--
	* 0.6	1.4	0.5	1.5%
				1.8
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	3.9	2.5	3.3	--
	* 1.6	1.7	1.3	4.0
				2.6
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	58.6	60.8	59.9	--
	* 3.3	6.1	2.9	57.3
				7.9
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	14.9	16.6	14.6	--
	* 2.3	3.5	2.0	18.7
				7.8
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	14.0	14.5	14.2	--
	* 2.2	4.0	2.1	13.7
				5.2
DON'T KNOW	8.0	4.1	7.3	--
	* 2.6	2.2	2.0	4.9
				2.9
Sample size	1,093	535	1,383	0
Weighted total	96,225	42,658	115,945	0
				23,883

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_296

Your job security  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	2.9% * 1.1	2.6% 1.6	3.6% 1.9	0.0% 0.0	1.2% 1.5	1.1% 0.9	1.1% 0.9	3.3% 1.6	1.8% 1.6	3.0% 3.4	2.4% 1.8	1.3% 1.5
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	12.5 * 2.1	15.5 5.6	12.1 2.9	8.2 7.3	11.2 3.8	6.7 2.8	6.7 2.8	11.4 2.6	15.4 4.0	10.4 5.4	9.1 3.3	16.7 7.4
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	57.1 * 2.9	55.7 6.3	57.2 4.5	73.2 10.2	54.5 5.3	57.2 5.7	57.2 5.7	57.8 3.9	54.1 5.0	63.8 3.9	59.2 6.3	55.7 7.6
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.3 * 2.3	10.1 4.4	8.9 3.4	2.5 3.6	10.6 4.0	11.7 3.5	11.7 3.5	10.2 3.1	8.2 3.6	6.7 5.5	12.6 3.9	9.0 4.1
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.2 * 1.6	7.4 2.8	8.1 2.6	10.0 7.5	16.2 5.3	18.1 4.0	18.1 4.0	10.8 2.2	6.7 2.4	5.6 2.6	8.9 2.4	7.7 4.1
DON'T KNOW	9.0 * 2.0	8.7 3.2	10.1 3.3	6.2 6.9	6.2 2.4	5.2 1.7	5.2 1.7	6.6 2.0	13.8 4.8	10.5 5.1	7.9 3.5	9.6 4.9
Sample size	1,650	356	459	49	382	404	404	1,033	449	168	522	177
Weighted total	141,098	38,572	78,049	4,420	10,564	9,493	9,493	89,584	41,965	9,549	46,659	21,898
												29,220

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_29G (cont.)

Your job security  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	2.8% * 1.3	2.5% 1.7	2.4% 1.1	--	3.7% 2.7
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	12.4 * 2.5	12.9 3.3	9.5 1.9	--	27.3 7.6
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	53.7 * 3.3	64.4 5.5	57.6 3.0	--	54.2 8.0
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	10.6 * 3.0	7.0 3.1	10.2 2.5	--	5.7 3.9
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	10.4 * 2.0	7.1 3.1	11.1 1.8	--	1.0 1.2
DON'T KNOW	10.1 * 3.0	6.1 2.5	9.3 2.3	--	8.1 4.5
Sample size	1,089	537	1,381	0	261
Weighted total	95,614	43,235	115,314	0	24,551

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_29H

Your work hours and schedule  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.6%	1.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	1.6%	1.5%	2.0%	2.0%	0.5%
	* 0.7	1.3	1.2	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.2	2.4	1.5	0.9
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	6.8	4.9	8.2	3.8	5.2	5.2	5.9	5.1	10.8	4.7	5.3	7.8
	* 1.9	2.3	2.8	4.1	2.3	2.3	3.3	1.9	4.6	1.7	2.9	5.6
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	63.7	60.7	60.8	87.9	75.8	75.8	75.1	66.4	58.7	60.8	65.6	62.0
	* 3.3	5.6	4.8	7.9	5.0	5.0	5.1	4.1	6.5	9.5	5.6	9.5
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	11.9	13.7	12.5	1.5	10.3	10.3	7.0	12.9	8.9	16.4	11.2	11.8
	* 2.2	4.3	3.1	2.8	3.5	3.5	2.8	3.0	3.4	3.4	4.5	5.3
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	8.3	11.8	7.7	0.0	5.3	5.3	6.3	7.8	9.6	7.1	8.7	9.0
	* 2.5	4.8	3.1	0.0	2.5	2.5	3.9	2.5	6.1	8.6	2.6	5.7
DON'T KNOW	7.7	7.5	8.9	6.8	2.6	2.6	4.6	6.2	10.5	9.0	7.2	8.9
	* 1.7	2.8	2.8	7.4	1.8	1.8	1.5	2.0	3.9	5.1	3.5	5.7
Sample size	1,654	354	461	50	382	382	407	1,037	450	167	523	180
Weighted total	141,251	38,345	78,361	4,445	10,542	10,542	9,558	89,799	41,956	9,496	46,689	22,303
												29,098

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_29H (cont.)

Your work hours and schedule  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.6% * 0.9	1.7% 1.4	1.6% 0.8	--	0.8% 1.4
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	6.6 * 2.0	6.9 3.4	7.6 2.2	--	1.3 1.7
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	63.9 * 3.9	63.7 6.6	66.7 3.3	--	50.0 9.3
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	11.1 * 2.6	13.7 4.3	9.3 2.3	--	25.3 7.8
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	8.4 * 3.1	8.4 3.8	6.9 2.0	--	15.4 8.0
DON'T KNOW	8.5 * 2.1	5.6 2.3	7.9 1.9	--	7.1 4.5
Sample size	1,091	539	1,387	0	258
Weighted total	95,591	43,411	115,957	0	23,989

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_291

Location of your job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.6%	0.7%	2.2%	0.0%	1.6%	0.5%	1.8%	0.9%	2.6%	1.7%	1.0%	1.8%
	* 0.7	0.7	1.2	0.0	1.7	0.6	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.5
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.1	7.2	10.7	3.0	9.0	6.4	7.2	12.4	12.3	10.0	12.1	8.6
	* 2.1	2.6	3.1	3.5	3.4	2.7	2.4	4.6	4.8	4.2	5.5	4.4
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	59.9	61.6	58.2	67.7	59.4	64.4	62.2	54.7	60.9	59.5	56.0	55.3
	* 3.7	5.3	5.5	16.4	6.7	5.5	4.7	6.8	7.7	5.3	11.0	8.6
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.7	9.7	9.5	5.1	14.6	9.0	10.1	9.0	9.4	11.2	6.6	11.7
	* 2.3	4.2	3.3	6.0	6.1	2.5	3.1	4.0	3.6	3.2	4.9	6.0
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	7.4	8.0	6.5	10.5	8.7	9.4	8.2	6.2	5.5	7.4	8.5	6.0
	* 1.6	2.8	2.5	9.1	3.8	3.6	2.0	2.5	6.3	2.3	5.1	2.7
DON'T KNOW	12.3	12.7	13.0	13.6	6.6	10.3	10.5	16.9	9.1	10.1	15.8	16.6
	* 2.1	3.7	3.3	11.9	2.5	3.2	2.6	4.2	3.2	3.9	6.6	6.3
Sample size	1,648	351	461	50	379	407	1,033	447	168	520	179	284
Weighted total	140,799	38,019	78,297	4,445	10,484	9,555	89,559	41,691	9,549	46,351	22,167	29,219

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_291 (cont.)

Location of your job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.9% * 1.0	0.9% 1.0	1.6% 0.8	-- 0.9% 1.4
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	9.0 * 2.5	9.1 3.2	9.5 2.6	-- 6.1 3.6
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	59.3 * 5.0	60.9 5.3	61.4 3.7	-- 55.1 8.2
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	10.1 * 2.8	9.2 3.9	8.0 2.0	-- 18.5 7.2
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	7.0 * 2.2	8.6 2.8	7.1 1.8	-- 8.8 4.7
DON'T KNOW	12.6 * 2.7	11.3 3.6	12.4 2.2	-- 10.7 5.3
Sample size	1,088	536	1,380	0 259
Weighted total	95,620	42,931	115,200	0 24,294

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_29X

Your opportunity for career progress  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.6%	1.2%	2.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.8%	1.7%	1.4%	0.9%
	* 0.7	1.1	1.1	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.3	2.2	1.3	1.2
												2.5
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	4.5	6.0	4.1	2.5	3.8	3.0	3.8	3.8	5.6	6.0	3.7	4.7
	* 1.3	2.8	1.6	3.4	2.0	1.2	1.6	1.6	2.6	2.7	1.9	3.6
												5.3
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	46.7	49.2	48.1	37.8	36.6	40.9	48.0	44.9	44.9	42.6	46.9	43.3
	* 3.5	6.3	5.0	15.9	6.1	5.8	4.6	5.9	5.9	10.9	6.9	8.8
												8.0
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	17.0	15.0	16.1	27.7	23.4	20.2	17.2	16.9	16.9	15.7	16.6	19.0
	* 2.7	3.8	3.9	16.2	4.0	4.3	3.4	5.4	5.4	6.4	4.4	7.3
												5.0
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	20.0	16.7	17.9	30.1	31.5	32.3	21.9	16.2	16.2	17.9	21.4	18.1
	* 2.7	5.4	3.4	11.9	4.5	5.3	3.6	4.9	4.9	7.2	5.2	7.5
												5.9
DON'T KNOW	10.2	11.9	11.7	1.9	2.4	3.6	7.6	16.6	16.6	16.0	10.0	13.9
	* 2.4	4.6	3.5	3.7	1.4	1.4	2.5	4.7	4.7	10.3	4.0	6.3
												5.4
Sample size	1,661	355	464	49	385	408	1,043	450	450	168	525	180
												285
Weighted total	142,162	38,499	78,927	4,420	10,736	9,581	90,710	41,903	41,903	9,549	46,849	22,103
												29,255

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_29K (cont.)

Your opportunity for career progress  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	1.7% * 0.9	1.6% 1.4	1.6% 0.8	-- 1.2% 1.5
WORSE IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	4.8 * 1.6	4.0 2.2	4.5 1.3	-- 4.7 2.9
ABOUT THE SAME IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	44.9 * 3.8	50.1 6.4	45.3 3.7	-- 54.4 6.6
BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	16.3 * 3.3	18.8 4.2	17.4 3.0	-- 16.1 6.1
MUCH BETTER IF MEMBER CIVILIAN	20.8 * 3.1	18.5 5.0	21.7 2.9	-- 12.0 4.2
DON'T KNOW	11.6 * 3.2	6.9 3.0	9.5 2.3	-- 11.6 5.7
Sample size	1,097	540	1,390	0 262
Weighted total	96,403	43,510	116,289	0 24,568

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_30

Your job interferes w/member's Army job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GREAT EXTENT	4.0%	7.1%	3.5%	0.0%	1.8%	1.1%	3.2%	5.7%	5.4%	3.0%	5.1%	5.8%
	* 1.6	4.7	1.8	0.0	2.3	1.1	1.6	3.8	9.3	1.9	4.3	3.7
GREAT EXTENT	5.6	7.8	5.6	1.3	1.9	3.3	5.2	6.6	5.3	5.2	5.3	9.6
	* 2.4	5.4	2.5	2.5	1.5	1.4	2.1	6.4	5.0	2.4	4.4	7.8
MODERATE EXTENT	12.1	14.4	11.2	7.9	14.8	10.3	13.3	9.6	11.9	12.3	11.5	12.9
	* 2.4	4.1	3.4	7.5	3.5	3.2	3.1	3.8	8.1	3.7	6.5	5.6
SLIGHT EXTENT	19.0	20.2	18.2	16.4	21.8	19.0	18.1	20.7	21.0	19.6	16.2	19.7
	* 2.7	6.2	4.5	10.7	6.3	3.8	3.0	6.3	9.0	5.1	6.5	6.7
NOT AT ALL	59.2	50.5	61.6	74.4	59.8	66.3	60.3	57.4	56.4	59.9	61.9	52.0
	* 3.1	5.8	5.1	11.6	7.2	4.5	3.7	6.5	8.3	4.1	7.6	7.4
Sample size	1,684	361	469	51	392	411	1,064	452	168	534	182	291
Weighted total	144,133	39,073	79,826	4,727	10,854	9,652	92,615	42,042	9,476	47,919	22,418	29,321

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_30 (cont.)

Your job interferes w/member's Army job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	3.4%	4.9%	3.1%	--
* 1.4	3.3	1.3		7.5%
				4.6
GREAT EXTENT	5.1	6.9	3.9	--
* 2.1	4.6	1.4		13.9
				11.4
MODERATE EXTENT	10.2	16.2	11.1	--
* 2.5	5.0	2.5		16.7
				6.6
SLIGHT EXTENT	21.1	14.3	18.3	--
* 3.8	3.8	2.9		20.9
				9.0
NOT AT ALL	60.1	57.7	63.6	--
* 4.1	6.4	3.5		41.0
				6.7
Sample size	1,110	551	1,408	0
				268
Weighted total	98,012	43,888	118,275	0
				24,697

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_31

Member's Army job interferes w/your job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	6.1%	9.0%	5.1%	0.0%	6.8%	5.4%	4.5%	5.4%	6.6%	9.6%	5.1%	7.2%
	* 1.9	4.7	2.1	0.0	3.5	2.1	2.5	2.1	4.1	10.1	2.3	3.6
GREAT EXTENT	8.4	11.1	7.4	2.0	6.4	7.7	10.2	7.7	8.5	14.7	6.7	14.8
	* 2.5	6.4	3.2	3.8	3.2	2.4	3.0	2.4	6.0	5.8	2.5	8.6
MODERATE EXTENT	14.8	15.0	14.7	13.5	16.2	16.3	14.2	16.3	12.2	11.7	17.9	12.3
	* 2.9	4.1	4.3	12.1	4.4	4.0	3.2	4.0	4.4	6.2	4.5	6.8
SLIGHT EXTENT	21.7	21.6	21.3	24.5	24.8	21.0	20.5	21.0	23.4	19.9	23.1	19.3
	* 3.4	7.1	4.9	16.0	6.1	4.7	4.7	4.7	5.5	6.1	7.0	7.6
NOT AT ALL	49.1	43.4	51.5	60.0	45.8	49.6	50.7	49.6	49.1	44.2	47.3	46.4
	* 3.1	5.1	5.7	13.0	6.0	4.2	6.4	4.2	5.0	8.4	5.3	8.8
Sample size	1,681	360	469	51	391	1,062	410	1,062	451	168	532	291
Weighted total	144,016	38,998	79,826	4,727	10,833	92,518	9,632	92,518	42,022	9,476	47,824	29,321

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_31 (cont.)

Member's Army job interferes w/your job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	6.4% * 2.0	5.6% 3.8	5.9% 1.7	-- 7.1% 4.6
GREAT EXTENT	7.4 * 2.1	9.4 4.8	7.4 2.0	-- 12.2 8.9
MODERATE EXTENT	15.5 * 3.4	13.7 4.3	16.4 2.8	-- 16.6 8.6
SLIGHT EXTENT	23.1 * 4.3	18.5 4.8	21.1 3.7	-- 23.3 9.3
NOT AT ALL	47.6 * 4.9	52.8 6.2	51.2 4.0	-- 40.9 6.6
Sample size	1,110	549	1,406	0 267
Weighted total	98,012	43,793	118,180	0 24,676

(\*) Standard Error

(-) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_32A

Lack of jobs in skill area  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	42.6%	41.6%	42.6%	43.6%	51.2%	37.9%	42.2%	44.9%	35.7%	44.0%	44.7%	41.7%
	* 4.2	5.8	6.1	16.9	5.6	6.8	5.5	5.7	16.4	6.2	6.1	8.0
NO	57.4	58.4	57.4	56.4	48.8	62.1	57.8	55.1	64.3	56.0	55.3	58.3
	* 4.2	5.8	6.1	16.9	5.6	6.8	5.5	5.7	16.4	6.2	6.1	8.0
Sample size	2,129	548	584	55	464	478	1,377	560	192	770	257	323
Weighted total	187,932	54,662	104,475	5,055	12,444	11,297	126,156	51,303	10,473	75,884	31,288	30,272

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_32A (cont.)

Lack of jobs in skill area  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	40.9%	47.1%	41.4%	44.7%	27.2%
	* 4.6	6.6	5.1	6.4	13.5
NO	59.1	52.9	58.6	55.3	72.8
	* 4.6	6.6	5.1	6.4	13.5
Sample size	1,546	555	1,320	740	58
Weighted total	139,733	45,933	110,863	70,608	5,037

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_328

Lack of transportation to available job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	22.7%	32.3%	21.9%	11.8%	7.2%	23.0%	3.8%	22.0%	22.3%	22.2%	32.2%	22.8%
	* 2.3	4.2	3.8	11.2	2.5	3.1	1.9	3.3	4.3	4.2	5.8	5.9
NO	77.3	67.7	78.1	88.2	92.8	77.0	96.2	78.0	77.7	77.8	67.8	77.2
	* 2.3	4.2	3.8	11.2	2.5	3.1	1.9	3.3	4.3	4.2	5.8	5.9
Sample size	2,105	546	581	54	454	1,359	470	557	189	768	252	319
Weighted total	186,567	54,667	103,655	4,895	12,200	124,543	11,149	51,523	10,501	74,130	30,743	30,279

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32B (cont.)

Lack of transportation to available job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	24.7% * 3.0	16.5% 4.9	20.3% 3.0	26.8% 3.9	6.6% 8.3
NO	75.3 * 3.0	83.5 4.9	79.7 3.0	73.2 3.9	93.4 8.3
Sample size	1,534	543	1,316	724	56
Weighted total	139,584	44,716	110,685	69,566	4,983

(\*) Standard Error

Child care not available  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32C (cont.)

Child care not available  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	20.8%	1.9%	17.4%	17.6%	3.5%
	* 2.7	1.7	2.9	3.6	2.6
NO	41.1	7.7	39.4	31.9	6.8
	* 3.0	2.6	3.4	3.3	3.9
N/A	26.4	26.2	4.7	38.0	79.2
	* 2.7	4.7	1.5	3.6	6.2
NOT APPLICABLE	11.7	64.3	38.6	12.5	10.5
	* 1.8	5.0	2.5	2.1	4.0
Sample size	2,275	746	1,396	1,388	263
Weighted total	190,577	59,894	116,424	111,718	24,686

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32b

Quality of child care not acceptable  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	15.6%	15.4%	16.9%	15.5%	12.2%	10.7%	15.4%	16.2%	15.4%	16.3%	15.6%	17.8%
	* 2.0	2.8	3.2	8.5	2.3	1.7	2.3	4.7	4.8	3.0	5.8	5.4
NO	31.9	28.6	36.3	22.0	25.7	22.8	32.3	31.5	28.9	34.3	34.7	28.6
	* 2.5	4.0	3.8	17.3	4.4	4.0	2.8	5.3	7.0	4.2	8.4	5.6
N/A	26.6	29.6	22.6	29.0	34.4	33.9	25.9	27.9	27.8	23.0	23.1	30.7
	* 2.3	5.0	2.9	12.5	3.9	3.7	2.6	5.3	6.8	2.6	5.8	7.2
NOT APPLICABLE	25.9	26.4	24.2	33.4	27.7	32.6	26.3	24.4	27.9	26.3	26.6	22.9
	* 2.0	4.4	3.7	11.9	3.4	2.6	2.8	3.0	4.1	3.6	6.8	3.9
Sample size	3,063	770	766	78	713	736	1,949	809	305	1,053	351	484
Weighted total	255,228	77,055	135,102	7,066	18,927	17,077	169,908	70,557	14,763	95,808	40,255	44,827

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_320 (cont.)

Quality of child care not acceptable  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	20.3%	1.7%	18.7%	15.1%	3.9%
	* 2.3	1.6	2.7	2.8	3.0
NO	39.6	7.4	36.6	32.1	6.9
	* 3.2	2.6	3.2	4.0	3.9
N/A	26.3	26.1	4.7	37.8	79.1
	* 2.7	4.7	1.5	3.4	6.2
NOT APPLICABLE	13.8	64.7	40.0	15.1	10.1
	* 2.2	4.9	2.9	3.7	3.8
Sample size	2,275	747	1,397	1,388	264
Weighted total	191,128	59,944	116,479	112,378	24,709

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32E

Child care too expensive  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
YES	28.5%	29.8%	32.8%	17.3%	13.6%	13.6%	8.6%	29.3%	26.6%	28.0%	29.8%	33.2%
	* 2.4	4.8	3.5	7.4	3.1	3.1	2.4	2.7	5.6	7.3	4.3	5.8
												5.4
NO	20.6	15.7	22.3	21.4	24.3	24.3	24.5	20.6	21.2	17.4	22.8	18.9
	* 2.0	2.4	3.5	14.4	4.2	4.2	3.7	2.6	3.4	5.1	3.7	4.8
												4.2
N/A	26.3	29.2	22.5	29.0	34.4	34.4	33.9	25.6	28.0	27.3	23.1	22.6
	* 2.4	5.1	2.9	12.5	4.0	4.0	3.8	2.5	5.9	6.4	2.7	6.0
												7.0
NOT APPLICABLE	24.7	25.4	22.4	32.2	27.7	27.7	33.1	24.6	24.2	27.3	24.3	25.3
	* 1.7	4.1	3.1	11.8	3.4	3.4	2.5	2.3	2.9	3.9	3.3	6.4
												4.3
Sample size	3,083	779	777	78	713	713	736	1,967	810	306	1,057	356
												491
Weighted total	257,493	78,313	136,103	7,066	18,918	18,918	17,093	172,214	70,285	14,993	95,662	41,288
												44,990

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_32E (cont.)

Child care too expensive  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	36.9% * 2.8	2.3% 2.6	30.0% 3.6	32.1% 3.6	4.5% 3.2
NO	24.9% * 2.6	6.9% 2.5	25.9% 3.1	17.7% 2.3	6.3% 4.0
N/A	26.0% * 2.8	26.1% 4.7	4.7% 1.5	37.1% 3.4	79.2% 6.2
NOT APPLICABLE	12.1% * 1.9	64.7% 4.9	39.4% 2.7	13.1% 2.3	10.1% 3.8
Sample size	2,294	747	1,402	1,404	263
Weighted total	193,338	59,944	116,655	114,490	24,686

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32F

Child care hours not convenient  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	16.3%	14.7%	19.1%	14.6%	10.5%	8.8%	16.3%	16.0%	18.5%	16.2%	21.8%	15.3%
	* 2.3	2.5	3.9	7.8	2.5	2.4	3.1	3.5	7.7	3.8	5.1	4.5
NO	31.5	29.1	34.7	24.7	27.2	24.5	32.4	30.7	25.5	35.7	29.2	28.6
	* 2.9	4.6	4.2	17.7	5.3	3.7	3.7	5.2	5.8	4.4	7.3	5.8
N/A	26.6	29.5	22.7	29.4	34.5	34.3	25.9	28.1	27.9	23.2	22.8	31.6
	* 2.3	5.1	2.8	12.7	4.0	3.8	2.5	5.6	6.8	2.7	6.1	7.0
NOT APPLICABLE	25.5	26.6	23.4	31.3	27.8	32.4	25.5	25.2	28.1	24.9	26.2	24.4
	* 1.8	4.2	3.2	11.7	3.4	2.4	2.3	3.1	5.9	3.2	6.8	4.6
Sample size	3,050	768	765	77	711	729	1,946	802	302	1,048	352	476
Weighted total	254,642	77,408	134,493	6,963	18,915	16,863	170,002	69,954	14,686	95,332	40,884	43,557

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32F (cont.)

Child care hours not convenient  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	20.9%	2.1%	20.1%	14.5%	6.0%
	* 2.9	1.8	3.5	3.5	4.0
NO	39.5	6.8	35.4	33.4	4.9
	* 3.6	2.3	3.3	4.7	2.4
N/A	26.4	26.2	4.7	37.8	79.1
	* 2.7	4.7	1.5	3.5	6.2
NOT APPLICABLE	13.1	64.8	39.8	14.4	10.1
	* 1.9	5.0	2.8	2.4	3.8
Sample size	2,264	744	1,391	1,381	264
Weighted total	190,683	59,748	115,886	112,433	24,709

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32G

Member not wanting me to work  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCO	US	Support	Service
YES	7.2%	8.4%	7.6%	4.6%	3.0%	4.6%	4.6%	8.4%	4.2%	8.0%	7.9%	9.9%
	* 1.3	2.1	1.8	4.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.9	8.0	2.0	3.7
NO	65.8	62.0	69.3	64.4	62.2	60.8	60.8	65.3	67.7	63.0	68.7	66.6
	* 2.3	5.1	2.5	14.7	3.8	4.2	4.2	2.5	5.6	5.5	3.3	6.5
N/A	27.0	29.7	23.1	30.9	34.9	34.6	34.6	26.3	28.1	29.0	23.3	23.5
	* 2.5	5.1	3.0	13.4	4.0	3.7	3.7	2.6	6.3	8.2	2.8	6.4
Sample size	3,023	766	756	75	703	723	723	1,921	801	301	1,042	345
Weighted total	251,300	76,979	132,274	6,627	18,693	16,727	16,727	167,129	70,061	14,109	94,600	39,607
												43,273

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32G (cont.)

Member not wanting me to work  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	8.2%	4.3%	7.1%	8.5%	2.4%
	* 1.5	2.1	1.8	2.3	2.5
NO	64.9	69.7	88.1	53.4	17.9
	* 2.5	5.3	2.1	3.9	6.2
N/A	26.9	26.0	4.8	38.1	79.6
	* 2.9	4.8	1.5	3.7	6.2
Sample size	2,239	742	1,380	1,369	260
Weighted total	187,103	60,165	113,747	111,351	24,540

(\*) Standard Error

**Employers don't like hiring Army spouse  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type**

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32H (cont.)

Employers don't like hiring Army spouse  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	24.9% * 3.1	19.3% 3.8	32.5% 3.4	19.2% 3.7	0.4% 0.7
NO	48.6 * 3.3	54.7 4.9	62.9 3.1	42.9 3.7	19.7 6.3
N/A	26.4 * 2.8	26.0 4.8	4.7 1.5	37.9 3.5	79.9 6.2
Sample size	2,270	747	1,399	1,387	259
Weighted total	190,407	60,161	116,368	112,113	24,457

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_321

Army leaders don't support employment  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	16.8%	14.4%	19.6%	7.4%	14.5%	12.3%	17.8%	13.3%	22.9%	18.3%	18.5%	16.5%
	* 2.2	3.3	3.5	5.6	2.8	3.3	2.5	4.6	4.5	3.3	5.4	4.6
NO	56.4	56.0	57.5	62.6	50.9	53.5	55.9	59.1	49.1	58.7	58.3	51.7
	* 2.7	5.1	3.8	13.5	4.1	4.5	2.8	6.6	9.8	4.4	7.8	6.9
N/A	26.8	29.6	23.0	30.0	34.6	34.2	26.3	27.7	28.1	23.1	23.2	31.9
	* 2.5	5.1	3.0	13.1	3.9	3.8	2.7	5.9	7.4	2.7	6.2	7.2
Sample size	3,037	767	757	76	707	730	1,931	803	303	1,047	347	476
Weighted total	252,768	77,083	133,104	6,843	18,823	16,915	167,132	71,024	14,612	95,634	40,196	43,134

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_321 (cont.)

Army leaders don't support employment  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	17.7%	13.4%	23.0%	13.1%	3.1%
	* 2.8	4.5	3.3	2.1	2.2
NO	55.6	60.5	72.3	48.5	17.3
	* 2.8	6.6	3.3	3.8	5.9
N/A	26.7	26.2	4.7	38.4	79.6
	* 2.8	4.8	1.5	3.8	6.2
Sample size	2,253	742	1,391	1,371	261
Weighted total	188,861	59,876	115,896	110,654	24,556

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32J

Jobs in salary range not available  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	29.6%	25.7%	32.3%	32.1%	30.7%	23.9%	34.0%	20.6%	22.6%	33.4%	26.4%	24.5%
	* 3.0	4.8	4.4	17.5	4.2	3.2	4.2	3.5	3.4	4.6	6.5	5.6
NO	43.8	44.7	45.0	39.1	34.8	42.2	40.0	51.7	49.3	43.7	50.4	44.2
	* 3.3	6.1	4.2	13.1	3.7	4.4	4.3	5.6	7.1	4.4	6.9	7.0
N/A	26.5	29.6	22.6	28.8	34.5	33.8	26.0	27.6	28.1	22.9	23.2	31.3
	* 2.4	5.2	2.9	13.7	3.9	3.7	2.6	5.9	7.4	2.7	6.3	7.2
Sample size	3,064	768	769	78	712	737	1,950	810	304	1,053	348	484
Weighted total	255,323	77,246	134,984	7,126	18,865	17,102	169,560	71,169	14,594	96,251	40,090	43,911

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32J (cont.)

Jobs in salary range not available  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	29.0%	31.4%	38.4%	26.7%	2.1%
	* 3.4	4.4	4.2	4.2	1.9
NO	44.5	42.7	57.0	35.5	18.3
	* 3.5	6.1	4.2	4.9	6.1
N/A	26.4	25.9	4.7	37.9	79.6
	* 2.8	4.8	1.5	3.7	6.2
Sample size	2,271	750	1,400	1,389	261
Weighted total	190,591	60,424	116,947	112,158	24,556

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32K

Lack of necessary skills  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TOA
YES	21.8%	26.6%	22.7%	13.6%	9.8%	22.3%	21.0%	20.5%	24.3%	24.4%	20.4%	
	* 2.5	3.5	3.7	7.7	2.4	3.2	5.1	1.7	4.2	5.8	5.2	
NO	51.7	44.1	54.7	56.4	55.6	51.8	51.3	52.4	53.0	52.3	48.5	
	* 2.6	3.7	4.3	11.8	4.5	3.6	3.5	8.9	4.7	6.0	7.5	
N/A	26.5	29.3	22.6	30.0	34.7	25.9	27.7	27.2	22.7	23.3	31.1	
	* 2.4	5.0	2.9	13.2	3.9	2.6	5.9	7.9	2.7	6.3	7.1	
Sample size	3,063	777	769	76	707	1,948	805	310	1,059	347	485	
Weighted total	256,082	78,071	135,377	6,828	18,801	170,040	70,971	15,071	97,452	40,074	44,158	

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32K (cont.)

Lack of necessary skills  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	23.0%	18.7%	21.7%	25.8%	1.5%
	* 3.0	4.7	3.4	3.1	1.7
NO	50.7	55.5	73.6	36.9	18.9
	* 3.1	5.4	3.5	3.8	5.8
N/A	26.3	25.9	4.7	37.3	79.6
	* 2.8	4.7	1.5	3.6	6.2
Sample size	2,271	749	1,392	1,396	261
Weighted total	191,399	60,598	116,028	113,836	24,556

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32L (cont.)

Too many family responsibilities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	24.9% * 2.8	4.0% 2.1	20.2% 2.9	22.7% 3.3	4.6% 3.0
NO	48.7 * 3.0	69.9 5.3	75.1 2.8	39.6 4.8	15.4 5.4
N/A	26.4 * 2.7	26.1 4.8	4.7 1.5	37.7 3.7	80.0 6.2
Sample size	2,285	739	1,394	1,397	260
Weighted total	190,939	59,937	116,113	112,678	24,430

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32L (cont.)

Too many family responsibilities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	24.9% * 2.8	4.0% 2.1	20.2% 2.9	22.7% 3.3	4.6% 3.0
NO	48.7 * 3.0	69.9 5.3	75.1 2.8	39.6 4.8	15.4 5.4
N/A	26.4 * 2.7	26.1 4.8	4.7 1.5	37.7 3.7	80.0 6.2
Sample size	2,285	739	1,394	1,397	260
Weighted total	190,939	59,937	116,113	112,678	24,430

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32M

Available jobs too far away  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	WCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Support
YES	25.7%	27.3%	21.4%	15.4%	20.9%	20.9%	16.7%	27.7%	22.2%	19.9%	27.7%	24.8%
	* 2.9	3.7	4.3	9.7	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.8	4.4	10.0	5.1	4.6
NO	47.6	43.1	50.0	54.1	44.6	44.6	49.2	46.2	49.9	52.1	49.2	51.8
	* 3.6	5.8	4.8	12.8	4.2	4.2	4.8	4.5	6.1	10.5	5.7	5.4
A/A	26.7	29.5	22.8	30.5	34.5	34.5	34.1	26.1	27.9	27.9	23.1	23.4
	* 2.5	5.1	3.0	12.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	2.6	6.0	7.6	2.8	6.3
Sample size	3,048	768	762	76	709	733	1,939	803	306	1,051	346	476
Weighted total	254,038	77,386	134,040	6,729	18,892	16,990	168,830	70,524	14,684	95,786	39,866	43,550

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_32H (cont.)

Available jobs too far away  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	25.8% * 3.1	26.5% 4.4	29.6% 5.7	26.4% 4.4	3.5% 2.4
NO	47.6 * 3.9	47.6 6.5	65.7 3.8	35.8 4.4	16.4 5.6
N/A	26.6 * 2.8	25.9 4.7	4.7 1.5	37.8 3.7	80.1 6.0
Sample size	2,261	744	1,389	1,385	260
Weighted total	189,414	60,539	115,580	112,392	24,404

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32W

Member's job makes working too difficult  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	18.9%	19.6%	20.0%	8.9%	14.9%	21.8%	15.6%	21.8%	12.9%	15.1%	21.3%	18.0%
	* 2.2	3.5	3.1	7.2	3.3	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.4	4.9	3.4	5.5
NO	54.7	51.0	57.5	61.6	50.5	52.3	50.4	52.3	59.6	57.3	55.9	59.0
	* 2.7	5.3	3.2	11.6	4.1	2.8	4.5	2.8	6.4	7.2	3.3	7.8
W/A	26.4	29.3	22.5	29.5	34.6	25.9	33.9	25.9	27.5	27.7	22.7	23.0
	* 2.4	5.1	3.0	12.9	3.9	2.6	3.8	2.6	5.9	7.5	2.7	6.2
Sample size	3,067	775	769	77	709	1,954	737	1,954	805	308	1,060	349
Weighted total	256,331	77,830	135,641	6,959	18,945	170,034	17,056	170,034	71,476	14,821	97,065	40,472
												43,885

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_32M (cont.)

Member's job makes working too difficult  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	23.1%	6.5%	16.7%	25.2%	1.5%
	* 2.7	2.8	2.7	3.8	1.6
NO	50.6	67.6	78.6	37.6	18.9
	* 2.8	5.5	2.5	4.2	5.8
N/A	26.3	25.9	4.7	37.3	79.6
	* 2.8	4.8	1.5	3.7	6.2
Sample size	2,278	747	1,393	1,399	261
Weighted total	191,763	60,496	116,198	113,914	24,556

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_33A

Time worked in paid employment - 1985  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
				Officer								TDA
DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL	30.2%	29.3%	29.6%	38.9%	24.3%	24.3%	41.4%	31.2%	28.7%	26.4%	31.4%	29.2%
	* 2.6	4.4	3.6	13.8	4.5	4.5	3.6	3.5	3.7	10.2	4.4	5.8
												4.5
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS	14.9	16.7	14.8	9.7	13.4	13.4	12.0	15.1	14.1	17.0	16.5	17.2
	* 1.8	3.6	2.5	6.1	2.7	2.7	1.9	2.1	3.9	3.1	3.4	6.2
												3.8
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS	54.8	54.0	55.5	51.3	62.3	62.3	46.6	53.7	57.3	56.7	52.1	53.6
	* 2.1	3.8	4.0	15.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	2.5	4.7	8.1	4.2	6.4
												4.4
Sample size	3,080	762	773	84	718	718	743	1,978	802	300	1,056	352
												485
Weighted total	256,858	77,039	135,773	7,905	18,876	18,876	17,265	171,957	69,843	15,058	96,011	40,669
												44,613

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_33A (cont.)

Time worked in paid employment-1985  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL	33.8%	19.6%	22.0%	42.0%	11.9%
	* 3.2	4.3	2.4	5.3	5.4
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS	15.1	15.1	15.8	16.2	4.4
	* 2.3	3.7	2.6	3.1	3.2
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS	51.2	65.3	62.1	41.8	83.6
	* 2.7	5.2	3.5	3.8	4.8
Sample size	2,288	751	1,395	1,409	262
Weighted total	192,089	60,727	115,946	114,689	24,517

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_338

Time worked in paid employment - 1986  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL	27.1%	22.4%	28.4%	30.5%	24.6%	28.1%	38.8%	28.1%	25.3%	23.7%	26.0%	29.1%
	* 2.6	3.7	4.0	10.5	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.4	4.8	5.8	4.4	6.1
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS	16.2	17.1	16.4	13.5	15.3	16.3	13.7	16.3	15.6	18.4	19.0	15.3
	* 2.5	3.1	3.6	7.9	2.7	3.2	2.6	3.2	5.1	4.2	4.7	5.9
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS	56.7	60.5	55.2	55.9	60.0	55.6	47.6	55.6	59.1	57.8	54.9	55.6
	* 2.2	4.1	3.4	12.2	4.0	2.7	4.0	2.7	4.1	7.2	3.7	7.9
Sample size	3,083	764	781	84	720	1,979	734	1,979	802	302	1,059	357
Weighted total	258,890	77,336	137,567	7,905	19,017	173,099	17,065	173,099	70,545	15,247	96,748	41,101
												45,689

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_338 (cont.)

Time worked in paid employment-1986  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL	31.2%	14.5%	18.3%	40.6%	5.9%
	* 3.0	4.1	2.7	5.1	3.5
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS	17.2	14.1	15.8	18.9	6.7
	* 2.9	3.4	2.3	4.2	4.3
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS	51.6	71.4	65.9	40.5	87.3
	* 2.8	5.3	3.2	3.8	4.8
Sample size	2,290	752	1,403	1,403	263
Weighted total	194,099	60,749	117,344	115,286	24,554

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_33C

Time worked in paid employment-1987  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL	27.1%	21.8%	29.0%	30.5%	25.9%	27.2%	36.7%	27.2%	27.0%	26.9%	25.5%	29.8%
	* 2.3	3.7	3.2	10.3	3.4	2.9	3.9	2.9	4.5	5.2	4.4	6.9
												5.2
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS	16.3	18.2	15.8	10.5	17.5	17.7	12.2	17.7	12.3	18.3	19.0	16.4
	* 1.9	3.2	3.1	6.3	3.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.6	5.4	4.9	4.5
												3.5
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS	56.6	60.0	55.2	59.0	56.6	55.1	51.2	55.1	60.7	54.8	55.6	53.9
	* 2.5	4.2	3.7	11.3	4.0	2.9	5.2	2.9	5.4	7.0	4.1	6.3
												6.3
Sample size	3,101	778	785	85	718	1,987	735	1,987	809	305	1,070	356
												487
Weighted total	261,020	78,581	138,345	8,020	18,956	174,561	17,116	174,561	71,060	15,399	98,506	41,009
												45,557

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_33C (cont.)

Time worked in paid employment-1987  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL	32.7%	10.0%	14.5%	44.3%	5.5%
	* 2.9	2.3	2.5	4.2	3.5
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS	17.0	14.7	15.9	19.9	1.7
	* 2.3	3.0	2.7	3.6	1.7
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS	50.3	75.3	69.7	35.8	92.8
	* 3.1	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.6
Sample size	2,301	757	1,410	1,414	263
Weighted total	195,310	61,522	118,131	116,627	24,554

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_330

Time worked in paid employment-1988  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat		
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL	29.5%	29.7%	29.0%	27.0%	30.6%	30.0%	32.2%	30.0%	28.6%	27.3%	32.0%	28.2%	24.0%
	* 2.5	3.8	4.1	11.3	3.8	3.3	5.3	3.3	4.3	3.8	3.8	6.3	5.1
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS	17.3	18.9	17.1	9.8	16.1	18.0	16.2	18.0	15.8	15.5	17.2	25.1	13.9
	* 2.1	3.4	2.9	6.0	2.9	2.3	2.9	2.3	5.4	3.9	3.2	7.4	3.1
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS	53.2	51.4	53.9	63.2	53.3	51.9	51.5	51.9	55.6	57.2	50.8	46.7	62.1
	* 2.9	5.1	4.0	12.7	4.7	3.5	5.4	3.5	5.8	3.5	3.9	7.3	5.1
Sample size	3,090	775	787	82	718	1,982	728	1,982	802	306	1,059	357	490
Weighted total	261,142	78,282	139,217	7,793	18,926	175,107	16,924	175,107	70,645	15,391	97,827	41,413	45,311

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_33D (cont.)

Time worked in paid employment-1988  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
DID NOT WORK IN PAID EMPLOYMENT AT ALL	34.9%	11.8%	10.5%	54.0%	6.1%
	* 3.1	3.1	2.0	3.9	3.5
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT < 6 MONTHS	19.1	12.1	17.5	20.3	0.3
	* 2.6	2.9	3.1	3.0	0.7
WORKED IN PAID EMPLOYMENT 6+ MONTHS	46.1	76.1	72.0	25.7	93.6
	* 3.3	4.4	3.3	3.9	3.5
Sample size	2,290	758	1,417	1,395	264
Weighted total	195,737	61,399	118,700	116,036	24,700

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_37

Work preference at present time  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
NOT WORKING FOR PAY	11.3%	9.4%	10.4%	15.1%	16.1%		19.8%	12.0%	9.7%	9.9%	11.2%	10.1%
	* 1.7	1.9	2.9	8.1	3.0		2.3	2.3	2.5	5.0	3.6	4.1
SERVING ON ACTIVE DUTY	6.8	8.3	6.0	2.5	10.3		4.4	6.4	7.3	9.5	4.0	6.3
	* 1.3	3.1	1.4	3.5	3.1		1.5	1.3	3.4	4.7	1.7	2.8
WORKING FT IN CIVILIAN JOB, NOT A CAREER	7.5	9.2	7.8	5.3	3.4		2.6	7.4	8.0	5.9	7.6	8.9
	* 1.2	1.7	2.0	5.5	1.5		1.4	1.5	2.2	3.5	2.2	2.4
WORKING PT IN CIVILIAN JOB, NOT A CAREER	10.5	10.3	10.6	15.2	7.4		11.4	10.7	10.2	8.9	10.6	14.3
	* 1.8	2.2	2.5	7.9	2.2		2.1	2.1	4.5	2.1	3.2	5.1
WORKING FULL-TIME IN A CIVILIAN CAREER	45.9	48.9	47.5	34.9	37.7		34.1	45.3	46.7	50.1	48.1	43.1
	* 2.1	3.3	3.6	9.1	3.2		4.1	2.9	3.0	2.4	4.2	5.2
WORKING PART-TIME IN A CIVILIAN CAREER	18.0	14.0	17.6	27.0	25.1		27.8	18.2	18.1	15.7	18.4	17.3
	* 2.1	2.4	2.8	11.9	4.0		3.1	2.7	4.0	5.4	3.2	4.1
Sample size	3,181	796	807	86	738		754	2,040	821	320	1,090	366
Weighted total	268,305	80,099	143,115	8,119	19,455		17,517	179,701	72,287	16,317	100,782	42,079

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_37 (cont.)

Work preference at present time  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
NOT WORKING FOR PAY	12.8% * 2.4	6.3% 2.5	6.6% 1.9	16.6% 3.3	7.3% 6.6
SERVING ON ACTIVE DUTY	5.1 * 1.3	12.8 3.9	3.1 1.1	3.0 1.2	44.5 11.6
WORKING FT IN CIVILIAN JOB, NOT A CAREER	7.6 * 1.4	6.6 2.2	5.7 1.7	10.0 2.5	4.1 3.4
WORKING PT IN CIVILIAN JOB, NOT A CAREER	11.0 * 2.0	9.2 3.4	6.5 2.0	16.1 2.8	2.0 2.2
WORKING FULL-TIME IN A CIVILIAN CAREER	43.0 * 2.7	54.3 3.7	60.1 3.4	33.3 3.1	38.0 6.8
WORKING PART-TIME IN A CIVILIAN CAREER	20.5 * 2.5	10.8 2.5	18.1 2.7	20.9 3.1	4.2 2.8
Sample size	2,367	769	1,416	1,483	266
Weighted total	201,867	61,990	118,887	123,246	24,253

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_WTUK

Spouse employed/wants/doesn't want work  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
Employed	45.0%	38.2%	47.7%	58.9%	43.0%	43.0%	50.7%	43.1%	48.2%	52.2%	44.7%	45.7%
	* 3.4	5.1	4.5	13.9	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.2	6.6	7.4	4.5	7.7
Want to work	36.7	42.0	36.1	27.0	29.8	29.8	29.1	38.5	33.4	31.5	41.1	37.7
	* 3.2	4.8	4.1	11.8	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.8	6.7	6.4	5.2	7.2
Don't want to work	7.5	6.3	6.4	9.7	13.6	13.6	14.8	7.8	7.2	6.5	8.1	7.2
	* 1.5	1.7	2.6	6.4	2.7	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.7	1.5	2.9	3.3
On active duty	9.4	11.7	8.3	4.4	13.2	13.2	5.3	9.4	9.3	9.2	4.6	7.2
	* 2.1	4.8	1.9	4.5	2.7	2.7	1.7	2.5	5.0	1.5	1.3	3.8
Want to be on active duty	1.4	1.9	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.1	1.3	1.9	0.6	1.6	2.2
	* 0.6	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.6	1.6	1.0	1.0	2.4
Sample size	3,238	809	832	86	747	747	764	2,074	838	326	1,109	373
Weighted total	274,384	81,745	147,086	8,119	19,703	19,703	17,731	183,402	74,369	16,614	102,482	43,648
												47,677

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_VTUK (cont.)

Spouse employed/wants/doesn't want work  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
Employed	42.6% * 3.8	53.0% 5.4	100.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0	0.0% 0.0
Want to work	40.3 * 3.6	24.9 5.4	0.0 0.0	80.3 3.0	0.0 0.0
Don't want to work	9.1 * 2.1	2.6 1.1	0.0 0.0	16.6 3.3	0.0 0.0
On active duty	6.5 * 1.7	18.4 5.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	100.0 0.0
Want to be on active duty	1.5 * 0.7	1.2 1.1	0.0 0.0	3.0 1.2	0.0 0.0
Sample size	2,405	784	1,461	1,483	278
Weighted total	205,858	63,718	123,548	123,246	25,670

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_FTN

Want to work FT/PT/not now  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	MCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
Want to work full-time	53.4%	58.0%	55.4%	40.2%	41.1%	36.7%	52.7%	54.7%	56.1%	55.7%	52.0%	54.3%
	* 2.0	3.1	3.5	10.7	3.2	4.5	2.8	3.1	3.5	4.1	5.5	5.2
Want to work part-time	28.5	24.3	28.2	42.2	32.5	39.2	28.9	28.3	24.6	29.1	31.6	23.7
	* 2.4	2.8	3.6	9.7	4.0	3.3	3.1	4.5	6.1	3.6	6.0	5.4
Don't want to work	11.3	9.4	10.4	15.1	16.1	19.8	12.0	9.7	9.9	11.2	10.1	11.8
	* 1.7	1.9	2.9	8.1	3.0	2.3	2.3	2.5	5.0	3.6	4.1	3.7
Want to be on active duty	6.8	8.3	6.0	2.5	10.3	4.4	6.4	7.3	9.5	4.0	6.3	10.3
	* 1.3	3.1	1.4	3.5	3.1	1.5	1.3	3.4	4.7	1.7	2.8	4.4
Sample size	3,181	796	807	86	738	754	2,040	821	320	1,090	366	505
Weighted total	268,305	80,099	143,115	8,119	19,455	17,517	179,701	72,287	16,317	100,782	42,079	46,509

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_FTM (cont.)

Want to work FT/PT/not now  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
Want to work full-time	50.6%	60.9%	65.7%	43.3%	42.0%
	* 2.8	4.0	3.4	3.5	7.5
Want to work part-time	31.5	20.0	24.6	37.0	6.2
	* 2.8	3.9	3.1	3.8	3.1
Don't want to work	12.8	6.3	6.6	16.6	7.3
	* 2.4	2.5	1.9	3.3	6.6
Want to be on active duty	5.1	12.8	3.1	3.0	44.5
	* 1.3	3.9	1.1	1.2	11.6
Sample size	2,367	769	1,416	1,483	266
Weighted total	201,867	61,990	118,887	123,246	24,253

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_CRW

Want to have job/career at present time  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
Want to have a career now	64.0%	62.9%	65.1%	61.9%	62.8%	61.9%	61.9%	63.5%	64.8%	65.9%	66.5%	60.4%
	* 2.5	3.9	3.9	14.7	4.9	2.8	2.8	3.0	5.4	4.1	4.5	5.5
Want a job, not a career	18.0	19.4	18.4	20.5	10.8	14.0	14.0	18.1	18.2	14.8	18.3	23.2
	* 2.4	2.9	3.3	9.0	3.0	2.3	2.3	2.8	5.3	2.2	3.7	5.1
Don't want to work	11.3	9.4	10.4	15.1	16.1	19.8	19.8	12.0	9.7	9.9	11.2	10.1
	* 1.7	1.9	2.9	8.1	3.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	5.0	3.6	4.1
Want to be on active duty	6.8	8.3	6.0	2.5	10.3	4.4	4.4	6.4	7.3	9.5	4.0	6.3
	* 1.3	3.1	1.4	3.5	3.1	1.5	1.5	1.3	3.4	4.7	1.7	2.8
Sample size	3,181	796	807	86	738	754	754	2,040	821	320	1,090	366
Weighted total	268,305	80,099	143,115	8,119	19,455	17,517	17,517	179,701	72,287	16,317	100,782	42,079
												505
												46,509

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_CRN (cont.)

Want to have job/career at present time  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
Want to have a career now	63.5% * 2.9	65.1% 4.2	78.2% 2.8	54.2% 3.3 42.2% 7.1
Want a job, not a career	18.6 * 2.6	15.8 3.9	12.1 2.6	26.1 3.3 6.0 5.2
Don't want to work	12.8 * 2.4	6.3 2.5	6.6 1.9	16.6 3.3 7.3 6.6
Want to be on active duty	5.1 * 1.3	12.8 3.9	3.1 1.1	3.0 1.2 44.5 11.6
Sample size	2,367	769	1,416	1,483 266
Weighted total	201,867	61,990	118,887	123,246 24,253

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_38

Work preference in 5 years  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
	Junior	Company	Field								
	Enlisted	Grade	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
Total											
NOT WORKING FOR PAY	55.8%	47.8%	55.4%	--	54.1%	82.9%	62.1%	42.3%	49.2%	65.6%	41.7%
	* 9.1	15.2	11.6		9.6	5.8	9.6	19.0	25.8	17.5	17.8
SERVING ON ACTIVE DUTY	44.2	52.2	44.6	--	45.9	17.1	37.9	57.7	50.8	34.4	58.3
	* 9.1	15.2	11.6		9.6	5.8	9.6	19.0	25.8	17.5	17.8
Sample size	445	84	100	11	137	113	296	92	57	112	81
Weighted total	34,203	9,028	18,429	755	3,538	2,454	22,328	9,091	2,785	10,226	7,775

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_38 (cont.)

Work preference in 5 years  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
NOT WORKING FOR PAY	61.0%	43.2%	73.4%	75.3%	13.3%
	* 11.1	12.7	10.2	12.4	12.2
SERVING ON ACTIVE DUTY	39.0	56.8	26.6	24.7	86.7
	* 11.1	12.7	10.2	12.4	12.2
Sample size	293	141	141	180	122
Weighted total	23,222	10,489	11,400	12,201	10,439

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_39

Job opportunity in 5 yrs-member in Army  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
VERY GOOD	10.8%	10.2%	11.2%	10.6%	11.4%	8.8%	9.6%	12.7%	15.6%	10.5%	11.1%	10.7%
	* 1.6	2.4	2.5	7.1	2.4	2.1	1.9	3.5	3.8	2.5	3.2	3.6
GOOD	20.7	19.4	21.4	16.6	24.7	18.2	20.6	19.9	24.7	22.4	15.7	24.2
	* 1.8	3.0	3.2	10.2	3.7	3.1	2.3	3.3	5.4	3.2	4.3	5.2
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	21.5	19.0	23.7	19.8	20.1	17.3	20.7	23.1	23.1	20.8	22.2	19.6
	* 1.6	2.5	3.1	11.9	3.2	2.6	1.8	3.5	5.8	3.0	5.0	4.7
BAD	13.6	11.6	13.2	9.7	21.5	18.6	14.9	11.4	7.8	13.9	12.0	12.6
	* 1.5	3.0	2.0	6.3	5.1	3.2	1.9	2.1	4.3	2.0	4.8	3.7
VERY BAD	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.2	5.6	7.3	6.3	6.3	3.5	6.4	6.2	4.8
	* 1.4	2.0	2.3	5.1	1.6	1.8	1.5	3.5	1.3	2.2	3.9	2.5
MEMBER WILL NOT BE IN ARMY	23.4	30.3	20.8	32.1	9.3	24.2	23.3	24.0	21.8	21.4	30.2	24.3
	* 2.3	3.9	3.2	7.4	2.4	4.0	2.7	5.1	7.5	4.2	5.8	5.7
I DO NOT WANT TO HAVE A JOB	4.0	3.5	3.6	5.1	7.4	5.5	4.6	2.7	3.5	4.5	2.6	3.8
	* 1.4	1.2	2.2	4.5	2.1	1.6	1.9	1.3	2.0	3.2	1.9	2.2
Sample size	3,213	813	818	86	739	757	2,057	831	325	1,110	365	512
Weighted total	271,779	81,636	144,959	8,154	19,421	17,609	181,906	73,373	16,500	102,592	42,463	47,055

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_39 (cont.)

Job opportunity in 5 yrs-member in Army  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	10.9%	10.7%	11.6%	8.5%
	* 1.9	3.1	2.4	2.2
				6.5
GOOD	21.4	18.5	19.2	21.5
	* 2.2	3.1	2.9	3.3
				6.8
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	22.1	19.6	20.9	23.1
	* 2.1	4.3	2.8	2.7
				6.2
BAD	14.0	12.2	15.3	13.0
	* 1.7	2.5	2.3	2.5
				4.4
VERY BAD	6.0	6.2	7.4	5.7
	* 1.4	2.8	1.9	1.7
				2.5
MEMBER WILL NOT BE IN ARMY	21.7	28.5	23.1	22.4
	* 2.5	4.6	4.1	3.6
				9.4
I DO NOT WANT TO HAVE A JOB	3.8	4.4	2.6	5.9
	* 1.6	2.0	1.0	2.5
				1.2
				1.7
Sample size	2,390	777	1,432	1,496
				268
Weighted total	204,056	63,258	121,374	123,912
				24,494

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_40

Job opportunity in 5 yrs-member civilian  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	2.4%	2.8%	2.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.6%	0.6%	2.7%	1.5%	4.0%	3.3%	1.8%
	* 0.7	1.1	1.4	0.0	0.7	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.9	3.6	1.4	1.6
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	5.8	6.4	6.4	1.8	3.6	2.6	2.6	4.9	7.3	10.1	5.3	9.4
	* 1.2	2.1	2.3	2.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5	5.0	1.8	3.0
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	44.1	41.0	48.0	41.4	35.1	37.0	37.0	43.2	46.5	42.5	43.4	39.5
	* 2.7	4.4	3.5	13.4	4.6	4.0	4.0	3.4	4.7	6.5	4.3	6.2
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	24.1	22.8	21.8	39.5	33.5	30.9	30.9	25.7	19.9	24.5	24.6	25.3
	* 1.7	3.6	2.8	14.8	4.7	4.1	4.1	2.2	2.8	5.4	2.7	4.4
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	18.1	22.0	16.0	11.0	18.3	19.6	19.6	17.1	21.3	13.8	18.0	19.9
	* 1.9	4.4	2.5	6.3	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.2	4.0	4.9	3.5	5.2
DON'T WANT TO WORK 5 YEARS FROM NOW	5.6	4.9	5.1	6.4	8.6	9.2	9.2	6.4	3.6	5.0	5.5	4.1
	* 1.3	1.2	2.2	4.7	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.3	1.1	3.1	2.2
Sample size	3,225	812	820	87	740	766	766	2,065	836	324	1,110	370
Weighted total	272,300	81,630	145,204	8,177	19,471	17,818	17,818	182,179	73,729	16,392	102,558	42,960

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_40 (cont.)

Job opportunity in 5 yrs-member civilian  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Children Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	--------------------------------	-----------------	-------------------

MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	2.6% * 0.8	1.9% 1.2	1.8% 0.9	3.2% 1.2	1.7% 2.6
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	6.4 * 1.5	3.8 1.5	7.1 1.8	5.2 1.6	3.0 2.0
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	44.9 * 2.8	43.2 5.7	44.3 3.7	41.9 3.4	53.8 10.9
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	23.8 * 2.2	24.3 4.6	24.3 3.2	24.8 2.9	17.7 5.0
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	17.0 * 1.9	20.8 4.8	18.2 2.4	17.7 3.0	20.4 9.6
DON'T WANT TO WORK 5 YEARS FROM NOW	5.3 * 1.7	6.0 1.9	4.4 1.6	7.1 2.5	3.3 2.8

Sample size	2,403	778	1,438	1,504	267
-------------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total	204,602	63,333	121,421	124,341	24,565
----------------	---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41A

Want to work for basic expenses  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	40.5%	52.7%	40.3%	27.8%	20.6%	14.4%	42.6%	35.6%	40.2%	41.3%	42.3%	46.1%
	* 2.7	4.0	4.2	9.8	3.4	3.2	3.8	3.3	7.5	5.2	6.4	5.5
VERY IMPORTANT	26.8	27.6	29.1	18.6	18.8	16.5	27.1	27.1	22.1	26.8	28.9	26.8
	* 3.3	4.5	4.5	9.8	3.4	3.4	4.6	4.2	4.8	5.3	5.7	5.7
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	19.0	12.3	19.6	34.5	27.7	28.7	17.8	20.9	24.6	20.0	15.6	14.3
	* 2.1	2.8	2.8	13.5	4.4	4.8	2.8	3.3	4.2	3.5	4.4	4.7
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	7.0	3.5	6.0	12.2	15.6	19.0	6.3	8.9	5.7	6.2	8.8	6.5
	* 1.0	1.0	1.7	8.2	3.1	2.9	1.1	2.2	2.0	1.5	4.1	2.4
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	3.6	1.0	2.5	5.0	11.5	14.7	3.0	4.8	4.5	3.4	2.7	2.6
	* 0.7	0.8	1.0	4.7	2.9	3.0	0.7	2.1	1.3	1.2	1.8	1.5
N/A	3.0	2.9	2.3	2.0	5.7	6.7	3.2	2.6	2.8	2.3	1.7	3.6
	* 0.7	0.9	1.1	2.7	1.6	1.7	0.9	1.2	1.6	0.8	1.3	2.2
Sample size	3,209	813	809	85	741	761	2,052	836	321	1,109	368	509
Weighted total	269,002	81,441	142,331	8,031	19,482	17,718	179,250	73,685	16,068	102,014	42,498	45,907

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41A (cont.)

Want to work for basic expenses  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	40.9% * 3.1	39.4% 5.4	42.5% 3.5	34.7% 3.3
				59.2% 8.8
VERY IMPORTANT	26.1 * 3.4	28.5 5.4	26.2 3.4	27.7 4.9
				25.5 8.2
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	18.8 * 2.4	20.3 3.3	21.0 3.0	19.0 3.4
				10.5 4.9
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	7.0 * 1.0	7.0 2.4	6.6 1.3	8.4 1.9
				2.2 1.6
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	3.8 * 0.8	3.1 1.1	3.5 1.0	4.2 1.1
				1.5 1.5
N/A	3.4 * 0.9	1.7 0.8	0.3 0.3	6.0 1.6
				1.1 1.3
Sample size	2,391	775	1,435	1,493
				265
Weighted total	202,067	62,928	120,715	122,170
				24,383

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_418

Want to work to buy extras now  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
				Officer	Officer								
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	19.3%	21.7%	20.4%	17.6%	11.6%	8.9%	20.1%	15.9%	26.4%	22.7%	15.9%	21.7%	
	* 2.0	3.5	3.4	9.4	2.8	2.1	2.7	2.2	8.9	3.7	4.7	4.1	
VERY IMPORTANT	28.6%	30.3%	27.7%	29.9%	27.2%	29.7%	28.5%	29.5%	26.3%	25.8%	33.5%	29.3%	
	* 2.4	4.4	3.0	9.8	4.0	3.4	3.0	4.3	6.8	3.3	6.9	6.3	
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	36.7%	34.9%	36.7%	41.2%	40.6%	38.7%	35.2%	40.4%	36.7%	37.0%	36.6%	37.6%	
	* 1.9	3.5	2.8	9.8	3.6	3.3	2.2	3.7	5.4	3.2	6.6	4.4	
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	9.7%	8.4%	10.1%	8.1%	11.0%	11.8%	10.5%	8.7%	5.6%	10.4%	10.2%	5.5%	
	* 1.7	2.8	2.9	5.9	4.1	2.1	2.2	2.8	2.7	3.3	3.7	2.7	
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	2.6%	1.8%	2.7%	1.3%	3.8%	4.2%	2.5%	2.9%	2.2%	1.6%	2.2%	2.3%	
	* 0.9	1.1	1.5	1.8	1.1	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.2	0.9	2.1	1.7	
N/A	3.0%	2.9%	2.3%	2.0%	5.8%	6.8%	3.2%	2.6%	2.8%	2.3%	1.7%	3.6%	
	* 0.7	0.9	1.1	2.6	1.6	1.7	0.9	1.3	1.5	0.8	1.3	2.2	
Sample size	3,201	808	810	87	739	757	2,047	834	320	1,107	368	506	
Weighted total	268,467	80,780	142,452	8,177	19,440	17,618	179,117	73,103	16,247	102,042	42,391	45,646	

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_418 (cont.)

Want to work to buy extras now  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	19.1%	19.5%	21.4%	15.5%	27.9%
	* 2.2	4.2	3.1	2.6	10.6
VERY IMPORTANT	26.8	34.8	35.2	21.7	30.2
	* 2.5	4.9	3.8	4.1	10.3
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	38.4	31.7	34.7	39.7	32.5
	* 2.5	4.9	3.0	3.6	8.4
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	9.4	10.7	6.3	13.8	6.8
	* 2.0	3.6	1.7	3.0	3.8
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	2.9	1.6	2.1	3.2	1.5
	* 1.1	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.5
N/A	3.4	1.7	0.3	6.1	1.1
	* 0.9	0.8	0.3	1.6	1.3
Sample size	2,386	773	1,435	1,487	265
Weighted total	201,864	62,710	120,951	121,800	24,383

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41C

Want to work to save money for future  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	54.3%	63.3%	54.0%	39.3%	43.0%	43.0%	35.6%	54.0%	55.6%	52.1%	59.0%	52.0%
	* 2.9	3.7	4.1	9.3	4.8	4.8	3.0	3.9	5.1	5.3	5.3	6.7
VERY IMPORTANT	30.0	25.8	30.3	40.3	37.3	37.3	33.9	29.9	30.4	29.2	27.1	31.5
	* 2.2	3.3	3.5	9.3	4.7	4.7	3.9	2.7	4.3	8.0	4.1	6.4
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	10.6	7.2	10.8	16.1	12.7	12.7	19.3	10.7	9.7	13.2	9.8	12.5
	* 1.7	2.2	2.8	7.7	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.2	2.2	8.7	3.3	4.5
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	1.6	0.7	1.9	2.3	1.0	1.0	2.9	1.7	1.5	0.7	1.7	1.8
	* 0.6	0.6	1.0	3.0	0.8	0.8	1.5	0.7	1.2	0.9	1.0	2.3
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.2	1.5	0.5	0.1	1.8	0.0	0.5
	* 0.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.3	1.1	0.4	0.1	2.4	0.0	1.0
N/A	3.0	2.9	2.3	2.0	5.7	5.7	6.7	3.2	2.7	2.8	2.4	1.8
	* 0.7	0.9	1.1	2.7	1.6	1.6	1.7	0.9	1.3	1.6	0.8	1.3
Sample size	3,209	810	810	86	742	742	761	2,054	834	321	1,108	366
Weighted total	268,571	80,940	142,355	8,057	19,509	19,509	17,710	179,653	72,937	15,982	101,946	41,982
												45,894

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41C (cont.)

Want to work to save money for future  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	54.8%	53.7%	52.5%	54.2%	65.7%
	* 3.4	5.1	4.1	3.8	7.6
VERY IMPORTANT	28.1	35.2	34.4	26.7	24.2
	* 2.6	4.7	3.8	3.1	5.1
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	11.5	8.1	10.3	11.4	7.1
	* 2.1	2.9	2.1	2.9	4.8
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	1.8	0.9	2.0	1.2	1.7
	* 0.8	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.7
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2
	* 0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2
N/A	3.4	1.7	0.3	6.0	1.1
	* 0.9	0.8	0.3	1.6	1.3
Sample size	2,393	774	1,437	1,492	265
Weighted total	201,919	62,760	120,754	122,077	24,383

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_410

Want to work to develop work skills  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCNUS	Combat	Support	TDA
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	33.0%	37.9%	32.6%	22.7%	29.4%	22.9%	32.3%	35.6%	29.3%	36.3%	33.5%	33.6%
	* 2.6	4.7	3.9	9.4	4.4	2.4	3.3	5.6	4.8	5.0	7.6	6.2
VERY IMPORTANT	35.6	32.3	37.3	35.2	37.5	35.4	35.4	36.5	33.5	35.4	37.2	34.0
	* 2.5	4.1	3.7	13.6	4.6	3.5	3.3	4.2	6.9	4.4	6.3	5.9
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	21.5	21.2	21.2	28.6	20.3	23.4	21.2	21.6	24.7	20.2	20.3	22.8
	* 2.3	4.0	3.3	8.9	3.7	2.9	2.5	5.7	8.1	3.1	5.3	6.4
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	5.1	4.8	5.0	6.3	4.7	6.5	6.0	2.6	5.1	4.6	5.4	4.1
	* 0.8	1.5	1.4	5.4	1.2	1.7	1.0	1.5	1.3	1.7	2.5	1.6
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	1.8	0.9	1.6	5.2	2.4	5.0	1.8	1.1	4.5	1.2	1.9	1.9
	* 0.7	0.5	1.1	5.8	1.4	1.8	0.9	0.9	3.2	0.8	2.3	1.4
N/A	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.0	5.8	6.7	3.2	2.7	2.8	2.4	1.8	3.6
	* 0.7	0.9	1.1	2.7	1.6	1.7	0.9	1.3	1.6	0.8	1.3	2.2
Sample size	3,198	805	806	86	741	760	2,046	832	320	1,103	364	508
Weighted total	267,490	80,558	141,648	8,152	19,471	17,661	178,683	72,839	15,969	101,436	41,566	45,830

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_41D (cont.)

Want to work to develop work skills  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	32.5% * 3.1	35.4% 5.2	34.8% 3.8	29.9% 3.1	38.8% 8.5
VERY IMPORTANT	35.2 * 2.6	36.0 5.2	35.5 3.5	37.0 4.3	29.1 9.7
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	22.1 * 2.6	20.1 3.8	21.7 2.8	20.9 3.4	24.4 7.5
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	5.2 * 1.1	4.7 1.8	5.8 1.5	4.3 1.3	5.4 3.0
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	1.7 * 0.8	2.0 1.2	1.9 0.9	1.7 1.0	1.3 1.3
N/A	3.4 * 0.9	1.7 0.8	0.3 0.3	6.1 1.6	1.1 1.4
Sample size	2,383	772	1,429	1,489	264
Weighted total	200,727	62,606	119,811	121,802	24,255

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41E

Want to work to feel good about myself  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	38.3%	42.5%	36.9%	34.9%	37.3%	33.1%	33.1%	36.4%	43.3%	35.9%	39.8%	36.7%
	* 2.7	4.6	3.9	11.8	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.2	5.9	9.1	4.5	8.0
VERY IMPORTANT	35.3	34.3	37.0	35.1	31.1	31.3	31.3	35.9	34.6	31.9	34.7	39.0
	* 1.9	3.7	3.0	8.9	3.7	3.6	3.6	2.0	4.9	6.7	3.2	8.1
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	16.1	14.4	16.2	23.1	17.8	17.6	17.6	16.3	14.7	19.7	16.2	16.2
	* 1.7	2.2	3.4	9.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.1	3.5	5.8	2.7	3.8
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	4.3	4.2	4.0	3.0	6.0	5.4	5.4	4.5	3.5	5.5	3.6	3.3
	* 0.9	1.7	1.3	3.5	1.8	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.7	3.0	1.4	2.2
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	3.0	1.7	3.6	1.9	2.1	6.0	6.0	3.6	1.3	4.2	3.3	3.1
	* 1.4	0.8	2.5	2.6	1.0	1.9	1.9	2.0	0.7	2.5	3.0	3.0
N/A	3.0	2.9	2.4	2.0	5.7	6.7	6.7	3.2	2.6	2.8	2.4	1.8
	* 0.7	0.9	1.1	2.7	1.6	1.7	1.7	0.9	1.3	1.6	0.8	1.3
Sample size	3,203	809	807	86	741	760	760	2,049	834	320	1,106	366
Weighted total	267,835	80,879	141,618	8,152	19,495	17,692	17,692	178,775	73,089	15,972	101,596	41,995
												509
												45,868

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41E (cont.)

Want to work to feel good about myself  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	36.7%	44.1%	41.2%	33.7%	46.6%
	* 3.2	4.6	3.4	3.4	10.1
VERY IMPORTANT	34.9	35.4	37.3	33.8	32.9
	* 2.2	4.2	3.8	2.7	8.0
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	16.5	15.1	16.0	16.8	13.5
	* 2.0	3.5	2.4	2.4	5.8
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	5.1	1.9	3.5	4.8	5.7
	* 1.2	1.0	1.3	1.3	5.2
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	3.5	1.8	1.8	4.8	0.3
	* 1.8	1.2	0.9	2.8	0.5
N/A	3.4	1.7	0.3	6.1	1.1
	* 0.9	0.8	0.3	1.6	1.3
Sample size	2,390	771	1,432	1,491	265
Weighted total	201,405	62,538	120,302	121,793	24,383

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41F

Want to work to meet people  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOUS	Combat	Support	Service
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	18.9%	20.5%	18.8%	13.8%	15.5%	18.3%	18.5%	18.3%	20.4%	18.7%	23.0%	16.9%
	* 2.1	3.3	3.2	7.3	3.3	2.3	2.9	2.3	4.7	7.4	3.9	5.3
												4.2
VERY IMPORTANT	29.0	28.9	29.3	33.2	27.2	27.4	27.4	27.4	32.3	31.4	29.2	33.9
	* 2.6	3.9	4.5	10.8	3.4	3.1	4.0	3.1	5.2	9.0	3.8	8.7
												31.1
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	31.5	30.0	32.4	31.4	34.4	32.3	27.9	32.3	30.9	26.1	28.2	30.8
	* 2.7	3.4	4.7	9.1	4.4	3.7	4.7	3.7	3.1	4.8	3.8	7.1
												31.5
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	12.1	14.0	11.0	14.4	12.5	13.0	11.6	13.0	10.1	12.0	10.6	12.4
	* 1.8	3.3	3.0	8.8	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.4	4.0	2.0	3.8
												5.4
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	5.4	3.7	6.2	5.2	4.7	5.8	7.9	5.8	3.7	8.9	6.5	4.2
	* 1.3	1.5	2.3	5.3	1.4	1.6	2.1	1.6	2.2	2.5	2.9	2.5
												3.5
W/A	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.0	5.7	3.2	6.7	3.2	2.6	2.8	2.4	1.8
	* 0.7	0.9	1.1	2.7	1.6	0.9	1.7	0.9	1.3	1.6	0.8	1.3
												2.2
Sample size	3,201	806	806	86	741	2,047	762	2,047	834	320	1,107	365
Weighted total	267,467	80,531	141,624	8,114	19,480	178,657	17,718	178,657	73,017	15,793	101,962	41,966
												510
												45,722

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41F (cont.)

Want to work to meet people  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	17.7%	23.2%	23.0%	15.7%	14.0%
	* 2.2	4.3	3.7	2.9	5.1
VERY IMPORTANT	28.6	29.6	33.0	26.5	21.0
	* 3.4	4.4	3.5	3.3	8.6
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	32.4	28.6	29.2	33.7	33.3
	* 3.3	3.7	3.3	4.6	7.6
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	12.7	10.9	10.1	12.2	22.3
	* 2.1	3.4	2.3	2.1	7.4
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	5.3	6.0	4.4	5.9	8.3
	* 1.5	2.2	1.3	2.4	4.5
N/A	3.4	1.7	0.3	6.1	1.1
	* 0.9	0.8	0.3	1.6	1.3
Sample size	2,385	773	1,430	1,491	265
Weighted total	200,819	62,738	119,899	121,827	24,383

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41G

Want to work to have a career  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
				Officer						Support	Support	TDA
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	34.0%	41.3%	32.7%	23.5%	25.6%	25.4%	34.3%	32.5%	37.4%	34.8%	31.5%	39.9%
	* 2.4	4.6	3.5	10.9	3.4	3.0	2.7	5.1	7.2	4.8	6.8	7.2
VERY IMPORTANT	28.5	26.3	29.5	29.5	35.5	21.9	28.8	30.1	17.6	29.5	30.1	23.6
	* 2.6	3.8	3.8	12.2	4.0	3.1	2.5	7.0	1.9	4.2	6.2	5.6
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	21.3	18.6	21.9	27.6	22.2	25.6	20.4	22.8	25.5	21.4	22.5	22.9
	* 2.4	3.0	3.5	10.8	3.3	4.2	3.1	4.0	7.0	4.0	5.3	6.1
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	9.0	7.3	9.6	12.7	7.5	12.5	9.2	7.9	11.9	8.8	10.7	5.6
	* 1.5	1.9	2.3	8.4	1.9	2.3	1.8	3.4	3.9	3.1	3.9	2.7
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	4.1	3.5	4.0	4.8	3.4	7.9	4.1	3.9	4.7	3.1	3.5	4.4
	* 1.0	1.2	1.6	4.4	1.3	2.3	1.4	1.8	2.4	1.3	2.5	2.0
N/A	3.0	2.9	2.4	2.0	5.8	6.7	3.2	2.7	2.9	2.4	1.7	3.6
	* 0.7	0.9	1.1	2.7	1.6	1.7	0.9	1.3	1.6	0.8	1.3	2.2
Sample size	3,201	808	805	85	740	763	2,050	832	319	1,106	367	508
Weighted total	267,821	80,747	141,859	7,992	19,461	17,762	179,145	72,897	15,780	101,622	42,102	46,173

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41G (cont.)

Want to work to have a career  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	32.7% * 2.6	39.1% 4.7	36.5% 3.7	28.5% 3.2 39.3% 9.3
VERY IMPORTANT	27.1 * 2.6	1.4 5.3	9 4.1	26.1 3.2 33.1 10.5
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	23.3 * 2.5	15.8 3.2	20.0 2.6	24.0 3.5 15.9 7.2
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	9.1 * 1.7	9.4 3.0	8.5 1.8	10.1 2.6 6.2 4.7
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	4.5 * 1.3	2.6 1.3	3.0 1.1	5.2 1.6 4.4 2.7
N/A	3.4 * 0.9	1.7 0.8	0.3 0.3	6.1 1.6 1.1 1.3
Sample size	2,388	772	1,435	1,486 265
Weighted total	201,388	62,575	120,325	121,756 24,383

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_41H

Want to work to contribute to society  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	17.7%	19.0%	16.7%	11.1%	18.6%	21.3%	17.6%	17.8%	17.2%	16.5%	15.0%	24.1%
	* 2.1	3.7	2.8	7.0	3.4	2.4	2.7	3.8	1.5	3.2	4.8	5.5
VERY IMPORTANT	25.4	22.9	25.3	33.5	31.1	28.1	25.3	26.2	23.4	25.4	23.6	23.0
	* 2.4	4.0	3.2	17.9	4.5	4.2	3.0	4.5	5.3	3.2	6.3	4.5
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	35.4	34.1	37.7	31.0	31.1	30.4	35.3	35.4	37.2	36.1	41.8	31.3
	* 2.2	3.6	3.7	12.0	3.3	4.0	2.8	4.3	6.0	3.7	5.3	4.4
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	12.6	15.7	11.9	12.5	9.8	7.1	13.0	12.4	9.0	13.5	12.0	12.7
	* 2.0	3.8	2.5	7.8	2.1	2.4	2.6	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.8	4.0
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	5.9	5.4	6.2	9.9	3.7	6.4	5.6	5.5	10.3	6.1	5.8	5.2
	* 1.2	2.0	2.2	8.3	1.5	1.7	1.4	2.6	4.3	1.8	2.6	2.4
N/A	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.0	5.7	6.7	3.2	2.7	2.9	2.4	1.7	3.6
	* 0.7	0.9	1.1	2.7	1.6	1.7	0.9	1.3	1.6	0.8	1.3	2.2
Sample size	3,198	806	805	86	741	760	2,047	833	318	1,103	367	507
Weighted total	267,615	80,523	141,783	8,152	19,482	17,675	179,017	72,911	15,687	101,527	42,102	45,540

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_41H (cont.)

Want to work to contribute to society  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	16.9% * 2.2	20.6% 4.7	19.7% 2.9	13.9% 3.0	25.7% 8.7
VERY IMPORTANT	24.5 * 2.5	27.4 4.4	28.2 3.5	23.3 3.0	20.9 7.5
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	37.3 * 2.6	29.5 4.3	33.0 3.5	38.0 3.7	35.6 6.2
NOT VERY IMPORTANT	12.4 * 2.3	14.0 3.3	13.7 2.0	11.7 3.0	12.4 6.2
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	5.6 * 1.2	6.8 2.7	5.1 1.5	7.0 2.2	4.3 2.8
N/A	3.4 * 0.9	1.7 0.8	0.3 0.3	6.1 1.6	1.1 1.3
Sample size	2,384	772	1,432	1,486	265
Weighted total	200,871	62,852	120,129	121,746	24,383

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_42A

#hrs provide volunteer services on-post  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
0 HOURS PER WEEK	83.3%	91.5%	83.6%	82.8%	67.7%	57.5%	86.1%	75.9%	84.9%	83.8%	83.7%	85.9%
	* 2.2	2.9	3.3	9.6	4.7	6.7	2.8	3.4	3.3	3.2	4.4	3.5
1-4 HOURS PER WEEK	11.0	4.5	11.7	10.1	23.1	24.1	9.8	14.6	8.5	11.3	11.4	8.8
	* 1.7	1.4	2.9	7.0	4.2	5.9	2.5	1.9	2.4	2.4	3.9	3.2
5-8 HOURS PER WEEK	2.9	1.8	2.3	2.8	4.5	11.4	2.0	4.8	4.0	2.9	1.5	3.2
	* 0.8	1.2	1.1	3.2	1.5	2.6	0.8	1.9	1.4	0.9	1.0	2.0
9-16 HOURS PER WEEK	1.6	1.2	1.3	2.2	2.3	4.2	1.0	3.1	1.2	1.2	2.0	1.8
	* 0.7	1.3	0.7	3.1	1.2	1.5	0.5	2.2	1.7	1.2	1.4	1.6
MORE THAN 16 HOURS PER WEEK	1.3	1.0	1.0	2.1	2.4	2.8	1.1	1.6	1.4	0.9	1.5	0.3
	* 0.6	1.3	0.8	2.2	1.7	1.3	0.6	1.4	0.9	0.6	1.4	0.3
Sample size	3,035	788	769	84	705	689	1,929	800	306	1,054	358	496
Weighted total	255,949	80,089	133,481	7,915	18,581	15,883	170,709	69,878	15,363	95,197	41,164	45,950

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_42A (cont.)

#hrs provide volunteer services on-post  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
0 HOURS PER WEEK	81.6% * 2.2	88.5% 3.7	83.9% 2.5	82.1% 3.1	86.6% 5.5
1-4 HOURS PER WEEK	11.9 * 2.1	8.6 3.0	11.8 2.3	10.8 2.5	7.9 4.6
5-8 HOURS PER WEEK	3.4 * 1.0	1.2 0.6	3.1 1.0	2.6 1.0	3.5 3.4
9-16 HOURS PER WEEK	1.9 * 1.0	0.7 0.6	0.7 0.6	2.6 1.1	1.3 1.8
MORE THAN 16 HOURS PER WEEK	1.2 * 0.5	1.0 1.7	0.6 0.4	1.9 1.2	0.7 1.1
Sample size	2,247	745	1,344	1,417	260
Weighted total	190,985	60,565	113,572	116,186	24,540

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_428

#hrs provide volunteer services off-post  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
0 HOURS PER WEEK	85.0% * 2.3	93.0% 2.1	84.6% 3.5	78.9% 11.5	79.7% 3.6	55.9% 6.6	83.7% 3.1	87.8% 2.9	87.0% 7.4	88.2% 3.0	89.7% 3.6	
1-4 HOURS PER WEEK	11.8 * 1.9	4.6 1.6	13.1 3.1	14.7 10.8	15.8 2.8	31.5 5.3	13.3 2.6	8.1 2.6	11.3 6.6	11.0 3.0	7.9 3.6	7.0 2.8
5-8 HOURS PER WEEK	1.9 * 0.6	1.2 0.7	1.4 0.9	4.4 6.4	3.4 1.6	7.1 2.3	1.7 0.5	2.6 1.6	0.9 0.8	1.1 0.6	3.4 2.5	1.2 1.0
9-16 HOURS PER WEEK	0.9 * 0.5	0.5 0.6	0.7 0.7	2.1 3.0	0.4 0.5	4.3 2.1	1.0 0.7	0.6 0.7	0.2 0.4	0.4 0.7	0.2 0.4	0.8 1.0
MORE THAN 16 HOURS PER WEEK	0.5 * 0.4	0.7 1.0	0.3 0.4	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.8	1.2 0.9	0.3 0.3	0.8 1.1	0.7 0.9	0.4 0.5	0.2 0.4	1.3 1.8
Sample size	2,889	777	750	75	646	641	1,879	713	297	1,000	341	456
Weighted total	250,183	78,767	131,765	7,297	17,245	15,109	167,433	67,243	15,508	95,670	39,790	43,126

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_428 (cont.)

#hrs provide volunteer services off-post  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
0 HOURS PER WEEK	82.7% * 2.9	91.5% 3.1	85.1% 3.4	84.2% 3.1	88.4% 4.3
1-4 HOURS PER WEEK	13.3 * 2.4	7.6 2.9	12.9 3.2	11.5 2.7	7.3 3.8
5-8 HOURS PER WEEK	2.2 * 0.7	0.9 0.7	1.7 0.8	2.3 0.9	1.1 1.3
9-16 HOURS PER WEEK	1.1 * 0.6	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.2	1.4 0.9	1.6 2.1
MORE THAN 16 HOURS PER WEEK	0.7 * 0.5	0.0 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.7 0.5	1.7 3.1
Sample size	2,129	721	1,306	1,320	251
Weighted total	185,759	60,587	112,698	112,929	23,367

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_44

Want member to stay in or leave the Army  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	MCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCO	US	Support	TDA
STRONGLY WANT MEMBER TO STAY	39.5%	29.9%	45.9%	27.4%	40.1%	35.1%	39.5%	38.9%	42.0%	39.5%	37.1%	40.2%
	* 2.9	3.1	4.4	12.0	5.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.7	5.9
SOMEWHAT WANT MEMBER TO STAY	17.2	19.6	16.4	14.9	16.6	14.4	16.9	18.1	16.3	18.7	13.7	17.9
	* 2.4	3.6	3.4	6.9	3.2	2.7	3.2	4.3	3.7	4.8	4.1	4.6
NEUTRAL	20.6	21.5	19.7	23.1	21.9	21.2	19.9	21.9	21.5	19.8	20.1	20.5
	* 2.3	3.7	3.4	11.4	3.7	2.2	2.2	6.2	6.9	2.8	5.1	6.1
SOMEWHAT WANT MEMBER TO LEAVE THE ARMY	8.9	9.5	7.4	14.0	11.0	15.1	9.3	8.2	7.8	9.0	8.7	8.5
	* 1.5	2.4	2.0	13.8	3.0	3.3	2.0	2.8	1.1	2.5	2.7	3.2
STRONGLY WANT MEMBER TO LEAVE THE ARMY	13.8	19.6	10.7	20.6	10.3	14.2	14.4	12.8	12.4	13.1	20.5	12.9
	* 1.5	4.2	2.0	8.0	2.7	2.6	1.8	3.3	4.7	2.8	5.0	3.7
Sample size	3,240	820	830	85	744	761	2,075	840	325	1,116	372	515
Weighted total	274,782	82,661	146,736	8,021	19,635	17,730	183,617	74,756	16,409	103,204	43,558	47,533

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_44 (cont.)

Want member to stay in or leave the Army  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Children Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY WANT MEMBER TO STAY	41.1%	35.0%	38.2%	40.7%
* 3.5	5.4	3.7	4.5	8.5
SOMEWHAT WANT MEMBER TO STAY	18.2	14.5	18.2	16.9
* 2.7	3.8	3.0	3.5	5.9
NEUTRAL	18.9	24.9	20.0	20.1
* 2.3	5.3	3.1	2.8	10.2
SOMEWHAT WANT MEMBER TO LEAVE THE ARMY	9.1	8.3	9.6	9.0
* 1.7	2.8	2.1	2.2	2.7
STRONGLY WANT MEMBER TO LEAVE THE ARMY	12.6	17.3	14.0	13.3
* 1.9	3.3	2.2	2.8	5.8
Sample size	2,415	780	1,445	1,507
Weighted total	206,385	63,758	122,618	124,868
				25,372

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_45

Feeling if member stayed in the Army  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
EXTREMELY GOOD	32.8%	27.3%	36.8%	25.9%	31.8%	32.4%	28.6%	32.4%	33.5%	33.4%	32.5%	30.1%
	* 2.7	3.3	4.1	12.4	3.9	3.4	4.6	3.4	5.0	7.5	4.0	5.3
QUITE GOOD	22.2	21.2	22.9	18.9	23.0	21.8	21.6	21.8	22.7	23.3	23.3	16.5
	* 2.0	3.5	3.0	7.4	3.4	2.6	3.9	2.6	3.2	5.2	3.8	3.7
SLIGHTLY GOOD	7.1	9.2	5.9	5.0	8.5	6.9	7.0	6.9	7.3	8.7	7.1	7.8
	* 1.0	2.3	1.4	5.8	2.2	1.3	2.1	1.3	1.9	2.9	1.7	3.1
NEITHER GOOD OR BAD	22.7	22.7	22.3	27.3	21.7	22.7	25.1	22.7	22.5	23.0	22.5	25.5
	* 1.9	3.6	3.2	12.9	3.4	2.3	3.4	2.3	4.4	5.6	3.9	5.6
SLIGHTLY BAD	5.8	5.3	5.7	5.1	7.3	6.7	7.3	6.7	3.7	4.9	4.8	8.1
	* 1.0	1.5	1.6	4.4	2.5	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.3	3.6	1.6	3.0
QUITE BAD	4.2	6.4	2.3	9.2	4.9	3.9	5.7	3.9	4.9	3.4	4.4	4.5
	* 0.9	2.1	1.1	7.8	1.9	1.2	2.5	1.2	1.5	2.1	1.5	1.7
EXTREMELY BAD	5.3	8.0	4.1	8.5	2.8	5.5	4.8	5.5	5.4	3.2	5.3	7.4
	* 1.2	3.1	1.6	8.1	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5	2.4	2.0	2.1	4.2
Sample size	3,234	818	830	85	742	2,070	759	2,070	839	325	1,116	372
Weighted total	274,501	82,484	146,736	8,021	19,587	183,422	17,673	183,422	74,670	16,409	103,204	43,558
												47,467

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_45 (cont.)

Feeling if member stayed in the Army  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY GOOD	33.5% * 3.3	30.4% 5.6	31.4% 3.2	34.1% 3.5	33.0% 7.0
QUITE GOOD	23.5 * 2.4	19.2 4.1	21.8 2.4	22.6 3.6	23.3 7.1
SLIGHTLY GOOD	7.0 * 1.2	7.4 2.5	7.5 1.5	7.2 1.7	4.9 2.6
NEITHER GOOD OR BAD	21.8 * 2.4	24.2 3.5	23.8 3.0	20.2 2.5	26.7 7.0
SLIGHTLY BAD	6.2 * 1.1	5.0 1.8	5.2 1.5	6.6 1.6	4.8 2.9
QUITE BAD	3.3 * 1.0	6.8 2.4	4.5 1.3	3.8 1.5	4.5 3.3
EXTREMELY BAD	4.8 * 1.4	7.1 2.8	5.7 1.8	5.5 2.1	2.8 2.4
Sample size	2,410	779	1,443	1,503	272
Weighted total	206,139	63,723	122,561	124,644	25,372

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_46

Support of member being in the Army now  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY SUPPORTIVE	70.8%	68.6%	70.5%	74.7%	73.0%	79.6%	72.0%	68.0%	71.0%	72.1%	62.0%	75.1%
	* 2.2	3.3	3.1	13.5	3.6	3.1	2.5	4.6	9.7	2.9	5.3	5.3
FAIRLY SUPPORTIVE	16.4	18.1	16.0	13.6	17.6	12.6	15.7	18.6	14.7	15.1	18.6	17.0
	* 1.8	3.1	2.8	9.6	2.7	2.3	2.1	4.0	5.9	2.7	5.7	5.0
MIXED OR NEUTRAL	10.5	10.1	11.9	7.2	7.1	6.1	10.7	9.7	12.8	10.6	16.0	6.1
	* 1.7	2.4	3.0	6.5	2.2	1.3	2.1	3.7	4.7	2.4	5.1	2.8
FAIRLY UNSUPPORTIVE	0.7	0.6	0.6	1.1	1.2	1.0	0.3	1.6	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.6
	* 0.3	0.5	0.5	2.1	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.9	1.3	0.6	0.9	0.8
VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	1.5	2.6	0.9	3.4	1.2	0.7	1.4	2.1	0.4	1.4	2.7	1.2
	* 0.6	1.5	0.8	6.1	1.3	0.7	0.6	1.5	0.4	0.9	2.5	1.2
Sample size	3,246	820	829	86	744	767	2,080	840	326	1,116	371	515
Weighted total	274,809	82,661	146,597	8,088	19,635	17,827	183,700	74,633	16,476	103,204	43,420	47,533

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_46 (cont.)

Support of member being in the Army now  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SUPPORTIVE	72.2%	67.0%	70.8%	70.4%	74.7%
	* 2.4	4.7	3.7	3.6	9.2
FAIRLY SUPPORTIVE	15.2	20.8	16.3	16.8	14.1
	* 2.0	4.9	2.8	3.1	8.0
MIXED OR NEUTRAL	10.4	10.2	10.7	10.5	10.0
	* 1.9	3.0	2.6	2.2	4.4
FAIRLY UNSUPPORTIVE	0.7	0.6	1.2	0.3	0.0
	* 0.4	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.0
VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	1.4	1.4	1.1	2.0	1.2
	* 0.7	1.3	0.8	1.2	1.7
Sample size	2,419	782	1,450	1,508	272
Weighted total	206,362	63,807	122,636	124,877	25,372

(\*) Standard Error

Support of member making Army a career  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_47 (cont.)

Support of member making Army a career  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SUPPORTIVE	63.7% * 2.9	53.5% 5.4	62.1% 3.5	59.8% 5.0 63.8% 8.4
FAIRLY SUPPORTIVE	13.9 * 2.0	15.7 3.8	14.6 2.5	14.6 2.9 9.8 7.0
MIXED OR NEUTRAL	15.2 * 2.4	17.4 4.0	15.9 2.8	15.8 2.3 16.4 5.1
FAIRLY UNSUPPORTIVE	3.1 * 1.2	4.9 1.7	3.2 1.2	3.5 0.9 5.6 4.7
VERY UNSUPPORTIVE	4.0 * 1.0	8.5 2.7	4.3 1.4	6.3 2.1 4.4 3.4
Sample size	2,414	781	1,448	1,503 273
Weighted total	206,103	63,792	122,646	124,565 25,393

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_48

Feeling if member left the Army  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TDA
EXTREMELY GOOD	11.5%	16.5%	8.6%	15.8%	9.6%	12.1%	12.2%	10.9%	6.4%	10.0%	16.9%	12.0%
	* 1.5	3.7	2.0	8.1	3.6	2.3	1.6	3.3	2.1	2.1	4.7	4.2
QUITE GOOD	11.0	10.7	9.3	24.6	14.1	16.6	11.7	9.2	11.0	11.7	10.1	10.6
	* 1.4	2.5	1.9	14.9	2.5	2.7	1.7	2.8	5.3	2.3	3.3	2.9
SLIGHTLY GOOD	7.6	8.7	6.7	6.0	10.2	8.2	7.7	7.5	7.5	7.4	9.3	6.2
	* 1.1	2.2	1.7	5.2	2.4	2.1	1.4	2.3	2.8	2.0	4.0	2.5
NEITHER GOOD OR BAD	32.9	34.4	32.4	23.9	34.4	32.0	32.6	34.6	28.4	34.0	30.9	30.5
	* 2.6	4.5	3.8	10.5	3.4	3.1	2.9	6.5	3.5	4.6	6.4	6.1
SLIGHTLY BAD	14.9	15.4	14.7	12.2	14.9	15.1	13.8	17.2	16.0	13.4	15.2	20.0
	* 1.8	2.9	2.9	6.3	3.1	2.5	2.0	4.0	3.9	2.5	5.6	4.8
QUITE BAD	10.3	7.6	11.9	11.1	10.8	8.5	10.0	10.4	12.8	10.3	8.9	9.8
	* 1.3	1.6	2.0	6.9	2.4	2.4	1.8	1.6	6.6	2.5	4.6	3.2
EXTREMELY BAD	11.8	6.6	16.3	6.4	6.0	7.5	11.9	10.2	18.1	13.1	8.8	11.0
	* 1.8	1.7	3.0	5.5	1.9	1.9	2.2	3.3	11.7	3.3	4.3	3.6
Sample size	3,222	816	828	86	740	752	2,060	836	326	1,111	369	514
Weighted total	273,777	82,228	146,445	8,088	19,514	17,500	182,692	74,608	16,476	102,934	43,280	47,447

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_48 (cont.)

Feeling if member left the Army  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXTREMELY GOOD	10.5%	14.4%	11.7%	11.2%	11.5%
	* 1.5	3.3	2.7	2.5	4.6
QUITE GOOD	10.8	11.6	11.1	11.0	11.0
	* 1.8	2.9	2.3	2.3	4.3
SLIGHTLY GOOD	7.4	8.2	7.9	7.6	6.9
	* 1.2	2.3	1.6	1.5	3.8
NEITHER GOOD OR BAD	33.1	32.3	31.3	33.1	38.2
	* 2.9	5.5	3.6	4.1	11.2
SLIGHTLY BAD	15.5	13.7	16.3	14.0	13.3
	* 2.1	4.1	2.5	2.4	5.7
QUITE BAD	10.0	10.9	10.2	10.7	8.7
	* 1.6	3.4	2.0	1.9	5.2
EXTREMELY BAD	12.8	8.8	11.5	12.5	10.4
	* 2.1	4.1	2.5	2.3	6.2
Sample size	2,400	779	1,440	1,495	271
Weighted total	205,454	63,754	122,424	124,088	25,340

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_51

Receive info on new location before move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES, WAS FURNISHED WITHOUT REQUESTING IT	31.1%	26.1%	30.1%	36.7%	35.0%	44.5%	32.3%	27.8%	33.5%	27.6%	25.2%	33.4%
	* 3.4	5.8	4.5	10.8	4.4	4.2	3.5	8.3	7.7	5.1	5.5	8.5
YES, BUT IT HAD TO BE REQUESTED	26.4	17.1	28.0	29.7	32.6	30.5	26.9	24.6	29.8	27.0	21.4	27.9
	* 2.5	4.7	3.5	9.9	5.5	3.9	3.3	4.2	5.6	3.9	9.1	5.9
NO	42.5	56.8	41.9	33.5	32.4	25.0	40.8	47.6	36.7	45.4	53.4	38.6
	* 3.6	7.1	4.3	11.5	5.3	5.2	3.8	8.8	3.9	5.8	8.4	6.9
Sample size	2,618	472	696	82	633	735	1,635	721	262	836	284	417
Weighted total	208,044	42,771	124,253	7,808	16,332	16,880	135,397	59,802	12,844	72,724	33,909	36,001

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_51 (cont.)

Receive info on new location before move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, WAS FURNISHED WITHOUT REQUESTING IT	31.5%	29.0%	32.0%	31.5%	24.1%
	* 3.6	5.6	4.8	4.4	8.9
YES, BUT IT HAD TO BE REQUESTED	26.2	28.0	28.3	25.4	21.4
	* 2.8	6.3	4.0	3.4	7.7
NO	42.3	42.9	39.6	43.0	54.6
	* 3.5	6.7	4.7	4.2	12.4
Sample size	2,054	533	1,181	1,234	190
Weighted total	168,247	37,269	94,487	96,252	15,969

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_52

Given info on new location after moved  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade		CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
				Officer	Field Grade Officer							
YES, THIS WAS FURNISHED WITHOUT REQUEST	40.0%	32.6%	40.9%	40.5%	43.2%	47.6%	39.7%	38.9%	48.2%	39.4%	31.0%	44.5%
	* 3.6	3.7	5.2	11.2	4.8	7.5	4.2	7.9	1.5	4.6	6.4	7.9
YES, BUT IT HAD TO BE REQUESTED	8.2	10.3	7.0	12.6	10.5	7.8	8.3	7.8	10.3	9.2	7.7	6.5
	* 1.4	3.1	1.8	11.1	2.6	1.5	1.8	2.2	3.5	2.7	2.9	3.1
NO	51.8	57.2	52.1	46.9	46.3	44.6	52.0	53.4	41.5	51.4	61.2	49.0
	* 3.5	4.2	4.8	17.7	4.4	7.0	4.2	7.3	2.9	5.5	7.6	8.0
Sample size	2,439	417	635	75	607	705	1,528	685	226	769	262	389
Weighted total	187,154	37,201	111,249	6,747	15,741	16,216	122,906	53,520	10,728	64,176	30,657	32,677

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_52 (cont.)

Given info on new location after moved  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, THIS WAS FURNISHED WITHOUT REQUEST	39.1%	43.7%	38.9%	37.4%	61.5%
	* 3.6	8.0	3.9	4.8	11.8
YES, BUT IT HAD TO BE REQUESTED	8.8	5.7	9.4	7.3	7.2
	* 1.8	2.4	2.3	1.8	5.7
NO	52.1	50.6	51.6	55.2	31.3
	* 3.5	7.6	4.4	4.5	12.2
Sample size	1,914	500	1,109	1,148	172
Weighted total	151,252	33,815	86,383	85,141	14,423

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_53

Move preference for last PCS assignment  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support
WANTED TO MOVE TO THAT LOCATION	50.2%	50.8%	46.8%	59.5%	57.6%	57.6%	57.6%	61.4%	49.2%	50.8%	58.9%	47.7%
	* 4.4	7.5	5.1	8.4	5.8	5.8	5.8	3.8	5.7	6.6	14.9	6.1
WANTED THAT LOCATION, NOT AT THAT TIME	7.9	7.8	8.3	7.4	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.0	5.5	13.3	8.8	7.8
	* 1.6	3.0	2.5	6.1	2.9	2.9	2.9	1.6	1.5	4.1	3.0	2.7
WANTED TO MOVE, NOT TO THAT LOCATION	24.6	26.5	26.0	15.8	22.0	22.0	22.0	16.6	28.3	17.4	18.7	26.1
	* 4.0	5.9	4.6	7.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	2.2	5.4	4.9	10.4	4.9
DIDN'T WANT TO MOVE & NOT THAT LOCATION	17.2	14.9	18.9	17.3	13.2	13.2	13.2	14.9	16.9	18.6	13.6	18.3
	* 2.3	3.3	3.7	7.8	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.8	2.8	4.5	6.7	4.8
Sample size	2,438	415	632	76	604	604	604	711	1,526	686	226	762
Weighted total	186,416	37,064	110,497	6,845	15,683	15,683	15,683	16,327	122,274	53,215	10,927	63,391
											30,372	33,165

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_53 (cont.)

Move preference for last PCS assignment  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
WANTED TO MOVE TO THAT LOCATION	51.4% * 4.7	45.9% 8.2	49.0% 5.4	50.0% 5.2
WANTED THAT LOCATION, NOT AT THAT TIME	7.6 * 1.6	10.0 5.1	9.6 3.3	6.9 2.4
WANTED TO MOVE, NOT TO THAT LOCATION	24.7 * 4.1	24.4 6.6	24.8 4.8	24.6 4.7
DIDN'T WANT TO MOVE & NOT THAT LOCATION	16.2 * 2.6	19.7 5.1	16.6 3.3	18.4 3.1
Sample size	1,914	497	1,108	1,154
Weighted total	150,650	33,572	85,953	85,513
				13,991

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_54A

Problem moving/setting up new household  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
SERIOUS PROBLEM	19.2%	18.8%	21.1%	11.5%	12.5%	17.3%	16.6%	25.9%	15.9%	18.7%	18.0%	22.1%
	* 2.5	3.7	3.9	7.8	3.7	2.8	3.4	4.0	7.7	4.0	4.7	5.9
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	27.9	30.2	25.3	31.6	32.6	34.5	28.4	26.0	31.8	24.9	31.4	27.8
	* 2.4	4.9	3.0	11.8	4.9	4.3	3.3	3.2	7.5	3.7	6.5	4.8
SLIGHT PROBLEM	22.7	20.8	23.7	15.8	27.1	18.8	23.4	22.0	18.2	23.0	25.5	17.4
	* 2.6	3.9	4.0	8.9	3.1	2.8	3.2	4.9	9.5	3.6	8.5	5.2
NOT A PROBLEM	30.0	30.0	29.8	41.0	27.8	29.4	31.6	25.5	34.1	32.9	25.1	32.7
	* 2.9	3.8	4.1	18.2	4.8	3.3	4.0	3.7	10.1	5.5	5.8	6.7
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DON'T KNOW	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
	* 0.2	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
Sample size	2,453	420	640	76	606	711	1,534	691	228	772	261	394
Weighted total	188,031	37,452	111,720	6,811	15,735	16,313	123,563	53,595	10,872	64,400	30,559	32,624

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_54A (cont.)

Problem moving/setting up new household  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
SERIOUS PROBLEM	18.8%	21.4%	18.6%	17.7%	31.9%
	* 2.7	6.0	3.7	3.3	10.8
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	29.3	22.0	27.7	28.0	28.1
	* 2.7	4.4	3.4	3.7	9.8
SLIGHT PROBLEM	22.7	23.3	23.7	22.3	17.8
	* 3.0	4.6	4.1	4.4	7.0
NOT A PROBLEM	29.0	33.0	29.9	31.8	21.5
	* 3.5	6.1	3.7	4.3	8.8
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DON'T KNOW	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.7
	* 0.2	0.6	0.2	0.3	1.3
Sample size	1,923	503	1,115	1,156	172
Weighted total	152,052	33,795	86,558	85,717	14,549

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_548

Problem with costs incurred during move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
SERIOUS PROBLEM	28.4%	30.3%	30.9%	17.9%	18.0%	20.9%	29.4%	26.4%	25.6%	23.8%	34.4%	33.3%
	* 3.2	5.2	4.7	8.8	4.9	3.8	4.1	6.3	4.2	4.3	8.7	6.3
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	28.8	26.4	29.2	22.9	28.6	34.5	28.8	27.7	34.1	28.6	22.8	28.4
	* 2.6	5.3	3.3	10.3	4.4	4.5	3.3	4.5	9.2	3.9	5.9	7.2
SLIGHT PROBLEM	20.9	19.1	19.8	29.5	26.7	24.1	21.6	19.9	19.0	23.3	18.0	18.5
	* 2.5	5.4	3.8	10.9	4.5	3.4	3.2	4.7	6.6	4.3	6.4	5.3
NOT A PROBLEM	21.5	23.6	19.8	29.0	26.5	20.1	19.9	25.0	21.1	23.5	24.8	19.7
	* 2.2	4.3	3.6	10.5	4.0	4.6	2.5	4.9	7.8	4.6	5.6	4.1
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DON'T KNOW	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.2	1.0	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.2
	* 0.4	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	1.2	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.3
Sample size	2,449	420	635	77	607	710	1,530	691	228	771	261	394
Weighted total	186,630	37,523	110,168	6,931	15,739	16,270	122,429	53,329	10,872	63,810	30,380	32,731

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_54B (cont.)

Problem with costs incurred during move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
SERIOUS PROBLEM	30.0%	21.9%	26.5%	29.0%	34.6%
	* 3.7	6.8	4.5	3.7	10.6
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	29.1	28.1	30.3	28.4	23.3
	* 3.2	5.1	4.0	3.9	8.8
SLIGHT PROBLEM	20.1	24.6	21.8	19.3	25.3
	* 2.5	5.5	4.0	3.0	9.0
NOT A PROBLEM	20.4	25.2	20.7	23.1	16.8
	* 2.4	5.5	3.1	3.2	7.6
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DON'T KNOW	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.0
	* 0.5	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.0
Sample size	1,921	502	1,115	1,153	171
Weighted total	150,732	33,746	86,458	84,448	14,517

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_54C

Problem w/children adjusting to location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
SERIOUS PROBLEM	9.2%	7.1%	10.0%	7.4%	5.5%	13.0%	8.1%	10.8%	13.5%	8.3%	10.5%	8.3%
	* 1.5	2.7	2.4	5.7	2.0	3.5	2.0	2.4	5.0	1.9	5.2	3.1
SOMENHAT OF A PROBLEM	14.2	9.2	14.9	24.9	10.3	20.6	14.8	13.5	11.8	13.2	15.9	13.8
	* 2.1	2.7	3.1	11.2	2.2	3.3	2.8	3.4	7.7	3.3	5.5	4.4
SLIGHT PROBLEM	19.4	16.2	21.5	13.6	15.0	19.4	19.4	19.9	17.1	20.1	19.8	15.1
	* 2.6	3.7	3.7	9.6	2.8	3.1	3.0	5.5	8.6	4.1	6.6	5.7
NOT A PROBLEM	32.5	32.3	33.5	36.9	26.8	30.0	34.8	28.1	27.1	33.5	28.1	36.1
	* 2.6	5.0	4.0	11.5	3.4	3.7	3.1	5.1	10.3	4.2	5.7	7.8
NOT APPLICABLE	24.5	34.9	19.9	17.2	42.4	17.0	22.7	27.2	30.4	24.7	25.7	26.8
	* 2.4	5.3	3.2	10.9	4.1	2.9	3.0	3.8	10.8	4.2	6.8	6.7
DON'T KNOW	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
	* 0.2	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Sample size	2,446	418	637	76	606	709	1,528	691	227	770	261	393
Weighted total	187,184	37,346	111,006	6,869	15,696	16,268	122,766	53,566	10,852	63,945	30,602	32,619

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_54C (cont.)

Problem w/children adjusting to location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

## SERIOUS PROBLEM

	11.1%	1.3%	10.0%	8.5%	6.8%
*	1.9	1.3	2.2	2.5	4.7

## SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM

	17.2	1.5	14.6	15.1	7.3
*	2.5	1.5	3.0	2.7	5.9

## SLIGHT PROBLEM

	23.0	3.7	18.3	21.1	15.6
*	3.0	2.6	3.5	3.8	7.3

## NOT A PROBLEM

	38.7	3.2	31.2	36.2	19.8
*	3.1	2.4	3.9	4.1	7.4

## NOT APPLICABLE

	9.9	90.2	25.9	18.7	50.5
*	1.8	3.4	2.9	3.1	10.9

## DON'T KNOW

	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
*	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0

## Sample size

	1,919	501	1,110	1,155	171
--	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

## Weighted total

	151,353	33,679	86,257	85,203	14,517
--	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_540

Problem w/member adjusting to location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCO	US	Support	TDA
SERIOUS PROBLEM	4.5%	4.2%	5.1%	2.5%	2.6%	3.9%	4.7%	3.8%	5.7%	3.3%	3.4%	4.1%
	* 1.5	2.2	2.5	3.6	1.5	1.3	2.1	1.9	2.5	1.7	3.2	2.2
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	11.1	14.3	10.0	9.3	11.3	12.0	10.8	11.8	10.4	12.7	10.5	12.6
	* 1.6	3.6	2.2	5.4	6.2	2.5	2.0	3.2	8.6	2.7	4.4	5.1
SLIGHT PROBLEM	17.2	22.8	16.1	14.1	17.5	13.2	16.0	19.0	22.3	16.7	23.8	17.3
	* 2.2	4.8	3.0	9.3	3.4	2.7	3.0	3.0	5.4	4.3	6.0	3.7
NOT A PROBLEM	64.9	55.8	66.2	74.0	67.0	70.5	66.8	62.0	57.5	65.3	58.6	63.4
	* 3.1	5.4	4.1	10.1	6.8	4.5	3.8	6.2	8.9	5.4	7.3	6.3
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DON'T KNOW	2.3	2.9	2.6	0.0	1.6	0.3	1.7	3.3	4.0	2.0	3.7	2.7
	* 0.9	2.1	1.4	0.0	1.0	0.4	1.0	2.4	4.8	1.7	3.2	2.2
Sample size	2,445	418	636	77	606	708	1,529	689	227	771	262	390
Weighted total	186,845	37,126	110,832	6,931	15,693	16,263	122,548	53,517	10,780	63,785	30,679	32,263

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_54D (cont.)

Problem w/member adjusting to location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
SERIOUS PROBLEM	4.3%	5.2%	4.5%	4.4%	5.0%
	* 1.8	2.8	2.6	1.7	3.5
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	11.0	11.5	10.4	11.1	13.4
	* 2.0	3.9	2.5	2.2	9.3
SLIGHT PROBLEM	17.2	18.5	17.4	16.2	21.1
	* 2.7	4.1	3.3	3.6	8.7
NOT A PROBLEM	65.9	59.3	66.0	65.3	58.8
	* 3.8	5.5	4.3	4.9	11.2
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DON'T KNOW	1.6	5.4	1.7	3.0	1.7
	* 0.8	3.0	1.1	1.5	2.6
Sample size	1,919	501	1,111	1,154	170
Weighted total	151,143	33,584	86,236	85,126	14,276

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_54E

Problem adjusting to location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Support	Service	TDA
SERIOUS PROBLEM	8.9%	10.7%	9.2%	1.6%	8.3%	6.9%	8.5%	9.1%	12.8%	8.9%	9.9%	7.8%
	* 1.6	3.4	2.3	3.2	2.6	1.9	1.9	3.3	3.0	3.1	4.6	2.8
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	16.2	22.7	13.3	18.7	17.6	18.8	15.3	18.5	15.3	17.9	19.0	18.4
	* 2.3	4.8	2.7	10.9	5.5	2.6	3.2	3.6	4.1	4.6	6.9	4.4
SLIGHT PROBLEM	27.8	27.9	28.1	28.8	29.8	23.1	27.6	27.7	30.6	26.6	28.6	26.2
	* 2.2	4.6	3.6	10.3	3.8	3.6	2.4	5.1	9.9	4.1	7.1	7.3
NOT A PROBLEM	47.0	38.7	49.3	50.9	44.3	51.2	48.6	44.5	41.3	46.4	42.5	47.6
	* 2.8	5.0	4.0	10.2	5.5	4.1	3.8	3.9	8.1	5.8	7.5	7.8
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DON'T KNOW	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
	* 0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Sample size	2,436	418	632	77	604	705	1,526	685	225	771	261	386
Weighted total	186,294	37,245	110,256	6,931	15,677	16,184	122,344	53,201	10,749	63,810	30,555	32,061

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_54E (cont.)

Problem adjusting to location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
SERIOUS PROBLEM	8.9%	8.6%	9.0%	9.9%	4.1%
* 1.8	3.1	2.2	2.9	4.1	
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	15.6	18.5	15.6	17.1	13.7
* 2.5	5.4	2.9	3.5	8.0	
SLIGHT PROBLEM	27.6	29.6	28.5	27.6	22.5
* 2.5	6.2	3.2	4.0	10.1	
NOT A PROBLEM	47.8	43.3	46.9	45.3	59.7
* 3.0	5.5	4.2	4.2	12.2	
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DON'T KNOW	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
* 0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	
Sample size	1,910	500	1,109	1,148	169
Weighted total	150,749	33,393	85,912	84,716	14,459

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP 54F

Problem finding employment at location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
SERIOUS PROBLEM	20.6%	22.4%	21.6%	18.2%	17.9%	12.8%	22.0%	18.1%	16.4%	21.4%	17.0%	22.5%
	* 2.6	4.3	4.0	9.0	4.1	2.5	3.5	4.0	7.2	4.9	5.3	6.5
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	15.6	16.1	15.4	20.8	14.3	15.1	14.9	17.8	12.6	18.1	11.9	15.6
	* 2.4	4.6	2.9	13.9	3.3	3.0	2.9	4.9	3.3	3.8	5.1	3.9
SLIGHT PROBLEM	12.8	12.2	13.9	12.7	10.8	8.7	11.1	16.2	15.3	12.2	19.5	8.5
	* 2.7	3.6	4.2	8.1	2.8	1.9	2.3	7.4	6.0	4.5	11.7	2.5
NOT A PROBLEM	21.0	19.0	21.4	16.3	19.7	26.3	20.4	20.8	29.0	20.0	23.6	23.2
	* 3.1	4.7	4.3	6.6	3.4	4.4	4.1	4.3	13.1	4.0	8.6	6.4
NOT APPLICABLE	29.0	29.1	26.7	30.8	36.6	36.6	30.8	25.3	26.6	27.1	26.2	29.9
	* 2.8	5.0	3.8	14.0	3.9	4.9	3.3	5.6	5.7	4.1	8.9	5.8
DON'T KNOW	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.8	1.7	0.1	1.2	1.8	0.4
	* 0.5	1.0	0.9	2.5	0.8	0.7	0.6	1.2	0.2	1.0	2.0	0.6
Sample size	2,442	417	635	76	606	708	1,528	686	228	770	260	391
Weighted total	186,902	37,276	110,816	6,869	15,697	16,245	122,846	53,183	10,872	63,879	30,478	32,364

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_54F (cont.)

Problem finding employment at location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
SERIOUS PROBLEM	19.5%	24.6%	21.4%	22.9%	1.7%
	* 3.0	4.6	3.8	3.8	3.3
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	15.7	15.7	21.6	11.3	5.5
	* 2.7	4.2	3.3	2.8	6.0
SLIGHT PROBLEM	13.0	12.1	20.0	7.0	0.7
	* 3.3	4.0	3.9	2.6	1.4
NOT A PROBLEM	21.2	21.0	33.1	8.2	25.7
	* 3.4	5.7	4.5	2.9	7.1
NOT APPLICABLE	29.4	26.2	3.7	48.5	66.4
	* 2.9	5.4	1.5	4.3	8.1
DON'T KNOW	1.2	0.4	0.2	2.2	0.0
	* 0.6	0.7	0.3	1.1	0.0
Sample size	1,915	501	1,108	1,153	171
Weighted total	151,127	33,622	86,015	85,162	14,517

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_54G

Problem finding permanent housing  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company		CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Service Support	IDA
				Grade	Field Officer							
SERIOUS PROBLEM	21.4%	26.3%	21.5%	15.0%	16.0%	17.9%	17.6%	31.6%	14.7%	22.9%	24.0%	22.6%
	* 3.3	5.4	4.9	8.2	3.6	3.7	4.6	5.3	7.3	4.5	7.5	6.9
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	21.1	21.6	20.6	20.1	24.3	20.5	20.8	22.0	19.9	21.1	20.7	20.1
	* 2.6	5.0	3.7	12.8	4.1	3.9	3.3	4.4	11.7	4.4	7.2	6.0
SLIGHT PROBLEM	20.2	18.7	21.3	12.5	20.9	19.2	20.3	20.2	19.3	18.2	23.8	19.3
	* 2.6	4.3	3.7	8.3	3.8	3.7	3.3	4.8	7.1	4.3	6.7	5.0
NOT A PROBLEM	36.1	32.0	35.3	52.3	38.5	41.9	40.1	25.1	45.6	36.6	30.4	36.8
	* 3.7	4.9	5.1	17.7	7.0	4.8	4.6	6.2	11.9	5.8	7.6	7.0
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DON'T KNOW	1.2	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.3	0.5	1.2	1.1	0.5	1.1	1.0	1.2
	* 0.7	0.9	1.1	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.9	1.5	1.5
Sample size	2,446	418	635	77	607	709	1,533	688	225	770	262	393
Weighted total	187,532	37,353	111,235	6,931	15,735	16,277	123,380	53,283	10,868	63,919	30,695	33,048

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_54G (cont.)

Problem finding permanent housing  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
SERIOUS PROBLEM	22.3%	18.0%	19.3%	22.1%	27.6%
	* 3.5	4.8	3.6	4.0	10.0
SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM	20.9	21.1	22.0	20.7	19.4
	* 2.4	6.0	3.4	4.1	7.0
SLIGHT PROBLEM	20.1	21.6	19.2	20.5	26.1
	* 2.5	7.2	3.8	4.2	10.2
NOT A PROBLEM	35.4	38.6	38.9	35.0	26.9
	* 3.6	7.5	3.9	5.5	8.9
NOT APPLICABLE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DON'T KNOW	1.3	0.7	0.6	1.9	0.0
	* 0.8	1.0	0.6	1.4	0.0
Sample size	1,920	500	1,110	1,156	171
Weighted total	151,993	33,387	86,301	85,755	14,517

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55A

Assigned sponsor helped after move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support
YES	20.8%	14.5%	16.5%	29.1%	37.0%	17.5%	27.4%	44.7%	17.5%	27.4%	24.6%	17.3%
	* 3.5	3.7	4.0	15.7	5.4	3.3	9.3	8.2	3.3	9.3	12.9	4.6
NO	79.2	85.5	83.5	70.9	63.0	82.5	72.6	55.3	82.5	72.6	75.4	82.7
	* 3.5	3.7	4.0	15.7	5.4	3.3	9.3	8.2	3.3	9.3	12.9	4.6
Sample size	2,428	413	634	77	604	1,516	689	700	1,516	689	223	765
Weighted total	185,883	36,922	110,331	6,931	15,657	121,727	53,380	16,042	121,727	53,380	10,776	63,667
											30,580	32,238

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55A (cont.)

Assigned sponsor helped after move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	20.9%	20.2%	20.8%	20.6%	22.4%
	* 3.7	5.4	4.2	4.0	7.8
NO	79.1	79.8	79.2	79.4	77.6
	* 3.7	5.4	4.2	4.0	7.8
Sample size	1,905	498	1,104	1,143	171
Weighted total	150,219	33,538	85,874	84,286	14,517

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55B

Member's Unit leader helped after move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	20.1%	20.0%	17.1%	23.6%	26.6%	26.6%	32.6%	18.7%	23.8%	18.0%	17.9%	21.9%
	* 2.8	4.7	3.6	10.6	4.1	4.1	5.4	3.1	6.4	6.3	3.7	6.2
NO	79.9	80.0	82.9	76.4	73.4	73.4	67.4	81.3	76.2	82.0	82.1	78.1
	* 2.8	4.7	3.6	10.6	4.1	4.1	5.4	3.1	6.4	6.3	3.7	6.2
Sample size	2,414	411	627	76	602	602	698	1,511	682	221	757	259
Weighted total	184,360	36,740	109,141	6,873	15,615	15,615	15,992	120,756	53,100	10,505	62,882	30,272
												31,865

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_558 (cont.)

Member's Unit leader helped after move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	20.1%	20.4%	18.4%	20.3%	30.0%
	* 3.2	5.7	3.1	3.5	10.0
NO	79.9	79.6	81.6	79.7	70.0
	* 3.2	5.7	3.1	3.5	10.0
Sample size	1,898	492	1,102	1,131	171
Weighted total	149,115	33,136	85,453	83,183	14,517

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55C

Someone else in unit helped after move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TDA
YES	26.5%	33.3%	23.6%	20.6%	27.8%	32.2%	37.7%	28.8%	25.2%	28.9%	26.4%	
	* 3.2	5.3	4.2	11.6	4.0	4.9	5.9	5.5	5.0	9.3	6.4	
NO	73.5	66.7	76.4	79.4	72.2	67.8	62.3	71.2	74.8	71.1	73.6	
	* 3.2	5.3	4.2	11.6	4.0	4.9	5.9	5.5	5.0	9.3	6.4	
Sample size	2,390	404	624	76	596	690	673	218	750	258	383	
Weighted total	183,032	36,286	108,657	6,870	15,443	15,777	52,087	10,334	62,743	29,610	31,940	

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_55C (cont.)

Someone else in unit helped after move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	27.1% * 3.4	23.8% 6.5	28.0% 3.8	25.4% 5.3	25.3% 8.8
NO	72.9 * 3.4	76.2 6.5	72.0 3.8	74.6 5.3	74.7 8.8
Sample size	1,879	487	1,092	1,122	167
Weighted total	148,011	33,008	84,638	83,264	14,300

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_550

A unit leader's spouse helped after move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		CONUS	Europe	Other	Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer				Support	Service	Support	TDA
YES	10.2%	8.7%	6.7%	8.2%	23.2%	25.6%	8.5%	9.3%	11.8%	10.1%	7.0%
	* 2.2	4.6	2.3	6.2	4.4	6.0	2.5	3.6	3.8	5.0	2.6
NO	89.8	91.3	93.3	91.8	76.8	74.4	91.5	90.7	88.2	89.9	93.0
	* 2.2	4.6	2.3	6.2	4.4	6.0	2.5	3.6	3.8	5.0	2.6
Sample size	2,398	408	624	77	596	693	1,500	220	755	259	380
Weighted total	183,459	36,333	108,774	6,931	15,506	15,914	120,187	10,494	62,753	30,166	31,693

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55D (cont.)

A unit leader's spouse helped after move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	10.3%	9.9%	9.4%	9.9%	16.8%
	* 2.5	2.9	2.2	2.8	8.1
NO	89.7	90.1	90.6	90.1	83.2
	* 2.5	2.9	2.2	2.8	8.1
Sample size	1,886	489	1,093	1,128	167
Weighted total	148,355	33,023	84,535	83,286	14,431

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55E

Another unit spouse helped after move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	15.2%	18.4%	11.3%	17.8%	22.9%	25.7%	11.6%	24.4%	9.4%	17.3%	16.0%	12.6%
	* 1.8	4.5	3.0	8.7	3.7	4.9	2.5	2.8	3.2	4.0	6.0	4.5
NO	84.8	81.6	88.7	82.2	77.1	74.3	88.4	75.6	90.6	82.7	84.0	87.4
	* 1.8	4.5	3.0	8.7	3.7	4.9	2.5	2.8	3.2	4.0	6.0	4.5
Sample size	2,388	406	624	74	596	688	1,492	678	218	750	259	378
Weighted total	182,817	36,136	108,795	6,622	15,495	15,771	119,446	52,937	10,435	62,560	30,182	31,353

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55E (cont.)

Another unit spouse helped after move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	15.3%	15.0%	15.3%	14.0%	22.8%
	* 2.1	3.2	2.5	2.9	7.7
NO	84.7	85.0	84.7	86.0	77.2
	* 2.1	3.2	2.5	2.9	7.7
Sample size	1,878	486	1,090	1,121	167
Weighted total	148,091	32,612	84,217	82,962	14,431

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55F

Member helped after move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	87.1%	88.9%	86.5%	89.6%	85.0%	88.7%	87.1%	86.4%	90.7%	88.3%	83.5%	88.4%
	* 2.5	4.4	3.8	8.4	3.4	2.5	2.7	5.4	8.9	3.6	6.4	5.5
NO	12.9	11.1	13.5	10.4	15.0	11.3	12.9	13.6	9.3	11.7	16.5	11.6
	* 2.5	4.4	3.8	8.4	3.4	2.5	2.7	5.4	8.9	3.6	6.4	5.5
Sample size	2,400	406	628	76	600	690	1,499	677	224	761	256	382
Weighted total	183,652	36,031	109,523	6,724	15,592	15,782	120,352	52,560	10,739	63,456	29,779	31,800

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55F (cont.)

Member helped after move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	88.1%	84.6%	87.7%	88.4%	80.5%
	* 2.4	5.3	3.2	3.9	6.0
NO	11.9	15.4	12.3	11.6	19.5
	* 2.4	5.3	3.2	3.9	6.0
Sample size	1,888	487	1,097	1,127	166
Weighted total	149,153	32,372	85,292	82,918	14,235

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55G

Someone from ACS helped after move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Support	Support	TDA
YES	15.3%	16.1%	15.4%	20.5%	12.3%	12.9%	12.8%	12.9%	21.4%	11.3%	16.7%	16.0%
	* 2.3	4.3	3.5	14.9	2.9	2.8	4.0	2.8	4.1	9.6	4.1	7.0
NO	84.7	83.9	84.6	79.5	87.7	87.1	87.2	87.1	78.6	88.7	83.3	84.0
	* 2.3	4.3	3.5	14.9	2.9	2.8	4.0	2.8	4.1	9.6	4.1	7.0
Sample size	2,405	410	627	77	601	1,501	690	1,501	684	220	758	260
Weighted total	184,056	36,558	109,194	6,931	15,604	120,085	15,768	120,085	53,427	10,544	63,108	30,330
												31,950

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_55G (cont.)

Someone from ACS helped after move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	16.3% * 2.7	11.0% 3.7	13.4% 3.1	16.3% 3.8	21.3% 7.8
NO	83.7 * 2.7	89.0 3.7	86.6 3.1	83.7 3.8	78.7 7.8
Sample size	1,890	490	1,099	1,129	167
Weighted total	148,915	33,014	85,147	83,323	14,379

(\*) Standard Error

Table SF\_55W

A neighbor or friend helped after move  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank										Location			Unit Type			
	Junior			Company Grade		Field Grade	Other			Combat			Combat		Combat		
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer	Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Combat	Support	Support	TDA	
YES	42.3%	43.6%	38.6%	49.6%	49.7%	49.7%	54.9%	40.5%	47.7%	37.2%	46.8%	42.0%	35.8%				
	* 3.0	5.4	4.5	9.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	3.7	6.0	2.5	5.9	6.4	7.1				
NO	57.7	56.4	61.4	50.4	50.3	45.1	45.1	59.5	52.3	62.8	53.2	58.0	64.2				
	* 3.0	5.4	4.5	9.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	3.7	6.0	2.5	5.9	6.4	7.1				
Sample size	2,423	409	636	77	601	700	1,516	683	224	764	260	384					
Weighted total	186,635	36,451	111,576	6,931	15,625	16,052	122,506	53,430	10,699	63,815	30,552	32,427					

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_55H (cont.)

A neighbor or friend helped after move  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	42.3%	44.2%	40.2%	45.7%	34.9%
	* 3.4	7.1	3.7	4.7	8.9
NO	57.7	55.8	59.8	54.3	65.1
	* 3.4	7.1	3.7	4.7	8.9
Sample size	1,904	496	1,103	1,141	169
Weighted total	151,284	33,292	85,683	85,268	14,477

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_56

# of months waited for permanent housing  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
NO WAIT, PERMANENT HOUSING IMMEDIATELY	14.3%	9.5%	12.6%	15.3%	21.2%	30.0%	17.5%	6.4%	17.0%	13.6%	7.2%	12.5%
	* 1.6	2.8	2.2	7.2	4.7	5.1	2.3	1.8	5.2	3.0	3.7	4.0
LESS THAN 1 MONTH	17.3	15.6	16.2	30.9	23.4	16.7	20.3	9.2	23.8	15.3	16.7	16.5
	* 2.9	5.0	3.4	11.4	4.9	3.8	4.0	3.4	3.6	3.9	6.7	5.4
1-2 MONTHS	17.3	13.1	16.3	28.9	22.9	23.3	13.9	24.2	20.2	17.8	14.5	18.7
	* 2.3	4.0	3.2	12.3	3.4	5.5	2.4	5.3	5.1	3.8	5.2	5.4
3-4 MONTHS	10.8	9.3	11.0	9.0	13.0	12.3	8.8	15.6	9.9	10.8	13.7	10.2
	* 2.4	4.3	3.1	7.2	3.6	2.8	2.8	4.9	3.0	3.9	6.1	3.4
5-6 MONTHS	7.2	7.6	7.8	4.0	6.0	4.4	6.0	9.1	10.8	7.1	8.8	6.6
	* 1.6	2.7	2.7	4.1	2.0	1.7	1.7	4.1	2.2	3.0	4.9	2.6
7 OR MORE MONTHS	33.2	45.0	36.2	12.0	13.5	13.3	33.5	35.5	18.2	35.4	39.2	35.5
	* 4.4	7.6	5.6	6.0	5.5	4.8	5.2	8.9	0.8	4.3	11.4	7.6
Sample size	2,388	409	625	77	591	686	1,476	689	223	754	259	391
Weighted total	183,958	36,716	109,232	6,978	15,307	15,725	119,683	53,439	10,836	63,414	30,419	32,888

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_56 (cont.)

# of months waited for permanent housing  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
NO WAIT, PERMANENT HOUSING IMMEDIATELY	13.5%	16.4%	13.5%	13.7%	23.4%
	* 1.8	4.3	2.3	2.6	8.1
LESS THAN 1 MONTH	17.2	18.3	16.7	17.8	18.7
	* 3.2	6.8	3.4	4.1	11.8
1-2 MONTHS	17.2	18.3	18.1	16.4	17.3
	* 2.5	4.8	3.5	2.5	6.3
3-4 MONTHS	11.1	10.3	11.3	10.8	9.0
	* 2.6	4.2	3.3	3.2	5.1
5-6 MONTHS	7.6	5.4	7.6	6.7	7.7
	* 1.9	2.4	2.7	1.9	6.0
7 OR MORE MONTHS	33.5	31.4	32.8	34.7	23.8
	* 4.9	6.1	4.5	5.3	10.8
Sample size	1,876	488	1,082	1,130	167
Weighted total	149,175	32,681	84,449	84,267	14,283

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_58

Satisfaction with current housing  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY SATISFIED	28.0%	22.2%	27.3%	32.1%	35.6%	46.9%	30.0%	25.3%	15.6%	27.3%	19.7%	29.9%
	* 2.5	2.9	4.1	18.0	5.0	4.6	3.2	3.8	3.3	4.4	5.6	6.0
SATISFIED	39.4	40.9	39.7	38.6	38.5	32.7	38.9	42.1	32.6	39.3	43.2	37.4
	* 2.1	4.3	3.6	15.1	4.3	3.5	2.4	4.3	11.5	4.1	6.9	5.2
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	13.4	16.4	12.9	10.1	13.0	7.6	12.9	13.8	19.0	11.8	14.6	15.3
	* 1.5	2.4	2.5	7.9	5.1	2.3	1.8	3.1	4.2	2.7	4.0	4.8
DISSATISFIED	12.7	13.4	13.4	10.2	9.9	8.8	12.1	12.9	19.2	15.3	16.0	10.4
	* 2.2	3.5	3.4	5.7	2.6	2.3	2.7	3.6	8.3	3.4	6.2	4.4
VERY DISSATISFIED	6.4	7.2	6.7	9.1	2.9	4.0	6.1	5.9	13.5	6.4	6.5	7.1
	* 1.3	2.1	2.0	6.5	1.3	2.0	1.3	2.5	8.1	1.9	3.5	2.7
Sample size	2,972	710	747	82	703	730	1,916	783	273	1,018	339	460
Weighted total	240,362	67,635	130,117	7,264	18,478	16,868	163,306	63,946	13,109	89,615	38,448	39,180

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_58 (cont.)

Satisfaction with current housing  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SATISFIED	25.8% * 2.6	35.0% 5.1	30.4% 4.3	25.1% 2.9	30.4% 10.0
SATISFIED	40.3 * 2.7	37.3 4.7	37.4 3.4	40.7 3.6	43.2 10.0
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	13.2 * 2.0	14.2 3.4	13.3 2.5	13.6 2.6	12.2 6.1
DISSATISFIED	13.4 * 2.3	10.3 3.5	12.8 2.6	13.5 2.8	9.2 5.0
VERY DISSATISFIED	7.5 * 1.7	3.2 1.5	6.2 2.0	7.1 1.9	5.0 3.9
Sample size	2,225	709	1,327	1,397	236
Weighted total	182,633	53,997	107,872	110,093	20,847

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_59

Extended duty separations past 12 months  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
YES	48.8%	55.8%	49.0%	38.7%	50.6%	21.6%	48.6%	47.8%	56.3%	64.0%	54.9%	49.2%
	* 4.9	6.0	5.2	12.1	6.3	4.2	6.1	9.6	8.1	6.4	8.2	6.3
NO	51.2	44.2	.0	61.3	49.4	78.4	51.4	52.2	43.7	36.0	45.1	50.8
	* 4.9	6.0	.2	12.1	6.3	4.2	6.1	9.6	8.1	6.4	8.2	6.3
Sample size	2,984	713	751	81	704	735	1,930	778	276	1,018	337	464
Weighted total	241,027	67,858	130,549	7,119	18,523	16,978	164,497	63,366	13,163	89,676	37,881	40,355

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_59 (cont.)

Extended duty separations past 12 months  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	48.6%	49.2%	47.9%	49.0%	52.3%
	* 5.4	7.3	5.7	5.4	9.1
NO	51.4	50.8	52.1	51.0	47.7
	* 5.4	7.3	5.7	5.4	9.1
Sample size	2,237	710	1,334	1,401	238
Weighted total	183,653	53,907	108,098	110,511	21,131

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_60

Length time since last separation return  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
WITHIN THE LAST 2 WEEKS	14.3%	17.2%	12.5%	--	14.3%	20.6%	11.3%	21.3%	17.1%	17.0%	12.5%	11.2%
	* 3.3	4.9	4.2		4.4	7.3	3.0	8.6	4.6	4.2	5.6	7.0
2-3 WEEKS AGO	7.9	7.4	8.0	--	8.6	7.8	7.1	11.6	1.4	9.4	10.4	5.2
	* 2.0	2.9	2.8		2.6	4.9	2.7	3.1	3.0	2.8	5.2	3.6
1-3 MONTHS AGO	28.7	28.3	28.9	--	28.5	27.0	26.9	31.7	34.7	30.9	35.1	25.4
	* 4.3	5.4	6.5		4.0	7.9	5.9	5.8	5.0	6.1	9.7	7.2
4-6 MONTHS AGO	18.5	17.8	19.8	--	14.6	10.9	18.6	17.7	21.2	19.1	17.1	17.0
	* 3.7	4.1	5.2		3.8	3.8	4.6	7.8	2.7	4.3	9.9	7.4
7-12 MONTHS AGO	24.7	25.9	24.2	--	24.1	26.3	29.2	13.3	23.4	19.5	21.4	35.0
	* 4.6	6.1	5.6		5.1	7.1	6.1	5.7	2.8	5.3	8.2	9.2
13-24 MONTHS AGO	5.9	3.5	6.5	--	9.9	7.3	6.9	4.4	2.2	4.2	3.4	6.2
	* 1.9	2.0	2.9		3.5	4.3	2.5	2.9	2.7	2.4	2.6	4.5
Sample size	1,323	408	373	28	356	158	843	338	142	659	182	204
Weighted total	116,241	37,251	63,366	2,756	9,169	3,699	78,278	30,615	7,348	56,802	21,185	19,161

(\*) Standard Error

(-) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_60 (cont.)

Length time since last separation return  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
WITHIN THE LAST 2 WEEKS	13.3%	18.7%	13.9%	14.2%	16.7%
	* 4.0	5.5	3.5	4.5	11.4
2-3 WEEKS AGO	8.4	6.7	9.1	7.6	3.8
	* 2.8	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.4
1-3 MONTHS AGO	28.3	28.8	28.0	30.4	21.7
	* 4.3	6.4	5.8	5.4	9.4
4-6 MONTHS AGO	18.6	17.4	17.8	19.1	18.6
	* 4.0	5.6	4.6	4.8	8.0
7-12 MONTHS AGO	25.0	23.7	24.6	24.3	27.3
	* 5.2	7.0	5.5	6.0	11.9
13-24 MONTHS AGO	6.4	4.7	6.5	4.3	11.9
	* 2.4	2.5	3.0	2.6	7.6
Sample size	968	341	559	633	127
Weighted total	88,595	25,875	51,304	54,008	10,564

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_61A

Separation made me more independent  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	14.1%	16.3%	13.5%	--	11.5%	15.2%	14.7%	12.3%	15.1%	16.5%	11.5%	8.1%
	* 2.2	5.1	3.9		4.2	5.1	2.8	3.6	8.9	3.6	5.6	4.8
GREAT EXTENT	24.7	22.8	26.4	--	26.4	14.9	21.8	33.5	19.7	27.3	28.7	21.2
	* 3.6	4.5	6.7		4.6	6.5	4.5	6.8	2.6	6.0	7.8	7.1
MODERATE EXTENT	23.2	26.0	21.0	--	22.6	25.6	25.4	17.5	23.1	24.2	22.0	20.3
	* 3.4	4.6	5.2		5.0	6.4	4.6	4.3	8.9	4.5	7.7	6.8
SLIGHT EXTENT	18.0	17.3	18.4	--	17.2	20.8	18.1	17.4	20.1	15.7	20.3	18.6
	* 2.8	4.9	4.2		4.5	5.9	3.5	5.3	2.6	3.6	6.3	7.1
NOT AT ALL	20.0	17.6	20.6	--	22.3	23.5	20.0	19.4	21.9	16.3	17.5	31.9
	* 2.9	4.6	4.2		4.2	6.4	3.8	4.4	7.4	4.4	7.4	7.9
Sample size	1,309	405	366	28	358	152	835	334	140	652	181	201
Weighted total	114,560	37,166	61,877	2,725	9,225	3,568	77,289	29,928	7,344	56,033	20,518	19,044

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_61A (cont.)

Separation made me more independent  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	14.7%	13.0%	13.6%	14.6%	11.4%
	* 2.9	4.2	2.7	3.4	7.8
GREAT EXTENT	26.0	21.3	27.3	23.3	19.6
	* 4.3	5.2	4.8	5.0	9.7
MODERATE EXTENT	21.3	28.5	24.8	23.5	14.5
	* 3.2	6.9	4.1	4.6	7.7
SLIGHT EXTENT	18.6	16.2	14.8	21.5	16.7
	* 3.4	5.2	3.8	4.1	8.9
NOT AT ALL	19.4	21.0	19.5	17.1	37.7
	* 3.2	5.2	3.4	4.2	14.0
Sample size	959	336	559	623	123
Weighted total	87,209	25,625	50,803	52,991	10,402

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_618

Separation caused problems with kids  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Support	Support	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	7.0%	9.2%	6.9%	--	--	2.0%	2.1%	7.5%	6.2%	5.6%	7.4%	9.0%
	* 1.6	3.7	2.8			2.0	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.9	6.3	5.8
GREAT EXTENT	10.8	10.8	11.2	--	--	7.9	5.9	11.6	9.3	9.0	11.1	7.0
	* 3.2	4.6	4.3			3.5	4.1	4.1	6.0	7.8	9.6	5.2
MODERATE EXTENT	22.0	18.9	23.5	--	--	19.2	21.7	22.3	20.6	26.1	23.5	23.2
	* 4.0	5.5	5.5			5.6	6.2	5.2	6.8	8.8	6.3	7.3
SLIGHT EXTENT	23.0	23.6	21.9	--	--	28.7	29.8	20.6	26.8	31.8	21.5	21.2
	* 4.5	6.1	5.8			5.2	8.3	5.0	9.6	15.0	5.9	10.5
NOT AT ALL	37.1	37.6	36.5	--	--	42.2	40.5	38.0	37.1	27.4	37.2	39.6
	* 4.6	8.1	6.1			7.6	9.3	5.9	8.5	10.1	5.4	8.6
Sample size	955	275	295	24	227		134	612	254	89	471	138
Weighted total	87,399	24,862	51,438	2,218	5,724		3,157	58,128	24,309	4,962	42,516	16,868
												13,633

Table SP\_618 (cont.)

Separation caused problems with kids  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	7.2% * 1.7	--	5.3% 2.8	8.7% 2.6	5.0% 6.0
GREAT EXTENT	10.4 * 3.2	--	10.6 4.2	12.1 4.4	2.4 4.2
MODERATE EXTENT	22.2 * 4.1	--	23.8 4.8	21.2 5.0	17.8 11.3
SLIGHT EXTENT	23.3 * 4.5	--	26.2 7.5	20.4 4.7	24.3 13.7
NOT AT ALL	36.9 * 4.6	--	34.0 6.3	37.7 5.9	50.6 16.3
Sample size	933	14	364	526	62
Weighted total	84,952	1,333	36,531	45,201	5,370

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_61C

Separation caused decision-making prob.  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade		Other		Combat Support		Combat Service Support		TDA
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	NCO	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Support	
VERY GREAT EXTENT	1.8%	2.6%	1.7%	--	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%	2.3%	0.9%	1.9%
	* 1.0	1.6	1.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.2	1.2	1.7	1.2	2.0
GREAT EXTENT	2.9	3.0	3.4	--	0.6	0.0	0.0	3.4	1.8	2.6	3.3	1.7	1.9
	* 1.1	1.9	2.0		0.9	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.9	2.8	2.0	1.7	2.0
MODERATE EXTENT	10.0	12.2	10.2	--	4.3	5.4	5.4	9.9	9.9	11.5	10.5	13.1	7.0
	* 2.6	3.4	3.8		1.9	4.6	4.6	3.3	4.5	9.2	4.7	5.3	4.6
SLIGHT EXTENT	17.7	20.4	15.5	--	18.6	20.8	20.8	17.9	17.3	16.1	18.5	18.2	13.4
	* 3.3	5.0	4.3		4.7	8.3	8.3	4.3	5.4	8.5	4.9	6.9	5.8
NOT AT ALL	67.7	61.9	69.2	--	76.5	73.8	73.8	67.1	68.7	68.8	65.3	66.0	75.7
	* 3.9	5.7	5.3		5.2	7.4	7.4	4.8	7.5	10.9	6.2	8.9	7.3
Sample size	1,321	407	372	28	358	156	156	840	340	141	658	183	202
Weighted total	115,829	37,189	63,050	2,725	9,216	3,648	3,648	77,982	30,485	7,361	56,412	21,116	19,114

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates



Table SP\_61C (cont.)

Separation caused decision-making prob.  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	1.9%	1.2%	1.8%	1.9%	0.8%
	* 1.1	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.5
GREAT EXTENT	2.9	3.2	2.5	3.9	0.7
	* 1.3	2.5	1.7	1.9	1.3
MODERATE EXTENT	9.6	10.1	9.3	11.5	6.1
	* 2.7	3.5	4.2	2.5	5.9
SLIGHT EXTENT	17.3	18.8	19.5	17.4	10.4
	* 3.4	6.4	4.0	4.3	7.9
NOT AT ALL	68.2	66.8	67.0	65.3	82.0
	* 3.9	7.3	4.8	5.3	8.2
Sample size	967	340	563	629	125
Weighted total	88,350	25,752	51,396	53,626	10,442

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_61D

Member proud of my handling of things  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Support	Service	Support	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	37.0% * 4.1	39.1% 7.1	36.6% 5.7	--	29.7% 6.2	38.0% 8.7	35.9% 5.2	40.7% 7.5	33.1% 10.8	32.3% 8.9	43.0% 6.3	34.8% 8.9
GREAT EXTENT	32.1 * 3.6	30.6 3.8	32.2 6.0	--	39.7 5.4	30.2 7.9	31.5 4.7	32.1 5.6	37.7 11.8	36.9 8.6	29.5 4.6	31.3 7.0
MODERATE EXTENT	15.7 * 3.4	16.3 4.3	15.7 4.5	--	15.3 4.3	15.1 6.9	16.6 4.4	12.6 4.5	18.6 20.1	13.8 6.3	16.9 5.8	14.9 6.2
SLIGHT EXTENT	10.1 * 2.3	10.9 3.7	9.5 3.8	--	11.2 3.5	10.0 5.2	11.1 3.1	9.4 3.0	3.5 4.6	10.1 6.1	8.1 3.7	11.9 6.4
NOT AT ALL	5.1 * 1.5	3.2 1.7	6.1 2.5	--	4.1 2.2	6.7 3.5	4.9 1.8	5.2 3.2	7.2 6.5	6.9 5.8	2.5 1.5	7.2 4.4
Sample size	1,306	402	370	29	354	151	829	338	139	183	650	201
Weighted total	114,998	36,919	62,594	2,845	9,107	3,533	77,350	30,339	7,308	21,195	55,976	19,073

(\*) Standard Error

(-) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_61D (cont.)

Member proud of my handling of things  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	37.6% * 4.8	35.9% 7.3	39.2% 6.0	36.8% 5.1	25.6% 11.4
GREAT EXTENT	32.1 * 4.5	33.2 7.2	31.4 5.2	31.7 5.1	37.7 9.1
MODERATE EXTENT	15.3 * 3.5	17.4 6.0	15.5 4.6	17.1 4.4	9.9 5.4
SLIGHT EXTENT	9.9 * 2.7	9.7 3.9	8.9 3.1	10.0 4.3	17.2 10.4
NOT AT ALL	5.2 * 1.7	3.7 2.8	5.0 2.6	4.4 2.3	9.6 6.9
Sample size	958	334	554	625	123
Weighted total	87,835	25,437	50,790	53,462	10,381

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_61E

Time to adapt to member after separation  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	8.0% * 1.7	7.6% 3.0	8.5% 2.8	-- 8.3% 3.2	5.8% 3.4	8.1% 1.9	7.5% 4.2	9.7% 3.1	8.0% 2.2	9.0% 5.9	5.5% 3.3	
GREAT EXTENT	9.0 * 2.4	9.8 3.0	9.5 3.5	-- 5.7 3.1	4.3 4.1	9.3 3.1	9.1 4.3	4.8 3.8	8.2 2.7	12.3 7.9	8.6 5.6	
MODERATE EXTENT	21.0 * 3.0	21.2 4.3	21.0 4.5	-- 18.1 3.7	17.2 5.9	21.6 4.1	19.8 3.5	18.8 5.5	21.4 4.2	20.5 8.3	19.9 6.5	
SLIGHT EXTENT	22.7 * 4.0	20.3 5.8	21.7 4.6	-- 33.4 5.8	33.4 8.5	21.5 5.3	23.6 5.4	31.7 7.0	21.3 5.4	23.4 6.8	20.9 8.3	
NOT AT ALL	39.3 * 3.6	41.2 5.2	39.2 5.3	-- 34.5 4.4	39.3 7.5	39.5 3.9	40.0 9.2	34.9 8.3	41.0 5.8	34.8 7.7	45.2 8.8	
Sample size	1,319	406	374	29	356	841	338	140	655	185	203	
Weighted total	116,482	37,196	63,629	2,845	9,197	78,656	30,475	7,351	56,343	21,329	19,622	

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_61E (cont.)

Time to adapt to member after separation  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

## VERY GREAT EXTENT

	8.2%	6.1%	7.2%	7.9%	10.8%
*	1.9	2.5	2.2	3.2	10.0

## GREAT EXTENT

	9.8	6.7	8.4	10.5	4.3
*	2.7	4.1	4.5	3.1	3.9

## MODERATE EXTENT

	21.1	21.0	25.0	17.9	16.8
*	3.4	5.9	4.7	3.8	9.3

## SLIGHT EXTENT

	23.4	21.5	21.6	23.1	27.5
*	4.3	6.1	5.0	5.4	8.8

## NOT AT ALL

	37.6	44.7	37.8	40.6	40.8
*	3.9	5.5	5.2	5.0	10.1

## Sample size

	966	339	560	630	125
--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

## Weighted total

	89,038	25,717	51,463	54,212	10,462
--	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_62

Description of separation experience  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
DID BETTER DURING SEPARATION THAN NOW	4.9%	5.6%	--	4.9%	7.9%	4.5%	4.8%	10.1%	4.6%	8.8%	1.8%
	* 1.3	2.7		2.6	5.1	1.4	2.8	7.4	2.0	6.6	1.7
DID AS WELL DURING SEPARATION AS NOW	65.6	59.2	--	62.2	69.2	66.1	64.9	62.8	66.1	61.3	66.4
	* 3.8	5.7		5.5	7.9	4.8	7.8	5.1	5.0	8.5	8.9
DID WORSE DURING SEPARATION THAN NOW	29.4	35.2	--	32.8	22.9	29.3	30.3	27.1	29.3	29.9	31.9
	* 3.6	5.4		5.1	6.4	4.4	7.4	6.3	4.6	8.2	8.6
Sample size	1,325	409	29	358	156	844	340	141	658	183	206
Weighted total	116,776	37,351	2,845	9,199	3,647	79,145	30,472	7,159	56,539	21,194	19,690

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_62 (cont.)

Description of separation experience  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
DID BETTER DURING SEPARATION THAN NOW	4.8%	5.8%	5.9%	4.3%	4.2%
	* 1.8	3.2	2.6	2.0	5.3
DID AS WELL DURING SEPARATION AS NOW	67.5	59.5	67.1	64.8	61.7
	* 4.5	7.2	5.2	5.0	14.2
DID WORSE DURING SEPARATION THAN NOW	27.7	34.7	27.0	30.9	34.1
	* 4.3	7.6	4.3	4.8	14.6
Sample size	971	341	562	634	125
Weighted total	89,434	25,731	51,489	54,499	10,424

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_63

How separation experience was handled  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY POORLY	3.1%	3.7%	3.3%	--	1.3%	0.3%	3.0%	3.5%	2.1%	3.9%	1.6%	4.2%
	* 1.5	2.2	2.5		1.3	0.7	1.8	3.4	2.1	2.6	1.9	3.3
SOMEWHAT POORLY	5.7	9.5	3.4	--	5.2	2.8	6.4	4.1	4.5	7.0	4.3	4.9
	* 1.5	3.1	1.8		2.9	2.6	1.8	2.7	1.5	2.3	2.5	3.4
UNDECIDED	10.8	12.7	10.8	--	7.2	3.1	11.1	8.3	19.2	9.2	10.4	12.4
	* 2.4	3.5	3.4		3.1	2.7	2.6	5.1	11.8	3.5	5.8	7.2
SOMEWHAT WELL	37.3	36.8	38.7	--	37.6	22.4	35.7	41.0	39.1	39.0	38.7	34.2
	* 3.1	4.4	4.7		6.4	6.3	4.4	3.2	9.5	5.5	7.4	8.3
VERY WELL	43.1	37.2	43.8	--	48.8	71.4	43.8	43.1	35.1	41.0	45.0	44.3
	* 3.9	5.3	5.1		6.1	6.5	4.7	8.4	15.4	4.9	8.2	8.1
Sample size	1,329	409	377	29	358	156	847	341	141	658	185	207
Weighted total	117,380	37,368	64,277	2,845	9,227	3,662	79,408	30,644	7,327	56,645	21,329	19,916

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates



Table SP\_63 (cont.)

How separation experience was handled  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

No Children      Spouse Employed      Not Employed      On Active Duty

VERY POORLY	2.7%	4.6%	2.3%	3.6%	4.3%
* 1.5	3.0	1.7	2.3	4.4	
SOMEWHAT POORLY	4.8	8.6	5.2	5.8	7.5
* 1.6	3.0	1.7	2.1	7.4	
UNDECIDED	9.7	12.1	10.7	9.8	17.4
* 2.8	5.1	3.3	3.7	9.8	
SOMEWHAT WELL	37.1	38.9	35.8	41.4	23.9
* 3.3	6.9	5.4	4.9	9.5	
VERY WELL	45.8	35.8	46.0	39.4	46.9
* 4.2	7.3	5.2	5.2	13.7	
Sample size	972	342	564	635	126
Weighted total	89,712	25,806	51,817	54,601	10,597

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_64A

Problem coping-separated < 2 wks  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCNUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TDA
VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	0.7%	1.4%	0.5%	1.6%	0.1%	0.3%	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	1.2%	1.2%	0.3%
* 0.5		1.5	0.5	3.1	0.2	0.4	0.8	1.5	0.8	1.5	0.5	0.5
SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	1.0	1.5	0.9	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.7	1.0	1.3	1.4	1.4
* 0.5		0.8	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.6	1.4	0.8	2.3	1.2	1.2
MODERATE PROBLEM COPING	4.8	6.5	4.3	1.9	4.8	3.0	4.8	7.7	5.6	4.1	3.7	3.7
* 0.9		2.2	1.3	2.8	2.3	1.2	1.1	1.8	1.8	2.0	1.5	1.5
SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING	13.1	18.0	10.5	10.0	12.2	14.4	13.6	11.5	12.4	15.8	12.1	12.1
* 1.5		3.2	1.8	7.2	2.1	2.6	1.5	3.6	2.4	3.8	4.4	4.4
NO PROBLEM COPING	80.3	72.7	83.8	86.5	82.4	81.9	79.7	78.4	80.2	77.6	82.5	82.5
* 1.6		3.6	2.3	8.9	3.4	2.6	1.9	5.8	3.1	5.4	4.4	4.4
Sample size	3,212	810	818	86	737	761	2,054	320	1,104	370	514	514
Weighted total	271,128	81,462	144,653	7,961	19,409	17,644	181,101	16,020	101,673	42,995	46,994	46,994

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_64A (cont.)

Problem coping-separated < 2 wks  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	0.8% * 0.7	0.2% 0.3	0.3% 1.0	0.0% 0.0
SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	0.7 * 0.5	1.9 1.4	0.9 1.0	1.1 0.5
MODERATE PROBLEM COPING	4.5 * 1.1	6.1 2.6	3.9 1.2	5.9 1.6
SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING	12.5 * 1.4	14.6 3.8	12.1 2.1	14.5 2.0
NO PROBLEM COPING	81.5 * 1.9	77.3 4.3	82.8 2.4	77.2 2.2
Sample size	2,392	780	1,437	1,495
Weighted total	203,576	63,314	121,259	123,149
				24,821

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_648

Problem coping-separated 2 wks - 1 month  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	2.6%	4.8%	1.6%	4.2%	0.7%	0.7%	1.6%	3.0%	1.6%	2.0%	2.4%	3.7%
	* 0.8	1.9	0.9	6.0	0.7	0.7	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.3	3.0
SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	3.4	6.3	2.1	0.6	2.7	2.7	3.0	3.2	3.3	6.3	3.8	4.1
	* 0.9	2.5	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.0	1.9	3.5	1.4	2.5
MODERATE PROBLEM COPING	13.7	17.9	12.2	3.6	13.8	13.8	11.5	14.3	12.5	13.1	13.1	13.6
	* 1.6	3.0	2.5	3.9	3.6	3.6	2.6	2.2	2.7	4.6	2.5	4.6
SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING	28.8	33.8	25.5	37.4	31.0	31.0	26.1	28.1	30.1	30.4	28.8	29.8
	* 2.3	3.8	3.9	14.0	3.3	3.3	2.5	2.4	6.1	3.9	4.3	5.4
NO PROBLEM COPING	51.5	37.2	58.5	54.3	51.9	51.9	57.8	51.4	52.5	48.2	52.0	48.8
	* 2.8	3.2	4.2	16.5	3.7	3.7	4.1	3.6	5.3	2.8	5.1	6.1
Sample size	3,206	809	815	86	739	739	757	2,048	836	322	1,107	368
Weighted total	270,037	81,213	143,930	7,961	19,451	19,451	17,481	179,931	73,935	16,171	101,651	42,844
												46,712

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_648 (cont.)

Problem coping-separated 2 wks - 1 month  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	2.1%	3.8%	1.0%	4.0%	3.2%
	* 0.9	2.1	0.9	1.5	3.4
SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	2.9	5.3	3.4	3.4	3.8
	* 1.0	2.7	1.1	1.3	3.0
MODERATE PROBLEM COPING	13.4	15.2	11.4	15.8	14.7
	* 1.9	4.4	2.5	2.6	7.5
SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING	28.1	30.4	27.2	29.6	32.0
	* 2.3	5.4	3.6	2.9	9.0
NO PROBLEM COPING	53.5	45.4	57.0	47.1	46.4
	* 2.5	5.4	3.3	3.7	9.6
Sample size	2,391	777	1,432	1,494	266
Weighted total	203,170	63,148	120,914	122,585	24,903

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_64C

Problem coping-separated several months  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCNUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	13.4%	22.3%	10.1%	9.1%	8.6%	13.1%	6.5%	13.1%	14.5%	12.2%	14.4%	15.2%
	* 2.0	3.9	2.4	7.2	2.4	2.4	1.7	2.4	4.2	2.3	2.8	4.5
SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	16.1	21.2	14.0	4.8	17.2	15.8	13.6	15.8	15.8	20.1	15.2	16.0
	* 1.8	2.8	2.8	4.5	3.1	2.3	3.7	2.3	3.2	7.6	2.4	4.3
MODERATE PROBLEM COPING	26.8	25.4	26.7	33.6	28.4	27.6	29.2	27.6	25.6	23.6	28.9	25.5
	* 2.5	3.9	3.6	12.5	3.1	3.3	2.2	3.3	4.2	5.3	4.3	4.9
SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING	22.7	16.7	23.7	33.5	29.6	23.2	30.4	23.2	22.6	17.9	22.0	27.5
	* 1.9	2.4	3.3	14.8	4.1	2.1	4.3	2.1	4.4	6.6	3.7	5.8
NO PROBLEM COPING	21.0	14.4	25.5	19.0	16.2	20.3	20.3	20.3	21.5	26.2	19.5	15.8
	* 2.3	3.1	3.6	9.6	3.0	2.8	3.5	2.8	3.8	10.4	3.9	4.7
Sample size	3,207	809	815	86	739	2,051	758	2,051	835	321	1,104	369
Weighted total	270,448	81,381	144,051	7,961	19,475	180,483	17,578	180,483	73,890	16,075	101,255	43,054
												46,860

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_64C (cont.)

Problem coping-separated several months  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	11.8% * 2.0	18.5% 5.3	11.6% 2.4	14.8% 2.7 15.7% 9.8
SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	15.4 * 2.1	18.6 3.8	14.7 2.6	17.0 2.6 17.8 6.3
MODERATE PROBLEM COPING	27.3 * 2.8	25.4 4.0	25.4 3.4	28.6 3.3 25.2 5.5
SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING	23.3 * 2.3	20.8 4.2	24.9 2.9	21.2 2.8 20.1 6.6
NO PROBLEM COPING	22.2 * 2.5	16.7 4.3	23.4 3.3	18.4 3.6 21.2 5.9
Sample size	2,390	779	1,434	1,491 268
Weighted total	203,370	63,358	121,117	122,651 25,045

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_64D

Problem coping-separated six months  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Support	Combat Service Support	IDA
VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	27.2%	40.3%	22.9%	10.7%	22.8%	14.5%	26.9%	27.4%	29.4%	28.5%	28.9%	28.1%
	* 2.2	4.6	3.2	8.3	4.1	2.3	2.9	3.5	6.5	3.9	5.3	4.8
SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	17.2%	19.6%	16.1%	15.1%	16.7%	16.3%	16.3%	19.3%	17.6%	18.6%	18.3%	16.3%
	* 1.9	4.3	2.3	6.9	3.8	4.2	2.4	3.4	2.5	3.4	4.2	4.1
MODERATE PROBLEM COPING	24.3%	21.1%	23.9%	39.2%	28.3%	30.7%	25.7%	22.1%	18.7%	24.0%	24.9%	23.7%
	* 2.4	3.6	3.7	12.8	3.7	3.1	3.1	3.8	4.9	3.3	4.9	5.2
SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING	15.7%	9.8%	17.1%	19.4%	22.1%	22.2%	15.2%	17.2%	13.9%	13.5%	19.9%	13.3%
	* 2.1	2.5	3.0	8.1	3.1	3.4	2.6	4.4	2.6	2.9	7.0	3.9
NO PROBLEM COPING	15.7%	9.2%	20.0%	15.6%	10.1%	16.2%	15.9%	14.0%	20.5%	15.5%	8.0%	18.6%
	* 2.0	2.2	3.6	10.7	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.9	9.4	3.3	3.3	5.1
Sample size	3,219	810	818	87	740	764	2,061	836	322	1,108	370	513
Weighted total	271,521	81,329	144,736	8,177	19,534	17,744	181,218	74,004	16,238	101,855	43,014	47,280

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_64D (cont.)

Problem coping-separated six months  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	25.1% * 2.1	34.0% 5.2	24.2% 3.1	29.9% 2.7	29.5% 7.8
SERIOUS PROBLEM COPING	16.8 * 2.1	18.6 3.8	16.1 2.8	18.0 2.5	17.9 6.1
MODERATE PROBLEM COPING	25.4 * 2.9	20.4 3.6	24.8 2.6	24.7 3.6	20.0 5.7
SLIGHT PROBLEM COPING	15.9 * 2.3	15.3 3.3	16.6 2.1	14.3 2.7	18.3 7.4
NO PROBLEM COPING	16.8 * 2.5	11.8 3.6	18.3 3.0	13.2 3.3	14.3 4.8
Sample size	2,397	784	1,440	1,496	269
Weighted total	204,154	63,647	121,521	123,295	25,071

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_65A

Concerned w/ability to cope w/stress  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCNUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	35.7%	32.6%	36.5%	29.2%	42.1%	39.2%	35.9%	36.5%	29.8%	35.7%	31.0%	37.9%
	* 2.3	3.6	3.8	11.4	3.9	3.5	2.7	4.9	9.6	4.3	7.6	5.0
SELDOM	19.6	18.6	18.9	24.0	21.6	25.4	19.0	20.1	23.5	18.4	17.6	22.1
	* 1.6	2.9	2.6	7.9	3.3	3.4	1.7	4.1	7.4	2.7	4.6	3.7
SOMETIMES	32.3	31.9	33.6	32.7	27.5	29.2	33.8	29.0	30.6	32.6	36.9	27.9
	* 2.4	3.3	3.7	9.2	4.3	2.8	2.8	4.8	10.1	4.5	5.8	5.1
OFTEN	8.2	11.4	6.7	12.2	7.3	5.0	7.5	9.6	9.9	8.3	10.5	9.6
	* 1.5	2.6	2.2	9.8	2.0	1.5	1.6	3.7	6.1	1.7	5.4	2.9
VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS	4.1	5.5	4.2	1.9	1.5	1.2	3.7	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.9	2.5
	* 1.1	1.7	1.6	2.7	1.3	0.9	1.3	2.3	2.8	1.6	2.5	1.5
Sample size	3,214	806	817	87	742	762	2,058	833	323	1,110	370	507
Weighted total	270,113	80,803	143,921	8,177	19,572	17,639	180,460	73,544	16,109	101,792	42,865	46,402

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_65A (cont.)

Concerned w/ability to cope w/stress  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

No Children      Spouse Employed      Not Employed      On Active Duty

VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	34.4%	40.0%	38.0%	33.3%	37.9%
	* 2.8	4.5	3.4	3.7	7.6
SELDOM	18.8	22.9	20.4	17.6	26.0
	* 2.1	4.4	2.6	2.3	6.5
SOMETIMES	33.9	27.0	30.1	35.1	28.4
	* 2.5	5.9	3.4	3.2	7.5
OFTEN	8.6	6.8	8.4	8.8	4.7
	* 2.1	2.3	2.4	2.2	3.4
VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS	4.3	3.3	3.1	5.2	2.9
	* 1.2	1.6	1.5	1.6	2.5
Sample size	2,397	777	1,435	1,493	271
Weighted total	203,177	62,660	120,204	122,799	25,332

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_658

Difficult to maintain positive attitude  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	AFCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	29.6%	23.6%	32.3%	30.2%	30.6%	33.6%	28.9%	31.2%	29.7%	30.5%	22.1%	32.7%
	* 2.7	3.6	3.8	11.3	3.5	2.9	3.4	5.2	7.5	4.4	5.8	4.8
SELDOM	23.8	22.6	23.4	25.7	28.9	27.0	24.0	23.9	21.6	20.6	29.1	21.4
	* 2.3	3.4	3.1	11.4	4.0	3.0	3.1	3.8	2.6	3.5	5.9	4.7
SOMETIMES	22.7	32.2	32.9	37.1	31.7	32.6	33.7	30.5	32.5	34.7	33.7	32.1
	* 2.3	4.0	3.5	10.8	3.9	3.6	2.6	4.8	9.3	4.2	5.9	5.4
OFTEN	9.9	14.0	9.0	6.3	6.0	5.2	9.4	10.9	11.5	9.7	12.0	10.5
	* 1.5	3.3	1.6	6.1	1.9	1.6	1.4	4.1	2.4	2.1	3.9	5.4
VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS	3.9	7.6	2.4	0.8	2.8	1.7	4.0	3.5	4.8	4.5	3.1	3.3
	* 0.9	1.9	1.0	1.5	1.6	1.0	1.2	1.2	3.1	1.4	1.9	1.7
Sample size	3,214	810	812	87	743	762	2,059	833	322	1,110	368	510
Weighted total	269,569	81,233	142,919	8,177	19,587	17,653	180,284	73,205	16,080	101,821	42,425	46,620

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_658 (cont.)

Difficult to maintain positive attitude  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

VERY SELDOM OR NEVER

	29.4%	29.6%	32.0%	27.6%	29.1%
*	3.1	4.8	3.5	3.4	6.4

SELDON

	24.0	24.0	22.5	24.0	28.4
*	2.8	4.2	3.1	2.8	7.2

SOMETIMES

	33.5	30.7	32.0	34.2	28.9
*	2.4	5.4	3.6	3.2	7.5

OFTEN

	9.2	11.5	10.4	9.6	9.1
*	1.4	3.6	2.1	1.8	7.5

VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS

	3.8	4.2	3.1	4.6	4.5
*	0.9	2.2	1.0	1.5	3.5

Sample size

	2,398	777	1,436	1,493	271
--	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total

	202,897	62,660	120,175	122,548	25,332
--	---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_65C

How often worry about own safety  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	29.1%	23.4%	31.2%	33.9%	30.6%	35.2%	27.4%	33.0%	30.9%	25.8%	24.2%	37.5%
	* 2.4	4.0	3.8	8.8	4.1	3.9	2.7	5.0	9.0	4.8	7.3	6.3
SELDOM	23.7	17.9	26.3	28.0	22.6	27.6	21.9	28.5	21.3	26.1	25.7	19.1
	* 1.8	3.2	3.0	9.9	3.5	3.1	2.3	3.3	6.9	3.3	5.9	3.9
SOMETIMES	25.6	28.4	23.2	25.3	30.7	26.6	26.3	24.0	25.1	24.7	27.3	23.9
	* 1.9	3.8	3.3	9.9	3.2	3.7	2.7	2.4	7.6	3.6	4.3	5.1
OFTEN	12.6	17.3	11.5	7.7	9.4	6.1	13.8	9.0	15.9	13.6	12.7	11.4
	* 1.7	3.2	2.7	5.0	2.3	1.9	2.1	3.1	7.9	2.3	3.9	3.4
VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS	9.0	13.0	7.8	5.0	6.7	4.4	10.6	5.6	6.8	9.7	10.1	8.1
	* 1.7	2.6	2.4	4.6	3.2	2.1	2.3	2.0	3.9	2.5	4.7	3.6
Sample size	3,206	806	812	87	740	761	2,054	831	321	1,105	369	509
Weighted total	269,116	80,751	143,034	8,177	19,514	17,639	179,860	73,190	16,066	101,575	42,566	46,533

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_65C (cont.)

How often worry about own safety  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	29.3%	27.8%	29.3%	25.8%	45.3%
	* 3.0	4.1	3.3	3.2	9.8
SELDOM	24.0	23.3	24.5	22.7	23.6
	* 2.4	5.0	3.1	3.2	6.7
SOMETIMES	24.7	28.6	24.7	27.5	20.4
	* 2.0	3.7	2.5	3.4	8.2
OFTEN	12.8	12.0	13.6	13.1	5.5
	* 1.8	2.9	2.4	2.2	3.3
VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS	9.2	8.2	7.9	10.9	5.2
	* 2.1	2.5	2.0	2.6	4.5
Sample size	2,392	776	1,434	1,488	270
Weighted total	202,624	62,629	120,056	122,344	25,202

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_650

How often experience loneliness  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	7.8% * 1.7	4.5% 1.8	9.1% 3.4	10.8% 5.7	8.8% 2.3	8.8% 2.3	10.2% 1.3	7.3% 2.0	8.8% 3.4	9.2% 4.0	8.5% 4.0	4.6% 2.5
SELDOM	8.6 * 1.4	4.3 1.5	10.3 2.2	8.8 4.5	10.4 2.0	10.4 2.0	12.6 3.1	8.8 1.7	8.2 2.9	9.2 6.0	8.4 2.0	8.8 3.6
SOMETIMES	29.6 * 2.0	21.2 3.3	32.0 3.4	28.4 12.5	34.9 4.3	34.9 4.3	42.2 4.0	30.1 2.4	29.1 4.1	25.1 5.9	27.2 3.9	29.3 5.8
OFTEN	29.3 * 2.0	31.5 4.3	28.0 3.2	37.7 12.6	29.6 4.0	29.6 4.0	26.5 3.1	28.7 2.1	31.0 4.6	29.6 8.2	27.7 4.2	32.0 6.3
VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS	24.7 * 2.7	38.5 5.1	20.6 3.1	14.3 9.1	16.3 3.5	16.3 3.5	8.5 2.2	25.1 2.8	23.0 6.7	26.9 9.8	28.3 4.4	25.2 7.2
Sample size	3,187	801	807	86	734	734	759	2,041	825	321	1,099	367
Weighted total	266,938	79,889	142,060	8,018	19,372	19,372	17,599	178,318	72,554	16,066	100,108	42,446

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_65D (cont.)

How often experience loneliness  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Mot Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	7.9%	7.3%	8.1%	6.9%	11.4%
	* 2.1	3.0	2.2	2.6	4.4
SELDOM	9.4	6.1	8.7	8.1	11.2
	* 1.6	2.1	2.1	2.3	4.3
SOMETIMES	31.2	24.5	30.6	30.1	20.1
	* 2.6	4.7	3.3	3.2	6.1
OFTEN	29.2	29.5	29.5	29.1	30.3
	* 2.5	4.4	3.5	3.0	7.9
VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS	22.3	32.7	23.1	25.8	27.0
	* 2.7	4.7	3.7	3.2	7.0
Sample size	2,379	769	1,427	1,478	270
Weighted total	201,783	61,144	119,269	121,057	25,202

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_65E

How often fear member going into combat  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	28.8%	26.6%	26.7%	32.2%	38.4%	43.7%	26.7%	34.5%	26.1%	24.0%	22.2%	39.2%
	* 2.5	4.6	3.5	13.2	5.3	4.2	2.8	5.4	8.0	4.0	4.4	6.0
SELDOM	23.0	19.2	23.5	29.1	28.6	27.7	22.6	23.7	24.5	22.7	21.6	21.3
	* 2.1	3.3	3.5	10.9	4.0	3.4	2.8	3.8	3.2	3.4	4.8	5.0
SOMETIMES	24.3	20.9	26.7	25.8	21.5	22.2	25.7	21.1	23.1	25.9	27.1	20.1
	* 2.1	3.3	3.0	10.5	3.6	2.9	2.7	3.3	3.2	3.3	5.8	4.7
OFTEN	10.9	14.3	10.4	11.1	6.5	3.8	10.7	11.1	12.2	12.8	14.1	9.6
	* 1.2	2.5	1.6	8.1	1.9	1.2	1.6	2.1	3.6	2.1	4.2	3.5
VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS	13.0	18.9	12.7	1.8	4.9	2.6	14.3	9.5	14.2	14.6	15.0	9.9
	* 1.8	3.4	2.7	2.6	2.1	1.1	2.2	2.7	6.7	2.5	5.0	4.0
Sample size	3,210	807	814	87	741	761	2,057	832	321	1,108	369	508
Weighted total	269,790	80,922	143,517	8,177	19,545	17,629	180,560	73,245	15,986	101,888	42,566	46,519

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_65E (cont.)

How often fear member going into civilian life  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	House Children	House and Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	27.4%	33.4%	28.7%	24.6%	50.3%
	* 2.7	4.1	3.3	3.4	6.2
SELDOM	23.5	21.4	23.3	22.1	25.2
	* 2.6	3.0	3.0	3.6	6.0
SOMETIMES	24.2	25.2	27.6	22.7	17.1
	* 2.2	4.2	3.2	2.9	5.8
OFTEN	11.5	9.0	9.4	13.6	3.8
	* 1.7	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.4
VERY OFTEN ARE ALWAYS	13.3	11.1	10.9	17.0	3.6
	* 1.9	2.7	2.5	3.1	2.8
Sample size	2,395	776	1,436	1,490	270
Weighted total	203,212	62,566	120,285	122,789	25,202

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_66A

Power of attorney if member away  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	61.6%	52.5%	66.1%	60.5%	67.0%	67.0%	61.4%	62.0%	59.8%	65.9%	69.3%	65.4%
	* 3.5	5.5	4.3	12.2	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.7	5.7	1.8	4.6	6.9
NO	34.0	40.7	31.0	33.5	27.9	27.9	35.1	33.3	36.8	29.1	27.5	30.1
	* 3.2	5.5	3.9	9.7	4.0	4.0	3.3	4.3	5.2	1.8	4.6	7.5
DON'T KNOW	4.4	6.8	3.0	6.0	5.1	5.1	3.5	4.8	3.4	5.0	3.2	4.5
	* 1.1	1.6	1.5	5.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.9	1.9	1.1	2.9
Sample size	3,224	810	821	87	742	742	764	2,068	834	322	1,110	369
Weighted total	272,013	81,251	145,352	8,177	19,525	19,525	17,708	182,334	73,743	15,935	101,942	42,854
												47,121

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_66A (cont.)

Power of attorney if member away  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	63.6%	56.0%	63.0%	62.3%	51.7%
	* 3.6	6.0	4.5	5.4	9.7
NO	33.1	36.7	31.8	33.5	46.9
	* 3.4	5.8	3.6	5.4	10.4
DON'T KNOW	3.4	7.3	5.2	4.3	1.5
	* 0.9	2.8	2.0	1.1	2.2
Sample size	2,406	779	1,437	1,500	271
Weighted total	204,789	63,147	120,942	123,897	25,251

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_668

Joint checking account with member  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade		Other		Combat		Combat Service	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Support	TDA
YES	86.6%	79.8%	87.7%	98.1%	92.9%	96.9%	87.5%	83.3%	91.4%	86.3%	89.9%	80.2%
	* 2.0	3.0	2.6	2.7	2.4	1.6	2.6	3.4	6.4	3.2	2.6	6.1
NO	13.1	19.8	12.1	1.9	6.5	3.1	12.3	16.0	8.6	13.3	9.8	19.5
	* 2.0	2.9	2.7	2.7	1.8	1.6	2.6	3.4	6.4	3.2	2.5	5.7
DON'T KNOW	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3
	* 0.3	0.4	0.4	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.9	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.5
Sample size	3,237	815	822	87	745	768	2,076	837	324	1,113	371	515
Weighted total	272,920	81,775	145,549	8,177	19,618	17,800	183,012	73,804	16,104	102,257	43,087	47,365

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_668 (cont.)

Joint checking account with member  
by "Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status"

No Children      Spouse Employed      Not Employed      On Active Duty

YES	89.2%	78.8%	77%	86.8%	74.8%
	* 1.9	5.8	2.7	3.0	9.3
NO	10.5	21.2	11.0	12.0	25.2
	* 1.8	5.8	2.7	2.9	9.3
DON'T KNOW	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0
	* 0.4	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.0
Sample size	2,413	784	1,444	1,506	271
Weighted total	205,292	63,390	121,489	124,457	25,251

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_66C

Equivalent of 2 weeks pay on hand  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	54.5%	39.6%	54.2%	80.1%	76.6%		88.4%	51.6%	60.9%	57.6%	50.9%	49.5%
	* 3.3	4.9	4.2	7.4	3.8		2.4	4.1	5.9	9.0	4.4	7.3
NO	44.3	58.3	44.8	19.9	22.3		11.3	46.9	38.4	42.0	47.4	49.4
	* 3.3	4.7	4.3	7.4	4.1		2.3	4.1	5.9	8.7	4.5	7.2
DON'T KNOW	1.2	2.1	1.0	0.0	1.1		0.3	1.5	0.7	0.4	1.7	1.1
	* 0.6	1.4	0.6	0.0	1.3		0.4	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.9	1.3
Sample size	3,234	814	821	87	744		768	2,076	836	322	1,111	371
Weighted total	272,834	81,703	145,548	8,177	19,605		17,800	183,131	73,736	15,966	102,155	43,087
												47,257

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_66C (cont.)

Equivalent of 2 weeks pay on hand  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	52.4%	61.6%	56.4%	48.8%	73.0%
	* 3.4	5.8	4.3	4.9	8.6
NO	46.7	36.5	42.5	49.7	26.3
	* 3.5	5.6	4.2	4.9	8.9
DON'T KNOW	0.9	1.9	1.2	1.5	0.7
	* 0.5	1.5	0.9	0.8	0.9
Sample size	2,413	781	1,443	1,504	272
Weighted total	205,451	63,144	121,476	124,148	25,355

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67A

I feel no commitment to the Army  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
STRONGLY AGREE	8.7%	13.2%	6.7%	13.1%	5.4%	5.4%	5.6%	8.5%	9.8%	6.3%	10.7%	9.8%
	* 1.5	4.2	2.0	7.7	1.3	1.3	2.0	1.8	3.4	3.1	2.9	4.4
AGREE	15.6	18.0	15.6	13.6	11.3	11.3	9.5	15.1	16.2	18.0	16.4	16.5
	* 1.7	3.1	2.9	8.3	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	3.7	4.9	2.4	6.1
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	31.2	32.3	33.3	22.7	25.3	25.3	18.9	29.9	34.6	30.1	33.6	36.3
	* 2.8	4.4	4.5	11.6	3.3	3.3	2.6	3.7	4.1	7.9	4.3	6.4
DISAGREE	31.8	28.3	30.7	38.3	40.8	40.8	43.0	32.7	29.3	32.5	30.7	26.9
	* 2.2	4.0	3.2	12.5	3.8	3.8	4.4	2.8	4.2	8.9	3.8	4.8
STRONGLY DISAGREE	12.8	8.1	13.6	12.3	17.2	17.2	23.0	13.8	10.3	13.2	8.6	10.6
	* 1.8	2.0	2.9	8.2	3.0	3.0	4.1	2.3	2.7	3.4	1.8	3.8
Sample size	3,212	813	809	86	744	744	760	2,060	833	319	1,106	368
Weighted total	269,966	81,891	142,800	8,079	19,614	19,614	17,582	181,216	72,983	15,767	102,089	42,593
												508
												46,485

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67

I feel no credit  
by Number of Children, my  
Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	7.5% * 1.7	11.6% 3.3	8.6% 1.7	10.1% 2.7	2.9% 2.4
AGREE	15.2 * 2.1	16.3 3.4	17.3 3.0	14.7 2.7	10.4 6.4
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	31.8 * 3.0	29.4 4.8	30.4 3.5	34.0	20.8 9.1
DISAGREE	33.5 * 2.9	26.7 4.0	32.9 3.0	30.5 4.3	32.9 7.3
STRONGLY DISAGREE	12.0 * 1.7	16.0 3.9	10.8 2.0	10.8 2.1	33.0 7.7
Sample size	2,395	779	1,436	1,489	272
Weighted total	202,768	63,094	120,319	122,420	25,350

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_678

My values and Army's values are similar  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	4.3%	2.0%	4.9%	6.1%	5.1%	8.6%	4.5%	3.0%	7.7%	3.8%	2.4%	6.5%
	* 1.2	1.0	1.8	6.5	1.7	2.1	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.8	3.3
AGREE	23.2	18.7	21.7	39.8	30.7	40.5	23.0	24.3	20.8	20.0	16.9	29.5
	* 2.5	3.7	3.3	10.7	4.5	2.9	2.7	6.4	3.2	3.2	4.7	5.9
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	34.2	35.3	34.5	23.2	36.1	29.4	34.3	33.6	35.4	34.4	37.3	28.9
	* 2.2	5.1	3.5	6.6	5.4	4.0	3.1	2.5	1.9	4.1	5.7	5.8
DISAGREE	27.5	27.5	30.1	22.7	20.6	16.4	26.5	29.9	28.1	28.4	31.1	26.6
	* 2.2	3.4	3.6	11.2	3.6	2.8	2.5	5.2	4.9	3.4	5.8	5.8
STRONGLY DISAGREE	10.7	16.4	8.8	8.1	7.4	5.0	11.6	9.3	8.0	13.4	12.4	8.4
	* 1.5	3.4	1.9	6.3	2.1	2.1	1.7	3.0	3.6	3.2	4.0	3.1
Sample size	3,213	814	812	87	741	759	2,064	831	318	1,109	371	503
Weighted total	270,261	82,017	142,915	8,177	19,562	17,590	181,934	72,315	16,013	102,579	43,081	45,544

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67B (cont.)

My values and Army's values are similar  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	3.0%	8.6%	4.1%	2.6%	13.8%
	* 0.9	3.5	1.6	0.9	5.9
AGREE	24.3	18.9	23.1	20.2	36.9
	* 3.0	3.4	2.9	3.0	8.3
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	33.8	35.6	37.7	32.3	27.7
	* 3.1	5.1	3.1	3.7	8.8
DISAGREE	28.4	25.8	25.6	31.6	17.1
	* 2.8	5.0	2.7	3.4	8.4
STRONGLY DISAGREE	10.5	11.0	9.4	13.3	4.6
	* 1.9	2.7	2.4	2.0	3.4
Sample size	2,398	777	1,437	1,488	272
Weighted total	203,083	63,074	120,888	122,066	25,350

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67C

Member made mistake joining Army  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
STRONGLY AGREE	2.8%	4.7%	1.9%	5.5%	1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	3.0%	2.7%	0.9%	3.9%	2.3%
	* 0.7	1.5	1.0	6.2	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.6
AGREE	4.1	7.1	3.1	2.3	2.0	1.4	1.4	4.4	3.7	1.8	4.8	6.3
	* 1.1	3.2	1.2	2.9	1.4	0.9	0.9	1.1	2.9	2.2	1.7	4.6
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	17.5	21.3	17.3	8.2	12.6	10.6	10.6	17.2	18.2	17.0	15.9	26.7
	* 2.1	4.0	2.8	5.5	2.7	2.1	2.1	2.5	4.4	3.9	2.5	6.7
DISAGREE	39.0	35.1	40.6	43.4	42.3	37.8	37.8	37.8	41.3	41.8	41.1	33.1
	* 2.5	4.3	4.0	13.7	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.0	4.8	5.7	3.5	5.5
STRONGLY DISAGREE	36.7	31.9	37.0	40.5	42.0	48.9	48.9	37.6	34.1	38.5	34.3	31.6
	* 2.8	3.3	4.2	11.5	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	5.6	10.1	4.5	6.1
Sample size	3,214	812	816	86	742	758	758	2,060	834	320	1,108	371
Weighted total	270,968	81,813	143,890	8,091	19,594	17,580	17,580	181,764	73,065	16,140	102,471	43,243
												507
												46,317

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67C (cont.)

Member made mistake joining Army  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	2.7%	2.8%	2.4%	2.9%	3.8%
	* 0.9	2.0	1.1	1.2	4.3
AGREE	3.3	5.9	3.4	4.7	2.7
	* 1.1	3.0	1.2	1.8	2.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	16.8	19.1	17.4	16.8	17.6
	* 2.4	4.0	2.3	2.5	8.9
DISAGREE	41.2	32.7	38.3	40.5	37.4
	* 2.9	5.2	3.1	3.9	8.2
STRONGLY DISAGREE	36.1	39.5	38.6	35.0	38.5
	* 3.4	5.0	3.7	4.5	7.5
Sample size	2,396	778	1,437	1,489	272
Weighted total	203,609	63,069	121,124	122,537	25,350

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67D

My goals met/member stays to retirement  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	11.9%	11.1%	12.5%	6.3%	11.8%	11.8%	14.2%	12.5%	9.0%	18.5%	10.4%	10.8%
	* 2.1	3.0	3.1	4.8	2.4	2.4	1.8	2.8	2.6	6.4	3.0	3.3
AGREE	28.7	23.4	29.5	41.9	31.2	31.2	37.4	27.6	31.1	29.5	27.2	23.3
	* 2.6	3.8	3.8	9.7	4.5	4.5	3.1	3.3	5.3	7.2	4.3	4.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	30.8	29.7	33.5	24.1	26.6	26.6	21.5	31.6	28.8	30.8	31.1	31.8
	* 2.6	4.6	4.0	10.1	3.8	3.8	2.2	2.9	6.6	3.7	4.5	7.3
DISAGREE	17.8	18.3	17.2	13.9	21.4	21.4	18.6	17.5	19.1	15.6	20.8	17.6
	* 2.0	3.2	3.1	8.6	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.5	3.8	3.2	3.2	3.1
STRONGLY DISAGREE	10.8	17.5	7.3	13.8	9.1	9.1	8.3	10.8	11.9	5.5	10.5	16.4
	* 2.0	4.6	2.1	8.4	2.1	2.1	2.4	1.9	5.4	4.4	2.6	6.6
Sample size	3,214	811	815	87	740	740	761	2,062	832	320	1,106	371
Weighted total	270,765	81,805	143,662	8,177	19,461	19,461	17,658	181,541	73,030	16,193	102,159	43,245
												506
												46,006

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_67D (cont.)

My goals met/member stays to retirement  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

No	Spouse	Not	On Active
Children	Children	Employed	Duty
Employed	Employed	Employed	Duty

STRONGLY AGREE	11.1%	13.4%	10.3%	12.1%	1%	1%
	* 2.2	4.5	2.4	2.6	7.9	
AGREE	30.3	24.4	31.3	25.2	35.0	
	* 3.0	4.6	3.5	3.4	7.7	
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	31.4	29.8	30.0	31.7	29.6	
	* 3.3	4.7	3.6	4.0	8.5	
DISAGREE	17.1	19.8	18.7	18.4	10.1	
	* 2.5	4.6	2.6	3.7	5.2	
STRONGLY DISAGREE	10.1	12.7	9.7	12.7	7.3	
	* 2.2	3.0	1.9	3.8	3.9	
Sample size	2,398	776	1,437	1,489	272	
Weighted total	203,779	62,695	121,134	122,324	25,350	

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67E

Army responsive to family needs  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
STRONGLY AGREE	4.6%	5.3%	4.6%	0.0%	4.3%	4.3%	4.2%	5.2%	2.6%	7.7%	4.9%	3.8%
	* 1.2	2.4	1.7	0.0	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.6	1.2	6.8	2.2	2.1
AGREE	23.4	21.0	23.9	31.1	21.2	23.4	28.3	23.4	23.0	24.9	23.7	18.5
	* 2.7	3.7	3.8	14.4	2.7	3.3	3.6	3.3	5.8	6.8	4.0	6.0
												24.3
												4.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	28.3	26.0	29.5	29.0	30.4	27.7	26.8	27.7	30.5	26.0	26.2	31.8
	* 2.1	3.4	3.6	10.9	3.9	2.4	2.9	2.4	4.8	4.3	3.1	5.5
DISAGREE	26.5	26.5	25.9	24.6	29.4	26.1	29.4	26.1	28.4	23.1	26.5	28.2
	* 2.4	4.1	3.6	12.2	4.2	2.5	3.4	2.5	5.7	9.2	3.0	7.4
STRONGLY DISAGREE	17.1	21.2	16.0	15.3	14.7	17.7	11.4	17.7	15.5	18.2	18.7	17.8
	* 1.8	3.6	2.4	7.7	3.4	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.8	8.5	2.3	5.4
												4.9
Sample size	3,170	790	804	84	734	2,032	758	2,032	820	318	1,094	364
Weighted total	266,731	79,954	141,879	7,953	19,364	179,099	17,581	179,099	71,684	15,949	101,037	42,004
												45,112

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67E (cont.)

Army responsive to family needs  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	4.3%	4.8%	2.9%	5.2%	7.3%
	* 1.1	2.6	1.2	1.9	5.4
AGREE	23.5	23.1	23.4	25.0	17.2
	* 2.7	5.5	3.0	4.7	6.4
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	27.6	31.0	30.2	26.6	28.7
	* 2.3	3.9	3.1	2.4	7.0
DISAGREE	26.5	26.4	27.7	24.3	31.4
	* 2.9	4.7	3.3	3.6	10.8
STRONGLY DISAGREE	18.1	14.6	15.9	18.9	15.4
	* 2.0	3.8	3.0	2.5	5.2
Sample size	2,366	765	1,412	1,473	269
Weighted total	200,991	61,611	119,325	120,422	25,029

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67f

Too many non-job activities (member)  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	10.2%	13.6%	8.9%	15.5%	8.6%	8.6%	4.5%	10.4%	9.3%	12.4%	11.4%	10.4%
	* 1.5	3.3	2.2	10.1	2.2	2.2	1.8	2.0	2.5	5.4	2.8	2.9
AGREE	20.5	19.9	22.1	12.4	22.1	22.1	12.7	20.8	20.4	18.2	21.8	24.0
	* 2.3	3.0	3.6	5.6	3.3	3.3	2.5	2.4	6.0	6.4	3.6	5.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	34.7	37.6	34.5	29.3	32.1	32.1	28.0	33.9	36.0	38.1	33.1	40.5
	* 2.3	4.3	3.3	10.1	4.0	4.0	3.0	2.6	5.2	7.7	3.8	5.7
DISAGREE	30.2	24.9	30.2	40.1	32.4	32.4	47.0	30.7	29.5	26.9	28.4	22.7
	* 2.6	3.8	3.3	15.4	5.0	5.0	2.9	3.2	5.0	3.9	3.2	4.7
STRONGLY DISAGREE	4.4	4.0	4.2	2.7	4.9	4.9	7.8	4.2	4.8	4.4	5.3	2.3
	* 1.1	1.5	1.9	3.5	2.0	2.0	2.1	1.5	1.7	2.8	2.5	1.7
Sample size	3,218	813	814	87	742	742	762	2,063	834	321	1,106	371
Weighted total	270,657	81,939	143,295	8,177	19,582	19,582	17,663	181,462	72,997	16,198	102,160	43,081
												46,205

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67F (cont.)

Too many non-job activities (member)  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

## STRONGLY AGREE

10.2%	10.7%	9.1%	11.6%	9.2%
* 2.0	3.6	2.7	2.6	5.1

## AGREE

20.2	22.0	20.0	20.7	21.7
* 2.5	4.9	3.0	3.4	7.0

## NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE

34.0	35.6	36.3	31.8	40.6
* 2.2	5.5	3.7	2.9	8.0

## DISAGREE

31.6	26.6	30.8	31.6	22.2
* 3.0	5.1	3.0	3.8	6.1

## STRONGLY DISAGREE

4.1	5.0	3.8	4.4	6.4
* 1.3	2.0	1.5	1.5	3.5

## Sample size

2,402	780	1,441	1,491	271
-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

## Weighted total

203,876	63,109	121,354	122,284	25,240
---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67G

Too many non-important activities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	4.0%	4.2%	3.5%	3.5%	6.9%	4.2%	4.3%	3.7%	2.1%	4.6%	1.5%	5.5%
	* 1.1	2.3	1.3	3.6	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.6	2.1	1.3	3.0
AGREE	13.9	11.1	13.8	15.0	22.1	18.1	13.1	15.8	14.7	12.3	18.0	15.8
	* 1.7	3.4	2.4	8.8	3.4	2.6	1.7	4.8	3.7	2.8	3.8	5.8
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	30.4	34.3	29.6	27.8	29.9	20.8	30.3	29.5	36.2	29.4	32.8	31.2
	* 2.6	4.2	4.1	12.0	4.4	2.4	3.4	4.4	5.4	3.4	5.4	5.6
DISAGREE	41.8	38.1	44.1	43.0	34.5	46.8	42.3	42.0	34.8	43.0	39.4	38.4
	* 2.4	3.9	3.8	12.6	3.4	4.1	2.6	5.8	5.9	4.4	6.4	6.7
STRONGLY DISAGREE	9.9	12.3	9.0	10.8	6.5	10.1	10.1	9.0	12.2	10.7	8.4	9.0
	* 1.6	3.2	2.2	15.8	2.2	1.6	2.0	2.6	7.3	2.8	2.9	3.7
Sample size	3,219	806	816	81	744	766	2,066	832	321	1,105	370	509
Weighted total	270,586	81,269	143,789	8,177	19,593	17,758	181,737	72,593	16,257	101,965	42,926	46,393

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67G (cont.)

Too many non-important activities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	2.9%	7.7%	3.3%	2.8%	13.4%
	* 1.0	4.2	1.2	1.3	8.3
AGREE	12.9	16.6	13.2	11.3	29.2
	* 1.6	4.5	2.2	2.3	7.8
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	30.7	30.5	31.8	29.7	26.5
	* 3.5	4.3	3.6	3.5	4.7
DISAGREE	43.7	35.4	42.1	44.7	26.8
	* 3.1	4.4	3.9	3.7	8.3
STRONGLY DISAGREE	9.8	9.9	9.6	11.6	4.1
	* 1.5	3.9	2.4	2.2	3.3
Sample size	2,403	778	1,441	1,492	271
Weighted total	203,958	62,723	121,103	122,443	25,261

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67H

Spouse should feel part of Army community  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	17.8%	20.9%	16.7%	14.4%	15.7%	16.0%	17.8%	17.5%	18.0%	17.1%	18.0%	20.3%
	* 1.8	2.8	2.8	11.2	3.4	2.9	2.2	3.8	5.9	2.9	5.3	6.1
AGREE	47.4	44.2	48.2	51.3	48.4	52.4	45.1	52.9	47.4	47.9	48.2	46.0
	* 2.5	5.0	3.5	10.8	3.2	2.8	2.8	6.0	2.2	3.8	5.5	6.0
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	23.4	23.9	23.5	28.9	22.6	17.7	24.6	20.3	23.7	22.5	23.3	24.6
	* 2.4	4.5	3.3	8.1	3.2	2.8	3.0	4.9	8.2	3.8	5.9	5.6
DISAGREE	9.3	7.5	10.1	5.5	10.5	11.3	10.1	7.7	7.0	10.4	7.3	7.0
	* 1.6	2.0	2.7	4.4	2.2	1.7	2.3	1.8	3.3	3.3	3.0	2.7
STRONGLY DISAGREE	2.2	3.5	1.5	0.0	2.9	2.5	2.4	1.5	4.0	2.0	3.1	2.1
	* 0.8	2.1	0.9	0.0	1.9	1.1	1.0	1.0	3.6	1.5	2.5	1.3
Sample size	3,228	814	817	87	745	765	2,071	836	321	1,109	371	510
Weighted total	271,587	82,038	143,982	8,177	19,643	17,747	181,749	73,581	16,257	102,357	43,024	46,561

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_67H (cont.)

Spouse should feel part of Army community  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	17.8% * 2.0	17.5% 3.4	15.5% 2.0	19.8% 2.6	19.3% 8.5
AGREE	49.5% * 2.7	39.7% 5.4	47.4% 3.4	46.1% 4.4	51.6% 9.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	21.6% * 2.7	29.8% 4.2	25.4% 3.1	21.4% 4.4	23.0% 5.7
DISAGREE	9.4% * 2.1	9.2% 3.1	9.7% 2.3	10.0% 2.3	4.3% 2.1
STRONGLY DISAGREE	1.8% * 0.7	3.8% 2.5	2.0% 0.8	2.6% 1.5	1.8% 1.8
Sample size	2,409	780	1,445	1,497	271
Weighted total	204,393	63,081	121,437	123,111	25,261

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_671

Member likely to stay-spouse has good job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	30.6%	29.9%	30.9%	28.8%	31.3%	32.0%	30.8%	29.6%	32.6%	31.9%	23.7%	35.0%
	* 1.9	4.2	2.9	11.3	3.5	2.5	2.1	3.9	9.8	3.3	4.4	5.9
AGREE	38.0	34.7	38.2	40.0	40.9	47.1	35.6	43.5	39.8	34.7	41.4	40.7
	* 2.1	3.2	3.0	12.8	4.1	4.5	2.1	4.5	12.1	3.0	7.2	6.1
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	21.3	22.8	21.3	23.4	19.0	16.4	22.1	20.0	19.1	21.8	24.9	18.0
	* 2.3	3.6	3.5	6.0	2.9	2.6	2.9	4.5	3.6	3.9	7.3	5.0
DISAGREE	8.4	10.2	8.4	5.7	6.5	3.4	9.9	5.4	5.4	9.8	7.4	5.7
	* 1.7	2.5	2.9	5.7	2.2	1.9	2.3	2.3	1.9	3.4	3.3	2.5
STRONGLY DISAGREE	1.7	2.4	1.2	2.1	2.3	1.1	1.6	1.5	3.1	1.9	2.6	0.7
	* 0.7	1.5	0.9	3.3	2.0	0.9	0.8	1.2	3.8	1.1	2.2	0.7
Sample size	3,230	817	819	87	746	761	2,072	837	321	1,111	373	510
Weighted total	272,747	82,265	144,996	8,177	19,662	17,647	182,730	73,752	16,265	102,698	43,484	46,949

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_671 (cont.)

Member likely to stay-spouse has good job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

STRONGLY AGREE	30.8%	30.5%	32.2%	27.9%	36.1%
	* 2.0	4.9	2.9	2.6	8.8

AGREE	37.9	38.2	39.5	35.1	45.3
	* 2.3	4.9	3.3	2.4	9.5

NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	20.8	23.0	18.8	24.7	16.2
	* 2.4	5.0	2.9	3.0	7.0

DISAGREE	8.8	6.8	7.1	11.0	2.0
	* 1.9	2.4	1.4	3.1	1.6

STRONGLY DISAGREE	1.7	1.5	2.4	1.3	0.4
	* 0.9	1.0	1.2	0.6	0.5

Sample size	2,411	780	1,445	1,500	270
-------------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total	205,506	63,128	121,895	124,112	24,961
----------------	---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67J

Man's family should adjust to his job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
STRONGLY AGREE	3.9%	4.7%	4.0%	3.2%	1.9%		2.4%	3.9%	3.5%	5.8%	4.4%	1.3%
	* 1.1	1.7	1.5	5.2	0.9		1.4	1.4	1.7	3.9	1.8	1.4
AGREE	25.8	20.7	29.0	30.0	20.1		28.5	27.4	21.7	27.0	26.9	19.1
	* 2.1	3.1	4.0	8.9	3.6		3.6	2.5	3.5	7.6	3.7	5.1
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	31.6	33.0	30.5	34.1	34.3		29.8	30.6	34.2	31.0	30.4	34.5
	* 2.1	4.2	3.0	11.2	3.2		3.1	2.4	4.6	6.7	3.7	4.8
DISAGREE	29.1	27.9	28.8	25.1	33.8		32.5	28.7	29.6	30.8	27.4	34.0
	* 1.9	4.1	2.8	10.1	4.4		2.8	2.1	4.3	5.8	3.2	5.8
STRONGLY DISAGREE	9.6	13.7	7.7	7.6	9.8		6.8	9.5	11.0	5.4	11.0	11.2
	* 1.3	2.2	2.2	6.4	2.7		2.1	1.7	2.5	2.5	2.7	3.1
Sample size	3,208	813	809	87	743		756	2,058	831	319	1,107	369
Weighted total	269,061	82,018	141,702	8,177	19,607		17,556	180,127	72,694	16,240	101,939	42,174
												46,215

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67J (cont.)

Man's family should adjust to his job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	3.5%	4.7%	3.3%	3.7%
	* 1.0	2.3	1.2	1.3
AGREE	26.2	24.4	23.6	29.3
	* 2.8	4.8	2.3	3.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	31.0	33.1	32.8	29.0
	* 2.3	5.0	2.9	2.8
DISAGREE	29.6	29.0	29.7	28.8
	* 2.1	4.6	2.8	3.3
STRONGLY DISAGREE	9.7	8.7	10.6	9.2
	* 1.6	2.5	2.3	2.1
Sample size	2,390	780	1,437	1,485
Weighted total	201,880	63,158	121,103	121,088
				25,091

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67K

Husband/wife share child care equally  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
STRONGLY AGREE	46.2%	56.9%	43.7%	30.2%	42.1%	29.3%	46.5%	46.1%	43.1%	48.4%	46.6%	54.2%
	* 2.9	4.8	4.5	12.3	4.5	5.3	3.2	6.7	12.2	4.3	6.4	7.5
AGREE	42.7	35.1	45.5	55.7	44.5	47.4	42.1	43.6	45.9	40.2	43.6	37.5
	* 3.0	4.5	4.9	15.4	4.4	3.3	3.4	6.3	13.9	4.7	6.2	7.3
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	7.2	5.8	7.0	13.1	6.1	13.3	7.5	6.4	6.8	7.6	5.9	6.4
	* 1.8	2.0	3.1	6.6	1.8	3.7	2.5	2.1	4.9	2.9	2.9	2.4
DISAGREE	3.5	1.9	3.5	0.9	5.4	9.3	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.3	3.8	1.6
	* 0.9	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.9	2.5	1.1	1.7	1.1	1.6	1.7	1.3
STRONGLY DISAGREE	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.0	1.9	0.6	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.3
	* 0.2	0.3	0.4	0.0	1.4	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.1	0.4
Sample size	3,221	812	816	87	743	763	2,063	837	321	1,109	371	505
Weighted total	271,452	81,995	143,979	8,177	19,600	17,701	181,412	73,738	16,301	102,211	43,322	46,029

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67k (cont.)

Husband/wife share child care equally  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	45.7% * 3.3	47.2% 5.8	47.8% 4.2	43.5% 4.1	51.6% 11.5
AGREE	42.6 * 3.6	43.5 5.8	43.5 4.0	42.0 4.3	43.0 10.8
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	7.1 * 1.9	7.5 2.1	5.8 1.7	9.0 3.3	4.4 2.9
DISAGREE	4.2 * 1.1	1.4 0.9	2.7 1.1	4.9 1.5	0.3 0.4
STRONGLY DISAGREE	0.5 * 0.3	0.3 0.3	0.2 0.2	0.6 0.4	0.7 0.8
Sample size	2,403	779	1,444	1,491	271
Weighted total	204,248	63,090	121,511	123,070	25,091

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67L

Woman should make career plans like man  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCO	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	41.9%	49.4%	39.5%	33.0%	39.6%	33.6%	40.1%	45.6%	45.8%	43.1%	44.9%	48.6%
	* 3.0	4.2	4.5	11.2	4.5	5.4	3.7	5.1	16.9	5.1	5.4	7.3
AGREE	43.5	37.3	45.5	49.9	46.9	49.8	44.8	41.1	41.1	40.9	40.8	38.9
	* 2.7	3.9	4.1	14.2	4.1	4.9	3.2	5.3	15.2	4.5	6.6	7.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	10.4	10.7	10.2	10.2	10.0	11.5	10.2	10.6	12.3	10.8	11.3	9.1
	* 1.3	2.2	1.9	6.6	2.5	2.0	1.8	1.5	3.9	2.4	4.5	2.7
DISAGREE	3.3	2.1	4.0	3.5	1.6	4.8	3.9	2.4	0.5	4.6	1.6	2.6
	* 1.3	1.0	2.2	4.1	0.9	1.6	1.9	1.2	0.4	3.2	1.1	1.7
STRONGLY DISAGREE	0.8	0.5	0.8	3.4	1.8	0.3	1.1	0.4	0.4	0.5	1.3	0.7
	* 0.5	0.4	0.8	6.1	1.4	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.9	1.2
Sample size	3,227	815	819	86	743	764	2,071	837	319	1,109	373	508
Weighted total	272,013	82,205	144,395	8,114	19,587	17,712	182,084	73,736	16,193	102,338	43,484	46,203

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_67L (cont.)

Woman should make career plans like man  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	39.9%	47.8%	45.8%	35.6%	56.2%
	* 2.9	6.1	3.7	4.0	11.6
AGREE	44.3	42.0	43.3	44.6	36.7
	* 2.9	6.3	4.3	3.5	11.6
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	11.3	7.8	8.4	13.5	5.4
	* 1.3	2.5	1.9	1.9	2.6
DISAGREE	3.6	2.4	1.5	5.4	1.7
	* 1.7	1.4	0.9	2.5	2.0
STRONGLY DISAGREE	0.9	0.0	1.0	0.9	0.0
	* 0.7	0.1	0.9	0.6	0.0
Sample size	2,406	782	1,446	1,496	271
Weighted total	204,565	63,335	121,978	123,189	25,091

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67M

Husband should be head of the family  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	WCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	16.9%	18.2%	16.6%	21.3%	14.7%	14.4%	17.0%	15.9%	20.9%	16.2%	19.2%	16.6%
	* 1.8	3.6	2.6	10.6	2.2	2.5	2.4	2.5	6.1	3.3	4.8	4.5
AGREE	24.7	20.0	27.0	29.6	24.1	26.8	25.0	23.2	28.0	25.8	22.4	23.6
	* 2.2	3.5	3.4	13.7	2.8	3.9	2.6	4.9	6.2	4.2	4.6	6.0
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	31.9	34.2	30.7	31.8	30.4	32.5	32.7	30.6	28.0	30.8	28.8	28.1
	* 2.5	4.3	3.9	11.2	3.1	3.8	2.7	5.9	5.5	3.7	5.1	4.3
DISAGREE	15.9	16.0	16.0	8.9	16.7	17.8	15.8	17.2	11.7	16.2	16.7	19.6
	* 1.9	2.6	3.4	5.7	2.1	2.4	2.5	3.2	6.1	3.5	4.8	5.3
STRONGLY DISAGREE	10.5	11.7	9.8	8.3	14.2	8.5	9.4	13.1	11.5	11.1	12.8	12.1
	* 1.9	2.5	3.1	6.0	3.0	2.1	1.9	5.0	5.4	3.2	3.9	3.9
Sample size	3,225	814	815	87	746	763	2,070	835	320	1,107	373	507
Weighted total	271,294	82,034	143,717	8,177	19,662	17,703	181,575	73,501	16,218	102,202	43,484	45,972

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_67M (cont.)

Husband should be head of the family  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	17.6%	14.7%	14.5%	20.0%	13.0%
	* 2.1	3.7	2.6	2.6	5.9
AGREE	25.9	20.6	23.4	26.6	20.2
	* 2.4	4.8	3.0	3.1	8.1
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	31.7	32.5	33.7	28.6	39.9
	* 3.2	3.6	3.5	3.3	6.2
DISAGREE	15.3	17.6	17.2	14.7	16.7
	* 2.0	4.1	2.7	2.6	6.3
STRONGLY DISAGREE	9.4	14.6	11.2	10.0	10.4
	* 2.1	3.0	2.3	3.0	5.2
Sample size	2,405	781	1,442	1,497	271
Weighted total	203,944	63,237	121,550	122,873	25,091

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_68A

Unit leaders encourage family activities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type					
	Junior	Company	Field										
Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Combat	Service	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	3.3%	2.8%	2.6%	7.0%	6.6%	5.0%	3.4%	3.1%	2.3%	3.6%	2.8%	3.5%	3.5%
	* 0.0	1.3	1.3	6.6	2.1	1.9	1.0	1.4	1.8	1.3	2.4	2.1	2.1
GREAT EXTENT	10.5	8.2	9.8	15.6	18.6	14.9	11.1	8.9	9.8	13.7	6.6	9.8	9.8
	* 1.9	2.6	2.9	6.7	3.6	5.1	2.6	2.9	2.1	4.3	2.6	3.4	3.4
MODERATE EXTENT	27.1	24.5	28.0	34.9	28.7	27.1	25.4	31.1	29.5	29.1	28.9	26.6	26.6
	* 2.4	3.1	3.8	13.8	4.6	3.0	2.7	5.2	11.5	3.8	7.1	4.8	4.8
SLIGHT EXTENT	29.2	30.9	29.3	27.6	23.8	28.2	29.8	28.4	26.5	28.7	29.7	28.8	28.8
	* 1.8	4.0	2.7	12.4	3.7	4.1	2.2	3.7	1.8	3.6	6.6	5.2	5.2
NOT AT ALL	29.9	33.6	30.3	14.9	22.3	24.9	30.3	28.5	32.0	24.9	32.0	31.3	31.3
	* 2.8	3.9	3.9	11.3	3.9	4.5	3.5	4.9	12.6	3.1	6.8	5.4	5.4
Sample size	3,189	802	806	86	739	756	2,053	826	310	1,102	367	500	500
Weighted total	266,774	80,076	141,796	7,896	19,485	17,522	180,211	71,425	15,138	100,957	42,473	45,130	45,130

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_68A (cont.)

Unit leaders encourage family activities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

## VERY GREAT EXTENT

	2.9%	3.5%	3.1%	3.4%	2.8%
*	0.8	1.6	1.3	1.1	2.1

## GREAT EXTENT

	9.7	12.3	9.1	11.4	10.6
*	2.1	3.4	1.8	3.5	5.2

## MODERATE EXTENT

	27.7	25.9	26.9	28.0	24.6
*	2.9	3.9	3.7	2.8	6.4

## SLIGHT EXTENT

	28.6	31.6	29.8	27.3	37.0
*	2.4	4.6	2.7	3.2	8.6

## NOT AT ALL

	31.0	26.6	31.1	29.9	25.0
*	3.4	4.6	4.5	3.3	6.9

## Sample size

	2,383	769	1,419	1,483	270
--	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

## Weighted total

	200,945	61,954	117,600	121,848	25,224
--	---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_688

Leaders know about Army family programs  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	5.2%	5.0%	4.0%	6.1%	10.6%	10.0%	5.6%	4.7%	3.0%	5.6%	3.9%	7.3%
	* 1.0	1.9	1.7	6.8	3.0	2.8	1.4	1.4	1.9	1.7	2.6	3.0
GREAT EXTENT	17.3	14.7	16.1	24.7	24.8	27.0	17.8	15.6	19.1	20.8	12.6	16.4
	* 1.8	3.0	3.0	9.0	3.9	5.3	2.4	3.0	6.4	3.5	4.0	5.4
MODERATE EXTENT	34.6	34.6	35.1	44.9	32.4	28.0	33.2	38.8	30.4	35.6	38.2	35.7
	* 2.8	3.7	4.5	13.4	3.5	4.0	2.9	7.2	8.1	5.3	6.7	7.2
SLIGHT EXTENT	26.4	26.6	28.2	14.3	21.3	21.9	26.9	24.6	28.1	24.9	24.4	24.8
	* 1.9	3.6	3.0	9.7	3.5	5.2	2.5	3.4	7.6	2.8	6.2	5.8
NOT AT ALL	16.6	19.2	16.6	10.0	10.9	13.2	16.4	16.3	19.4	13.2	21.0	15.7
	* 2.2	3.3	3.4	8.3	2.6	3.3	2.9	3.5	6.8	2.7	6.1	3.7
Sample size	3,128	793	788	84	727	736	2,014	815	299	1,092	360	491
Weighted total	261,429	79,150	138,215	7,740	19,180	17,143	176,205	70,716	14,509	99,889	41,742	43,582

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_688 (cont.)

Leaders know about Army family programs  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	4.9%	5.9%	4.5%	5.5%	6.2%
	* 0.9	3.1	1.8	1.4	3.7
GREAT EXTENT	16.7	19.1	16.1	18.6	15.7
	* 2.5	4.1	2.4	3.3	6.4
MODERATE EXTENT	33.6	38.5	33.6	33.5	43.0
	* 3.2	4.5	3.9	3.8	10.3
SLIGHT EXTENT	26.2	26.5	28.4	25.1	25.0
	* 2.3	5.0	3.1	3.0	7.8
NOT AT ALL	18.6	10.1	17.4	17.3	10.1
	* 2.4	3.4	2.8	2.7	4.0
Sample size	2,341	752	1,390	1,456	267
Weighted total	196,672	61,149	116,000	118,662	24,801

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_68C

Unit concerned for Army families if war  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	10.2% * 1.1	9.4% 2.4	8.8% 1.9	13.9% 7.8	14.9% 3.2	17.6% 3.8	9.3% 1.3	12.7% 1.9	8.2% 6.4	11.1% 2.3	8.3% 2.9	11.0% 3.4
GREAT EXTENT	17.9 * 2.2	15.2 3.1	16.7 3.3	21.1 8.1	29.2 4.4	25.4 3.9	16.4 2.6	21.4 4.6	18.2 3.2	21.9 4.0	15.4 4.3	15.2 4.5
MODERATE EXTENT	26.5 * 2.6	25.2 3.4	27.1 3.7	34.1 11.6	24.0 3.5	26.5 3.5	25.6 3.1	29.2 5.6	23.9 8.1	25.1 4.2	32.0 6.9	27.0 6.9
SLIGHT EXTENT	23.8 * 1.9	26.8 3.5	23.6 3.4	16.3 8.9	21.1 4.4	17.5 2.9	24.9 2.7	20.7 2.7	25.2 5.5	22.4 3.3	23.3 5.6	25.4 4.5
NOT AT ALL	21.8 * 2.5	23.4 3.8	23.8 3.7	14.7 9.1	10.7 2.9	13.1 4.4	23.8 3.2	16.1 4.2	24.5 11.6	19.4 3.7	21.0 5.2	21.3 4.4
Sample size	3,145	793	796	84	731	741	2,026	817	302	1,095	363	492
Weighted total	263,737	79,275	140,182	7,740	19,291	17,249	177,996	71,034	14,708	100,220	42,040	43,812

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_68C (cont.)

Unit concerned for Army families if war  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	9.5%	10.9%	9.5%	11.3%	5.9%
	* 1.2	3.2	2.0	1.9	2.9
GREAT EXTENT	17.8	18.8	17.5	19.5	12.5
	* 2.6	3.6	2.5	3.7	5.2
MODERATE EXTENT	26.7	26.2	24.9	27.1	29.2
	* 2.7	5.4	3.5	3.4	8.9
SLIGHT EXTENT	23.5	25.4	24.2	22.8	27.5
	* 2.5	4.1	2.6	3.1	6.8
NOT AT ALL	22.4	18.7	24.0	19.3	24.8
	* 2.8	4.5	3.4	3.3	8.2
Sample size	2,354	755	1,402	1,459	268
Weighted total	198,508	61,367	116,925	119,801	24,964

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69A

Member & I team working for Army goals  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
STRONGLY AGREE	12.1%	10.0%	12.1%	8.0%	16.3%	19.3%	12.5%	10.7%	14.1%	10.3%	9.1%	15.9%
	* 1.7	2.0	2.6	6.2	3.3	3.1	2.2	2.0	10.4	2.2	2.9	4.4
AGREE	30.4	25.6	31.7	41.3	31.4	35.8	30.0	32.0	27.4	31.4	28.1	31.0
	* 2.5	3.3	3.8	9.9	3.9	3.2	2.6	6.4	3.7	4.8	5.9	5.8
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	35.3	37.4	35.8	33.0	30.0	27.4	35.2	34.7	38.4	34.5	33.2	38.4
	* 1.9	4.2	3.4	10.8	2.8	2.8	2.4	3.7	6.2	4.0	6.9	5.7
DISAGREE	16.1	19.0	14.9	12.2	17.0	12.7	16.3	16.0	13.7	17.3	19.8	11.4
	* 1.6	3.3	2.6	8.7	3.5	2.6	2.1	3.1	4.9	2.5	5.4	3.2
STRONGLY DISAGREE	6.1	8.1	5.4	5.5	5.3	4.8	5.9	6.6	6.4	6.5	9.7	3.3
	* 1.3	2.5	1.6	4.6	2.1	1.7	1.4	3.3	2.4	2.3	5.6	1.9
Sample size	3,236	816	820	87	747	766	2,077	837	322	1,113	371	514
Weighted total	272,589	82,261	144,653	8,177	19,685	17,813	182,439	73,836	16,314	102,604	43,277	46,834

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69A (cont.)

Member & I team working for Army goals  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	11.7%	13.6%	9.9%	11.6%	24.8%
	* 1.4	3.8	1.8	1.8	7.7
AGREE	32.8	23.3	30.5	30.7	29.6
	* 3.0	4.5	3.1	4.1	6.9
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	34.2	38.4	36.0	35.3	31.3
	* 2.3	4.8	3.3	3.2	10.7
DISAGREE	15.4	18.4	18.3	14.9	10.7
	* 1.8	3.8	3.0	2.5	6.0
STRONGLY DISAGREE	5.9	6.4	5.3	7.6	3.6
	* 1.4	2.5	1.8	2.6	3.4
Sample size	2,413	782	1,445	1,501	273
Weighted total	204,990	63,272	121,928	123,186	25,374

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_698

I understand demands of members Army job  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
STRONGLY AGREE	26.4%	22.2%	27.5%	10.7%	32.4%	37.2%	27.5%	23.5%	26.5%	23.0%	22.4%	31.4%
	* 2.6	3.7	4.0	6.0	3.9	3.7	3.3	4.3	10.1	4.1	5.1	5.3
AGREE	57.9	58.4	56.3	78.6	59.0	57.2	57.1	59.9	57.3	59.8	58.8	53.1
	* 2.3	3.9	3.9	8.1	4.7	3.5	3.1	3.0	6.2	3.9	6.7	5.9
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	10.8	13.0	11.2	9.5	5.8	3.2	10.6	11.6	8.8	11.6	12.3	12.9
	* 1.5	2.6	2.2	6.9	1.2	1.3	1.9	2.9	5.1	3.2	4.8	3.4
DISAGREE	3.6	4.9	3.5	1.3	1.7	2.2	3.8	2.9	4.8	4.1	3.2	2.3
	* 0.9	1.8	1.3	2.3	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.9	1.5	2.3	1.2
STRONGLY DISAGREE	1.3	1.6	1.4	0.0	1.2	0.2	1.0	2.0	2.5	1.6	3.2	0.3
	* 0.6	1.2	1.1	0.0	1.4	0.3	0.6	1.5	3.5	1.0	2.5	0.5
Sample size	3,245	817	825	87	747	769	2,081	841	323	1,114	373	516
Weighted total	274,111	82,402	145,976	8,177	19,685	17,871	183,567	74,217	16,328	102,923	43,484	47,492

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69B (cont.)

I understand demands of members Army job  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	25.4%	29.9%	25.7%	24.8%	38.2%
	* 2.8	4.4	3.7	3.5	9.2
AGREE	59.5	52.4	58.4	58.2	53.1
	* 2.5	6.1	3.3	3.3	9.1
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	10.2	12.0	10.4	12.2	5.9
	* 1.6	3.3	2.3	2.4	3.0
DISAGREE	3.3	5.2	4.2	3.5	1.9
	* 0.8	2.9	1.6	1.1	3.2
STRONGLY DISAGREE	1.6	0.4	1.3	1.4	0.9
	* 0.8	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.6
Sample size	2,423	782	1,448	1,507	273
Weighted total	206,487	63,309	122,124	124,512	25,374

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69C

1 help to further member's Army career  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support
STRONGLY AGREE	16.7% * 1.8	16.1% 2.8	17.4% 3.0	14.4% 10.2	16.1% 3.8	16.1% 3.8	15.0% 2.8	15.0% 2.8	16.8% 2.5	15.1% 2.3	22.6% 7.3	15.9% 4.2
AGREE	40.9 * 2.6	40.5 4.6	42.2 4.8	39.1 13.3	36.8 3.6	36.8 3.6	36.7 2.8	36.7 2.8	40.4 2.7	42.3 6.6	39.0 3.4	37.6 5.6
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	33.7 * 3.0	35.0 4.6	32.6 5.0	34.5 7.7	36.0 3.3	36.0 3.3	33.3 3.3	33.3 3.3	35.0 3.8	30.8 6.1	31.5 7.2	33.4 6.1
DISAGREE	6.5 * 1.3	6.0 1.8	5.6 2.0	11.0 5.1	8.3 2.1	8.3 2.1	12.8 2.7	12.8 2.7	6.0 1.5	8.2 2.7	4.9 2.8	7.3 3.6
STRONGLY DISAGREE	2.3 * 1.0	2.3 1.8	2.3 1.2	1.0 2.0	2.8 1.5	2.8 1.5	2.1 1.2	2.1 1.2	1.8 0.8	3.6 3.0	2.1 3.5	5.8 5.0
Sample size	3,238	816	822	87	746	746	767	767	2,074	841	323	372
Weighted total	272,955	82,346	144,933	8,177	19,662	19,662	17,836	17,836	182,410	74,217	16,328	43,186

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69C (cont.)

I help to further member's Army career  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	15.6%	19.9%	15.1%	16.5%	24.1%
	* 1.9	3.8	2.3	2.5	7.8
AGREE	43.0	35.2	42.1	39.9	39.6
	* 3.2	4.2	4.0	3.9	8.6
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	33.0	35.0	33.4	34.9	29.5
	* 3.5	5.3	3.3	4.0	9.7
DISAGREE	6.3	7.4	7.3	6.0	5.4
	* 1.3	2.5	2.1	1.7	2.6
STRONGLY DISAGREE	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.7	1.3
	* 1.2	1.6	1.1	2.0	2.0
Sample size	2,417	781	1,446	1,503	272
Weighted total	205,354	63,286	122,046	123,458	25,350

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_690

Willing to change to help member advance  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Service Support
STRONGLY AGREE	22.1%	23.5%	23.4%	5.5%	18.4%	17.2%	22.1%	19.9%	32.1%	22.4%	20.1%	22.7%
	* 1.9	3.5	2.8	4.5	3.6	2.8	2.3	3.4	13.7	3.1	4.8	5.0
AGREE	56.0	53.3	56.3	63.3	56.3	62.1	55.7	57.8	51.1	54.8	55.2	55.0
	* 2.9	5.5	3.9	14.7	4.5	3.1	3.6	5.5	13.8	4.5	6.4	5.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	15.3	15.7	14.5	19.8	18.1	14.6	15.3	15.6	14.1	16.3	16.2	15.5
	* 2.0	3.4	2.7	10.5	3.7	2.6	2.5	4.4	3.8	3.4	5.4	4.7
DISAGREE	4.3	4.3	3.9	6.7	5.3	5.0	4.4	4.7	2.1	3.9	4.2	5.7
	* 0.9	1.7	1.5	5.3	1.5	1.5	1.1	2.1	0.5	1.6	2.0	2.5
STRONGLY DISAGREE	2.3	3.2	1.8	4.7	1.8	1.0	2.5	2.0	0.7	2.6	4.2	1.2
	* 0.9	1.8	1.3	8.3	1.3	0.8	1.1	1.6	0.7	1.6	3.0	1.3
Sample size	3,221	815	818	87	742	759	2,067	833	321	1,112	369	507
Weighted total	271,077	82,085	143,614	8,177	19,519	17,681	181,736	73,040	16,301	102,164	42,671	46,406

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_69D (cont.)

Willing to change to help member advance  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	22.2% * 2.2	21.0% 4.1	22.9% 2.7	21.8% 3.1	20.4% 7.2
AGREE	57.0 * 3.3	54.1 4.8	56.4 4.2	55.3 4.1	56.3 8.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	14.5 * 2.2	18.5 4.0	15.0 2.8	15.2 2.7	17.0 5.5
DISAGREE	4.0 * 0.8	4.3 1.9	4.1 1.5	4.6 1.6	4.6 3.1
STRONGLY DISAGREE	2.2 * 1.1	2.0 1.3	1.7 1.0	3.0 1.6	1.7 2.5
Sample size	2,404	780	1,436	1,498	270
Weighted total	203,631	63,431	121,000	122,910	25,066

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69E

Hurt member career/help from chain cmd  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
STRONGLY AGREE	13.2%	14.5%	13.7%	13.3%	9.2%	7.4%	14.2%	11.0%	12.4%	13.8%	9.2%	12.8%
	* 1.8	3.2	2.7	9.5	2.6	2.2	2.4	2.9	5.0	2.9	3.1	3.9
AGREE	26.7	25.8	27.5	24.8	24.9	28.2	26.2	28.5	25.2	24.6	29.6	27.1
	* 2.5	3.9	3.7	8.7	3.4	5.1	2.7	5.3	13.2	3.7	5.5	5.0
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	34.0	32.1	35.1	36.4	35.0	31.7	34.9	32.2	31.7	32.6	34.6	37.4
	* 2.5	5.0	3.7	10.4	3.5	3.4	2.6	5.9	10.0	4.6	6.4	6.8
DISAGREE	21.4	21.1	20.3	23.0	25.7	26.4	20.1	23.6	25.7	24.3	21.8	17.9
	* 2.4	3.6	3.2	10.2	3.6	4.5	2.7	5.1	4.9	4.2	4.4	4.5
STRONGLY DISAGREE	4.7	6.6	3.5	2.5	5.2	6.3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.8	4.8
	* 1.0	1.8	1.3	3.0	2.0	2.2	1.3	1.5	3.4	1.6	2.5	2.4
Sample size	3,206	812	814	87	738	755	2,052	834	320	1,108	370	507
Weighted total	270,001	81,780	143,060	8,177	19,414	17,570	180,808	72,903	16,290	102,009	42,818	46,273

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69E (cont.)

Hurt member career/help from chain cmd  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	14.4% * 2.3	8.4% 2.5	13.9% 2.5	13.1% 3.3	10.0% 5.1
AGREE	26.7 * 2.8	27.5 3.7	27.1 3.3	26.9 3.7	23.6 6.6
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	32.6 * 2.6	38.7 4.7	35.8 3.1	31.7 3.9	36.2 8.7
DISAGREE	21.3 * 2.4	21.6 4.5	18.8 3.1	22.8 3.4	27.3 7.7
STRONGLY DISAGREE	5.0 * 1.3	3.7 1.7	4.4 1.1	5.5 1.8	2.9 2.8
Sample size	2,393	775	1,429	1,492	269
Weighted total	202,636	63,198	120,630	122,361	25,054

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69F

Hurt member career/go to service provider  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	6.2% * 1.1	5.8% 2.0	7.4% 1.7	3.7% 4.2	2.5% 1.3	3.5% 1.5	6.3% 1.0	5.2% 2.9	10.0% 4.3	7.1% 1.7	2.1% 1.5	7.1% 2.7
AGREE	14.3 * 1.4	10.9 2.2	16.1 2.7	19.8 11.2	11.6 2.4	14.8 2.9	14.3 1.6	13.9 2.7	15.8 8.7	10.6 2.3	16.6 3.9	14.4 3.5
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	29.8 * 2.0	31.4 4.7	29.3 2.9	35.2 11.9	28.1 3.5	25.9 3.1	28.9 1.7	32.5 6.1	27.9 6.9	29.0 4.1	26.6 5.9	31.4 5.3
DISAGREE	40.3 * 2.4	39.6 4.3	40.2 3.4	36.8 11.6	43.8 4.0	42.9 4.5	41.2 2.4	39.0 6.2	36.7 7.9	42.8 4.8	44.2 6.0	38.9 5.5
STRONGLY DISAGREE	9.4 * 1.3	12.3 2.5	7.0 2.0	4.5 4.1	13.9 3.2	12.9 2.7	9.4 1.4	9.4 2.7	9.7 5.6	10.5 2.6	10.5 3.5	8.2 3.0
Sample size	3,207	811	814	87	739	756	2,054	834	319	1,110	369	505
Weighted total	270,278	81,642	143,394	8,177	19,439	17,625	181,153	72,943	16,181	102,379	42,690	46,058

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69F (cont.)

Hurt member career/go to service providr  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	7.1%	2.9%	7.2%	6.0%	1.8%
	* 1.4	1.5	1.9	1.5	1.6
AGREE	15.1	11.3	16.2	12.1	13.3
	* 1.9	2.5	2.7	2.1	4.7
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	28.3	35.1	31.8	26.8	34.9
	* 2.2	3.6	2.9	3.3	9.4
DISAGREE	40.1	40.7	36.7	44.4	40.2
	* 2.7	4.6	3.3	3.4	10.0
STRONGLY DISAGREE	9.3	9.9	8.1	10.6	9.8
	* 1.7	2.4	1.5	1.9	4.1
Sample size	2,392	777	1,429	1,493	269
Weighted total	202,926	63,184	120,533	122,735	25,054

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69G

Member is someone I can talk with  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	50.1%	57.7%	44.1%	48.5%	62.8%	50.9%	50.1%	50.4%	49.6%	54.0%	50.3%	53.5%
	* 3.0	3.8	4.6	13.6	4.5	3.9	3.5	6.8	7.2	5.0	6.2	6.4
AGREE	34.3	29.7	36.6	38.6	28.3	40.9	34.8	33.6	31.7	30.4	30.7	34.2
	* 2.6	4.0	3.9	11.0	4.5	3.7	3.3	4.3	12.1	4.2	4.9	6.6
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	6.9	4.6	8.6	9.5	4.4	5.0	7.0	6.1	8.9	6.6	7.9	5.2
	* 1.4	1.2	2.4	6.3	1.4	1.9	2.0	1.7	4.4	2.4	3.5	2.3
DISAGREE	5.5	5.9	6.2	2.4	2.9	2.3	5.6	5.8	2.8	5.7	5.6	5.2
	* 1.1	1.6	2.1	3.2	1.3	1.0	1.3	2.4	1.8	1.9	3.5	2.4
STRONGLY DISAGREE	3.2	2.1	4.5	1.0	1.7	0.9	2.5	4.1	6.9	3.2	5.5	1.9
	* 1.0	1.2	1.8	1.9	1.5	0.6	1.0	1.9	6.8	1.5	3.8	1.8
Sample size	3,217	812	817	86	741	761	2,065	832	320	1,113	367	508
Weighted total	270,715	81,906	143,459	8,130	19,476	17,744	181,906	72,522	16,287	102,527	42,336	46,488

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69G (cont.)

Member is someone I can talk with  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	47.0%	60.1%	51.9%	48.7%	48.8%
	* 3.2	5.1	4.2	3.8	7.6
AGREE	36.3	27.4	32.6	35.1	40.0
	* 3.0	4.7	3.6	3.9	6.9
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	7.3	5.1	6.9	7.4	2.5
	* 1.5	2.5	2.0	1.8	2.3
DISAGREE	5.7	5.1	4.2	6.7	6.3
	* 1.2	2.8	1.5	1.7	3.4
STRONGLY DISAGREE	3.6	2.3	4.3	2.0	2.4
	* 1.2	2.0	1.9	1.2	2.4
Sample size	2,400	779	1,435	1,496	269
Weighted total	203,392	63,156	120,845	122,715	25,054

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_69H

Family need should come before Army need  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
STRONGLY AGREE	39.5%	58.2%	30.4%	32.8%	42.4%	26.0%	39.7%	39.1%	38.8%	45.1%	40.1%	44.1%
	* 2.8	4.3	3.7	14.5	4.7	3.0	3.3	6.2	9.7	4.1	7.3	7.3
AGREE	29.3	24.7	31.2	30.6	28.7	34.3	29.1	29.4	30.1	26.1	31.9	28.1
	* 2.6	3.4	3.7	12.9	3.2	2.7	3.4	4.0	4.9	3.9	6.5	6.2
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	26.3	14.9	31.9	34.3	24.8	30.9	26.3	26.4	25.0	24.2	24.2	22.9
	* 2.5	2.9	4.0	11.0	3.7	3.1	3.1	4.8	7.2	3.6	6.5	4.9
DISAGREE	4.3	1.3	5.8	2.3	2.9	8.5	4.2	4.3	5.6	3.8	3.6	4.5
	* 0.9	0.7	1.6	2.6	1.2	2.1	1.2	1.7	2.4	1.5	2.9	2.2
STRONGLY DISAGREE	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.0	1.2	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.4
	* 0.4	0.5	0.5	0.0	1.6	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.3	0.6
Sample size	3,212	813	816	86	740	757	2,064	829	319	1,111	368	506
Weighted total	270,750	81,821	143,859	7,961	19,458	17,650	182,375	72,104	16,270	102,187	42,391	46,581

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_69H (cont.)

Family need should come before Army need  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	38.3%	42.5%	35.5%	42.8%	41.7%
	* 3.2	4.9	4.1	3.6	10.4
AGREE	30.5	25.7	31.1	29.0	21.9
	* 2.8	4.3	3.1	3.5	7.6
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	25.9	27.7	27.8	23.6	32.1
	* 3.0	4.1	3.5	4.1	7.3
DISAGREE	4.6	3.3	5.2	3.7	3.1
	* 1.2	1.3	1.9	1.5	1.9
STRONGLY DISAGREE	0.7	0.8	0.4	0.9	1.2
	* 0.5	0.6	0.3	0.7	1.4
Sample size	2,394	779	1,429	1,499	268
Weighted total	203,299	63,270	120,713	123,167	24,914

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70A

Member opportunity for advancement  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GOOD	12.9%	10.3%	11.4%	14.7%	25.3%	23.0%	14.0%	9.8%	14.9%	13.4%	7.6%	13.0%
	* 1.7	2.5	2.7	8.1	3.7	3.5	1.9	4.2	5.6	2.5	3.3	3.4
GOOD	31.1	27.4	31.6	34.2	40.9	32.1	30.5	31.7	34.9	34.5	28.4	30.2
	* 2.5	4.0	3.6	8.8	4.2	3.5	3.1	5.0	7.0	4.5	5.5	5.0
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	24.7	25.5	24.5	24.7	20.7	27.4	24.0	27.0	21.9	23.1	24.1	25.7
	* 1.5	4.0	2.4	6.4	4.1	3.8	2.0	2.5	5.5	2.9	5.1	5.1
BAD	15.1	17.1	16.1	12.0	7.4	8.1	15.4	14.5	14.2	14.4	18.8	16.1
	* 1.6	3.0	2.6	10.0	2.4	2.1	1.7	3.9	4.9	2.8	5.2	3.0
VERY BAD	10.1	12.1	10.5	5.8	3.7	5.5	10.9	8.5	7.3	9.9	12.0	9.6
	* 1.5	2.7	2.3	5.1	1.7	1.7	1.6	3.4	4.3	3.0	3.8	3.6
DON'T KNOW	6.1	7.7	5.9	8.7	2.0	3.9	5.1	8.5	6.7	4.7	9.2	5.4
	* 1.2	2.2	1.8	8.4	1.3	1.2	1.3	2.9	2.6	1.9	4.3	2.7
Sample size	3,193	806	815	86	735	751	2,045	831	317	1,105	367	504
Weighted total	269,236	81,099	143,525	7,896	19,220	17,496	180,199	72,910	16,127	101,275	42,615	46,755

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70A (cont.)

Member opportunity for advancement  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	12.7%	13.6%	12.1%	13.0%	14.7%
	* 1.8	3.2	2.3	2.6	6.1
GOOD	30.9	32.4	30.2	32.3	30.1
	* 2.7	5.0	3.5	4.0	7.9
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	24.7	25.3	25.0	24.7	22.1
	* 2.2	3.5	3.0	3.4	8.1
BAD	15.1	14.9	15.5	13.7	21.2
	* 2.0	3.4	2.5	2.3	7.5
VERY BAD	10.6	7.7	10.5	10.1	8.8
	* 2.1	3.1	2.4	2.2	4.7
DON'T KNOW	6.0	6.0	6.7	6.3	3.0
	* 1.5	2.4	2.2	1.7	2.4
Sample size	2,380	775	1,429	1,482	266
Weighted total	202,119	62,812	120,503	122,019	24,692

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_708

Member pay at this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY GOOD	3.8%	2.3%	3.2%	3.2%	7.6%	11.7%	4.0%	2.1%	8.5%	3.2%	1.6%	3.5%
	* 1.0	1.1	1.4	3.4	2.2	2.1	1.3	1.0	3.0	1.2	1.4	1.9
GOOD	35.4	28.6	33.3	46.5	57.4	55.5	35.9	34.7	34.1	35.9	31.5	34.5
	* 2.4	3.8	4.1	13.8	4.8	4.2	2.9	4.6	9.1	4.3	6.0	6.1
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	36.1	37.5	39.2	25.0	24.6	22.7	34.7	39.9	34.9	37.1	38.8	38.0
	* 2.5	4.5	4.4	10.5	3.4	4.3	3.1	4.6	8.0	3.8	5.5	5.6
BAD	16.0	19.5	16.1	19.1	7.2	6.9	16.5	14.6	15.6	14.9	18.8	16.4
	* 1.7	3.4	2.3	14.8	2.0	2.4	2.1	3.6	5.3	2.6	5.1	5.0
VERY BAD	7.1	10.2	6.8	2.8	3.2	1.9	7.8	5.9	5.2	7.0	7.8	6.4
	* 0.9	2.1	1.5	4.0	1.5	0.9	1.1	1.9	1.8	1.7	2.8	2.5
DON'T KNOW	1.5	1.8	1.5	3.3	0.0	1.2	1.0	2.8	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.2
	* 0.6	1.4	0.7	5.4	0.0	1.0	0.6	1.7	2.3	1.2	1.5	1.4
Sample size	3,204	809	818	86	737	754	2,051	835	318	1,108	369	507
Weighted total	269,970	81,384	143,839	7,896	19,262	17,588	180,712	73,227	16,032	101,681	42,805	46,741

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_708 (cont.)

Member pay at this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	3.8% * 1.1	3.7% 1.4	4.6% 1.3	3.1% 1.4	3.2% 2.0
GOOD	34.4 * 2.9	38.9 4.9	36.2 3.6	33.9 3.5	40.9 7.9
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	37.0 * 2.7	34.1 4.8	33.6 3.5	38.3 3.6	35.5 7.6
BAD	16.2 * 1.7	14.6 4.5	16.4 2.6	15.9 2.6	14.3 7.3
VERY BAD	7.2 * 1.1	7.1 2.5	7.7 1.8	7.2 1.9	4.9 4.5
DON'T KNOW	1.3 * 0.6	1.6 1.2	1.5 1.1	1.6 1.0	1.3 1.8
Sample size	2,388	778	1,427	1,491	269
Weighted total	202,599	63,073	119,890	123,011	24,969

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70C

Member retirement benefits this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade		CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
				Officer	Field Grade Officer							
VERY GOOD	10.4%	11.2%	9.3%	2.5%	13.7%	16.1%	11.6%	7.1%	12.0%	9.9%	8.1%	11.9%
	* 1.5	2.3	2.5	2.7	3.1	2.7	2.0	1.7	6.1	2.2	3.6	3.4
GOOD	42.5	35.2	42.7	54.1	53.5	56.4	41.2	45.5	43.2	42.4	42.2	41.9
	* 2.7	4.1	4.4	9.8	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.2	5.1	3.9	5.1	5.6
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	25.6	23.2	28.5	21.5	21.9	18.2	25.3	26.0	26.7	24.6	27.6	24.0
	* 2.1	3.3	3.5	9.3	3.5	2.3	2.8	2.7	6.3	3.5	5.1	6.4
BAD	6.6	6.6	6.8	14.3	3.9	4.1	7.0	5.4	6.4	7.2	6.4	6.3
	* 1.3	2.3	1.8	6.5	1.9	1.4	1.5	2.5	2.9	2.3	3.8	2.9
VERY BAD	2.6	1.9	3.1	2.0	2.3	2.4	3.0	1.7	2.0	2.2	1.7	2.2
	* 1.0	1.2	1.6	2.6	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	2.9	1.0	1.7	1.7
DON'T KNOW	12.4	21.9	9.6	5.6	4.7	2.8	11.9	14.3	9.6	13.7	14.0	13.7
	* 1.8	3.0	2.6	6.4	1.5	1.3	2.2	3.7	1.4	2.7	4.3	4.5
Sample size	3,176	799	810	85	731	751	2,038	823	315	1,101	364	500
Weighted total	267,008	80,441	142,119	7,858	19,080	17,511	178,902	72,139	15,968	100,930	42,373	46,007

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70C (cont.)

Member retirement benefits this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	9.2%	14.7%	12.6%	6.1%	19.9%
	* 1.5	3.6	2.2	1.6	6.6
GOOD	42.1	43.4	46.3	38.4	46.0
	* 3.0	5.3	3.6	3.8	7.5
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	26.5	23.8	25.3	25.4	25.2
	* 2.5	4.4	3.4	2.6	9.8
BAD	7.0	4.9	5.3	8.7	2.7
	* 1.3	2.8	1.6	2.3	3.2
VERY BAD	2.9	1.9	2.8	2.5	2.4
	* 1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	2.5
DON'T KNOW	12.4	11.4	7.6	18.8	3.7
	* 2.0	3.0	2.4	2.8	3.5
Sample size	2,363	775	1,422	1,470	267
Weighted total	199,769	62,935	119,341	120,676	24,891

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_700

Member treatment by supervisors  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY GOOD	8.8%	5.5%	7.5%	8.0%	16.4%	26.6%	10.2%	5.4%	8.5%	7.4%	4.6%	9.0%
	* 1.4	1.9	1.9	5.6	2.1	3.3	1.8	1.8	3.3	1.6	2.5	3.3
GOOD	34.7	25.0	35.9	50.0	48.2	46.9	36.0	31.7	33.9	34.3	29.3	33.8
	* 2.5	3.2	3.8	11.6	4.1	3.5	3.2	4.6	7.1	4.2	6.0	5.6
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	28.2	29.7	29.6	31.7	20.6	16.2	27.3	30.3	28.8	29.2	27.4	28.1
	* 2.3	3.4	3.3	8.6	3.9	2.3	3.1	3.2	5.5	3.7	5.3	5.1
BAD	13.8	19.3	12.9	5.2	7.7	5.9	14.0	13.9	10.4	15.3	18.9	15.0
	* 1.2	3.2	2.0	4.3	2.3	1.4	1.5	2.4	0.8	3.1	4.4	5.4
VERY BAD	7.8	12.2	6.8	2.5	5.1	2.1	6.8	10.0	9.6	8.3	10.0	6.1
	* 1.3	2.6	2.0	3.2	1.7	1.1	1.3	3.5	3.7	2.1	5.0	2.9
DON'T KNOW	6.7	8.2	7.3	2.7	2.0	2.4	5.7	8.7	8.8	5.5	9.9	8.0
	* 1.5	2.3	2.0	3.1	1.4	1.3	2.0	1.8	3.9	1.6	4.1	3.2
Sample size	3,167	792	810	84	733	748	2,030	821	316	1,095	363	499
Weighted total	265,442	79,443	141,846	7,696	19,144	17,313	177,795	71,644	16,003	100,081	42,044	45,775

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_700 (cont.)

Member treatment by supervisors  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	8.3%	10.6%	9.5%	8.0%	9.5%
	* 1.3	3.6	1.8	1.9	5.8
GOOD	36.4	28.7	35.6	34.6	28.8
	* 3.1	4.5	3.7	3.0	7.8
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	27.5	30.6	29.5	28.5	20.4
	* 2.6	5.5	3.3	3.3	6.2
BAD	12.7	17.3	11.6	14.0	23.9
	* 1.5	4.5	2.1	2.1	10.0
VERY BAD	8.0	7.7	7.9	6.7	14.1
	* 1.8	2.5	2.2	2.0	5.2
DON'T KNOW	7.1	5.2	5.9	8.3	3.3
	* 1.6	2.2	2.0	2.3	3.3
Sample size	2,357	772	1,414	1,471	266
Weighted total	198,690	62,455	118,612	120,288	24,521

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70E

Member opportunity to use abilities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade		CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
				Officer	Field Grade Officer							
VERY GOOD	15.8%	10.1%	15.5%	24.4%	24.2%	31.7%	16.8%	13.7%	13.7%	16.0%	10.6%	15.4%
	* 1.6	2.5	2.5	11.9	3.7	4.0	2.0	3.3	5.1	2.8	3.9	3.9
GOOD	38.6	33.3	40.1	40.9	43.1	45.4	39.3	37.0	38.6	39.0	32.3	35.6
	* 2.4	4.4	3.6	14.9	3.6	3.9	3.1	4.5	7.1	4.4	6.1	5.1
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	19.8	21.4	20.2	20.2	18.4	10.4	19.0	20.9	23.8	18.6	21.5	24.4
	* 1.9	3.9	2.6	8.7	3.6	1.7	2.1	4.7	5.6	3.0	5.8	6.3
BAD	13.2	18.1	11.9	10.2	8.1	8.3	13.1	14.0	11.1	13.4	17.1	11.7
	* 1.5	2.6	2.3	7.0	2.2	1.9	1.8	2.9	4.2	2.5	4.7	3.4
VERY BAD	7.7	10.6	7.1	3.2	5.1	3.2	7.7	7.3	8.7	7.3	13.1	8.0
	* 1.3	2.3	2.1	3.4	1.9	1.5	1.5	2.7	4.2	2.0	4.8	2.8
DON'T KNOW	4.9	6.4	5.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	4.0	7.2	4.1	5.7	5.4	4.8
	* 1.2	1.9	1.6	2.2	0.9	0.7	1.4	2.5	3.2	1.9	2.8	3.0
Sample size	3,186	802	809	86	734	755	2,044	827	315	1,105	357	505
Weighted total	267,529	80,869	142,076	7,896	19,119	17,570	179,468	72,173	15,888	101,272	41,267	46,687

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70E (cont.)

Member opportunity to use abilities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	15.8%	10.1%	15.5%	24.4%	24.2%
	* 1.6	2.5	2.5	11.9	3.7
GOOD	38.6	33.3	40.1	40.9	43.1
	* 2.4	4.4	3.6	14.9	3.6
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	19.8	21.4	20.2	20.2	18.4
	* 1.9	3.9	2.6	8.7	3.6
BAD	13.2	18.1	11.9	10.2	8.1
	* 1.5	2.6	2.3	7.0	2.2
VERY BAD	7.7	10.6	7.1	3.2	5.1
	* 1.3	2.3	2.1	3.4	1.9
DON'T KNOW	4.9	6.4	5.2	1.1	1.1
	* 1.2	1.9	1.6	2.2	0.9
Sample size	3,186	802	809	86	734
Weighted total	267,529	80,869	142,076	7,896	19,119

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70F

Your personal freedom at this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY GOOD	16.6%	14.4%	15.4%	21.3%	19.1%	17.7%	31.8%	17.7%	13.3%	19.1%	17.3%	11.7%
	* 2.1	3.0	3.0	10.9	3.1	2.6	4.1	2.6	3.7	8.2	3.4	4.3
GOOD	45.4	42.2	46.1	45.7	50.6	46.7	48.5	46.7	42.3	45.4	43.4	43.1
	* 2.8	5.1	3.7	13.0	3.9	3.0	3.7	3.0	7.0	6.9	5.2	6.8
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	23.2	24.1	24.7	19.2	19.0	22.1	12.8	22.1	27.5	16.4	23.1	27.7
	* 2.2	4.0	2.6	10.2	3.4	2.3	3.2	2.3	5.8	4.8	3.6	4.7
BAD	10.4	12.6	9.7	12.3	10.0	10.3	5.5	10.3	10.5	10.8	11.4	9.7
	* 1.4	2.5	2.1	10.4	2.2	1.5	1.9	1.5	3.3	4.6	2.4	3.6
VERY BAD	3.9	5.3	3.9	1.4	1.4	3.1	1.3	3.1	5.2	7.4	4.5	6.0
	* 1.0	1.9	1.7	2.7	0.8	1.2	0.7	1.2	2.1	4.1	1.7	3.3
DON'T KNOW	0.4	1.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	1.1	0.8	0.3	1.9
	* 0.5	1.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.2	1.5	1.6	0.4	2.5
Sample size	3,205	807	820	85	733	2,051	760	2,051	836	318	1,111	367
Weighted total	270,318	81,247	144,386	7,807	19,171	181,032	17,707	181,032	73,240	16,046	102,424	42,711
												46,803

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70F (cont.)

Your personal freedom at this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	16.3%	16.6%	18.4%	15.9%	11.5%
	* 2.1	3.8	2.9	2.6	5.8
GOOD	44.4	48.8	46.4	44.5	44.2
	* 3.0	5.8	3.4	3.5	9.0
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	24.1	21.0	22.6	23.7	24.0
	* 2.3	4.9	3.1	2.9	8.5
BAD	10.3	10.8	9.6	10.8	13.0
	* 1.4	4.1	2.0	1.9	6.3
VERY BAD	4.4	2.5	2.7	4.5	7.3
	* 1.4	1.3	1.3	1.7	4.5
DON'T KNOW	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.0
	* 0.6	0.5	0.4	1.0	0.0
Sample size	2,390	775	1,429	1,491	268
Weighted total	203,012	62,969	120,137	123,205	24,876

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70G

Your time for personal/family life  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
VERY GOOD	12.5%	13.5%	10.2%	13.7%	15.8%	22.6%	13.7%	9.5%	12.3%	11.8%	7.7%	12.1%
	* 2.0	3.6	2.4	6.9	3.1	4.3	2.7	2.7	1.1	3.1	4.1	3.7
GOOD	45.0	38.5	46.6	56.1	48.9	54.1	45.7	42.6	49.2	45.0	41.7	44.4
	* 2.9	3.6	4.2	10.7	5.6	4.5	3.8	4.9	6.1	4.3	7.1	5.8
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	20.2	22.0	20.9	12.0	19.0	12.0	20.4	20.3	18.4	20.6	21.6	20.8
	* 2.1	3.6	2.9	6.7	3.5	3.1	2.8	3.4	4.5	3.4	6.2	4.3
BAD	15.5	16.1	16.4	14.6	13.5	8.7	14.5	18.9	12.5	15.7	18.6	16.1
	* 1.7	3.3	2.9	10.0	3.1	2.2	2.0	3.9	5.4	2.5	4.4	5.6
VERY BAD	6.2	8.6	5.9	3.6	2.7	2.3	5.6	7.6	6.8	6.6	8.5	6.5
	* 1.0	1.9	2.0	4.2	1.6	1.3	1.2	2.1	3.3	2.0	3.3	3.3
DON'T KNOW	0.5	1.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	1.1	0.8	0.2	1.9	0.1
	* 0.5	1.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	1.5	1.6	0.4	2.5	0.2
Sample size	3,198	809	815	85	734	755	2,048	834	316	1,107	368	505
Weighted total	269,244	81,342	143,317	7,792	19,181	17,612	180,576	73,089	15,579	102,222	42,774	45,861

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70G (cont.)

Your time for personal/family life  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	12.2%	13.3%	11.9%	14.4%	6.1%
	* 1.7	4.2	2.4	2.6	5.6
GOOD	46.4	40.5	45.4	47.5	30.7
	* 3.4	5.4	3.7	4.3	8.2
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	19.9	21.0	21.4	18.7	21.1
	* 2.2	4.4	3.4	2.7	5.6
BAD	14.9	18.7	15.3	14.0	25.7
	* 1.8	5.3	2.3	2.4	8.3
VERY BAD	6.3	6.2	5.7	4.8	16.3
	* 1.2	2.4	2.0	1.8	5.4
DON'T KNOW	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.0
	* 0.6	0.5	0.4	1.0	0.0
Sample size	2,385	773	1,428	1,484	269
Weighted total	202,231	62,676	119,576	122,591	24,977

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70H

Member time for personal/family life  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat		TDA
				Officer	Officer						Support	Service Support	
VERY GOOD	5.8%	4.3%	6.3%	5.2%	4.9%	10.2%	7.2%	3.1%	3.3%	4.1%	2.2%	5.4%	
	* 1.4	1.7	2.1	4.8	1.7	2.5	1.8	2.2	1.4	1.3	2.4	3.1	
GOOD	32.5	28.0	33.3	34.5	35.6	42.8	34.6	27.8	30.5	27.4	27.6	33.9	
	* 3.3	3.9	4.8	13.0	5.3	4.0	4.4	4.9	7.0	4.2	6.0	6.1	
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	24.0	23.7	24.6	23.9	24.7	20.1	24.0	23.6	25.6	24.4	23.8	25.0	
	* 2.2	3.2	3.3	10.4	3.4	1.9	3.0	2.5	6.3	4.4	5.1	4.6	
BAD	23.9	25.2	23.4	30.4	25.3	17.9	22.2	28.8	20.6	28.2	28.0	23.7	
	* 2.1	3.7	2.8	10.7	4.5	3.3	2.4	4.9	6.1	3.0	5.5	4.8	
VERY BAD	13.1	17.4	12.2	4.8	9.3	8.4	11.7	15.4	19.3	15.6	16.5	11.7	
	* 2.0	2.9	3.0	4.4	3.1	2.1	2.4	3.6	5.1	2.9	5.2	4.5	
DON'T KNOW	0.6	1.4	0.2	1.2	0.2	0.6	0.3	1.2	0.8	0.3	1.9	0.3	
	* 0.5	1.5	0.3	2.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	1.5	1.0	0.4	2.5	0.4	
Sample size	3,185	807	813	83	727	755	2,039	830	316	1,104	365	504	
Weighted total	268,819	81,229	143,454	7,560	19,010	17,567	179,943	72,980	15,896	101,827	42,530	46,529	

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_70H (cont.)

Member time for personal/family life  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	5.5%	6.9%	6.4%	5.0%	7.3%
	* 1.4	2.7	1.6	1.9	5.7
GOOD	32.5	31.8	32.7	32.9	28.1
	* 3.7	5.2	3.7	4.6	7.7
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	22.9	26.7	24.7	24.0	20.9
	* 2.2	5.0	3.1	3.2	6.8
BAD	24.4	23.5	22.8	24.5	27.1
	* 2.4	5.4	3.1	3.2	7.7
VERY BAD	14.0	10.9	13.0	12.8	16.5
	* 2.3	3.2	3.1	2.8	5.7
DON'T KNOW	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.1
	* 0.6	0.6	0.5	1.0	0.3
Sample size	2,380	766	1,422	1,479	267
Weighted total	202,300	62,378	119,618	122,205	24,896

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_701

Job/career opportunity for you  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GOOD	5.2%	4.5%	5.0%	2.5%	5.6%	10.7%	5.7%	3.4%	7.7%	4.9%	3.1%	4.0%
	* 1.1	1.7	1.5	3.1	2.0	3.2	1.3	2.2	6.9	1.8	3.1	1.9
GOOD	27.9	23.3	29.2	32.8	30.2	33.1	28.4	26.3	28.9	27.4	22.1	30.5
	* 2.8	4.1	3.7	11.8	4.4	4.8	2.7	7.6	6.0	3.5	5.5	5.3
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	31.9	32.0	32.9	31.4	28.7	27.5	30.8	34.3	34.7	29.4	39.5	32.0
	* 2.7	3.9	4.7	13.6	4.9	4.0	2.9	6.6	6.7	3.4	7.3	6.7
BAD	21.7	24.9	20.2	24.7	20.1	18.8	22.6	20.8	14.9	24.8	19.6	20.9
	* 2.2	3.2	3.2	11.1	3.7	3.4	2.7	4.3	3.1	3.5	6.4	5.4
VERY BAD	11.4	13.1	10.6	8.7	13.9	8.3	10.7	13.1	10.7	11.4	14.4	10.9
	* 1.5	3.2	2.4	6.5	3.4	2.3	1.8	3.0	4.1	2.6	4.7	4.3
DON'T KNOW	2.0	2.2	2.0	0.0	1.5	1.7	1.8	2.1	3.2	2.1	1.3	1.7
	* 0.6	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.1	0.7	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.4	1.1
Sample size	2,811	709	735	75	628	664	1,818	721	272	971	324	440
Weighted total	241,388	72,243	129,925	7,057	16,552	15,610	162,114	65,193	14,081	90,432	38,510	40,493

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_701 (cont.)

Job/career opportunity for you  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	4.9%	6.3%	6.4%	2.6%	10.9%
	* 1.1	2.3	1.8	1.4	5.4
GOOD	27.5	29.6	32.7	18.9	45.4
	* 3.2	5.5	3.3	4.0	11.4
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	32.6	30.6	29.9	34.5	27.6
	* 3.5	4.9	3.5	4.2	10.0
BAD	21.8	20.9	21.8	24.1	10.5
	* 2.7	3.9	3.1	3.6	5.1
VERY BAD	11.3	11.4	8.5	16.3	4.8
	* 1.7	3.3	2.0	3.0	3.5
DON'T KNOW	2.0	1.1	0.7	3.5	0.8
	* 0.7	0.8	0.7	1.0	1.1
Sample size	2,064	715	1,407	1,154	234
Weighted total	180,684	57,389	118,150	100,487	20,795

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70J

Your overall satisfaction this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GOOD	5.6%	3.3%	5.4%	5.8%	6.9%	6.9%	15.2%	6.9%	2.6%	3.8%	4.6%	2.6%
	* 1.1	1.2	1.8	4.4	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.4	1.7	1.9	1.6	2.3
GOOD	40.3	34.6	39.9	47.3	51.5	51.5	55.2	39.9	40.4	45.2	40.0	33.3
	* 2.7	4.6	3.5	11.7	4.2	4.2	3.3	3.5	4.9	5.3	4.5	6.0
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	35.9	37.7	38.3	25.9	28.7	28.7	20.1	34.7	39.7	31.8	36.1	42.3
	* 2.4	3.8	3.6	12.0	4.3	4.3	3.3	3.0	5.0	3.1	3.9	6.1
BAD	10.9	12.8	10.0	19.4	10.5	10.5	5.7	11.1	10.5	10.2	10.9	10.3
	* 1.5	2.7	2.0	14.6	2.2	2.2	2.0	1.9	2.9	3.3	2.4	2.0
VERY BAD	6.5	9.6	5.9	1.7	2.0	2.0	3.6	6.8	5.2	8.4	7.7	9.0
	* 1.1	2.5	2.0	3.3	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.8	2.4	2.2	4.2
DON'T KNOW	0.9	1.9	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.6	1.6	0.5	0.6	2.5
	* 0.5	1.5	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.4	1.7	1.1	0.4	2.6
Sample size	3,179	801	812	86	730	730	750	2,034	831	314	1,096	366
Weighted total	268,050	80,552	143,004	7,896	19,127	19,127	17,471	179,043	73,038	15,969	100,623	42,557
												46,997

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70J (cont.)

Your overall satisfaction this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	4.8%	7.3%	5.4%	5.4%	5.9%
	* 1.0	2.4	1.7	1.4	4.0
GOOD	41.0	39.0	44.2	38.2	33.3
	* 3.1	5.4	4.3	3.3	8.3
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	36.0	36.9	33.7	37.1	39.1
	* 2.5	5.6	3.3	4.0	9.3
BAD	11.0	10.2	11.2	10.9	10.1
	* 1.7	3.0	2.5	1.7	3.8
VERY BAD	6.4	6.1	4.6	7.3	11.5
	* 1.2	2.0	1.3	2.0	5.1
DON'T KNOW	0.9	0.5	0.9	1.0	0.0
	* 0.7	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.0
Sample size	2,373	768	1,415	1,480	267
Weighted total	201,411	62,595	119,344	121,881	24,724

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70K

Quality place for children  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GOOD	9.2%	6.4%	9.5%	7.7%	10.0%	10.5%	19.5%	10.5%	6.6%	7.5%	8.4%	6.1%
	* 1.4	2.2	2.5	6.0	2.7	1.8	3.7	1.8	2.4	4.1	1.7	3.0
GOOD	37.8	30.9	38.8	45.5	43.8	37.9	52.7	37.9	37.5	38.8	38.3	33.3
	* 2.1	3.5	3.2	12.6	5.0	2.8	3.4	2.8	3.8	5.1	4.0	5.3
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	28.3	29.1	30.0	24.4	26.5	29.0	14.3	29.0	28.6	18.6	28.5	31.3
	* 2.3	3.7	3.8	10.7	5.5	3.0	3.3	3.0	2.8	7.9	3.0	5.4
BAD	11.0	14.9	9.4	14.4	9.4	9.8	6.1	9.8	12.9	15.9	11.5	12.0
	* 1.8	3.5	2.2	7.3	3.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	3.6	8.9	2.8	3.6
VERY BAD	6.4	9.8	5.5	2.3	4.0	6.0	2.4	6.0	6.5	10.4	6.3	10.5
	* 1.1	2.3	1.9	3.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	2.3	3.2	2.1	3.3
DON'T KNOW	7.3	8.9	6.9	5.7	6.3	6.9	5.0	6.9	8.0	8.9	7.0	6.8
	* 1.3	2.1	2.1	6.6	2.0	1.3	2.3	1.3	3.3	3.4	1.7	2.7
Sample size	3,170	801	814	83	726	2,034	746	2,034	820	316	1,099	366
Weighted total	266,262	80,464	141,695	7,768	18,975	177,715	17,360	177,715	72,341	16,206	99,911	42,491
												500
												46,018

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70K (cont.)

Quality place for children  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	10.3%	5.3%	9.6%	9.0%	7.5%
	* 1.7	2.7	2.0	2.1	3.3
GOOD	41.7	26.0	37.6	39.0	34.5
	* 2.8	4.1	2.8	3.7	7.7
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	28.7	27.6	27.6	29.2	25.1
	* 2.7	4.5	3.5	3.3	7.1
BAD	11.3	9.7	11.2	10.2	14.3
	* 1.8	4.1	2.1	2.6	8.2
VERY BAD	6.4	5.8	4.8	7.8	7.5
	* 1.3	2.3	1.5	1.6	4.3
DON'T KNOW	1.5	25.6	9.2	4.8	11.1
	* 0.7	4.7	2.5	1.6	4.9
Sample size	2,386	750	1,412	1,478	264
Weighted total	201,140	61,133	118,877	120,836	24,625

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70L

Quality of kids schools at this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GOOD	10.8%	6.3%	13.0%	6.0%	8.7%	11.9%	18.0%	11.9%	8.9%	7.4%	9.4%	9.0%
	* 1.5	2.1	2.6	6.0	2.3	2.0	4.7	2.0	2.3	3.7	2.5	3.2
GOOD	35.2	27.5	38.3	43.9	34.7	35.0	41.8	35.0	36.8	30.7	35.6	33.4
	* 2.6	3.6	4.5	15.2	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.4	4.2	11.9	4.4	6.3
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	16.7	15.0	17.4	18.7	16.3	17.5	19.0	17.5	15.9	12.0	15.8	16.7
	* 1.9	2.4	3.3	9.2	3.0	2.6	3.1	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.6	3.8
BAD	8.8	7.9	8.4	19.6	11.6	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.3	14.5	8.8	6.1
	* 1.9	3.0	2.9	11.3	3.6	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.6	11.1	3.2	3.0
VERY BAD	3.9	2.7	4.4	1.2	5.5	3.2	4.3	3.2	4.1	10.8	2.9	4.7
	* 1.2	1.4	1.9	2.4	2.2	1.4	1.8	1.4	1.9	4.5	1.4	2.5
DON'T KNOW	24.6	40.6	18.5	10.6	23.2	24.0	8.6	24.0	26.0	24.6	27.4	30.1
	* 2.4	4.4	3.0	6.8	3.6	2.9	3.1	2.9	4.5	6.6	3.7	5.6
Sample size	3,165	797	809	82	731	2,030	746	821	821	314	1,095	364
Weighted total	266,679	79,889	142,606	7,690	19,107	178,870	17,387	72,072	100,915	42,237	46,497	502

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_70L (cont.)

Quality of kids schools at this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	12.8%	4.1%	11.0%	11.0%	8.4%
	* 1.9	1.7	2.6	1.8	3.5
GOOD	39.6	21.9	36.7	35.4	29.4
	* 3.0	4.0	3.5	3.4	5.4
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	17.5	14.2	16.5	16.8	15.0
	* 2.3	3.7	2.5	3.1	5.2
BAD	9.4	6.8	9.3	7.9	11.5
	* 2.1	3.4	2.4	3.2	7.8
VERY BAD	4.6	1.5	4.3	3.9	1.8
	* 1.5	1.4	1.8	1.3	2.1
DON'T KNOW	16.2	51.5	22.3	24.9	33.9
	* 2.6	4.1	3.1	3.3	6.8
Sample size	2,377	753	1,410	1,473	265
Weighted total	201,506	61,007	118,444	121,504	24,630

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70M

Quality of medical care at this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		TDA	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCO	US	Support	Support
VERY GOOD	10.1%	11.7%	9.4%	3.3%	9.5%	11.6%	12.2%	11.6%	6.2%	11.3%	8.5%	7.3%
	* 1.6	2.5	2.1	4.8	2.4	2.2	3.4	2.2	1.9	4.8	2.5	3.4
GOOD	39.5	39.6	39.6	38.3	38.0	43.1	40.0	43.1	31.0	36.9	40.6	34.7
	* 3.0	4.0	4.3	12.6	3.7	3.6	4.2	3.6	5.9	13.8	4.4	6.0
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	22.6	21.8	23.2	20.6	23.2	22.4	22.4	22.4	24.2	17.5	22.9	26.3
	* 2.3	3.8	3.9	10.2	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.9	4.7	2.2	2.9	5.8
BAD	15.7	14.7	15.6	20.5	18.1	14.2	16.9	14.2	19.3	16.3	14.5	19.3
	* 1.7	2.6	2.5	9.1	4.0	2.0	3.8	2.0	3.9	6.0	2.9	4.6
VERY BAD	9.2	8.5	9.7	17.0	7.9	6.6	6.9	6.6	15.2	11.6	11.2	8.0
	* 1.7	2.7	2.7	10.0	3.1	1.7	1.9	1.7	3.4	9.5	2.5	2.9
DON'T KNOW	2.9	3.8	2.6	0.3	3.4	2.0	1.5	2.0	4.1	6.6	2.2	4.5
	* 0.6	1.3	1.1	0.5	1.7	0.6	1.1	0.6	1.8	4.1	1.0	3.3
Sample size	3,200	806	816	85	733	2,052	760	2,052	832	316	1,105	367
Weighted total	269,649	81,087	143,804	7,858	19,203	180,864	17,698	180,864	72,937	15,848	101,932	42,619
												46,927

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70M (cont.)

Quality of medical care at this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	9.8%	11.3%	10.1%	9.9%	11.4%
	* 1.6	3.5	2.6	2.1	7.1
GOOD	40.0	37.7	38.0	40.9	40.0
	* 3.4	4.5	3.8	4.0	8.6
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	22.9	22.4	21.7	23.6	21.0
	* 2.5	4.3	3.1	4.1	12.2
BAD	16.4	13.0	17.0	15.2	12.3
	* 1.9	2.6	2.8	2.6	6.8
VERY BAD	9.9	7.0	10.8	8.8	4.1
	* 2.1	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.2
DON'T KNOW	1.0	8.6	2.5	1.6	11.2
	* 0.4	2.6	1.0	0.6	5.1
Sample size	2,391	771	1,428	1,490	266
Weighted total	202,998	62,516	120,162	122,844	24,719

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70W

Family programs/services this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Combat	Support
VERY GOOD	5.9%	5.4%	6.2%	2.1%	6.4%	7.4%	6.9%	3.6%	6.0%	4.9%	3.8%	7.6%
	* 1.3	1.8	1.9	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.8	5.0	1.6	2.5	3.6
GOOD	34.8	31.6	34.4	34.3	41.7	46.0	36.0	31.9	34.1	34.1	31.1	35.5
	* 2.4	4.0	3.1	8.5	4.2	4.4	2.5	5.7	12.1	4.1	5.5	6.1
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	33.8	31.7	36.4	26.5	32.7	26.9	33.0	37.0	28.1	35.8	34.2	28.7
	* 2.4	4.1	3.5	9.4	3.4	3.3	2.6	5.2	13.8	4.1	6.2	5.6
BAD	10.0	10.4	10.3	15.3	7.5	6.5	9.2	12.3	9.5	10.4	12.9	10.5
	* 1.6	2.4	2.6	8.3	2.3	1.6	1.6	4.4	4.7	2.8	5.8	4.0
VERY BAD	3.7	4.3	3.6	4.4	1.6	2.9	3.6	3.2	6.6	3.5	1.6	3.9
	* 1.0	1.5	1.5	6.4	0.9	1.7	1.3	1.6	3.2	1.4	1.1	2.1
DON'T KNOW	11.8	16.6	9.1	17.4	10.1	10.3	11.3	12.1	15.6	11.3	16.4	13.8
	* 1.5	3.2	2.3	10.3	2.5	2.6	1.8	3.3	7.3	2.5	6.2	3.8
Sample size	3,185	806	812	85	730	752	2,041	828	316	1,105	365	505
Weighted total	268,320	80,876	142,920	7,858	19,134	17,532	179,912	72,494	15,915	101,692	42,185	46,896

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70N (cont.)

Family programs/services this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	5.8%	6.6%	5.6%	4.9%	11.0%
	* 1.1	3.5	1.5	1.5	7.2
GOOD	35.3	33.0	34.1	36.0	35.0
	* 2.8	4.5	2.9	4.1	7.9
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	33.9	34.8	34.6	33.3	32.0
	* 2.8	5.4	2.9	3.9	10.8
BAD	11.3	5.7	10.2	10.8	5.2
	* 1.9	1.9	2.4	2.2	3.3
VERY BAD	4.1	1.3	3.7	3.9	1.6
	* 1.2	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.5
DON'T KNOW	9.5	18.6	11.8	11.1	15.3
	* 1.8	3.9	2.4	1.9	5.7
Sample size	2,381	766	1,421	1,481	266
Weighted total	201,615	62,410	119,184	122,316	24,719

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_700

Quality of your community this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Support	Support	Support	Service	TDA
VERY GOOD	8.3%	5.2%	8.1%	4.3%	11.7%	21.4%	10.1%	4.7%	4.4%	7.4%	2.7%	8.4%
	* 1.8	1.3	3.0	4.0	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.1	3.1	2.0	1.5	4.0
GOOD	43.9	42.9	41.6	54.2	52.7	53.9	45.6	40.8	39.2	42.3	41.6	42.1
	* 2.8	3.6	4.3	8.1	4.9	3.9	3.5	5.4	6.0	3.8	5.9	6.0
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	32.0	31.0	35.9	30.2	21.7	17.0	30.6	36.6	27.0	35.0	33.3	33.1
	* 2.7	3.9	3.9	11.1	2.6	3.8	3.4	5.5	4.3	3.8	6.5	5.6
BAD	9.3	11.4	8.6	10.5	8.4	4.8	9.2	9.0	11.3	9.1	13.0	7.5
	* 1.5	2.5	2.1	6.1	2.9	2.4	1.9	2.7	5.2	2.2	3.9	3.8
VERY BAD	4.2	5.3	4.0	0.8	4.0	2.0	3.2	5.5	9.6	4.2	5.5	5.1
	* 1.1	1.8	1.6	1.5	3.0	1.3	1.2	1.6	5.7	1.5	2.5	3.0
DON'T KNOW	2.3	4.2	1.7	0.0	1.5	0.8	1.4	3.4	8.5	2.0	3.9	3.9
	* 0.7	1.3	1.0	0.0	1.1	0.9	0.6	1.7	3.4	0.9	2.6	1.9
Sample size	3,193	805	816	85	729	758	2,047	830	316	1,101	369	506
Weighted total	269,334	80,947	143,776	7,858	19,114	17,640	180,442	72,981	15,910	101,908	42,805	46,690

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_700 (cont.)

Quality of your community this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GOOD	8.4% * 1.8	8.2% 3.4	9.6% 2.4	6.5% 1.8 10.9% 5.9
GOOD	43.2 * 3.3	45.5 4.8	43.7 4.5	44.3 4.2 41.5 8.2
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	32.4 * 3.1	31.6 5.0	32.3 3.6	32.4 3.9 30.1 11.7
BAD	10.0 * 1.9	6.8 2.4	8.2 2.0	10.2 2.3 9.7 6.4
VERY BAD	4.7 * 1.4	2.7 1.4	3.7 1.7	4.8 1.6 3.7 3.6
DON'T KNOW	1.3 * 0.6	5.3 1.8	2.4 1.1	1.9 0.8 4.1 3.0
Sample size	2,386	769	1,419	1,492 266
Weighted total	202,692	62,507	119,490	123,201 24,719

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_70P

Opportunity to make friends this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GOOD	9.6%	6.6%	9.0%	8.0%	15.2%	22.8%	10.9%	6.3%	9.9%	9.5%	4.1%	8.7%
	* 1.3	1.7	2.2	7.2	2.7	2.4	1.9	1.3	4.4	2.3	1.9	3.5
GOOD	42.5	41.0	41.4	48.4	49.4	48.4	42.5	43.8	36.5	43.9	38.4	40.1
	* 2.0	3.1	3.5	10.6	4.3	3.4	2.4	3.8	6.4	3.7	5.3	5.8
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	33.6	33.7	36.9	25.6	24.7	19.4	32.5	36.6	31.4	30.5	38.2	37.7
	* 2.3	3.9	3.4	11.5	4.2	2.3	2.7	5.1	4.8	3.8	6.0	7.5
BAD	9.4	10.3	9.0	17.3	7.6	6.7	9.8	8.4	8.1	10.6	12.6	7.4
	* 1.3	2.0	2.2	9.9	3.0	2.5	1.8	1.9	3.0	1.9	3.8	2.9
VERY BAD	3.2	6.0	2.2	0.8	1.3	1.7	3.0	2.8	7.0	3.8	3.2	3.8
	* 1.0	2.8	1.3	1.5	0.8	0.9	1.0	2.0	5.6	1.9	1.5	2.7
DON'T KNOW	1.8	2.5	1.6	0.0	1.8	1.0	1.2	2.1	7.1	1.7	3.5	2.3
	* 0.6	1.2	0.9	0.0	2.5	0.9	0.7	1.2	3.9	0.9	2.8	1.7
Sample size	3,198	807	817	85	729	760	2,048	832	318	1,106	369	506
Weighted total	269,872	81,208	143,980	7,858	19,112	17,715	180,738	72,987	16,147	102,066	42,805	46,905

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_70P (cont.)

Opportunity to make friends this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

VERY GOOD	10.0%	8.2%	9.4%	9.5%	10.5%
	* 1.4	2.4	2.0	1.9	4.7
GOOD	42.1	42.7	45.3	40.6	39.8
	* 2.5	6.0	3.1	3.1	7.8
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	33.4	35.5	30.9	34.1	42.1
	* 2.9	5.8	3.3	3.4	8.9
BAD	9.9	8.1	9.8	10.3	3.4
	* 1.6	2.1	2.2	1.9	2.5
VERY BAD	3.3	2.9	2.5	4.1	1.9
	* 1.2	2.3	1.5	1.6	2.2
DON'T KNOW	1.4	2.6	2.1	1.3	2.4
	* 0.7	1.8	1.0	0.8	2.8
Sample size	2,390	770	1,423	1,493	266
Weighted total	203,177	62,561	119,992	123,237	24,719

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71A

Member's opportunities for advancement  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	2.5%	3.5%	2.2%	1.1%	1.7%	1.0%	1.0%	2.4%	1.9%	6.5%	2.9%	1.4%
	* 0.7	1.2	1.1	2.2	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.8	1.2	4.3	1.3	1.1
												2.1
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	12.8	16.7	13.9	3.8	8.6	4.1	4.1	12.9	12.5	13.2	15.7	15.1
	* 2.3	2.8	3.8	3.8	2.5	1.6	1.6	2.9	4.4	4.6	4.2	5.2
												10.8
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	33.5	29.5	33.8	43.8	37.4	40.5	34.5	34.5	31.9	29.9	32.3	23.3
	* 2.6	3.6	3.9	12.2	4.0	3.4	3.3	3.3	4.6	5.6	4.0	6.5
												5.0
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	24.4	23.9	24.1	28.4	24.2	28.0	24.8	24.8	24.4	20.0	24.6	27.0
	* 1.9	3.3	2.8	11.1	4.4	3.1	2.5	2.5	3.6	3.5	3.2	4.8
												4.9
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	14.3	16.7	12.2	12.6	18.0	17.4	13.7	13.7	15.3	16.9	11.4	20.4
	* 1.8	3.9	2.6	7.6	3.1	2.2	2.0	2.0	4.1	2.6	2.6	5.0
												4.1
DON'T KNOW	12.5	11.8	13.7	10.2	10.1	8.9	11.7	11.7	14.1	13.6	13.1	12.8
	* 1.8	2.5	3.0	7.7	2.6	1.7	2.2	2.2	3.7	3.0	3.0	4.1
												4.8
Sample size	3,191	807	815	85	734	750	2,045	829	317	1,106	367	503
Weighted total	268,788	80,950	143,260	7,829	19,275	17,474	180,328	72,446	16,014	101,996	42,517	46,483

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71A (cont.)

Member's opportunities for advancement  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	2.7%	2.1%	2.1%	3.1%	1.0%
	* 0.8	1.2	1.3	0.8	1.5
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	13.3	11.3	12.4	14.5	6.7
	* 2.6	3.7	2.5	3.8	3.1
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	34.2	32.5	33.3	32.4	40.1
	* 3.0	5.0	3.3	3.6	10.8
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	23.6	26.3	25.1	23.0	27.4
	* 1.8	5.1	3.4	2.6	7.1
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	14.4	14.1	14.9	14.3	12.9
	* 1.8	3.3	2.4	3.2	5.1
DON'T KNOW	11.9	13.7	12.2	12.8	11.9
	* 2.0	3.8	2.3	2.5	8.0
Sample size	2,376	777	1,421	1,488	266
Weighted total	201,521	63,147	119,581	122,495	24,691

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71B

Compare member pay  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
				Grade Officer								
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	2.7% * 0.8	3.4% 1.5	2.8% 1.3	0.0% 0.0	1.4% 1.0	1.1% 0.9	1.5% 1.1	2.6% 1.4	6.1% 2.9	2.8% 1.3	1.3% 1.1	4.0% 2.3
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	13.2 * 2.0	14.1 1.8	13.7 3.2	8.9 6.2	13.4 2.9	7.5 2.9	13.5 2.7	13.2 2.9	10.8 4.5	17.0 4.0	14.1 4.4	10.8 3.5
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	20.7 * 1.7	21.3 3.7	21.6 2.5	14.4 8.8	17.3 3.3	17.5 2.7	21.4 2.0	20.6 3.3	13.6 4.0	19.9 2.9	17.4 5.1	21.9 4.3
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	29. * 2.1	27.4 3.9	28.7 3.4	40.7 8.4	33.0 4.3	35.5 3.3	30.0 2.3	27.3 4.9	32.8 4.9	27.2 3.2	30.8 7.2	31.8 6.5
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	22.8 * 2.0	23.2 3.5	20.6 3.1	24.0 8.9	28.3 3.5	32.0 3.7	22.3 2.4	23.4 4.1	24.7 3.0	22.1 3.0	24.4 6.5	19.6 5.0
DON'T KNOW	11.1 * 1.9	10.5 2.5	12.5 2.9	12.0 8.2	6.7 2.3	6.3 1.7	10.3 2.3	12.9 4.0	12.1 5.5	11.0 2.7	12.0 4.5	11.8 5.0
Sample size	3,189	806	813	85	734	751	2,047	825	317	1,104	365	501
Weighted total	268,507	80,845	143,032	7,829	19,275	17,527	180,468	72,025	16,014	101,617	42,320	46,239

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71B (cont.)

Compare member pay  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	2.4%	3.7%	2.6%	3.3%	0.0%
	* 0.7	2.1	1.4	1.2	0.0
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	14.1	11.1	12.8	15.0	7.7
	* 2.5	3.9	2.2	3.0	3.3
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	21.8	17.5	20.8	20.2	23.4
	* 2.2	2.8	3.5	2.6	6.1
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	28.5	33.4	31.5	27.5	29.2
	* 2.5	3.4	2.9	2.7	7.8
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	22.6	22.9	21.7	23.6	25.8
	* 2.2	4.0	2.7	3.4	8.3
DON'T KNOW	10.5	11.5	10.6	10.5	13.9
	* 2.1	3.3	2.7	2.2	8.0
Sample size	2,374	777	1,421	1,487	266
Weighted total	201,233	63,154	119,374	122,489	24,691

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71C

Compare member retirement benefits  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat		
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	5.3%	7.4%	5.0%	1.0%	2.3%	5.1%	3.1%	5.1%	5.3%	7.7%	5.0%	4.0%	7.8%
	* 1.2	2.3	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.1	1.6	2.1	4.7	2.3	1.9	3.0
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	27.1	25.6	28.2	24.6	30.1	28.6	23.2	28.6	24.3	22.6	29.7	19.7	28.0
	* 2.1	3.6	3.4	9.3	3.1	2.8	3.3	2.8	2.7	6.5	4.4	5.0	5.4
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	28.4	24.6	28.8	34.4	30.4	28.3	37.2	28.3	29.1	25.5	28.3	31.4	25.0
	* 2.3	3.2	3.8	10.4	3.8	3.1	3.6	3.1	3.2	4.2	3.4	4.9	5.5
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	10.1	7.0	11.0	15.8	10.7	10.1	13.8	10.1	9.9	11.1	9.6	9.9	9.4
	* 1.6	2.0	2.8	7.6	2.6	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.8	4.7	2.3	4.3	3.7
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	7.0	9.7	4.8	8.9	9.0	7.2	8.8	7.2	6.1	8.1	6.8	6.7	6.6
	* 1.5	3.3	1.7	6.8	2.5	1.8	2.1	1.8	3.0	1.8	2.6	3.6	4.3
DON'T KNOW	22.2	25.7	22.2	15.3	17.6	20.7	13.9	20.7	25.4	25.0	20.7	28.3	23.2
	* 2.4	4.2	3.6	7.9	3.5	2.8	2.0	2.8	4.4	7.4	3.2	6.8	4.9
Sample size	3,176	803	812	84	730	2,036	747	2,036	825	315	1,100	366	500
Weighted total	267,921	80,633	142,857	7,766	19,201	179,520	17,464	179,520	72,414	15,987	101,357	42,595	46,184

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71C (cont.)

Compare member retirement benefits  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	5.6%	4.5%	5.2%	5.9%	2.2%
	* 1.5	2.2	2.0	1.6	2.1
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	27.6	25.7	26.9	28.1	25.3
	* 2.4	4.4	2.9	3.4	6.1
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	27.3	32.7	33.8	23.3	28.5
	* 2.4	4.7	3.7	2.6	9.7
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	10.4	8.4	10.0	10.8	6.8
	* 1.8	2.0	1.9	2.3	4.0
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	6.0	9.7	6.2	6.8	12.1
	* 1.4	3.9	1.7	2.1	7.7
DON'T KNOW	23.1	18.9	18.1	25.1	25.0
	* 2.7	3.4	2.9	3.5	8.1
Sample size	2,370	770	1,414	1,482	265
Weighted total	201,125	62,829	119,065	122,471	24,432

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_710

Compare member treatment by supervisors  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
			NCO	Officer								
												Field Grade Officer
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.3% * 0.5	1.4% 0.9	1.5% 1.0	0.0% 0.0	0.7% 0.9	0.5% 0.5	1.0% 0.5	1.3% 1.4	4.9% 3.7	0.9% 0.6	0.0% 0.0	
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	5.7 * 1.0	4.4 1.2	7.0 1.9	2.3 2.6	5.9 1.8	2.4 1.2	6.5 1.4	3.9 1.5	4.9 1.9	5.9 1.7	4.8 3.0	5.7 3.2
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	39.5 * 2.2	33.9 3.2	38.1 3.4	49.0 11.0	51.4 4.4	59.3 3.2	41.2 2.6	37.6 3.8	27.9 8.6	39.7 3.9	32.1 5.3	35.5 5.8
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	21.1 * 2.4	23.5 3.5	21.7 3.7	11.1 8.2	17.1 3.2	14.7 3.0	20.0 2.9	24.1 5.0	20.9 9.7	23.7 3.8	24.5 7.0	20.3 4.1
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	11.5 * 1.6	17.4 3.3	9.0 2.3	16.1 11.4	8.7 2.9	6.4 1.9	10.9 1.9	12.1 3.0	16.0 6.5	10.4 2.0	16.1 4.4	13.5 5.2
DON'T KNOW	20.9 * 2.2	19.5 3.8	22.7 3.6	21.4 10.9	16.2 3.1	16.7 2.5	20.4 2.6	21.0 4.2	25.5 11.5	19.4 3.5	22.4 5.4	23.0 6.4
Sample size	3,148	796	807	85	720	740	2,022	815	311	1,096	358	495
Weighted total	266,049	79,865	142,120	7,829	18,992	17,243	178,778	71,609	15,662	101,034	41,693	45,850



Table SP\_710 (cont.)

Compare member treatment by supervisors  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.1%	1.9%	1.3%	1.5%	0.2%
* 0.5	1.8	1.0	0.6	0.4	
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	6.4	4.1	6.5	5.4	4.1
* 1.3	1.7	1.6	1.4	3.0	
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	39.7	39.1	43.0	37.5	33.5
* 2.7	5.1	3.6	2.8	6.7	
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	21.2	20.8	22.1	20.9	18.7
* 2.5	4.3	3.6	3.1	7.9	
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	11.1	13.1	9.7	11.4	21.8
* 1.8	4.1	2.0	1.9	6.9	
DON'T KNOW	20.6	21.0	17.4	23.5	21.6
* 2.6	5.0	3.0	3.4	8.9	
Sample size	2,351	760	1,402	1,470	261
Weighted total	199,682	62,264	118,538	121,027	24,531

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71E

Member's opportunities to use abilities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.5%	1.9%	1.6%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%	1.5%	0.8%	4.6%	1.6%	0.2%	2.2%
	* 0.6	1.1	0.9	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.7	3.4	1.0	0.4	1.6
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	8.0	6.9	9.5	5.7	6.4	3.7	8.0	7.6	9.6	9.2	5.7	7.2
	* 1.7	1.7	2.8	5.2	2.0	1.1	2.1	2.9	5.5	3.0	2.8	3.5
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	33.5	29.3	32.1	50.4	38.6	50.9	34.8	31.7	26.6	33.3	26.9	31.6
	* 2.2	3.8	3.4	11.4	3.8	3.9	2.7	3.6	4.7	3.8	4.6	5.3
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	25.8	26.6	26.7	19.6	24.8	19.2	26.2	25.7	22.0	24.9	26.6	26.4
	* 2.1	4.0	3.4	9.3	2.5	2.9	2.9	2.8	4.2	3.8	5.2	5.2
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	18.5	23.2	15.7	16.8	19.7	18.8	17.8	19.6	20.5	17.4	26.4	18.2
	* 2.0	3.7	2.9	8.1	3.0	2.3	2.4	4.5	2.0	2.8	6.1	4.9
DON'T KNOW	12.7	12.2	14.4	7.5	9.6	7.0	11.5	14.6	16.7	13.6	14.2	14.4
	* 2.0	3.7	3.2	5.6	3.1	1.8	2.2	4.1	7.8	3.7	4.4	5.2
Sample size	3,176	802	812	85	726	751	2,038	822	316	1,097	366	499
Weighted total	267,738	80,638	142,716	7,829	19,043	17,513	179,650	72,080	16,008	100,937	42,609	46,225

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71E (cont.)

Member's opportunities to use abilities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.7%	1.1%	1.5%	1.7%	0.1%
	* 0.6	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.2
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	7.6	8.7	8.6	8.1	4.0
	* 1.9	3.1	2.6	1.8	2.7
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	34.4	31.1	34.6	33.7	28.1
	* 2.5	4.4	3.1	4.0	7.9
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	25.2	28.4	26.9	24.5	27.4
	* 1.8	5.7	3.5	2.5	7.5
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	18.5	17.9	16.1	19.0	28.3
	* 2.0	3.9	1.9	3.2	7.0
DON'T KNOW	12.5	12.8	12.4	13.0	12.1
	* 2.3	3.3	2.6	3.3	8.1
Sample size	2,368	770	1,416	1,482	263
Weighted total	200,776	62,842	119,277	121,870	24,638

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71F

Compare your personal freedom  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	0.7%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.9%	0.2%	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%
	* 0.4	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	1.9	0.4	0.0
												1.7
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	3.1	2.8	3.6	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.1	3.3	2.4	5.0	3.9	2.9
	* 1.6	1.2	2.9	2.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	2.3	1.5	4.1	3.3	2.2
												1.4
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	50.0	44.4	50.7	59.2	52.9	52.9	62.7	53.1	42.2	50.4	45.9	44.3
	* 2.7	4.4	4.1	16.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	3.1	5.9	4.3	3.4	9.2
												5.7
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	24.5	23.3	24.8	21.6	29.2	29.2	23.9	23.9	27.8	17.2	26.7	25.9
	* 2.2	4.1	3.4	9.6	4.1	4.1	3.7	2.5	5.3	3.5	3.7	5.3
												4.9
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	17.4	24.5	15.0	13.5	14.2	14.2	8.7	15.1	22.0	22.2	19.3	22.1
	* 2.0	4.1	2.8	9.7	3.3	3.3	2.7	2.2	4.7	4.1	3.3	6.3
												5.9
DON'T KNOW	4.3	3.9	5.2	3.0	1.1	1.1	2.3	3.8	5.4	4.3	3.7	4.9
	* 1.0	1.8	1.8	4.4	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.2	2.4	2.1	1.5	2.8
												4.0
Sample size	3,190	803	813	86	735	735	753	2,044	829	317	1,101	366
Weighted total	268,047	80,398	142,935	7,896	19,270	19,270	17,547	170,834	72,262	15,950	101,249	42,042
												46,387

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71F (cont.)

Compare your personal freedom  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	0.7%	0.1%	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
	* 0.5	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.0
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	3.3	2.6	2.6	3.8	2.7
	* 1.6	2.4	1.2	2.9	2.4
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	50.6	48.6	54.6	49.0	33.6
	* 3.2	4.3	3.7	4.4	7.4
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	25.4	22.3	23.0	24.3	32.3
	* 2.4	4.4	3.1	3.2	10.4
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	16.0	21.3	15.7	18.1	23.2
	* 2.4	3.7	3.0	3.3	7.8
DON'T KNOW	3.9	5.1	3.8	4.0	8.2
	* 1.2	2.3	1.3	2.0	6.6
Sample size	2,378	773	1,419	1,492	265
Weighted total	201,199	62,713	119,466	122,337	24,667

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71G

Compare time for personal/family life  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	0.7%	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.9%	0.3%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%
	* 0.4	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	1.9	0.4	0.0	1.8
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	3.5	2.9	4.0	2.0	4.6	2.3	4.0	1.8	6.5	4.3	3.1	1.7
	* 1.6	1.2	2.6	2.3	1.8	1.5	2.3	0.9	3.9	3.0	1.9	1.2
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	48.6	42.6	47.9	58.1	57.0	68.2	51.2	43.6	42.0	44.8	38.9	47.7
	* 2.8	4.3	4.0	15.2	3.7	4.5	3.4	5.6	1.8	3.1	5.8	6.2
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	25.5	27.0	25.7	21.0	25.0	19.9	23.9	30.0	24.0	26.7	31.4	27.9
	* 2.6	3.5	3.7	10.0	3.6	3.3	3.2	5.2	6.8	3.7	5.6	6.1
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	17.0	22.1	16.3	14.6	11.0	6.2	15.8	19.7	18.8	20.3	21.9	14.4
	* 2.2	4.4	2.9	9.5	2.6	2.4	2.3	5.6	3.2	2.6	8.2	5.4
DON'T KNOW	4.6	4.0	5.5	4.3	2.3	3.0	4.3	4.8	7.8	3.6	4.7	5.9
	* 1.1	1.8	1.8	5.0	1.3	1.1	1.4	1.6	3.8	1.6	3.2	4.0
Sample size	3,187	805	812	86	731	753	2,044	827	316	1,100	367	504
Weighted total	267,934	80,707	142,637	7,896	19,131	17,563	179,837	72,122	15,976	101,180	42,334	46,582

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71G (cont.)

Compare time for personal/family life  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	0.9% * 0.5	0.1% 0.2	0.3% 0.3	1.1% 0.7	0.7% 1.4
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	3.8 * 1.6	2.9 1.8	0 1.3	4.3 3.0	2.3 2.1
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	49.0 * 3.4	47.3 5.5	53.7 4.0	47.0 4.5	30.7 6.7
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	25.1 * 2.7	27.3 5.4	25.3 3.2	24.4 3.4	32.3 9.6
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	16.8 * 2.5	17.7 3.7	13.8 3.1	18.3 3.5	27.0 8.0
DON'T KNOW	4.5 * 1.0	4.7 2.3	3.9 1.4	4.9 1.6	7.0 6.3
Sample size	2,378	771	1,419	1,489	265
Weighted total	201,123	62,812	119,362	122,329	24,667

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71W

Member's time for personal/family life  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%	0.6%	0.6%	1.2%	0.4%	0.7%	1.1%
	* 0.4	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.8	0.3	0.9	1.9	0.4	1.0	1.0
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	4.5	3.9	4.9	2.2	4.8	5.7	5.0	3.1	6.0	5.1	4.1	2.7
	* 1.3	1.5	2.3	2.3	1.8	1.5	1.8	1.7	2.9	3.0	2.5	1.4
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	33.0	27.9	33.1	44.4	35.0	47.5	35.2	28.8	26.7	26.6	24.2	34.0
	* 3.3	3.8	4.0	15.0	5.4	5.2	4.2	5.8	2.3	3.0	6.0	5.4
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	32.5	32.7	32.6	25.2	34.7	30.7	31.6	35.6	27.9	35.4	35.2	34.1
	* 2.3	4.3	3.8	11.9	5.9	3.7	2.7	4.9	4.1	3.5	5.2	6.3
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	23.2	29.7	21.4	22.4	21.2	9.7	22.0	25.1	27.6	27.3	30.6	20.2
	* 3.0	4.7	3.9	9.2	4.0	3.0	3.7	5.9	3.4	3.5	6.8	5.4
DON'T KNOW	6.2	4.7	7.4	5.9	3.9	5.4	5.6	6.8	10.6	5.2	5.3	7.9
	* 1.2	2.1	2.0	5.4	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.6	4.8	2.0	3.2	4.9
Sample size	3,173	798	807	85	731	752	2,033	826	314	1,095	364	504
Weighted total	266,470	80,272	141,649	7,874	19,152	17,523	178,825	71,783	15,862	100,436	41,961	46,612

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_71W (cont.)

Member's time for personal/family life  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	0.8%	0.1%	0.5%	0.8%	0.0%
	* 0.5	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.0
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	4.8	3.9	4.1	5.4	2.8
	* 1.5	1.8	1.3	2.5	2.4
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	33.4	31.7	37.0	30.3	25.4
	* 3.7	4.7	4.3	4.2	6.1
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	32.5	33.1	33.2	31.7	32.8
	* 2.7	5.9	3.1	3.4	10.6
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	22.6	24.7	19.3	25.5	30.6
	* 3.5	4.7	3.9	4.0	7.9
DON'T KNOW	5.9	6.6	5.8	6.2	8.4
	* 1.2	2.7	1.8	1.9	6.3
Sample size	2,367	768	1,412	1,483	264
Weighted total	199,681	62,790	118,996	121,303	24,595

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_711

Compare your job/career opportunities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.5%
	* 0.3	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.9
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	4.1	3.2	5.2	2.0	2.3	2.3	4.2	2.3	5.6	3.6	4.7	3.7
	* 1.0	1.5	1.8	2.8	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.4	2.7	2.2	1.8	1.9
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	41.7	38.1	45.3	40.6	33.1	38.7	43.6	38.7	42.8	36.7	39.6	42.9
	* 2.6	4.9	4.3	13.4	4.4	6.5	3.2	6.5	4.8	5.6	4.3	4.6
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	26.7	26.2	24.4	36.4	37.4	33.3	27.0	33.3	23.7	26.9	27.5	22.7
	* 1.9	3.3	3.0	10.0	4.3	4.4	2.5	4.4	3.5	3.7	3.6	4.7
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	21.3	26.8	17.6	18.4	25.2	23.2	19.8	23.2	20.7	25.3	22.5	23.5
	* 2.3	5.0	3.2	9.6	3.3	3.4	2.8	3.4	4.1	5.0	2.8	5.0
DON'T KNOW	5.7	5.2	7.1	2.6	1.9	2.5	4.9	2.5	6.9	7.6	4.9	6.7
	* 1.3	2.0	2.1	3.0	0.9	1.3	1.6	1.3	5.2	2.5	1.9	3.1
Sample size	2,955	743	769	81	670	692	1,903	692	288	764	1,030	469
Weighted total	251,810	75,482	134,941	7,351	17,741	16,296	169,366	16,296	14,939	67,505	94,573	43,503

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_711 (cont.)

Compare your job/career opportunities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	0.5% * 0.4	0.1% 0.1	0.8% 0.7	0.0% 0.0
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	4.5 * 1.1	4.4 1.4	3.7 1.4	4.7 3.1
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	42.3 * 3.3	43.3 3.6	40.0 3.9	42.8 7.3
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	26.9 * 2.3	27.1 3.6	27.1 3.1	21.6 7.2
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	19.7 * 2.9	20.8 3.0	21.5 3.5	22.6 8.4
DON'T KNOW	6.1 * 1.6	4.1 2.1	6.9 2.7	8.3 5.2
Sample size	2,184	738	1,400	1,295
Weighted total	188,511	60,151	117,887	110,120
				22,372

(\*) Standard Error

**Compare your overall satisfaction by Rank, Location, and Unit Type**

**(\*) Standard Error**

Table SP\_71J (cont.)

Compare your overall satisfaction  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.3% * 0.5	1.0% 1.0	0.7% 0.5	1.8% 0.8	0.3% 0.5
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	6.9 * 1.6	5.3 2.6	6.0 1.7	7.0 2.5	5.8 4.5
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	46.2 * 3.4	41.7 4.9	49.4 4.8	42.7 3.8	33.0 9.1
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	23.6 * 2.4	23.7 4.5	22.6 3.3	23.7 2.8	28.9 7.3
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	14.2 * 2.2	21.8 3.7	14.5 2.6	16.6 2.9	22.7 7.4
DON'T KNOW	7.8 * 1.6	6.6 2.6	6.8 1.9	8.1 2.8	9.2 8.0
Sample size	2,367	767	1,413	1,481	263
Weighted total	199,898	62,453	119,140	121,087	24,292

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71K

Compare quality of place for children  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat		
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	DCONUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.2%	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	1.2%	0.8%	3.0%	1.1%	0.4%	2.1%
	* 0.6	0.4	1.1	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.8	0.6	3.2	0.9	0.4	1.6
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	7.1	6.8	8.2	4.5	3.6	4.9	4.9	6.7	7.5	9.8	8.3	8.5	5.2
	* 1.7	2.4	3.1	5.9	1.3	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.8	4.0	3.0	4.5	2.7
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	45.2	39.3	46.9	47.2	45.2	56.8	56.8	47.7	41.8	32.3	45.1	34.5	44.2
	* 2.5	4.2	3.8	9.5	4.1	6.4	6.4	2.8	4.5	5.7	3.6	5.8	5.9
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	19.4	18.3	18.8	28.8	23.3	20.1	20.1	19.7	18.0	21.2	19.9	23.7	15.7
	* 2.2	3.4	3.4	10.1	3.7	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.6	3.7	3.3	7.3	4.2
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	14.9	20.7	12.6	14.2	13.1	9.0	9.0	14.0	16.1	18.6	15.7	20.0	15.3
	* 1.7	3.3	2.2	6.5	3.4	2.9	2.9	1.9	4.0	3.8	2.6	5.1	5.1
DON'T KNOW	12.3	14.4	11.7	5.3	13.9	9.1	9.1	10.7	15.7	15.1	9.9	12.9	17.4
	* 1.6	3.9	2.6	5.1	2.9	2.6	2.6	1.4	4.6	4.6	2.6	4.1	4.9
Sample size	3,151	797	800	82	727	745	745	2,020	816	315	1,091	363	496
Weighted total	264,279	79,601	140,602	7,668	19,028	17,378	17,378	176,906	71,460	15,913	99,657	41,848	46,253

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71K (cont.)

Compare quality of place for children  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.3%	0.9%	0.8%	1.6%	0.7%
	* 0.7	1.0	0.7	1.0	1.1
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	8.0	3.9	5.5	8.9	4.6
	* 2.3	1.7	1.8	2.9	3.2
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	47.5	38.6	46.7	43.9	44.8
	* 3.3	4.2	4.5	3.5	7.9
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	20.7	15.4	19.8	20.1	13.6
	* 2.6	2.9	3.5	2.4	4.7
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	14.6	15.2	13.7	15.0	21.1
	* 2.0	3.9	2.5	2.7	7.8
DON'T KNOW	8.0	26.0	13.5	10.5	15.2
	* 1.7	4.5	2.5	3.0	5.9
Sample size	2,368	748	1,404	1,473	260
Weighted total	199,715	60,621	117,745	120,795	24,162

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71L

Quality of schools for children  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company		CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Service Support	TDA
				Grade	Field Officer							
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.2%	0.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.6%	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.2%	1.7%
	* 0.5	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.7	1.0	1.6
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	6.8	5.2	8.4	10.9	2.9	2.5	6.3	7.9	6.8	7.3	5.7	5.8
	* 1.5	1.8	2.5	10.6	1.3	1.4	2.1	2.2	3.5	3.3	2.6	2.7
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	46.3	37.2	50.8	47.2	44.3	53.1	50.7	36.9	39.9	45.5	41.3	41.2
	* 2.7	3.8	4.3	11.9	3.3	5.3	3.5	3.4	8.1	4.4	4.6	5.5
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	15.9	15.6	14.2	20.0	21.3	23.2	13.8	21.3	14.5	16.3	18.3	15.5
	* 1.6	3.1	3.1	8.4	3.8	2.7	1.5	3.9	10.2	2.7	4.8	4.5
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	9.6	11.9	8.2	8.8	11.3	9.9	8.2	11.6	17.3	9.3	11.9	11.8
	* 1.5	3.4	2.2	6.2	2.3	2.4	1.7	3.0	2.5	2.1	3.5	5.2
DON'T KNOW	20.3	29.4	16.8	13.2	19.7	10.8	19.8	21.3	21.5	20.9	21.7	23.9
	* 1.5	3.6	2.9	6.8	2.8	3.0	1.8	3.4	4.0	2.6	4.3	5.0
Sample size	3,164	798	808	83	728	747	2,026	823	315	1,089	366	502
Weighted total	266,837	79,825	142,678	7,768	19,129	17,437	178,609	72,416	15,812	100,279	42,419	46,624

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_71L (cont.)

Quality of schools for children  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.2%	0.4%	0.4%	1.6%	1.0%
	* 0.6	0.6	0.4	0.8	1.5
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	7.6	4.1	6.3	8.2	2.5
	* 2.1	1.9	1.7	2.7	2.2
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	51.0	32.3	47.1	45.7	46.4
	* 3.4	4.7	4.5	4.4	8.8
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	15.7	16.8	16.7	15.7	11.6
	* 1.9	3.8	3.0	2.6	4.0
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	10.0	7.9	9.4	9.0	14.4
	* 1.7	3.6	2.3	2.2	7.8
DON'T KNOW	14.5	38.4	20.0	19.9	24.0
	* 1.5	4.2	2.1	2.6	6.8
Sample size	2,373	757	1,409	1,478	263
Weighted total	201,353	61,719	118,647	121,852	24,562

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71M

Compare quality of family medical care  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company		Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer									
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	3.8%	4.5%	4.3%	0.0%	1.6%	0.7%	0.7%	4.3%	2.6%	3.3%	4.6%	2.6%	3.4%
	* 0.9	1.7	1.4	0.0	0.9	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.5	2.3	2.2
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	14.5	17.0	15.2	5.3	9.4	7.0	7.0	15.7	11.8	14.0	13.6	17.5	15.2
	* 1.9	2.7	3.2	4.4	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.6	3.4	3.4	5.1	4.8
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	32.8	31.0	32.6	36.3	34.0	38.9	38.9	36.0	26.0	27.7	33.5	28.0	28.7
	* 2.6	3.2	4.1	14.8	3.5	3.9	3.9	3.1	4.5	5.4	3.5	6.6	5.3
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	25.7	22.5	25.9	30.6	29.0	32.0	32.0	24.3	29.0	25.9	25.3	28.1	25.6
	* 2.2	3.5	3.2	10.3	3.0	3.5	3.5	2.5	5.0	2.1	3.4	5.2	5.4
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	14.4	16.8	11.6	23.9	19.6	16.3	16.3	11.2	21.4	18.5	14.8	14.8	16.8
	* 1.8	3.6	2.6	7.7	3.6	2.5	2.5	2.0	4.0	3.8	3.0	4.4	5.1
DON'T KNOW	8.9	8.3	10.3	3.8	6.5	5.1	5.1	8.6	9.2	10.7	8.2	9.1	10.4
	* 1.5	3.3	2.2	4.7	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.8	3.3	6.2	2.5	4.5	4.1
Sample size	3,180	797	812	86	732	753	753	2,033	830	317	1,097	366	503
Weighted total	267,654	80,010	142,971	7,896	19,208	17,570	17,570	179,038	72,649	15,968	100,658	42,477	46,585

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71M (cont.)

Compare quality of family medical care  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	4.2% * 1.1	2.2% 1.9	3.0% 1.4	4.7% 1.4	1.6% 2.0
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	14.5 * 2.4	14.5 3.6	13.9 2.5	16.5 3.3	8.3 4.4
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	32.9 * 3.3	33.2 5.4	34.1 4.2	30.2 3.2	39.5 7.3
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	27.5 * 2.4	20.8 3.8	26.1 3.0	26.7 3.6	18.0 7.3
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	13.4 * 2.0	16.6 4.0	14.7 2.4	13.6 2.6	17.4 7.9
DON'T KNOW	7.5 * 1.6	12.6 3.2	8.2 2.0	8.3 2.8	15.3 6.1
Sample size	2,375	768	1,418	1,483	264
Weighted total	201,110	62,570	119,489	121,625	24,587

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71N

Compare programs/services for families  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	3.1% * 0.9	3.1% 1.1	3.7% 1.5	0.0% 0.0	1.9% 1.0	1.1% 0.8	2.8% 1.0	3.8% 2.2	3.9% 3.1	3.2% 1.6	4.1% 3.6	3.0% 1.8
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	20.6 * 1.9	19.1 3.2	20.9 3.1	15.4 9.0	29.4 4.2	18.3 3.1	21.3 2.6	18.9 2.1	20.6 7.8	18.8 3.4	23.9 5.0	21.9 4.4
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	42.8 * 2.6	39.6 4.0	42.8 4.3	51.0 12.3	41.8 4.7	54.2 5.0	45.2 2.6	37.8 6.4	37.3 4.9	43.8 4.2	40.0 11.8	35.1 5.8
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	11.5 * 1.3	11.1 2.5	11.8 2.4	12.4 8.1	11.3 2.7	11.3 2.7	10.0 1.6	16.0 2.8	7.7 4.0	12.9 2.7	12.2 4.2	10.8 3.5
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	5.7 * 1.3	8.4 3.1	4.6 1.6	3.1 3.5	3.0 1.4	5.8 1.6	4.4 1.3	7.8 2.9	10.8 3.4	5.4 1.9	4.4 2.3	9.4 4.9
DON'T KNOW	16.3 * 2.0	18.8 4.3	16.2 3.1	18.1 8.3	12.6 2.5	9.3 1.8	16.3 2.2	15.7 4.6	19.7 8.9	16.0 3.3	15.4 6.9	19.9 4.9
Sample size	3,170	796	810	86	730	748	2,028	826	316	1,093	365	504
Weighted total	266,341	79,670	142,209	7,896	19,127	17,438	178,621	71,788	15,931	99,637	42,339	46,599

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71W (cont.)

Compare programs/services for families  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	3.4%	2.0%	3.0%	3.1%	2.6%
	* 1.2	1.3	1.2	1.4	2.3
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	21.3	18.8	18.3	24.4	14.4
	* 2.3	3.4	2.5	3.2	5.2
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	43.9	40.2	45.7	39.5	46.0
	* 2.8	4.5	3.7	3.8	9.4
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	11.8	11.0	12.3	11.4	6.2
	* 1.7	3.6	2.6	2.3	3.2
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	5.5	5.5	5.3	4.8	12.3
	* 1.2	3.4	1.7	1.4	7.7
DON'T KNOW	14.2	22.5	15.4	16.8	18.4
	* 2.4	4.1	2.1	3.5	5.6
Sample size	2,369	765	1,410	1,481	264
Weighted total	200,443	61,952	118,046	121,755	24,587

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_710

Compare quality of community you live in  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Combat	Service Support
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.5%	1.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	1.6%	1.3%	1.1%	1.4%	0.2%	1.4%
	* 0.5	0.6	1.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.9	1.4	0.9	0.3	1.2
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	7.3	6.6	8.5	4.5	5.3	4.1	7.0	7.9	7.3	6.0	8.7	8.5
	* 1.9	2.6	3.1	5.7	1.9	1.3	2.5	3.2	3.1	3.4	3.2	3.5
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	48.8	44.8	48.7	57.9	51.5	60.0	51.6	43.9	39.1	49.3	40.6	45.5
	* 3.1	3.7	4.8	13.7	4.6	5.6	3.9	4.7	4.0	4.3	5.2	6.5
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	21.5	21.0	21.9	19.5	22.3	20.3	21.4	22.6	17.5	21.1	27.3	17.9
	* 2.3	4.0	3.8	9.9	2.8	4.0	3.2	2.9	2.1	2.7	4.8	4.3
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	11.5	15.8	9.1	14.6	11.6	10.3	10.1	13.1	19.9	12.7	14.9	13.2
	* 1.9	4.0	2.5	7.3	3.7	2.9	2.0	4.3	6.6	3.1	5.1	4.7
DON'T KNOW	9.6	10.8	9.8	3.4	9.2	5.3	8.4	11.3	15.1	9.4	8.4	13.5
	* 1.6	2.9	2.3	2.8	2.2	1.6	1.8	3.2	7.2	2.4	3.6	4.5
Sample size	3,188	802	813	86	732	755	2,043	829	316	1,099	368	505
Weighted total	268,341	80,469	143,155	7,896	19,219	17,603	179,936	72,632	15,774	100,989	42,711	46,673

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_710 (cont.)

Compare quality of community you live in  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.7%	0.3%	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%
	* 0.7	0.3	0.8	0.9	1.0
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	7.6	6.7	6.6	8.3	5.7
	* 2.2	2.7	2.1	2.8	5.1
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	49.0	48.8	51.3	46.6	48.2
	* 3.6	4.2	4.0	4.0	7.2
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	22.6	18.6	20.8	22.8	16.7
	* 2.6	4.1	3.2	3.1	5.9
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	10.7	13.5	11.1	11.5	14.0
	* 2.0	4.3	2.4	2.6	7.8
DON'T KNOW	8.4	12.2	9.2	8.9	14.9
	* 1.6	3.1	1.9	2.6	6.6
Sample size	2,382	769	1,419	1,490	264
Weighted total	201,781	62,586	119,546	122,255	24,587

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_71P

Compare opportunity to make good friends  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	0.9%	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%	0.8%	1.4%	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%
	* 0.4	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.0
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	4.4	3.1	3.9	8.1	7.8	4.5	9.6	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.0	6.0
	* 0.7	1.5	1.2	7.2	2.2	0.9	2.1	0.9	1.4	0.8	1.2	3.0
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	54.9	49.0	57.4	53.6	56.0	56.2	60.7	56.2	52.0	52.8	55.0	45.9
	* 2.2	4.5	3.2	10.5	5.7	2.6	4.1	2.6	4.4	9.4	3.3	8.2
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	18.9	20.6	17.7	26.1	19.0	19.8	17.3	19.8	18.6	9.5	18.6	24.2
	* 1.6	3.4	2.5	10.4	3.4	1.8	2.9	1.8	3.0	6.0	3.3	5.3
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	13.2	18.7	11.2	11.2	10.4	11.5	7.3	11.5	15.7	20.1	15.1	16.7
	* 1.8	3.1	2.5	7.7	2.7	4.1	2.2	1.8	4.1	5.8	2.7	4.4
DON'T KNOW	7.7	8.2	8.4	0.9	6.3	7.2	4.7	7.2	7.9	12.9	6.1	7.2
	* 1.5	3.2	2.1	1.8	2.6	1.7	1.8	1.7	2.7	8.1	1.9	3.9
Sample size	3,186	802	813	86	730	2,042	755	2,042	828	316	1,098	368
Weighted total	268,038	80,469	142,890	7,896	19,180	179,478	17,603	179,478	72,605	15,956	101,144	42,711
												505
												46,673

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_71P (cont.)

Compare opportunity to make good friends  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
MUCH WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	1.1%	0.3%	1.1%	0.8%	0.2%
	* 0.5	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.5
WORSE IN CIVILIAN LIFE	4.4	4.9	4.2	4.9	3.9
	* 0.9	2.1	1.5	1.5	2.6
ABOUT THE SAME IN BOTH	56.0	51.4	56.7	53.6	52.9
	* 2.5	5.5	3.4	3.5	10.7
BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	19.1	19.2	19.1	19.0	16.4
	* 2.1	4.4	2.8	2.0	4.9
MUCH BETTER IN CIVILIAN LIFE	12.3	15.9	12.0	14.3	14.1
	* 1.8	3.9	2.6	2.6	7.6
DON'T KNOW	7.1	8.4	7.0	7.4	12.5
	* 1.6	2.9	1.8	2.4	6.5
Sample size	2,381	768	1,418	1,489	264
Weighted total	201,505	62,559	119,519	121,979	24,587

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_72

Satisfaction with Army as way of life  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY SATISFIED	23.3%	15.2%	25.0%	32.4%	27.6%	25.0%	38.5%	25.0%	19.7%	21.2%	22.0%	22.0%
	* 2.7	2.2	4.6	12.9	4.1	3.6	2.3	3.6	4.1	4.7	4.2	5.3
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	40.8	37.0	42.7	41.8	42.0	39.6	40.5	39.6	43.1	42.8	45.1	36.0
	* 2.8	3.6	5.2	12.8	3.7	3.9	3.2	3.9	3.4	3.9	4.2	4.9
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	15.8	18.6	16.3	8.3	12.1	14.3	7.0	14.3	18.4	21.6	12.7	20.2
	* 1.5	3.4	2.6	5.1	3.1	1.8	1.6	1.8	2.9	3.4	2.6	4.4
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	13.2	17.0	11.5	10.3	13.8	14.0	9.9	14.0	11.7	10.8	13.2	15.8
	* 1.5	3.9	2.2	7.7	2.9	1.8	2.8	1.8	3.1	5.0	2.5	5.0
VERY DISSATISFIED	6.9	12.2	4.5	7.3	4.5	7.0	4.1	7.0	7.2	3.7	7.1	6.0
	* 1.3	3.3	1.6	6.2	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.4	2.8	0.8	1.8	2.9
Sample size	3,229	814	823	87	741	2,070	764	2,070	839	320	1,111	513
Weighted total	272,602	82,191	145,025	8,177	19,425	182,782	17,783	182,782	73,631	16,189	102,068	47,449

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_72 (cont.)

Satisfaction with Army as way of life  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SATISFIED	23.4%	23.3%	23.9%	22.8%	23.2%
	* 3.1	3.9	3.5	3.9	5.5
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	42.8	35.0	39.6	42.4	40.4
	* 3.4	4.5	3.9	4.0	6.7
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	15.6	16.7	16.7	14.9	13.5
	* 1.8	3.8	2.8	2.5	5.5
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	11.7	17.0	12.9	12.9	16.4
	* 1.7	3.9	1.7	2.3	7.8
VERY DISSATISFIED	6.5	8.0	6.9	7.0	6.5
	* 1.4	2.8	1.7	1.9	4.5
Sample size	2,406	783	1,441	1,498	273
Weighted total	204,760	63,505	121,677	123,450	25,374

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_73A

Demand of family responsibilities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	WCO	Officer	Field Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
1-NOT AT ALL DEMANDING	6.1% * 1.0	8.1% 2.4	5.2% 1.6	4.8% 3.6	5.6% 2.5	5.8% 2.2	5.5% 1.2	7.8% 2.5	5.6% 2.2	4.8% 1.3	8.4% 4.2	7.8% 3.1
2	4.3 * 0.9	5.7 1.9	3.3 1.3	2.7 3.1	6.8 1.9	4.2 1.5	4.2 1.2	4.4 1.7	5.8 2.8	4.5 1.7	4.3 2.1	4.0 1.8
3	8.5 * 1.8	8.8 2.8	8.1 2.9	7.6 6.1	10.2 2.7	8.2 2.7	8.3 2.4	9.2 2.9	7.3 3.4	9.7 3.5	5.7 2.7	9.3 3.3
4	18.1 * 1.9	16.0 3.1	19.2 3.3	25.2 10.4	16.1 3.1	18.5 3.0	17.8 2.1	19.3 4.6	16.6 4.3	18.8 4.2	15.7 4.7	14.1 4.0
5	17.8 * 1.7	17.3 3.3	17.8 2.5	20.3 12.0	18.5 3.3	18.4 2.7	17.8 1.9	18.5 3.8	14.8 4.6	15.5 2.7	20.3 5.1	20.4 6.1
6	17.9 * 1.7	13.7 2.3	19.3 3.0	18.6 9.5	19.6 3.0	23.4 3.5	18.6 2.1	15.8 3.3	18.8 3.4	18.3 2.9	18.4 5.7	14.7 4.2
7-EXTREMELY DEMANDING	27.3 * 1.9	30.4 3.1	27.1 3.4	20.8 11.3	23.2 3.8	21.4 2.5	27.9 2.4	24.8 3.3	31.2 6.1	28.4 2.6	27.2 6.7	29.7 5.3
N/A	0.0 * 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
Sample size	3,164	792	801	85	735	751	2,027	824	313	1,087	362	503
Weighted total	265,024	80,002	140,243	8,035	19,267	17,478	177,861	71,484	15,680	99,135	41,493	46,255

Table SP\_73A (cont.)

Demand of family responsibilities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
1-NOT AT ALL DEMANDING	3.8% * 1.1	12.9% 3.0	6.5% 1.4	5.9% 1.7 5.1% 3.5
2	2.5 * 0.8	10.0 2.8	4.2 1.5	4.1 1.5 6.3 3.6
3	6.5 * 2.0	15.2 3.5	9.1 2.2	7.3 2.7 11.5 6.1
4	15.8 * 2.2	25.8 4.2	20.4 3.3	15.6 2.6 18.0 5.2
5	17.1 * 1.7	20.2 6.0	18.2 2.3	16.0 2.6 23.9 9.4
6	20.6 * 2.3	9.1 3.4	18.3 2.4	18.3 2.8 15.0 5.9
7-EXTREMELY DEMANDING	33.8 * 2.7	6.7 2.5	23.2 2.8	32.7 3.9 20.2 5.6
N/A	0.0 * 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Sample size	2,357	770	1,431	1,446 271
Weighted total	198,874	62,111	120,506	117,304 25,181

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_738

Demand or work responsibilities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
1-NOT AT ALL DEMANDING	4.9%	5.7%	4.3%	5.0%	5.8%	4.7%	4.1%	6.2%	7.5%	5.4%	5.4%	4.7%
	* 0.9	1.8	1.4	5.9	1.7	1.6	1.0	2.1	2.8	1.8	2.6	2.3
2	4.0	4.6	3.7	0.0	4.6	5.3	3.6	5.1	4.2	5.2	3.5	2.1
	* 1.2	1.7	1.7	0.0	1.7	1.4	1.4	2.5	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.4
3	6.7	4.3	8.4	4.8	4.6	7.5	6.5	7.0	8.1	7.3	5.3	6.1
	* 1.4	1.9	2.5	3.4	1.6	1.8	1.8	2.6	2.3	3.1	2.5	3.0
4	12.8	10.6	13.5	19.0	14.9	12.5	12.4	13.3	15.8	12.4	12.6	14.0
	* 1.6	2.3	2.4	12.1	2.9	2.5	1.5	4.3	5.7	2.7	5.1	3.8
5	13.7	15.1	13.1	12.8	12.4	13.5	14.4	13.2	7.7	13.6	10.8	14.0
	* 1.7	3.4	2.3	6.1	2.5	2.8	1.6	4.5	3.3	2.5	3.9	5.3
6	11.0	10.6	10.9	12.7	11.7	11.1	10.7	10.6	15.8	9.3	13.9	11.2
	* 1.6	2.1	2.5	9.1	3.2	2.3	2.1	2.1	5.0	2.5	3.7	3.1
7-EXTREMELY DEMANDING	14.9	14.5	16.3	15.1	11.5	9.2	15.6	13.2	14.8	12.8	15.3	20.3
	* 1.7	2.8	2.3	8.6	2.7	2.4	2.2	3.0	8.2	2.4	4.7	5.7
N/A	32.0	34.6	29.7	30.5	34.6	36.2	32.7	31.4	26.1	34.1	33.3	27.6
	* 2.7	4.5	3.8	11.3	3.7	3.4	3.5	4.3	3.1	3.9	6.5	6.0
Sample size	3,198	802	810	86	740	760	2,048	832	318	1,101	365	509
Weighted total	268,034	80,879	141,835	8,130	19,506	17,685	179,727	72,240	16,068	100,720	42,048	46,945

Table SP\_738 (cont.)

Demand or work responsibilities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
1-NOT AT ALL DEMANDING	5.2%	4.0%	4.1%	5.9%	3.2%
	* 1.2	1.6	1.3	1.4	3.2
2	4.1	4.0	5.3	3.1	1.7
	* 1.4	2.0	1.6	1.7	2.2
3	6.4	7.5	10.8	3.4	3.7
	* 1.6	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.7
4	12.4	14.6	20.9	5.0	11.4
	* 1.7	3.7	2.5	1.9	3.9
5	11.5	20.4	20.9	4.6	22.2
	* 1.9	5.3	3.4	1.5	6.9
6	10.4	12.8	17.9	1.9	20.6
	* 1.9	3.4	2.8	0.8	5.4
7-EXTREMELY DEMANDING	14.1	17.8	18.8	6.6	35.1
	* 2.0	3.7	2.5	2.1	6.9
N/A	35.9	19.0	1.2	69.6	2.1
	* 3.4	3.5	0.6	3.5	2.2
Sample size	2,384	776	1,436	1,474	272
Weighted total	201,458	62,758	121,049	119,946	25,203

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_74A

Success w/family responsibilities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Support	Service	TDA
1-NOT AT ALL SUCCESSFUL	1.4%	2.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	1.5%	1.2%	0.8%	1.3%	0.8%
	* 0.6	1.4	0.8	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0
2	0.9	1.3	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.5	0.6	1.3
	* 0.5	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.8	0.5	1.2
3	4.1	3.5	4.2	7.3	5.6	2.6	2.6	4.3	4.0	2.4	4.3	2.3
	* 1.0	1.3	1.5	10.3	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.1	2.3	1.3	1.9	1.9
4	12.4	11.0	14.0	13.3	8.2	10.2	10.2	11.7	13.3	16.2	11.6	14.6
	* 1.7	3.1	2.9	9.7	2.1	1.8	1.8	1.9	4.0	4.8	3.3	5.3
5	23.1	23.0	22.6	29.5	22.0	26.2	26.2	23.9	22.4	18.1	20.8	23.5
	* 2.3	3.7	2.9	10.5	3.4	2.9	2.9	2.7	4.8	4.1	3.2	5.8
6	32.4	28.3	33.5	28.4	38.8	37.8	37.8	33.3	30.7	30.2	32.7	28.5
	* 2.6	3.5	4.1	15.2	3.1	4.3	4.3	3.4	4.3	4.9	5.1	6.4
7-EXTREMELY SUCCESSFUL	25.7	30.9	23.6	21.4	24.4	22.0	22.0	24.5	27.6	30.9	28.8	28.9
	* 1.7	2.6	2.9	11.1	3.8	3.4	3.4	2.1	3.2	3.3	3.3	4.9
Sample size	3,144	786	794	84	730	750	750	2,014	820	310	1,081	498
Weighted total	263,266	79,618	139,244	7,827	19,152	17,425	17,425	176,908	70,810	15,548	98,668	45,901

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_74A (cont.)

Success w/family responsibilities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
1-NOT AT ALL SUCCESSFUL	1.5% * 0.7	1.1% 1.5	0.6% 0.5	2.0% 1.1
				2.2% 3.2
2	1.0 * 0.5	0.7 0.7	0.6 0.4	0.7 0.5
				3.2 3.7
3	3.7 * 1.1	5.1 2.5	4.0 1.4	3.9 1.5
				5.4 2.7
4	11.9 * 1.7	14.1 4.3	13.1 2.3	11.1 2.2
				15.2 8.3
5	23.3 * 2.4	22.4 4.8	23.6 3.7	21.5 3.0
				27.2 5.1
6	33.2 * 3.6	30.1 4.5	33.8 3.7	33.6 4.5
				21.8 6.9
7-EXTREMELY SUCCESSFUL	25.4 * 2.2	26.6 4.5	24.3 3.2	27.3 2.9
				24.9 8.0
Sample size	2,348	762	1,428	1,431
Weighted total	198,193	61,643	120,291	116,103
				25,104

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_748

Success w/work responsibilities  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company		CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Service Support	TDA
				Grade	Field Officer							
1-NOT AT ALL SUCCESSFUL	1.6% * 0.7	1.7% 0.9	2.0% 1.4	0.0% 0.0	0.5% 0.6	0.9% 1.0	0.6% 0.5	3.0% 2.3	4.6% 1.5	2.7% 2.0	1.2% 1.4	0.6% 0.7
2	1.1 * 0.5	1.6 1.0	0.9 0.8	0.0 0.0	1.7 1.0	0.5 0.6	1.3 0.7	0.7 0.8	0.7 0.8	1.5 1.1	0.4 0.7	0.5 0.5
3	3.5 * 1.0	3.9 1.8	3.7 1.5	0.0 0.0	2.3 1.7	2.4 1.5	3.2 1.1	3.9 2.4	4.2 2.6	4.4 1.8	2.0 3.4	5.1 2.8
4	13.4 * 2.5	15.0 5.5	13.5 3.0	16.7 13.2	7.4 2.6	9.5 3.9	12.8 2.4	14.9 6.6	13.2 7.5	15.0 4.2	12.5 4.9	14.2 7.4
5	21.8 * 3.1	22.1 5.2	22.0 4.5	25.8 12.7	19.5 3.6	19.5 3.5	22.4 4.2	20.5 4.4	21.5 7.1	23.0 6.2	24.7 7.5	18.2 5.0
6	29.6 * 2.7	23.6 4.2	30.7 4.0	26.2 12.7	37.5 4.9	41.4 4.2	30.3 3.4	28.8 5.1	26.6 8.4	26.4 3.9	31.8 7.5	27.1 6.9
7-EXTREMELY SUCCESSFUL	29.0 * 3.0	32.7 4.4	27.1 4.1	31.3 14.1	31.3 5.2	25.8 3.6	29.4 3.4	28.2 6.2	29.3 12.0	27.0 4.6	27.5 7.5	34.2 7.0
Sample size	2,030	479	563	56	462	470	1,296	525	209	688	223	332
Weighted total	179,610	51,251	99,488	5,396	12,407	11,068	119,027	48,753	11,831	65,759	27,084	33,271

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_748 (cont.)

Success w/work responsibilities  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
1-NOT AT ALL SUCCESSFUL	2.1%	0.3%	0.2%	7.4%	0.3%
	* 1.1	0.4	0.2	4.1	0.5
2	1.2	0.8	1.0	1.9	0.7
	* 0.7	0.8	0.6	1.4	1.3
3	4.0	2.2	2.9	6.4	2.8
	* 1.2	1.6	1.2	3.8	2.9
4	13.2	13.9	12.3	17.2	12.9
	* 2.4	4.7	2.6	5.0	10.6
5	22.0	21.2	21.9	25.2	16.4
	* 3.6	6.4	3.3	9.7	5.8
6	29.0	31.1	32.9	17.5	31.7
	* 3.5	5.2	3.3	5.1	7.8
7-EXTREMELY SUCCESSFUL	28.4	30.4	28.9	24.4	35.2
	* 3.3	5.4	2.8	7.0	7.8
Sample size	1,390	616	1,413	338	265
Weighted total	126,096	50,919	119,648	33,529	24,599

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_75

Is member living with you/this location  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	89.1%	83.7%	90.5%	89.8%	94.7%	94.7%	95.3%	90.9%	86.5%	80.7%	87.6%	85.2%
	* 2.2	4.0	3.4	9.5	2.2	2.2	1.7	2.4	4.8	15.6	3.8	5.8
NO	10.9	16.3	9.5	10.2	5.3	5.3	4.7	9.1	13.5	19.3	12.4	14.8
	* 2.2	4.0	3.4	9.5	2.2	2.2	1.7	2.4	4.8	15.6	3.8	5.8
Sample size	3,216	812	816	86	740	740	762	2,058	837	321	1,106	512
Weighted total	270,478	81,909	143,239	8,091	19,478	19,478	17,761	180,914	73,252	16,312	101,109	46,947

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_75 (cont.)

Is member living with you/this location  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	90.2%	85.7%	89.4%	89.7%	84.8%
	* 2.3	4.5	3.0	2.8	8.3
NO	9.8	14.3	10.6	10.3	15.2
	* 2.3	4.5	3.0	2.8	8.3
Sample size	2,394	782	1,438	1,489	272
Weighted total	203,286	62,856	121,131	122,450	24,796

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_76A

Knowledge of end of member's workday  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat		
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	14.8%	14.2%	15.6%	14.3%	11.3%	14.7%	15.3%	14.7%	15.7%	11.2%	8.4%	9.3%	14.7%
	* 2.5	3.3	3.1	8.3	4.2	2.9	3.9	2.9	5.7	6.3	2.1	3.3	4.5
SELDOM	13.7	11.0	14.7	23.2	9.8	13.3	16.7	13.3	14.5	14.0	9.7	13.8	15.2
	* 1.8	2.7	2.6	10.8	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.4	2.4	4.4	2.9	3.6	3.9
SOMETIMES	22.2	23.7	23.0	8.2	18.0	23.1	19.7	23.1	19.1	24.9	19.2	22.3	26.6
	* 2.4	3.6	3.7	6.2	4.0	3.1	5.3	3.1	4.2	3.5	3.3	4.9	5.6
OFTEN	18.3	18.8	17.9	15.5	20.9	18.2	18.3	18.2	17.9	21.2	19.6	25.0	19.9
	* 2.1	3.3	3.2	9.0	3.8	2.6	3.3	2.6	4.3	4.4	2.6	6.3	4.6
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	31.1	32.4	28.8	38.8	40.0	30.6	30.0	30.6	32.8	28.7	43.1	29.5	23.6
	* 3.3	3.9	4.6	20.0	5.5	4.2	3.2	4.2	6.2	7.0	5.1	6.5	5.3
Sample size	2,917	705	734	81	695	1,884	702	1,884	766	267	996	336	453
Weighted total	237,027	67,498	127,829	7,194	18,222	162,456	16,284	162,456	61,824	12,747	87,204	37,760	38,679

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_76A (cont.)

Knowledge of end of member's workday  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	15.1% * 2.8	14.3% 4.3	14.4% 3.4	14.8% 2.8	17.6% 6.0
SELDOM	13.8 * 1.8	13.2 3.8	14.9 3.0	12.4 2.6	15.1 6.4
SOMETIMES	21.2 * 2.6	25.4 6.7	21.9 3.6	21.4 3.6	24.6 10.7
OFTEN	18.6 * 2.6	17.5 3.5	18.8 2.8	17.7 3.2	19.7 8.7
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	31.4 * 3.6	29.7 5.0	30.0 4.6	33.7 4.1	23.0 6.0
Sample size	2,190	694	1,301	1,370	234
Weighted total	180,721	52,847	105,664	108,749	21,031

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_768

Member at work beyond normal duty hours  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	11.6%	10.7%	12.4%	14.4%	7.9%	11.9%	12.0%	11.1%	8.5%	4.1%	7.6%	10.1%
	* 2.9	3.1	4.0	9.2	2.5	3.9	3.5	6.3	2.3	1.2	3.6	3.7
SELDOM	13.7	12.7	14.0	17.2	12.2	15.6	14.2	12.2	15.1	10.6	11.0	13.9
	* 2.4	3.1	3.3	8.7	3.4	2.5	2.9	4.4	8.4	3.6	4.2	5.0
SOMETIMES	29.6	33.3	30.5	14.3	21.8	22.9	29.6	28.9	33.1	30.1	30.8	32.5
	* 2.9	5.3	4.4	8.6	3.6	3.9	3.6	5.2	11.6	4.8	6.7	5.9
OFTEN	21.3	22.2	20.7	27.4	21.9	18.5	21.6	21.0	17.8	25.9	23.5	23.0
	* 2.6	3.8	3.8	11.5	4.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	5.3	3.0	6.6	6.2
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	23.8	21.1	22.3	26.7	36.3	31.1	22.5	26.7	25.5	29.3	27.0	20.5
	* 3.4	3.2	5.1	12.7	4.8	4.2	4.5	4.5	3.5	3.5	6.9	6.1
Sample size	2,916	705	735	81	693	702	1,884	766	266	997	336	451
Weighted total	237,166	67,498	128,017	7,194	18,172	16,285	162,603	61,824	12,739	87,392	37,760	38,629

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_768 (cont.)

Member at work beyond normal duty hours  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	12.0% * 3.0	10.8% 3.9	10.7% 3.4	12.8% 2.9	10.8% 5.4
SELDOM	13.0 * 2.6	15.8 3.6	15.1 3.3	12.1 2.8	16.1 8.8
SOMETIMES	29.0 * 2.9	31.8 5.9	27.3 3.4	32.0 3.7	27.1 9.7
OFTEN	22.0 * 3.0	19.0 3.3	21.9 3.0	20.0 3.4	24.5 9.0
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	24.0 * 4.3	22.6 4.5	25.1 4.4	23.1 3.7	21.4 7.0
Sample size	2,189	694	1,303	1,367	234
Weighted total	180,860	52,847	105,874	108,678	21,031

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_76C

Cancel family plans due to member work  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	18.4%	14.9%	19.7%	23.0%	16.3%	22.3%	18.3%	18.5%	18.4%	12.0%	12.0%	16.7%
	* 3.2	3.1	4.3	11.0	4.3	4.0	3.7	7.3	2.7	2.6	3.7	5.5
SELDOM	21.0	19.5	20.7	17.9	22.0	29.0	21.5	20.2	17.4	16.1	23.4	22.8
	* 2.2	2.8	3.7	10.1	3.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.9	3.9	5.2	5.3
SOMETIMES	32.0	32.6	30.9	39.4	34.6	31.0	32.2	31.1	33.4	34.6	29.6	36.0
	* 2.5	4.1	3.9	13.9	4.3	4.0	3.3	4.5	6.8	4.9	5.6	6.0
OFTEN	16.3	17.5	16.7	12.3	16.2	10.2	15.8	17.3	17.1	18.9	24.0	13.6
	* 2.1	2.9	3.1	8.5	4.2	2.1	2.3	5.2	2.6	3.2	4.7	3.8
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	12.5	15.5	12.0	7.4	10.8	7.5	12.2	12.8	13.7	18.3	10.9	10.9
	* 2.1	3.1	3.1	6.6	3.1	1.9	2.7	3.8	2.7	2.8	5.0	4.9
Sample size	2,915	703	733	81	695	703	1,883	765	267	994	336	452
Weighted total	236,313	67,124	127,467	7,194	18,222	16,306	161,875	61,691	12,747	86,708	37,760	38,438

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_76C (cont.)

Cancel family plans due to member work  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	17.8% * 3.5	21.0% 5.3	18.1% 3.0	18.3% 4.1	21.1% 6.1
SELDOM	20.0 * 2.5	25.0 4.8	22.2 3.0	19.1 2.9	24.8 8.4
SOMETIMES	32.1 * 3.0	30.3 4.3	32.0 3.2	31.0 3.6	33.5 8.7
OFTEN	16.9 * 2.5	14.8 4.5	16.2 2.9	17.8 3.4	9.6 7.3
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	13.2 * 2.6	8.9 2.7	11.5 2.8	13.8 3.2	10.9 4.8
Sample size	2,188	694	1,303	1,367	233
Weighted total	180,007	52,847	105,874	108,065	20,790

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_760

Member assigned work w/no value to Army  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	41.5%	37.4%	41.8%	43.9%	43.8%	52.2%	42.0%	40.0%	41.4%	38.5%	32.9%	40.4%
	* 2.8	3.9	3.7	12.5	4.0	5.1	3.5	5.3	5.0	3.2	5.8	6.0
SELDOM	27.2	26.1	26.4	37.8	29.9	29.2	27.6	25.7	28.7	25.1	30.4	31.5
	* 2.1	3.7	3.3	13.4	3.1	4.2	2.6	3.9	5.1	3.4	7.2	4.8
SOMETIMES	21.2	22.5	22.1	13.3	19.7	12.9	20.5	24.0	16.3	25.1	23.3	17.1
	* 2.2	4.0	3.2	8.3	3.3	3.1	2.8	4.2	2.6	3.1	5.4	3.8
OFTEN	6.0	7.9	5.5	3.8	5.0	4.5	5.8	6.5	6.4	6.4	9.4	6.3
	* 1.3	2.2	1.7	3.4	2.1	2.3	1.6	2.4	3.5	1.9	4.1	2.7
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	4.2	6.0	4.2	1.3	1.6	1.2	4.1	3.7	7.2	5.0	3.9	4.7
	* 1.1	2.1	1.6	2.5	1.1	0.7	1.3	2.3	3.6	1.9	2.2	3.3
Sample size	2,886	696	727	81	686	696	1,864	758	264	986	333	446
Weighted total	233,948	66,294	126,327	7,194	17,986	16,147	160,321	60,972	12,656	85,708	37,254	38,148

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_760 (cont.)

Member assigned work w/no value to Army  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	42.7%	37.9%	40.5%	43.9%	36.0%
	* 3.2	5.2	4.3	3.5	8.3
SELDOM	26.8	28.7	27.4	25.7	32.6
	* 2.4	4.7	3.5	3.3	8.1
SOMETIMES	20.2	23.8	21.3	21.5	18.2
	* 2.0	5.8	2.8	3.2	5.4
OFTEN	5.8	6.5	5.8	5.6	9.0
	* 1.5	2.4	1.8	1.5	4.8
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	4.6	3.1	5.0	3.3	4.2
	* 1.4	1.7	1.8	1.3	3.2
Sample size	2,166	687	1,294	1,348	232
Weighted total	178,223	52,430	105,382	106,419	20,564

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP 76E

Member's boss interest in family welfare  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company		CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Service Support	TDA
				Grade	Field Grade Officer							
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	30.0% * 2.5	32.9% 4.4	32.7% 3.5	24.6% 13.2	14.6% 3.4	16.5% 3.2	31.1% 2.5	25.7% 6.2	37.0% 7.8	27.9% 3.7	33.1% 7.1	28.2% 6.8
SELDOM	20.8 * 2.0	23.9 4.4	20.7 3.0	12.8 8.1	15.9 3.2	17.4 3.3	20.7 2.5	22.0 3.4	15.2 8.7	16.7 2.9	22.5 4.6	26.0 6.3
SOMETIMES	24.9 * 1.7	20.5 2.9	25.2 3.0	24.7 7.5	35.8 4.7	28.6 3.1	23.4 1.8	28.1 3.7	28.3 8.0	28.7 3.6	25.8 6.7	24.0 4.5
OFTEN	14.7 * 1.7	14.4 2.7	12.2 2.3	19.0 8.5	23.7 3.4	23.0 5.5	14.2 2.1	16.2 3.4	13.5 1.5	17.4 3.2	11.8 4.3	13.1 4.1
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	9.7 * 1.7	8.2 2.8	9.2 2.6	18.9 12.7	10.1 2.6	14.5 3.0	10.6 2.1	8.0 2.9	6.0 4.5	9.3 2.3	6.9 2.6	8.7 3.6
Sample size	2,865	695	723	81	686	680	1,846	761	258	988	334	444
Weighted total	233,126	66,488	125,638	7,194	18,020	15,787	159,382	61,491	12,253	85,954	37,583	37,700

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_76E (cont.)

Member's boss interest in family welfare  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	31.0% * 2.7	26.3% 5.1	30.2% 3.7	30.6% 3.7	26.0% 8.8
SELDOM	20.6 * 2.4	22.1 4.8	18.9 3.0	19.3 2.9	38.3 10.7
SOMETIMES	23.9 * 1.9	29.2 5.3	27.0 3.3	24.3 3.0	17.5 5.1
OFTEN	14.3 * 1.9	15.6 3.6	15.8 2.6	14.4 2.2	11.2 5.5
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	10.2 * 2.3	6.8 2.3	8.1 2.6	11.3 2.7	7.0 4.3
Sample size	2,151	682	1,281	1,340	232
Weighted total	177,394	52,450	104,705	106,071	20,767

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_77A

Last month problem with child care needs  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	42.8%	41.0%	44.1%	54.5%	36.1%	40.0%	42.8%	45.6%	29.7%	36.8%	43.7%	42.0%
	* 3.9	5.8	5.5	12.5	5.1	4.8	5.0	5.6	4.5	4.0	10.8	7.8
SELDOM	19.6	18.1	19.6	21.1	20.1	23.9	21.1	15.5	20.4	22.0	16.6	21.0
	* 2.1	4.9	3.2	7.8	3.9	4.1	2.5	4.4	10.3	2.8	7.1	7.1
SOMETIMES	21.7	20.3	21.3	19.6	29.1	23.9	20.8	22.7	29.6	23.1	24.6	21.8
	* 2.8	4.5	3.8	10.3	5.4	4.2	3.5	3.8	9.6	4.3	7.4	6.4
OFTEN	9.5	11.4	9.1	1.6	10.7	8.3	8.5	11.0	15.9	11.5	8.8	8.1
	* 1.8	4.3	2.4	3.1	3.2	2.7	2.1	3.5	3.6	3.2	4.7	3.0
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	6.3	9.1	5.8	3.3	4.1	3.9	6.9	5.2	4.3	6.5	6.3	7.0
	* 1.9	4.3	2.2	4.7	2.5	1.6	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.7	3.4	5.6
Sample size	1,888	439	515	63	442	429	1,240	497	151	634	227	297
Weighted total	157,435	41,256	88,978	5,790	11,409	10,002	108,156	41,276	8,004	56,210	26,433	26,514

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_77A (cont.)

Last month problem with child care needs  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

VERY SELDOM OR NEVER

42.7%	64.0%	42.3%	46.9%	22.9%
* 3.9	20.1	4.9	5.7	10.2

SELDOM

20.2	0.9	20.2	18.1	26.8
* 2.2	1.8	3.2	3.5	10.2

SOMETIMES

21.7	17.0	22.4	20.0	28.4
* 2.7	16.2	3.9	4.1	7.6

OFTEN

9.6	5.4	9.9	9.6	7.4
* 1.8	8.2	2.7	2.7	6.0

VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS

5.9	12.6	5.2	5.5	14.5
* 1.7	19.7	2.2	2.6	11.3

Sample size

1,824	47	781	965	132
-------	----	-----	-----	-----

Weighted total

151,213	4,128	67,356	75,961	12,626
---------	-------	--------	--------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_77B

Last month problem finishing house work  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat		Service	IDA
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer						Support	Support	Support	
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	46.5%	45.8%	47.2%	59.0%	35.5%	49.7%	47.6%	45.0%	39.6%	48.9%	39.4%	40.2%	
	* 2.6	4.2	4.1	9.0	5.2	4.5	3.2	4.7	5.9	3.1	6.8	5.6	
SELDOM	19.3	16.6	19.8	23.3	21.3	22.2	19.1	19.5	20.9	19.2	22.4	20.8	
	* 1.7	2.7	3.0	7.6	4.4	3.1	1.8	4.3	3.3	3.1	6.3	4.2	
SOMETIMES	20.0	19.9	20.6	10.7	23.3	15.8	19.8	21.3	15.9	17.7	22.2	22.6	
	* 2.1	3.9	3.4	6.0	2.9	3.6	2.7	3.2	4.0	2.9	5.3	6.9	
OFTEN	10.2	13.0	8.5	6.1	14.5	9.0	9.9	9.3	19.1	9.8	11.1	13.3	
	* 1.6	2.8	2.3	4.7	5.2	2.5	1.6	3.0	6.8	2.4	3.7	4.1	
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	4.1	4.7	3.8	0.9	5.4	3.3	3.7	4.9	4.5	4.3	4.9	3.2	
	* 0.8	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.2	0.9	1.8	2.6	1.5	2.7	1.8	
Sample size	2,901	701	733	81	692	694	1,873	762	266	994	334	450	
Weighted total	235,699	66,945	127,360	7,194	18,127	16,073	161,496	61,260	12,942	87,205	37,508	38,451	

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_77B (cont.)

Last month problem finishing house work  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	46.6% * 3.4	46.7% 6.2	40.5% 3.8	56.6% 2.6
				27.9% 6.1
SELDOM	18.9 * 2.2	20.4 4.4	20.3 2.7	18.8 2.5
				16.1 7.3
SOMETIMES	20.5 * 2.9	19.0 4.3	21.7 3.1	17.3 2.5
				22.9 9.6
OFTEN	10.3 * 1.9	10.1 2.9	13.5 2.9	5.0 1.2
				20.8 6.5
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	3.8 * 0.9	3.9 2.2	4.1 1.1	2.3 1.2
				12.4 5.6
Sample size	2,179	689	1,298	1,358
				233
Weighted total	179,561	52,614	105,619	107,706
				20,790

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_77C

Last month problem getting health care  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
				Officer								TDA
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	42.7%	34.9%	44.8%	56.2%	42.1%	53.7%	43.5%	41.9%	37.0%	41.8%	35.2%	42.1%
	* 2.5	4.1	3.3	12.9	5.8	3.8	3.1	4.4	6.8	4.0	6.0	5.6
SELDOM	20.4	20.3	19.3	20.3	26.1	23.4	20.3	20.4	21.5	21.3	22.9	21.4
	* 2.2	4.2	3.6	12.6	5.2	3.8	2.9	3.6	6.9	3.3	5.7	7.1
SOMETIMES	22.5	25.3	22.8	13.7	20.4	15.5	22.5	22.5	22.9	22.6	23.0	20.7
	* 1.9	4.1	2.5	7.7	3.1	2.7	2.2	3.6	11.0	3.3	5.8	4.8
OFTEN	9.9	12.3	9.9	7.9	7.4	4.3	9.5	10.5	12.3	8.2	13.5	11.9
	* 1.4	2.1	2.2	7.1	2.0	1.6	1.7	2.7	3.2	2.0	5.3	4.1
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	4.4	7.2	3.3	1.9	4.0	3.0	4.2	4.7	6.4	6.1	5.3	3.9
	* 1.0	2.1	1.4	3.6	1.7	1.4	1.1	2.1	2.0	1.6	2.5	2.2
Sample size	2,900	701	732	81	692	694	1,872	762	266	993	334	450
Weighted total	235,475	66,977	127,105	7,194	18,127	16,073	161,366	61,168	12,942	86,981	37,508	38,472

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_77C (cont.)

Last month problem getting health care  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

VERY SELDOM OR NEVER

40.8%	49.2%	43.8%	43.5%	35.6%
* 2.7	6.9	4.3	3.1	8.0

SELDOM

19.2	24.0	20.9	18.6	26.9
* 2.4	4.7	3.6	3.0	9.3

SOMETIMES

24.2	18.2	20.6	24.1	23.2
* 2.3	4.8	3.4	3.0	7.9

OFTEN

10.8	6.4	11.2	8.3	11.9
* 1.5	3.0	2.1	1.7	6.2

VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS

5.0	2.2	3.5	5.5	2.4
* 1.1	1.3	1.3	1.6	2.2

Sample size

2,181	686	1,297	1,358	233
-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total

179,734	52,217	105,292	107,810	20,790
---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_77D

Last month member lack of time for family  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	20.8%	15.7%	23.8%	24.5%	14.3%	23.6%	21.4%	19.2%	19.3%	12.8%	14.6%	19.0%
	* 3.6	3.9	5.0	10.9	3.8	4.6	4.7	6.2	2.4	2.4	6.4	4.9
SELDOM	16.5	17.8	15.5	16.5	14.9	20.9	16.8	15.0	20.5	14.9	15.4	20.6
	* 2.2	3.9	2.9	9.2	3.3	2.9	2.8	4.1	7.5	3.6	6.4	4.3
SOMETIMES	24.1	23.2	23.4	27.3	30.3	25.1	25.3	21.6	22.0	23.5	26.6	23.5
	* 2.6	3.6	4.0	12.4	5.2	3.8	3.0	5.7	6.2	3.8	6.9	4.8
OFTEN	24.2	28.1	22.5	20.8	26.5	20.1	21.4	32.1	22.2	31.4	28.4	23.9
	* 2.3	3.6	3.1	11.3	4.4	2.9	2.7	4.4	2.9	3.5	6.1	4.3
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	14.4	15.2	14.8	11.0	14.0	10.2	15.1	12.2	16.0	17.3	14.9	13.0
	* 2.5	3.1	3.8	9.0	3.4	2.9	3.3	3.2	3.8	2.9	5.7	4.9
Sample size	2,900	701	730	81	693	695	1,874	763	263	994	333	448
Weighted total	235,131	66,914	126,736	7,194	18,164	16,123	161,329	61,299	12,502	87,175	37,492	37,865

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_77D (cont.)

Last month member lack of time for family  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	20.9%	20.7%	22.1%	20.4%	16.8%
	* 3.9	5.7	4.2	4.5	6.2
SELDOM	15.6	19.1	16.9	15.9	17.8
	* 2.6	4.5	3.0	3.0	7.0
SOMETIMES	23.3	27.2	25.0	22.9	22.7
	* 2.8	5.1	4.4	2.9	7.6
OFTEN	25.0	22.0	22.5	25.5	27.4
	* 2.4	5.0	2.8	3.0	8.0
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	15.1	11.0	13.5	15.3	15.3
	* 3.1	3.4	4.4	3.0	6.6
Sample size	2,179	688	1,297	1,359	232
Weighted total	179,234	52,373	105,415	107,528	20,605

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_77E

Last month member unable to attend event  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	26.5%	24.3%	27.5%	34.6%	22.6%	28.5%	27.0%	24.9%	27.4%	20.8%	18.5%	26.4%
	* 3.2	3.9	4.5	11.0	4.8	4.3	4.2	5.5	4.2	3.1	5.8	5.6
SELDOM	18.5%	19.6%	17.4%	13.3%	21.0%	22.3%	19.0%	18.2%	14.4%	15.9%	22.6%	21.6%
	* 1.8	3.8	3.2	7.9	4.2	3.7	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.2	5.2	4.9
SOMETIMES	28.7%	27.8%	28.4%	34.9%	31.8%	28.1%	28.8%	28.9%	26.0%	31.2%	28.3%	25.1%
	* 2.4	3.7	4.2	12.5	5.5	3.6	3.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	6.0	5.4
OFTEN	15.5%	17.4%	15.1%	11.2%	15.3%	13.2%	13.9%	19.1%	19.5%	19.4%	20.6%	15.1%
	* 2.3	3.2	3.5	7.7	3.5	2.5	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.3	6.0	4.3
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	10.8%	10.9%	11.5%	6.1%	9.3%	7.8%	11.3%	8.8%	12.6%	12.6%	9.9%	11.8%
	* 2.1	3.4	3.4	6.8	3.0	2.5	2.9	2.4	3.6	2.7	3.6	6.1
Sample size	2,894	699	727	80	691	697	1,870	761	263	987	333	450
Weighted total	234,229	66,778	126,095	7,114	18,091	16,151	160,782	60,920	12,527	86,297	37,209	38,237

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_77E (cont.)

Last month member unable to attend event  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SELDOM OR NEVER	26.2% * 3.7	27.7% 5.6	27.6% 4.5	26.8% 3.4 19.3% 6.4
SELDOM	16.7 * 2.0	23.9 4.5	17.9 3.6	17.8 2.2 23.8 8.0
SOMETIMES	29.0 * 2.7	27.8 4.9	29.0 3.7	28.6 3.5 28.4 8.4
OFTEN	16.3 * 2.6	13.1 3.7	14.9 2.8	16.2 3.1 15.6 7.1
VERY OFTEN OR ALWAYS	11.7 * 2.5	7.6 2.7	10.6 3.9	10.6 2.3 12.9 5.7
Sample size	2,176	685	1,293	1,356 233
Weighted total	178,617	52,088	105,246	106,610 20,790

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_78A

Member too tired to enjoy doing things  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat		
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
ALMOST EVERY DAY	29.2%	36.7%	27.9%	20.1%	20.5%	21.7%	28.4%	30.9%	30.9%	30.9%	31.9%	34.4%	31.7%
	* 3.2	3.7	4.5	11.6	4.5	3.5	3.7	7.3	7.3	5.8	4.6	7.5	4.8
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK	33.8	30.9	35.5	32.5	37.8	28.5	34.0	32.6	32.6	37.2	36.6	34.9	29.5
	* 2.1	3.6	3.2	13.0	4.3	5.8	2.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	3.7	6.0	6.0
ABOUT ONCE A WEEK	16.3	14.3	17.0	13.9	18.9	17.7	17.0	15.1	15.1	13.5	14.5	16.6	19.8
	* 2.0	2.3	3.4	8.1	2.6	2.2	2.8	1.8	1.8	3.7	2.5	4.4	4.9
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH	7.8	9.8	6.2	9.1	8.8	10.6	8.2	7.6	7.6	4.5	7.6	5.3	8.5
	* 1.3	3.2	1.7	7.1	2.1	2.5	1.4	3.1	3.1	1.1	2.1	2.9	3.2
ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	5.3	4.1	5.4	8.5	6.8	7.5	5.3	5.0	5.0	7.9	5.0	3.8	4.8
	* 1.1	1.5	1.5	11.0	2.4	2.1	1.3	2.3	2.3	3.7	1.7	2.0	2.3
ALMOST NEVER	7.5	4.2	8.0	15.9	7.2	14.1	7.1	8.8	8.8	6.0	4.4	5.1	5.7
	* 1.8	1.7	2.8	10.3	2.3	3.5	2.2	3.8	3.8	3.9	1.5	2.6	2.8
Sample size	2,909	707	733	81	692	696	1,878	767	767	264	994	335	450
Weighted total	235,944	67,479	126,983	7,194	18,152	16,137	161,326	61,978	61,978	12,641	87,282	37,656	37,866

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_78A (cont.)

Member too tired to enjoy doing things  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALMOST EVERY DAY	29.8% * 3.7	26.1% 5.4	24.7% 3.5	31.7% 4.6	36.0% 9.2
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK	34.4 * 2.9	32.3 4.4	34.4 3.3	34.9 3.6	27.2 8.2
ABOUT ONCE A WEEK	15.3 * 2.1	19.8 4.3	18.2 2.8	15.3 2.2	11.5 5.7
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH	7.3 * 1.3	9.9 3.5	8.4 1.8	6.3 1.4	13.2 7.4
ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	5.5 * 1.4	4.9 2.4	5.3 1.7	5.0 1.5	7.4 4.7
ALMOST NEVER	7.7 * 2.0	7.0 3.2	9.0 2.5	6.7 2.3	4.6 5.6
Sample size	2,185	690	1,304	1,360	233
Weighted total	179,736	52,659	106,266	107,305	20,790

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_788

Member charged up by work accomplishment  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
ALMOST EVERY DAY	3.8%	3.2%	3.9%	4.0%	4.4%	4.4%	5.4%	3.4%	4.9%	4.7%	3.8%	4.4%
	* 1.1	1.6	1.6	5.6	1.7	1.7	2.1	1.4	2.1	2.3	1.7	3.0
												1.5
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK	11.9	8.9	13.0	11.4	14.0	14.0	13.4	11.8	12.1	11.6	11.6	8.9
	* 1.8	2.4	2.8	7.1	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.2	3.7	4.1	2.7	3.1
												4.6
ABOUT ONCE A WEEK	23.6	20.5	24.2	22.1	30.0	30.0	25.7	24.8	21.9	16.1	25.1	24.7
	* 2.1	3.4	3.7	10.5	4.3	4.3	4.5	2.0	5.5	3.6	4.0	4.8
												4.9
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH	17.6	19.4	16.7	13.5	19.8	19.8	16.7	17.2	18.1	20.0	17.9	13.3
	* 1.5	3.1	2.3	9.4	2.8	2.8	3.4	1.5	3.8	4.3	2.8	4.4
												4.4
ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	20.5	21.1	20.5	21.4	17.7	17.7	21.3	19.7	21.4	27.1	21.3	23.3
	* 2.0	3.4	3.1	12.1	3.9	3.9	3.5	2.1	5.0	6.5	4.1	5.8
												5.2
ALMOST NEVER	22.6	27.0	21.8	27.7	14.1	14.1	17.4	23.1	21.7	20.5	20.2	25.3
	* 2.3	4.7	3.6	9.5	3.3	3.3	2.4	2.9	4.8	3.8	3.9	5.6
												4.4
Sample size	2,880	702	724	81	686	686	687	1,858	760	262	984	333
												449
Weighted total	233,679	67,088	125,525	7,194	17,952	17,952	15,920	159,977	61,229	12,473	86,203	37,132
												38,299

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_788 (cont.)

Member charged up by work accomplishment  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALMOST EVERY DAY	3.7%	4.5%	3.6%	3.9%	3.0%
	* 1.2	2.3	1.5	1.4	3.0
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK	12.1	11.5	11.8	12.2	11.5
	* 2.1	3.2	2.5	2.9	5.6
ABOUT ONCE A WEEK	23.6	23.2	24.7	23.4	18.4
	* 2.5	4.4	3.4	3.4	6.6
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH	16.9	20.0	16.5	18.1	21.3
	* 1.8	4.9	2.5	2.6	7.7
ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	21.0	18.5	19.7	21.9	18.9
	* 2.4	4.0	3.2	2.6	8.5
ALMOST NEVER	22.7	22.4	23.6	20.6	27.0
	* 2.5	4.4	2.8	3.3	10.2
Sample size	2,168	681	1,290	1,345	233
Weighted total	178,703	51,829	105,007	106,298	20,790

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_78C

Member in good mood/ready to have fun  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company		Field		Other OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
				Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer				
ALMOST EVERY DAY	11.8% * 1.6	9.4% 2.6	11.8% 2.2	20.2% 11.4	13.4% 3.1	16.6% 3.7	11.9% 1.7	11.3% 4.0	12.9% 2.4	10.2% 2.2	11.2% 3.6
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK	22.3% * 1.5	24.0% 2.0	21.0% 2.5	23.2% 10.7	24.0% 2.8	23.5% 3.5	23.0% 1.8	21.2% 2.9	20.2% 2.8	22.0% 3.6	19.0% 4.3
ABOUT ONCE A WEEK	25.5% * 2.1	25.7% 3.5	25.5% 3.1	15.8% 6.9	27.3% 4.6	26.6% 3.3	26.3% 2.4	23.7% 4.9	23.0% 4.1	27.4% 4.1	22.9% 5.9
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH	14.1% * 1.4	13.9% 2.4	14.1% 2.7	10.4% 6.3	17.1% 3.5	13.3% 2.7	14.6% 1.8	13.3% 2.3	12.0% 2.5	12.3% 2.6	20.5% 4.9
ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	11.5% * 1.6	12.1% 2.2	11.5% 2.5	10.3% 6.2	10.8% 2.1	9.6% 2.3	10.2% 1.6	14.3% 3.8	14.1% 2.7	13.1% 2.5	10.1% 2.9
ALMOST NEVER	14.8% * 1.6	14.8% 2.8	16.0% 2.8	20.2% 16.5	7.3% 2.2	10.4% 2.3	14.0% 1.8	16.2% 3.8	17.8% 2.9	15.0% 3.4	16.3% 4.7
Sample size	2,896	703	729	81	690	693	1,870	762	264	990	448
Weighted total	235,024	67,025	126,596	7,194	18,105	16,104	160,769	61,616	12,639	86,901	38,144

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_78C (cont.)

Member in good mood/ready to have fun  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALMOST EVERY DAY	12.2% * 1.5	10.4% 3.6	12.5% 2.7	11.4% 2.6	10.4% 5.8
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK	21.5 * 2.2	24.6 4.2	24.1 2.6	20.5 2.6	22.8 8.9
ABOUT ONCE A WEEK	26.5 * 2.3	22.5 4.2	25.5 3.4	26.8 3.1	19.6 7.1
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH	14.1 * 1.9	15.1 4.1	13.2 2.3	13.4 2.6	23.9 6.1
ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	10.9 * 1.8	12.3 2.7	10.3 2.1	13.3 2.8	8.1 4.2
ALMOST NEVER	14.7 * 2.0	15.2 3.5	14.6 3.6	14.6 2.7	15.1 4.7
Sample size	2,177	686	1,296	1,355	233
Weighted total	179,321	52,244	105,587	107,064	20,790

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_780

Member in mood too difficult to be with  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
ALMOST EVERY DAY	6.6%	9.7%	6.7%	2.4%	2.0%	6.7%	3.6%	6.7%	6.6%	9.9%	7.3%	7.3%
	* 1.5	2.7	1	3.4	1.1	1.9	1.5	1.9	3.0	6.3	2.6	2.9
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK	15.3	16.7	16.0	9.6	11.2	15.3	8.5	15.3	14.4	19.3	16.6	10.8
	* 1.9	2.9	3.0	7.6	3.9	2.1	2.6	2.1	4.4	8.3	3.1	3.6
ABOUT ONCE A WEEK	16.6	19.7	15.2	25.9	14.7	17.2	12.2	17.2	15.6	13.5	17.1	18.8
	* 1.4	3.5	2.1	18.3	2.8	1.9	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.5	2.5	4.7
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH	16.0	15.6	17.0	9.0	14.5	17.1	14.9	17.1	12.5	20.2	14.8	20.7
	* 1.8	2.8	2.5	6.0	3.0	2.2	2.8	2.2	3.5	4.5	3.2	5.3
ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	17.2	16.0	17.4	16.3	19.2	16.4	18.2	16.4	20.3	11.8	17.7	17.1
	* 1.5	2.9	2.8	8.7	4.1	1.7	3.8	1.7	3.7	2.3	2.7	4.7
ALMOST NEVER	28.3	22.3	27.8	36.8	38.4	27.7	42.6	27.7	30.6	25.2	26.4	25.3
	* 2.3	3.4	3.2	15.8	4.7	2.8	4.5	2.8	4.7	5.5	2.5	5.4
Sample size	2,901	704	731	81	689	1,874	696	1,874	763	264	995	449
Weighted total	235,622	67,282	126,907	7,194	18,074	161,195	16,165	161,195	61,871	12,556	87,165	38,393

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_780 (cont.)

Member in mood too difficult to be with  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALMOST EVERY DAY	7.0% * 1.7	5.4% 2.3	6.1% 2.2	6.9% 2.0	7.8% 6.8
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A WEEK	14.9 * 2.4	15.7 5.1	14.4 2.7	15.8 2.4	15.8 5.6
ABOUT ONCE A WEEK	16.0 * 1.8	17.6 2.8	17.0 2.6	16.1 2.3	16.0 8.0
ABOUT 2-3 TIMES A MONTH	16.2 * 2.0	15.7 3.2	16.6 2.5	15.9 3.1	13.7 8.3
ABOUT ONCE A MONTH	17.2 * 2.2	17.8 4.0	16.1 2.2	18.2 3.2	18.8 8.0
ALMOST NEVER	28.6 * 2.7	27.8 5.4	29.8 3.5	27.1 2.9	27.9 10.0
Sample size	2,185	685	1,303	1,353	233
Weighted total	179,942	52,231	106,142	107,106	20,790

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_79A

Member informs me on unit activity  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
STRONGLY AGREE	19.3%	24.7%	15.7%	14.6%	24.6%	21.7%	19.5%	18.6%	20.4%	21.3%	15.1%	24.7%
	* 1.9	4.3	2.6	10.2	4.0	3.3	2.2	4.2	5.3	3.3	4.7	4.7
AGREE	40.0	42.0	38.5	46.6	42.9	37.1	41.5	36.8	36.1	41.3	39.4	35.7
	* 2.4	3.8	4.0	11.0	4.5	4.4	3.0	4.0	3.6	4.0	9.3	5.3
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	19.5	14.7	22.8	13.8	15.4	20.2	18.6	22.0	18.1	17.0	21.9	19.1
	* 1.9	3.2	3.7	7.3	3.0	3.5	2.3	3.8	7.2	2.3	6.6	4.7
DISAGREE	15.2	14.2	15.8	22.1	12.6	15.5	14.7	17.0	13.6	15.4	15.5	16.7
	* 1.7	3.3	2.5	14.5	2.3	3.0	2.0	3.5	6.1	2.9	5.3	5.2
STRONGLY DISAGREE	5.9	4.4	7.1	2.9	4.5	5.6	5.6	5.6	11.9	5.0	8.2	3.9
	* 1.4	1.5	2.3	3.9	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.9	6.7	1.9	4.5	2.2
Sample size	2,924	706	739	80	695	704	1,887	767	270	1,001	337	454
Weighted total	237,394	67,561	128,421	6,917	18,142	16,351	161,763	62,604	13,026	87,862	38,133	38,503

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_79A (cont.)

Member informs me on unit activity  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	18.2%	23.7%	17.7%	19.4%	28.5%
	* 1.9	4.5	2.7	3.2	10.1
AGREE	39.3	41.8	40.2	39.6	41.3
	* 3.0	5.3	3.1	4.2	8.1
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	19.9	17.9	20.3	19.5	16.1
	* 2.4	3.9	3.6	2.7	6.2
DISAGREE	16.1	12.2	15.0	16.1	9.2
	* 2.0	4.0	2.3	2.4	5.6
STRONGLY DISAGREE	6.5	4.4	6.8	5.4	4.9
	* 1.7	1.7	2.0	1.7	3.4
Sample size	2,205	696	1,307	1,371	234
Weighted total	182,004	53,106	106,001	108,817	20,993

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_798

Member family life good before work well  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
STRONGLY AGREE	17.6%	21.5%	17.0%	13.1%	14.9%	14.9%	10.9%	16.4%	19.5%	22.2%	18.9%	17.5%
	* 1.9	3.6	2.7	12.1	2.8	2.8	2.4	2.2	4.0	4.9	3.2	4.6
AGREE	36.5	39.3	35.6	29.8	35.8	35.8	35.1	36.6	36.2	36.6	36.2	39.5
	* 2.0	3.9	3.2	13.7	4.0	4.0	3.8	2.4	4.5	4.3	3.6	7.2
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	26.8	23.3	28.9	30.9	25.2	25.2	25.7	27.0	27.4	22.3	27.7	26.0
	* 2.3	4.0	3.2	11.5	2.9	2.9	3.3	2.5	5.6	6.0	3.2	5.7
DISAGREE	14.2	11.6	13.1	21.4	19.7	19.7	23.5	14.5	13.7	12.4	13.4	10.9
	* 1.9	2.9	2.8	7.8	3.6	3.6	3.8	2.6	3.0	2.8	2.0	3.1
STRONGLY DISAGREE	4.9	4.4	5.4	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.7	5.5	3.2	6.5	3.8	6.0
	* 1.2	2.0	2.0	5.7	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.7	4.9	2.1	4.2
Sample size	2,921	707	736	80	694	694	704	1,884	766	271	999	336
Weighted total	236,892	67,610	127,920	6,917	18,105	18,105	16,339	161,512	62,306	13,075	87,854	37,835
												455
												38,553

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_79B (cont.)

Member family life good before work well  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	18.1% * 2.6	15.7% 4.3	16.7% 2.4	18.7% 3.0
				17.1% 6.8
AGREE	36.1 * 2.6	37.7 4.1	36.1 3.5	38.3 3.6
				29.9 5.8
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	26.8 * 2.6	26.7 4.3	29.5 3.4	22.7 2.7
				34.7 9.4
DISAGREE	14.3 * 2.4	13.9 3.4	13.8 2.5	14.3 2.8
				13.0 5.9
STRONGLY DISAGREE	4.7 * 1.4	6.1 2.1	3.9 1.5	5.9 2.1
				5.3 3.7
Sample size	2,202	696	1,306	1,369
				234
Weighted total	181,491	53,119	105,795	108,522
				20,993

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_79C

Member too tired for time with family  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
STRONGLY AGREE	11.0%	16.1%	9.7%	6.1%	6.5%	7.2%	10.6%	11.6%	13.8%	11.6%	14.1%	11.3%
	* 1.7	3.2	2.8	6.1	2.2	1.9	2.0	3.4	1.6	2.3	4.6	4.1
AGREE	27.0	25.7	28.8	24.2	23.7	23.1	27.1	27.4	23.7	27.9	34.9	22.3
	* 2.5	4.2	3.5	11.1	3.4	3.3	2.8	5.7	5.2	4.0	6.9	4.8
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	25.3	22.7	27.2	25.8	25.7	21.5	24.6	26.0	32.1	25.2	25.2	27.6
	* 2.5	4.3	3.5	14.3	3.6	3.5	2.9	5.5	6.3	4.2	6.7	5.3
DISAGREE	27.5	28.2	25.2	34.1	33.1	34.3	27.8	27.1	25.6	27.8	22.4	30.3
	* 2.1	3.0	3.5	13.3	4.9	3.8	2.6	4.0	7.5	3.5	5.2	6.4
STRONGLY DISAGREE	9.1	7.3	9.2	9.8	11.0	13.9	9.9	7.9	4.8	7.5	3.5	8.4
	* 1.6	2.8	2.0	7.1	2.9	4.1	2.0	2.9	2.0	2.0	2.3	3.2
Sample size	2,921	706	739	80	694	702	1,883	768	270	1,000	337	454
Weighted total	237,009	67,370	128,329	6,917	18,105	16,288	161,422	62,765	12,822	87,838	38,133	38,220

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_79C (cont.)

Member too tired for time with family  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
STRONGLY AGREE	11.7%	8.8%	9.8%	12.3%	10.3%
	* 2.2	3.2	2.4	2.4	5.9
AGREE	25.7	29.9	24.2	29.2	27.4
	* 2.2	6.2	2.9	4.0	7.4
NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE	26.3	22.7	27.8	23.5	22.1
	* 2.8	4.4	3.1	3.8	7.0
DISAGREE	27.5	28.2	29.1	25.7	30.8
	* 2.4	4.8	3.3	2.4	7.3
STRONGLY DISAGREE	8.7	10.4	9.1	9.2	9.4
	* 1.7	4.2	2.2	2.4	4.9
Sample size	2,203	695	1,307	1,369	233
Weighted total	181,861	52,866	106,154	108,520	20,752

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_80

Events in member's unit  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
I NEVER WANT TO ATTEND	8.1%	9.0%	9.5%	1.7%	2.4%	2.7%	8.3%	6.5%	13.2%	8.6%	8.0%	8.5%
	* 1.7	2.2	2.5	3.3	1.1	1.4	2.1	2.7	9.7	2.7	3.5	3.4
I RARELY WANT TO ATTEND	17.4	19.8	17.8	19.3	10.4	11.4	16.9	18.8	16.8	16.0	24.3	15.0
	* 2.0	4.1	2.8	10.7	2.5	2.5	2.3	4.5	5.9	3.7	5.0	4.1
I SOMETIMES WANT TO ATTEND	38.2	36.2	41.7	31.5	34.2	26.0	37.1	42.4	31.8	38.8	37.7	39.8
	* 2.8	3.7	4.3	9.6	3.8	3.3	3.7	4.0	6.8	4.4	6.2	5.7
I OFTEN WANT TO ATTEND	21.5	20.3	18.3	26.5	34.1	35.2	22.4	18.9	23.4	20.2	20.3	21.9
	* 2.4	4.0	3.0	11.3	3.7	4.7	2.9	5.0	5.8	3.4	4.5	5.5
I ALWAYS WANT TO ATTEND	14.8	14.7	12.7	20.9	18.9	24.7	15.4	13.3	14.9	16.3	9.7	14.8
	* 2.1	2.8	3.5	15.5	3.3	3.6	2.6	4.1	3.6	3.0	3.8	4.5
Sample size	2,901	696	732	79	690	704	1,872	763	266	988	331	454
Weighted total	235,302	66,739	127,386	6,831	18,001	16,344	160,571	62,125	12,606	86,567	37,562	38,560

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_80 (cont.)

Events in member's unit  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
I NEVER WANT TO ATTEND	7.7% * 1.8	9.5% 3.2	8.5% 2.3	6.3% 1.8	15.6% 6.7
I RARELY WANT TO ATTEND	16.8 * 2.3	19.1 5.0	17.0 2.7	17.6 3.3	16.3 6.5
I SOMETIMES WANT TO ATTEND	38.7 * 3.1	36.5 6.1	38.0 3.9	38.4 4.4	37.9 7.8
I OFTEN WANT TO ATTEND	21.4 * 2.6	21.5 3.9	23.1 3.4	20.8 3.2	18.8 5.7
I ALWAYS WANT TO ATTEND	15.4 * 2.4	13.3 3.3	13.4 2.9	17.0 2.8	11.3 4.5
Sample size	2,193	686	1,299	1,357	232
Weighted total	180,920	52,153	105,242	107,549	20,782

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_1

Person who listens to you  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location					Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
				Officer	Officer								
YES, ALWAYS	50.2%	47.1%	52.0%	48.3%	50.0%	51.3%	48.4%	54.5%	51.2%	52.6%	47.4%	48.3%	
	* 2.5	3.7	4.5	10.0	5.1	3.7	3.5	3.0	9.9	4.7	6.0	5.0	
YES, SOMETIMES	38.1	39.5	37.4	32.0	39.2	38.4	39.5	34.9	37.2	37.6	40.5	38.3	
	* 2.6	4.2	4.0	11.4	5.5	3.4	3.4	4.7	7.5	4.8	5.9	6.2	
NO	11.7	13.4	10.6	19.7	10.8	10.4	12.1	10.6	11.6	9.8	12.0	13.4	
	* 1.8	3.9	2.2	11.7	2.7	1.9	1.9	4.5	6.5	2.8	5.0	5.1	
Sample size	3,220	812	823	86	740	759	2,060	836	324	1,112	369	508	
Weighted total	271,164	81,346	144,884	7,901	19,345	17,688	180,672	74,133	16,359	102,445	42,752	46,217	

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_1 (cont.)

Person who listens to you  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, ALWAYS	49.9% * 2.5	50.9% 5.0	52.3% 4.2	49.7% 3.3	43.1% 7.1
YES, SOMETIMES	38.1 * 2.6	38.1 5.1	37.5 3.4	39.0 3.8	35.8 7.8
NO	12.0 * 1.7	11.0 4.0	10.2 2.4	11.3 2.5	21.1 10.3
Sample size	2,410	782	1,436	1,499	268
Weighted total	204,716	63,540	120,907	123,498	24,658

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_2

Person who does enjoyable things w/you  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES, ALWAYS	31.6%	28.0%	33.4%	25.9%	33.1%	33.1%	34.2%	30.7%	33.9%	30.5%	34.4%	27.7%
	* 2.6	3.4	4.1	9.3	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.3	7.5	4.4	5.6
YES, SOMETIMES	51.9	51.7	51.1	56.4	54.0	54.0	55.3	52.6	50.2	52.0	50.3	51.6
	* 3.2	3.5	4.9	12.4	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.0	6.5	6.0	5.1	8.5
NO	16.5	20.3	15.5	17.7	12.9	12.9	10.4	16.7	15.8	17.5	15.3	20.7
	* 2.0	3.9	2.7	9.9	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.0	5.0	7.8	3.1	7.3
Sample size	3,223	811	824	86	741	741	761	2,065	834	324	1,115	367
Weighted total	271,604	31,144	145,441	7,901	19,378	19,378	17,740	181,310	73,934	16,359	102,679	42,665
												508
												46,365

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_2 (cont.)

Person who does enjoyable things w/you  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, ALWAYS	31.2% * 2.8	32.3% 4.5	34.6% 3.7	29.1% 3.6	28.1% 7.5
YES, SOMETIMES	52.2% * 3.6	51.8% 4.8	52.4% 4.3	52.4% 4.5	48.5% 8.5
NO	16.7% * 2.2	15.9% 3.8	12.9% 2.7	18.5% 3.3	23.4% 10.3
Sample size	2,412	783	1,437	1,501	268
Weighted total	205,134	63,563	120,653	124,192	24,658

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_3

Person who helps w/chores if you are sick  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCO	US	Support	TDA
YES, ALWAYS	21.0%	18.3%	21.6%	25.9%	22.8%	23.4%	20.3%	22.4%	22.0%	23.5%	16.4%	22.9%
	* 2.5	3.3	3.9	9.4	4.3	3.2	3.4	3.8	7.2	4.1	5.8	5.4
YES, SOMETIMES	32.3	30.5	33.3	25.4	33.7	32.8	32.2	34.4	23.1	34.2	26.9	26.9
	* 2.1	4.3	3.4	11.0	4.4	3.4	2.5	4.5	3.0	3.9	4.9	5.8
NO	46.8	51.2	45.0	48.6	43.5	43.8	47.5	43.3	54.9	42.3	56.7	50.2
	* 2.9	4.9	3.8	14.2	5.4	3.5	3.1	6.7	8.9	3.8	7.3	6.6
Sample size	3,215	810	822	85	738	760	2,061	832	322	1,110	368	506
Weighted total	271,036	80,978	145,206	7,863	19,270	17,719	181,027	73,885	16,124	102,264	42,738	46,187

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_3 (cont.)

Persn who helps w/chores if you are sick  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, ALWAYS	20.5% * 3.0	21.8% 4.1	22.4% 3.1	19.8% 3.3	19.5% 7.5
YES, SOMETIMES	33.1 * 2.1	29.6 4.0	32.3 3.2	34.1 3.6	22.3 6.0
NO	46.4 * 3.1	48.6 4.9	45.3 4.1	46.1 3.9	58.2 9.2
Sample size	2,408	779	1,433	1,498	267
Weighted total	204,842	63,287	120,255	124,060	24,620

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_4

Person who takes care of your children  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES, ALWAYS	49.5%	49.3%	49.4%	47.1%	50.4%	51.9%	47.7%	53.8%	51.1%	50.4%	46.8%	51.6%
	* 2.6	5.5	4.2	13.6	4.9	4.8	2.6	7.1	5.0	4.4	8.3	6.7
YES, SOMETIMES	38.1	37.7	37.5	40.9	41.2	40.2	39.5	34.8	36.1	40.0	38.1	31.9
	* 2.3	5.7	3.8	15.5	5.1	4.1	3.0	4.2	3.3	4.4	5.9	5.5
NO	12.4	13.0	13.1	12.0	8.4	7.9	12.8	11.4	12.8	9.6	15.1	16.5
	* 2.3	5.1	2.9	9.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	4.6	4.4	3.0	5.7	6.9
Sample size	2,365	573	654	66	507	565	1,538	607	220	793	277	375
Weighted total	206,240	56,636	116,950	6,443	13,076	13,135	138,387	56,302	11,551	76,343	33,338	35,561

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_81\_4 (cont.)

Person who takes care of your children  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, ALWAYS	50.0% * 2.6	36.0% 18.7	50.9% 4.0	49.2% 3.2	42.6% 14.1
YES, SOMETIMES	37.9 * 2.6	43.7 20.5	36.1 3.9	39.7 3.6	38.2 7.7
NO	12.1 * 2.1	20.3 25.2	13.0 2.8	11.1 2.7	19.2 12.9
Sample size	2,292	61	951	1,254	146
Weighted total	197,332	7,326	85,043	104,343	14,850

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_5

Person who lends you household tools  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES, ALWAYS	42.9%	40.2%	42.8%	41.1%	47.6%	52.0%	42.3%	45.7%	37.3%	43.9%	37.9%	41.2%
	* 2.8	3.7	4.3	10.1	4.1	4.6	3.6	4.8	8.8	4.5	6.1	7.0
YES, SOMETIMES	41.7%	42.4%	41.0%	46.6%	43.1%	39.7%	41.5%	40.1%	50.8%	40.6%	46.8%	41.0%
	* 2.9	4.1	3.8	11.8	4.2	4.7	4.0	3.8	4.7	4.3	5.5	6.0
NO	15.4%	17.3%	16.2%	12.3%	9.3%	8.3%	16.2%	14.3%	11.9%	15.5%	15.3%	17.8%
	* 2.4	4.7	2.5	7.3	2.3	2.1	2.6	5.5	5.1	3.3	5.9	5.7
Sample size	3,214	813	819	86	736	760	2,060	831	323	1,108	368	508
Weighted total	270,995	81,612	144,485	7,901	19,281	17,716	180,838	73,802	16,356	102,064	42,752	46,502

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_5 (cont.)

Person who lends you household tools  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, ALWAYS	43.6% * 3.2	40.4% 5.0	44.8% 3.8	43.0% 3.4	34.3% 7.9
YES, SOMETIMES	42.0% * 3.4	40.7% 4.7	41.0% 3.6	41.8% 3.9	43.2% 9.2
NO	14.4% * 2.1	18.9% 4.6	14.2% 2.5	15.2% 3.0	22.5% 11.2
Sample size	2,403	783	1,436	1,495	266
Weighted total	204,400	63,688	120,760	123,501	24,634

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_6

Person who makes loans of \$25-\$50  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCO	US	Support	TDA
YES, ALWAYS	29.6%	28.2%	29.3%	34.3%	30.3%	35.8%	26.9%	36.0%	31.4%	31.1%	26.1%	29.9%
	* 2.2	3.5	3.4	9.7	5.1	3.6	2.8	3.9	7.2	3.9	5.5	5.1
YES, SOMETIMES	34.0	35.8	33.5	33.9	34.0	29.3	33.5	35.1	34.7	34.7	36.2	28.0
	* 2.4	3.9	3.9	11.3	4.4	3.1	2.5	6.0	7.7	3.3	6.7	4.9
NO	36.4	36.1	37.1	31.8	35.6	34.9	39.7	28.9	33.9	34.2	37.7	42.1
	* 2.3	4.2	3.5	7.6	5.2	3.3	2.8	4.6	9.2	4.1	7.0	6.6
Sample size	3,173	810	813	86	725	739	2,033	824	316	1,100	368	501
Weighted total	268,939	81,451	143,396	7,901	18,935	17,255	179,474	73,329	16,136	101,372	42,909	46,320

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_6 (cont.)

Person who makes loans of \$25-\$50  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, ALWAYS	29.6% * 2.7	28.7% 4.2	32.7% 3.3	27.2% 4.5 27.2% 6.4
YES, SOMETIMES	33.3% * 2.5	37.0% 4.5	34.4% 3.7	32.5% 3.9 32.5% 7.7
NO	37.1% * 2.6	34.2% 4.5	32.9% 3.4	40.3% 3.4 40.3% 9.5
Sample size	2,380	769	1,411	1,480 266
Weighted total	202,914	63,450	119,972	122,421 24,623

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_7

Person who provides transportation  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCO	US	Support	TDA
YES, ALWAYS	37.8%	36.5%	37.9%	32.5%	38.9%	38.9%	44.2%	35.3%	42.0%	46.1%	38.3%	38.9%
	* 2.6	4.2	3.6	8.9	4.8	4.8	3.5	3.2	5.3	4.8	4.1	4.7
YES, SOMETIMES	45.4	44.7	45.1	55.5	47.0	47.0	44.2	47.3	41.7	40.9	44.1	41.2
	* 2.7	4.7	3.8	10.9	4.2	4.2	3.7	3.1	6.0	6.4	4.1	4.5
NO	16.8	18.8	17.0	12.0	14.1	14.1	11.6	17.4	16.3	13.0	17.6	20.0
	* 2.2	3.8	3.2	7.4	3.8	3.8	2.7	2.5	5.1	2.3	3.4	6.1
Sample size	3,217	815	820	86	738	738	758	2,061	835	321	1,111	508
Weighted total	271,535	81,847	144,812	7,901	19,310	19,310	17,667	181,258	74,006	16,271	102,249	46,638

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_81\_7 (cont.)

Person who provides transportation  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES, ALWAYS	38.4% * 2.9	35.4% 4.7	41.1% 3.6	35.6% 4.1	32.9% 7.8
YES, SOMETIMES	44.7 * 3.3	47.8 3.8	44.7 3.8	45.7 4.1	47.4 8.9
NO	16.9 * 2.5	16.8 4.0	14.2 2.9	18.7 2.8	19.7 10.5
Sample size	2,409	781	1,436	1,498	267
Weighted total	205,058	63,747	120,960	123,996	24,655

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_82A

Count on leader at member place of duty  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
				Grade Officer								
VERY GREAT EXTENT	4.1%	3.2%	3.7%	11.4%	4.3%	8.4%	4.6%	3.3%	1.8%	3.8%	2.7%	5.7%
	* 0.8	1.4	1.4	13.5	1.4	2.5	1.0	1.5	1.7	1.5	2.0	2.5
GREAT EXTENT	9.7	7.7	9.2	14.5	13.4	16.8	9.2	10.8	9.6	10.5	7.6	10.4
	* 1.5	1.5	2.4	7.4	2.5	5.1	1.7	3.6	6.3	2.3	3.7	3.3
MODERATE EXTENT	20.6	21.7	19.9	25.2	21.5	19.3	20.8	22.2	11.2	22.6	21.6	18.8
	* 1.9	2.7	3.0	11.2	3.7	3.9	2.5	2.6	2.5	3.6	5.4	3.6
SLIGHT EXTENT	28.1	29.7	28.0	16.9	30.7	23.8	27.5	29.6	29.2	30.6	28.2	23.4
	* 2.2	4.0	3.3	7.1	3.7	4.5	2.5	4.6	5.2	4.2	4.8	4.2
NOT AT ALL	37.4	37.7	39.2	31.9	30.3	31.7	37.9	34.1	48.2	32.4	39.9	41.7
	* 2.7	4.2	3.8	13.4	5.0	4.9	3.2	5.4	9.0	3.7	7.0	5.6
Sample size	3,155	793	804	84	732	742	2,019	824	312	1,097	363	497
Weighted total	264,593	78,849	141,806	7,474	19,187	17,278	177,238	72,156	15,199	100,419	41,547	45,412

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_82A (cont.)

Count on leader at member place of duty  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

No	Children	Spouse	Not	On Active
Children	Children	Employed	Employed	Duty

VERY GREAT EXTENT	4.4%	2.6%	4.4%	3.3%	4.2%
* 1.0	1.2	1.6	1.2	3.4	
GREAT EXTENT	9.6	9.8	9.9	9.8	7.6
* 1.7	3.1	2.5	1.9	4.1	
MODERATE EXTENT	18.7	27.3	18.3	23.0	21.0
* 2.0	4.2	2.5	2.8	9.4	
SLIGHT EXTENT	28.3	28.5	28.0	29.0	26.5
* 2.5	4.7	2.6	4.1	7.2	
NOT AT ALL	39.1	31.6	39.5	34.9	40.8
* 3.1	5.1	3.8	4.3	8.4	
Sample size	2,361	768	1,408	1,464	266
Weighted total	199,423	62,712	118,124	119,649	24,719

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_828

Count on someone else member works with  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	4.7%	5.6%	3.3%	5.6%	6.5%	9.8%	4.4%	6.0%	2.5%	4.4%	5.9%	
	* 0.8	1.7	1.2	3.5	2.0	2.5	0.9	1.9	3.3	1.2	2.2	2.6
GREAT EXTENT	14.5	15.1	12.7	17.9	18.7	20.1	14.5	14.8	13.0	15.1	13.6	15.6
	* 1.8	2.4	2.3	7.4	3.4	5.0	2.3	3.0	7.3	2.8	2.6	4.2
MODERATE EXTENT	25.7	26.2	25.3	35.4	25.9	21.9	25.5	26.6	23.5	29.2	24.8	21.4
	* 1.7	3.3	2.4	14.1	3.9	3.1	2.2	2.8	5.7	3.0	5.1	5.1
SLIGHT EXTENT	25.1	24.6	26.2	19.2	24.9	21.2	24.9	25.6	24.3	26.0	25.0	23.1
	* 1.7	3.4	3.1	9.7	4.8	3.5	2.2	2.8	2.7	3.0	4.3	4.4
NOT AT ALL	30.1	28.6	32.5	22.0	23.9	26.9	30.7	27.0	36.7	25.3	31.8	33.9
	* 2.2	3.6	3.3	12.3	3.8	5.1	2.5	5.1	8.7	2.8	6.6	6.0
Sample size	3,167	798	807	83	735	744	2,023	832	312	1,101	365	500
Weighted total	266,032	79,515	142,527	7,407	19,267	17,316	177,426	73,355	15,251	101,033	41,877	45,429

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_828 (cont.)

Count on someone else member works with  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

VERY GREAT EXTENT

* 1.1	4.8%	4.2%	5.3%	3.6%	6.2%
		1.4	1.3	1.0	3.5

GREAT EXTENT

* 1.9	14.0	16.5	13.7	15.9	11.7
		3.9	2.5	2.5	5.4

MODERATE EXTENT

* 1.8	23.9	30.8	26.1	26.0	21.8
		5.6	3.4	2.4	8.9

SLIGHT EXTENT

* 2.3	25.9	23.0	25.2	25.3	24.9
		4.4	2.9	3.2	5.7

NOT AT ALL

* 2.7	31.4	25.5	29.7	29.2	35.4
		5.2	3.8	2.8	8.4

Sample size

2,371	770	1,414	1,471	265
-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total

200,615	62,959	119,029	120,210	24,693
---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_82C

Count on spouse of member's leader  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	3.7%	3.4%	2.4%	12.4%	6.7%	9.3%	3.5%	4.4%	3.1%	5.0%	3.8%	3.1%
	* 0.8	1.8	1.2	13.5	2.0	2.8	0.9	1.5	4.1	1.3	2.2	2.3
GREAT EXTENT	8.8	6.8	8.1	15.9	14.1	14.8	8.7	8.9	9.9	9.9	5.9	9.1
	* 1.3	2.3	2.0	7.7	3.0	4.8	1.4	3.3	5.8	2.1	2.7	3.5
MODERATE EXTENT	15.6	14.0	15.4	16.2	23.0	16.4	14.6	18.7	12.7	19.1	14.6	12.6
	* 1.9	2.8	3.0	9.3	3.5	3.1	2.2	4.2	3.9	2.8	5.3	4.3
SLIGHT EXTENT	25.8	24.6	27.4	23.0	23.2	22.9	25.9	25.6	25.7	27.5	30.0	23.9
	* 2.3	3.1	3.9	8.4	3.7	3.5	3.0	3.4	10.2	3.6	6.2	5.0
NOT AT ALL	46.0	51.2	46.8	32.5	32.9	36.6	47.3	42.5	48.6	38.6	45.7	51.2
	* 2.8	4.0	4.6	13.1	4.5	7.5	3.4	5.6	8.4	3.8	7.9	5.6
Sample size	3,152	796	801	83	733	739	2,014	828	310	1,097	364	497
Weighted total	264,319	79,275	141,200	7,388	19,258	17,198	176,628	72,622	15,069	100,674	41,578	45,083

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_82C (cont.)

Count on spouse of member's leader  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	3.8%	3.6%	4.0%	3.2%	4.3%
	* 1.0	1.6	1.3	1.2	3.7
GREAT EXTENT	8.3	9.7	9.2	8.5	5.4
	* 1.3	3.1	2.2	1.9	3.2
MODERATE EXTENT	14.9	18.5	14.8	17.1	12.4
	* 2.1	4.6	2.3	2.9	4.5
SLIGHT EXTENT	26.2	24.8	24.6	27.3	26.1
	* 2.7	4.9	3.0	3.8	6.2
NOT AT ALL	46.8	43.3	47.4	43.8	51.7
	* 3.6	4.6	4.2	4.1	9.4
Sample size	2,358	768	1,407	1,463	265
Weighted total	199,183	62,677	117,883	119,642	24,693

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_820

Count on spouse of member's co-worker  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	4.9%	5.5%	3.5%	5.4%	8.3%	9.5%	4.8%	5.2%	3.9%	4.9%	5.1%	5.3%
	* 0.9	2.1	1.4	3.5	2.0	2.6	1.2	1.5	4.2	1.5	2.7	2.8
GREAT EXTENT	13.0	14.3	10.2	17.9	21.6	17.3	12.3	14.4	13.8	16.4	9.3	14.1
	* 1.6	2.9	2.4	9.0	3.5	4.8	1.7	3.9	7.5	2.9	2.7	3.7
MODERATE EXTENT	20.4	18.8	20.3	30.7	21.3	21.6	19.8	23.0	14.6	22.4	21.5	17.0
	* 1.7	3.2	2.7	11.3	3.6	3.1	2.0	3.7	2.3	3.2	4.7	4.6
SLIGHT EXTENT	24.6	24.4	26.1	18.0	20.7	20.2	24.1	25.5	26.7	25.3	26.1	22.0
	* 2.1	2.9	3.5	9.0	3.0	2.8	2.8	3.0	4.3	4.0	5.5	4.5
NOT AT ALL	37.2	37.0	39.8	27.9	28.0	31.4	39.0	32.0	41.0	31.1	38.0	41.6
	* 2.3	3.6	3.1	13.1	3.3	7.4	2.5	4.8	10.3	3.2	6.1	5.8
Sample size	3,152	792	801	85	734	740	2,015	825	312	1,098	361	497
Weighted total	264,301	78,920	141,213	7,619	19,297	17,252	176,470	72,632	15,199	100,764	41,328	45,282

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_820 (cont.)

Count on spouse of member's co-worker  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	4.5%	6.0%	4.8%	4.6%	6.6%
	* 1.1	2.3	1.2	1.3	4.0
GREAT EXTENT	12.3	15.3	11.6	14.9	10.0
	* 1.7	3.7	2.5	1.8	3.9
MODERATE EXTENT	19.5	23.0	19.3	22.4	15.9
	* 1.9	4.2	3.1	2.9	6.1
SLIGHT EXTENT	25.0	23.0	26.3	23.4	22.2
	* 2.4	4.4	2.7	3.7	7.0
NOT AT ALL	38.7	32.7	37.9	34.8	45.3
	* 2.6	4.9	3.5	3.8	11.1
Sample size	2,363	764	1,407	1,463	265
Weighted total	199,460	62,517	117,841	119,666	24,693

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_82E

Count on neighbor/friend-Army spouse  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		TDA	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support
VERY GREAT EXTENT	12.8% * 1.9	12.0% 2.3	11.0% 2.8	14.7% 6.1	21.6% 3.5	21.1% 3.4	12.9% 2.6	13.4% 2.5	9.8% 5.6	13.9% 3.1	11.6% 4.1	13.0% 3.2
GREAT EXTENT	24.6% * 2.4	23.5% 3.7	24.0% 3.7	39.3% 14.2	26.8% 4.0	26.0% 4.4	24.9% 2.8	23.7% 5.1	25.9% 6.4	29.2% 3.8	18.8% 5.5	24.7% 5.1
MODERATE EXTENT	24.0% * 2.5	24.9% 3.1	25.0% 4.8	15.3% 7.6	21.7% 3.6	17.4% 3.0	23.2% 3.4	26.1% 3.9	22.5% 4.6	21.8% 3.9	29.7% 7.4	24.1% 5.2
SLIGHT EXTENT	18.3% * 2.3	18.0% 3.5	19.6% 3.1	11.9% 7.5	15.3% 3.5	15.0% 2.8	18.3% 3.0	17.8% 3.6	20.8% 7.2	18.1% 4.4	19.3% 5.6	17.6% 5.1
NOT AT ALL	20.2% * 2.5	21.6% 5.0	20.3% 3.0	18.8% 11.2	14.7% 2.9	20.4% 4.4	20.7% 2.9	19.0% 5.4	20.9% 11.7	17.1% 2.7	20.5% 7.2	20.6% 5.0
Sample size	3,176	799	814	84	735	744	2,032	830	314	1,104	363	505
Weighted total	267,199	79,591	143,454	7,493	19,342	17,319	178,352	73,136	15,711	101,495	41,504	46,072

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_82E (cont.)

Count on neighbor/friend-Army spouse  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	13.2% * 2.4	11.5% 2.8	12.3% 1.9	14.0% 2.8	10.5% 4.1
GREAT EXTENT	25.7 * 2.9	20.9 3.1	23.7 3.4	27.6 3.6	14.6 5.1
MODERATE EXTENT	23.5 * 2.7	25.6 4.4	22.6 3.4	24.1 2.6	27.6 7.4
SLIGHT EXTENT	18.6 * 2.6	17.7 3.6	19.1 2.9	17.8 3.4	19.0 5.6
NOT AT ALL	19.0 * 2.8	24.3 4.7	22.3 3.9	16.6 2.3	28.3 9.6
Sample size	2,378	771	1,417	1,478	264
Weighted total	201,399	63,086	119,347	121,090	24,661

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_82f

Count on neighbor/friend-not Army spouse  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type			
	Junior		NCO	Company		CONUS	Other		Combat	TDA
	Total	Enlisted		Grade	Field Grade Officer		Europe	OCOMUS		
VERY GREAT EXTENT	13.6% * 1.9	10.5% 2.7	14.7% 2.7	13.7% 7.5	13.3% 3.5	19.5% 3.3	13.8% 2.7	12.9% 2.1	15.5% 8.9	15.4% 4.5
GREAT EXTENT	19.7% * 2.4	19.9% 3.9	19.0% 3.4	17.6% 11.4	21.2% 3.3	23.8% 2.8	20.8% 3.2	17.8% 3.4	15.5% 4.6	20.8% 6.1
MODERATE EXTENT	17.8% * 2.0	18.5% 3.4	16.5% 3.2	15.4% 9.4	22.6% 3.7	20.7% 2.5	17.9% 2.6	17.6% 3.5	17.1% 6.8	16.1% 4.1
SLIGHT EXTENT	16.5% * 1.7	17.9% 3.0	15.2% 2.5	27.4% 8.5	16.8% 3.5	15.6% 2.5	15.8% 2.0	17.5% 3.5	20.2% 5.4	16.5% 4.1
NOT AT ALL	32.4% * 2.7	33.1% 4.8	34.6% 3.6	25.9% 11.4	26.1% 3.3	20.5% 4.5	31.7% 3.6	34.2% 4.4	31.7% 6.5	31.1% 6.2
Sample size	3,155	794	808	85	728	740	2,026	816	313	502
Weighted total	265,709	79,379	142,287	7,619	19,128	17,296	177,914	72,156	15,639	46,225

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_82f (cont.)

Count on neighbor/friend-not Army spouse  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	13.6% * 2.4	14.1% 4.4	15.8% 2.7	12.2% 2.5	10.8% 4.7
GREAT EXTENT	18.7 * 3.0	22.4 4.3	22.3 2.7	17.7 3.9	18.3 7.2
MODERATE EXTENT	17.7 * 2.8	18.4 3.7	16.8 2.4	17.8 3.7	21.1 8.2
SLIGHT EXTENT	16.9 * 2.0	15.5 2.8	16.8 2.7	16.1 2.6	16.9 6.3
NOT AT ALL	33.1 * 3.1	29.7 4.7	28.3 3.2	36.2 4.6	32.9 8.5
Sample size	2,363	765	1,410	1,464	264
Weighted total	200,170	62,887	118,946	120,055	24,608

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_82G

Count on a co-worker  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY GREAT EXTENT	11.9%	13.5%	9.8%	14.2%	17.6%	17.3%	11.0%	13.2%	14.3%	10.8%	13.0%	15.2%
	* 1.7	3.3	2.5	8.7	5.2	3.8	2.0	3.1	9.7	2.7	4.9	4.4
GREAT EXTENT	25.3	24.5	25.7	25.8	25.6	24.6	24.5	26.2	29.5	25.0	21.2	27.6
	* 2.8	6.0	4.2	15.1	4.4	3.9	3.4	5.8	8.4	5.5	8.0	7.1
MODERATE EXTENT	26.2	24.9	26.7	21.4	27.3	27.7	27.6	24.7	18.4	25.6	27.1	24.4
	* 3.2	5.4	5.1	15.2	6.0	3.5	3.8	6.0	11.8	5.9	8.6	5.5
SLIGHT EXTENT	18.4	20.0	17.9	22.7	17.7	15.1	18.0	18.4	22.4	19.7	18.1	17.6
	* 2.4	5.0	3.5	13.5	3.9	3.1	3.2	3.2	7.9	4.5	6.0	5.6
NOT AT ALL	18.2	17.1	19.9	15.9	11.8	15.2	18.8	17.5	15.3	18.9	20.6	15.1
	* 2.5	3.8	3.6	12.5	3.5	3.6	2.1	7.0	5.4	3.8	7.0	4.1
Sample size	1,821	394	541	52	413	421	1,160	486	189	595	208	304
Weighted total	163,895	43,013	95,072	4,634	11,225	9,952	106,250	47,337	10,309	57,726	26,049	31,156

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_826 (cont.)

Count on a co-worker  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	10.4%	15.6%	12.4%	5.8%	16.2%
	* 1.8	4.0	2.2	4.5	6.0
GREAT EXTENT	24.1	28.2	27.9	11.1	28.5
	* 3.4	5.3	3.6	4.6	9.3
MODERATE EXTENT	25.6	27.4	27.0	22.9	25.2
	* 3.6	6.1	4.0	7.1	8.4
SLIGHT EXTENT	19.3	16.8	17.6	23.1	18.3
	* 3.2	5.0	2.5	8.1	7.0
NOT AT ALL	20.6	12.0	15.0	37.0	11.9
	* 3.1	4.2	2.5	6.9	4.9
Sample size	1,247	558	1,319	235	252
Weighted total	115,136	46,951	112,794	25,876	23,259

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_82H

Count on staff of an Army service agency  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	6.7%	8.5%	5.8%	5.7%	5.8%	8.3%	6.4%	7.0%	9.6%	7.3%	5.6%	8.3%
	* 1.3	1.8	2.2	5.7	1.7	2.3	1.6	2.6	5.0	2.0	3.2	3.1
GREAT EXTENT	16.3	14.5	17.0	21.5	16.1	17.2	15.7	18.6	12.2	15.5	17.5	18.7
	* 2.3	3.1	3.8	10.3	3.1	2.2	2.7	4.7	4.7	3.6	5.0	5.8
MODERATE EXTENT	26.6	25.5	27.3	30.2	27.6	23.3	25.8	27.5	31.0	28.0	28.6	23.4
	* 2.1	4.1	3.7	15.8	4.6	4.2	2.5	4.0	8.9	3.1	6.0	5.0
SLIGHT EXTENT	24.1	25.4	23.3	22.6	26.1	22.9	24.9	22.6	21.6	24.9	21.3	25.0
	* 2.3	3.3	3.7	11.0	3.7	3.0	3.0	3.2	6.8	3.8	4.6	6.0
NOT AT ALL	26.3	26.1	26.7	20.1	24.5	28.3	27.1	24.3	25.6	24.3	26.9	24.6
	* 2.5	4.2	3.7	11.9	4.2	4.9	2.8	5.7	1.7	3.5	6.5	4.0
Sample size	3,129	789	796	84	723	737	2,000	820	309	1,094	360	493
Weighted total	262,437	78,607	140,150	7,527	19,002	17,151	175,637	71,625	15,175	100,703	40,957	45,113

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_82H (cont.)

Count on staff of an Army service agency  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No. Emp.	Un Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	7.0%	6.1%	5.8%	6.6%	11.8%
	* 1.5	2.1	1.7	2.2	5.1
GREAT EXTENT	16.1	17.0	14.7	17.0	20.5
	* 2.6	4.1	2.6	3.7	7.4
MODERATE EXTENT	26.0	28.6	26.2	26.8	26.5
	* 2.5	4.3	2.7	2.9	9.1
SLIGHT EXTENT	24.1	24.0	24.3	23.9	25.0
	* 2.7	4.6	3.0	3.8	9.7
NOT AT ALL	26.8	24.2	28.9	25.7	16.1
	* 3.0	5.4	3.1	3.4	5.7
Sample size	2,345	757	1,393	1,458	263
Weighted total	198,082	61,703	117,024	119,168	24,445

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_821

Count on parents or other relatives  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	MCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY GREAT EXTENT	43.0%	48.9%	39.4%	33.9%	46.4%	42.8%	44.5%	43.5%	42.8%	38.3%	45.6%	42.9%
	* 3.3	4.4	4.2	11.2	4.9	4.5	3.6	4.5	4.5	2.5	4.8	5.1
GREAT EXTENT	21.9	19.2	23.2	22.3	23.7	18.3	21.1	23.5	18.3	20.0	20.2	18.7
	* 2.6	3.7	3.9	9.9	3.2	2.7	3.5	3.7	2.7	4.8	4.0	5.1
MODERATE EXTENT	14.2	12.9	15.1	24.0	11.6	16.4	11.2	13.4	16.4	12.4	16.0	12.8
	* 1.8	3.9	2.2	11.7	2.6	2.2	2.0	2.4	2.2	5.4	4.0	4.5
SLIGHT EXTENT	8.0	7.3	8.4	5.6	8.2	9.3	8.4	7.1	9.3	12.8	6.6	9.5
	* 1.4	2.2	1.9	4.2	3.2	2.8	2.2	1.6	2.8	5.8	2.0	4.3
NOT AT ALL	13.0	11.6	13.9	14.3	10.1	13.2	14.7	12.6	13.2	16.5	11.7	16.1
	* 1.8	3.0	2.5	8.3	2.4	3.5	2.5	2.2	3.5	6.0	2.8	5.5
Sample size	3,183	809	809	84	738	826	743	2,039	826	318	1,106	502
Weighted total	267,432	81,279	141,996	7,474	19,374	73,222	17,309	178,586	73,222	15,623	101,582	45,839

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_821 (cont.)

Count on parents or other relatives  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY GREAT EXTENT	42.1%	46.3%	43.2%	46.0%	29.2%
	* 3.6	5.1	4.6	4.4	7.5
GREAT EXTENT	22.2	20.1	20.7	22.4	23.6
	* 3.0	3.5	3.5	3.4	4.5
MODERATE EXTENT	14.6	12.9	15.1	12.8	13.6
	* 2.1	3.8	2.8	2.9	4.8
SLIGHT EXTENT	8.1	8.0	7.8	7.1	14.1
	* 1.5	2.4	2.2	1.8	6.6
NOT AT ALL	13.0	12.6	13.2	11.7	19.5
	* 1.9	4.0	2.4	2.1	8.9
Sample size	2,385	772	1,416	1,484	266
Weighted total	202,060	62,776	118,987	121,838	24,505

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_83

Close relatives who live w/in 2 hr drive  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	25.0%	24.2%	28.1%	13.5%	16.8%	24.3%	16.9%	24.3%	27.5%	21.0%	25.9%	27.8%
	* 2.9	4.4	4.0	9.5	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.3	6.0	12.5	3.7	5.6
NO	75.0	75.8	71.9	86.5	83.2	75.7	83.1	75.7	72.5	79.0	74.1	72.2
	* 2.9	4.4	4.0	9.5	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.3	6.0	12.5	3.7	5.6
Sample size	3,146	792	799	84	733	2,015	738	2,015	819	312	1,083	367
Weighted total	265,052	79,678	141,102	7,752	19,317	176,303	17,203	176,303	72,956	15,794	99,609	42,910
												44,512

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_83 (cont.)

Close relatives who live w/in 2 hr drive  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	25.3%	22.8%	27.9%	24.7%	11.8%
	* 3.5	4.5	3.8	4.1	6.2
NO	74.7	77.2	72.1	75.3	88.2
	* 3.5	4.5	3.8	4.1	6.2
Sample size	2,363	758	1,409	1,459	262
Weighted total	201,354	61,297	118,843	120,319	23,814

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_84

Describe happiness of marriage  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat		TDA
			Officer	Officer						Support	Service Support	
1-VERY UNHAPPY	2.2% * 0.8	2.5% 1.5	2.4% 1.2	1.2% 2.2	1.1% 1.3	0.6% 0.6	2.6% 1.1	1.1% 0.9	2.2% 1.2	2.1% 1.2	0.5% 0.7	2.4% 1.9
2	3.1 * 1.3	2.9 2.6	3.7 1.6	1.7 2.8	1.8 1.2	0.8 0.6	2.3 0.8	4.9 4.4	3.8 2.1	2.6 1.7	3.3 2.7	3.9 4.4
3	3.5 * 0.9	3.8 1.7	4.0 1.5	1.4 2.6	1.6 0.9	1.7 1.2	3.2 1.0	4.4 2.1	3.0 2.6	3.8 1.6	3.4 3.4	3.2 1.8
4	8.1 * 1.3	6.2 1.6	9.7 2.2	7.4 10.9	5.7 2.0	5.8 1.7	8.4 1.4	5.8 2.4	15.2 6.4	6.4 1.8	11.4 4.9	7.6 3.4
5	16.1 * 2.5	16.4 5.1	16.6 3.7	24.0 9.9	11.7 3.2	11.9 2.4	15.5 3.0	17.5 5.0	16.8 4.8	16.1 3.6	20.2 6.3	14.2 4.1
6	26.5 * 2.3	26.9 3.1	25.4 3.8	22.4 10.5	27.7 4.2	33.9 3.0	26.2 3.0	27.4 4.0	25.0 9.3	27.5 3.7	26.5 5.1	25.7 5.3
7-VERY HAPPY	40.6 * 2.5	41.2 4.3	38.3 3.8	41.9 12.3	50.4 4.7	45.3 3.2	41.8 2.6	39.0 5.8	34.0 5.5	41.5 3.7	34.6 6.8	42.9 6.9
Sample size	3,175	807	811	83	729	745	2,031	824	320	1,091	367	500
Weighted total	268,844	81,170	143,458	7,637	19,199	17,380	179,717	73,203	15,923	100,892	42,578	46,042

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_84 (cont.)

Describe happiness of marriage  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
1-VERY UNHAPPY	2.4%	1.5%	2.2%	2.1%	2.6%
	* 0.8	1.5	1.5	1.1	2.1
2	2.4	5.3	2.5	1.9	11.5
	* 1.0	3.6	1.3	1.2	10.2
3	3.2	4.7	3.3	4.3	0.9
	* 0.9	2.8	1.3	1.6	1.3
4	9.3	4.4	7.7	8.1	8.0
	* 1.5	1.9	2.2	2.3	4.0
5	17.4	12.6	15.4	17.1	14.9
	* 3.1	3.7	3.0	3.6	4.6
6	27.3	23.4	27.3	26.7	22.3
	* 2.6	4.4	3.5	3.4	7.1
7-VERY HAPPY	38.0	48.2	41.6	39.8	39.8
	* 2.5	5.0	4.0	3.1	7.1
Sample size	2,382	768	1,420	1,476	262
Weighted total	203,238	63,088	120,220	121,943	24,580

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_85A

Think marriage in trouble last 12 months  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	38.2%	42.3%	40.0%	34.3%	23.7%	21.8%	36.5%	40.9%	43.8%	38.3%	44.9%	39.0%
	* 2.9	5.1	4.1	13.4	3.5	3.0	2.7	7.4	9.7	4.6	7.3	6.4
NO	61.8	57.7	60.0	65.7	76.3	78.2	63.5	59.1	56.2	61.7	55.1	61.0
	* 2.9	5.1	4.1	13.4	3.5	3.0	2.7	7.4	9.7	4.6	7.3	6.4
Sample size	3,219	813	820	85	743	758	2,060	837	322	1,106	371	511
Weighted total	272,098	81,904	145,181	7,815	19,539	17,659	181,644	74,155	16,299	102,250	43,165	47,012

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_85A (cont.)

Think marriage in trouble last 12 months  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	39.4%	35.3%	37.0%	38.2%	43.6%
	* 3.3	5.2	3.5	4.4	11.1
NO	60.6	64.7	63.0	61.8	56.4
	* 3.3	5.2	3.5	4.4	11.1
Sample size	2,414	777	1,435	1,496	271
Weighted total	205,667	63,457	121,040	123,847	25,110

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_858

Think of getting divorce last 12 months  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	25.2%	31.3%	26.3%	10.5%	11.7%	23.7%	9.4%	23.7%	27.9%	29.0%	24.7%	29.2%
	* 2.9	4.9	3.8	6.2	2.3	3.0	2.5	3.0	7.0	6.0	4.0	7.3
NO	74.8	68.7	73.7	89.5	88.3	76.3	90.6	76.3	72.1	71.0	75.3	70.8
	* 2.9	4.9	3.8	6.2	2.3	3.0	2.5	3.0	7.0	6.0	4.0	7.3
Sample size	3,209	809	817	84	743	2,054	756	2,054	834	321	1,102	368
Weighted total	270,728	81,428	144,440	7,702	19,539	180,583	17,619	180,583	73,872	16,273	101,590	42,785
												46,686

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_858 (cont.)

Think of getting divorce last 12 months  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	25.5% * 3.4	25.4% 5.7	24.5% 3.1	24.5% 4.8	30.5% 10.2
NO	74.5 * 3.4	74.6 5.7	75.5 3.1	75.5 4.8	69.5 10.2
Sample size	2,404	777	1,433	1,490	269
Weighted total	204,297	63,457	120,770	122,998	24,859

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_85C

Discuss divorce/separation last 12 months  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type			
	Junior	Company	Field	Other	Combat	Combat	Combat	Service	Support	TDA
	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCNUS	Support	Support	
YES	19.6%	25.5%	19.8%	7.5%	8.0%	18.0%	23.2%	20.2%	19.7%	22.3%
	* 2.6	4.5	3.1	5.6	2.2	2.8	6.3	5.3	3.9	6.6
NO	80.4	74.5	80.2	92.5	92.0	82.0	76.8	79.8	80.3	77.7
	* 2.6	4.5	3.1	5.6	2.2	2.8	6.3	5.3	3.9	6.6
Sample size	3,210	810	817	84	743	2,053	836	321	1,101	369
Weighted total	270,654	81,503	144,289	7,702	19,539	180,277	74,104	16,273	101,284	42,943
										46,760

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_85C (cont.)

Discuss divorce/separation last 12 mths  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	19.7% * 2.7	19.3% 5.0	17.6% 2.8	19.2% 4.1	28.7% 11.5
NO	80.3 * 2.7	80.7 5.0	82.4 2.8	80.8 4.1	71.3 11.5
Sample size	2,405	777	1,432	1,492	269
Weighted total	204,223	63,457	120,596	123,098	24,859

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_850

File for divorce/separation last 12 mnts  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONIUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
YES	2.5%	2.9%	3.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.6%	4.6%	2.5%	0.8%
	* 1.1	2.4	1.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	1.3	2.5	2.3	1.5	1.0
NO	97.5	97.1	96.9	100.0	99.5	100.0	100.0	97.7	97.4	95.4	97.5	99.2
	* 1.1	2.4	1.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	1.3	2.5	2.3	1.5	1.0
Sample size	3,214	810	819	84	743	758	2,055	837	322	1,101	369	511
Weighted total	271,059	81,630	144,517	7,702	19,539	17,670	180,564	74,196	16,299	101,245	42,943	47,093

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_85D (cont.)

File for divorce/separation last 12 mnts  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	2.0%	4.1%	1.3%	2.8%	6.9%
	* 1.0	3.8	1.0	1.3	8.1
NO	98.0	95.9	98.7	97.2	93.1
	* 1.0	3.8	1.0	1.3	8.1
Sample size	2,408	778	1,434	1,492	271
Weighted total	204,548	63,537	120,711	123,068	25,179

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_86A

Disagree w/member about spending money  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		NCO	Company		Field Grade Officer	Other		Combat		Combat Service Support	TDA
	Total	Enlisted		Grade Officer	Grade Officer		CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat Support		
EVERY DAY	4.4%	4.7%	5.4%	0.0%	1.1%	0.3%	4.4%	4.5%	3.5%	4.8%	4.5%	3.0%
	* 1.2	1.4	2.1	0.0	0.8	0.4	1.5	2.7	2.7	1.9	3.3	2.1
MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	5.8	7.0	6.3	3.6	2.3	1.5	5.4	5.9	10.0	6.6	5.9	6.1
	* 1.3	2.0	2.2	3.9	1.1	0.9	1.4	3.0	5.9	2.2	2.7	2.6
WEEKLY	9.5	14.0	7.9	8.2	8.5	3.5	10.2	7.2	11.2	8.4	10.3	10.8
	* 1.1	2.7	1.7	6.7	2.4	1.6	1.6	1.8	2.8	2.2	3.3	3.2
MONTHLY	20.0	22.3	19.6	15.2	19.7	14.9	21.3	17.7	16.6	21.6	17.5	20.8
	* 1.9	3.6	3.1	8.2	4.2	2.3	1.9	4.7	3.6	3.0	5.3	4.7
LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	40.7	33.0	42.1	46.3	46.7	54.9	41.0	39.5	41.6	39.8	40.6	37.7
	* 2.3	4.2	4.0	13.1	3.7	3.8	3.2	3.0	2.6	4.5	6.4	5.2
NEVER	19.7	19.0	18.8	26.7	21.8	24.9	17.6	25.1	17.0	18.8	21.2	21.6
	* 2.1	3.4	3.5	11.9	3.6	3.2	2.7	3.4	2.1	3.3	5.5	5.3
Sample size	3,218	812	824	86	742	754	2,060	839	319	1,111	373	508
Weighted total	272,711	81,500	146,194	7,901	19,563	17,553	181,734	75,013	15,965	102,954	43,467	46,542

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_86A (cont.)

Disagree w/member about spending money  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EVERY DAY	4.7% * 1.5	3.2% 1.6	3.4% 1.5	5.6% 1.9 3.2% 2.5
MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	5.7 * 1.4	6.3 3.1	5.9 1.8	6.4 2.2 3.5 2.5
WEEKLY	9.6 * 1.4	9.4 2.3	9.1 2.2	9.9 1.8 10.2 4.2
MONTHLY	20.5 * 2.2	17.5 3.5	19.4 2.8	20.5 3.1 20.4 7.5
LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	40.5 * 2.8	41.8 4.6	42.8 3.1	39.0 4.1 37.9 8.9
NEVER	19.0 * 2.6	21.9 4.6	19.5 2.2	18.6 2.7 24.9 7.2
Sample size	2,413	779	1,437	1,495 268
Weighted total	206,380	63,622	121,596	123,654 24,799

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_868

Disagree w/member about enough affection  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat		TDA
				Officer	Grade						Support	Service	
EVERY DAY	8.7% * 1.7	9.6% 3.0	10.0% 2.9	4.1% 5.8	3.5% 2.7		2.1% 1.0	8.1% 1.8	9.6% 4.4	10.9% 6.5	7.2% 2.5	10.4% 3.5	8.8% 5.1
MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	8.2% * 1.7	9.7% 2.6	8.1% 2.4	8.9% 10.7	6.5% 1.8		3.6% 1.5	8.7% 2.3	6.8% 2.0	9.0% 5.0	9.6% 2.7	8.5% 3.5	6.4% 3.2
WEEKLY	11.5% * 1.3	10.7% 2.3	12.5% 2.6	13.1% 8.6	11.2% 2.2		7.6% 1.9	12.3% 1.8	9.5% 1.9	12.6% 4.4	12.3% 2.6	11.3% 3.9	10.1% 3.4
MONTHLY	15.3% * 1.7	15.2% 3.2	15.2% 2.3	12.9% 7.7	16.9% 2.8		16.0% 3.3	15.1% 1.9	15.2% 4.1	18.8% 2.7	14.5% 3.3	14.0% 4.8	17.6% 4.2
LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	31.6% * 2.0	28.7% 4.5	31.1% 3.0	34.8% 10.1	36.2% 3.8		42.8% 4.2	31.8% 2.4	32.1% 4.2	26.7% 4.7	30.7% 3.7	29.4% 6.0	33.7% 5.4
NEVER	24.6% * 2.1	26.1% 4.1	23.1% 2.9	26.3% 12.4	25.7% 3.3		27.9% 3.9	24.0% 2.3	26.7% 4.8	22.0% 3.9	25.7% 3.2	26.3% 6.8	23.4% 5.1
Sample size	3,207	813	815	86	739		754	2,053	836	318	1,107	372	502
Weighted total	270,991	81,676	144,399	7,901	19,476		17,539	180,648	74,340	16,004	102,454	43,168	45,590

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_868 (cont.)

Disagree w/member about enough affection  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Children Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EVERY DAY	9.1% * 1.8	7.6% 3.4	7.1% 1.9	9.1% 2.5 13.2% 9.0
MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	8.5 * 1.7	6.9 4.0	7.9 2.1	7.7 1.8 13.5 9.6
WEEKLY	11.8 * 1.4	10.2 3.5	11.4 1.8	11.5 2.2 13.2 5.5
MONTHLY	15.3 * 1.9	15.6 3.9	17.7 2.5	13.5 2.2 13.0 4.9
LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	32.1 * 2.6	30.3 4.1	30.7 2.9	32.8 3.7 29.8 11.0
NEVER	23.1 * 2.5	29.3 5.0	25.3 2.7	25.4 2.9 17.3 5.1
Sample size	2,410	773	1,430	1,492 267
Weighted total	205,367	62,966	120,608	122,946 24,775

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_86C

Disagree w/member about time together  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
EVERY DAY	9.9% * 1.7	13.0% 3.4	10.6% 2.6	1.6% 3.0	3.6% 1.5	1.8% 1.1	9.3% 1.5	11.2% 4.8	11.1% 5.2	8.3% 2.1	11.4% 3.4	10.0% 5.4
MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	8.8 * 1.2	11.2 2.3	8.1 1.6	13.3 12.0	7.3 2.0	3.8 1.4	9.1 1.5	7.9 2.1	10.3 4.6	10.4 2.1	10.7 3.1	7.6 2.9
WEEKLY	15.1 * 1.8	13.3 2.2	16.6 2.9	17.4 9.5	14.2 3.0	10.4 2.3	16.6 2.3	15.6 3.4	18.3 2.4	15.5 2.8	15.5 3.9	13.1 3.6
MONTHLY	17.3 * 1.7	16.9 3.4	16.9 2.2	15.0 9.0	20.1 3.3	19.6 3.0	17.6 2.2	15.9 3.2	20.2 2.8	18.0 3.5	14.3 4.9	20.6 4.9
LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	28.3 * 2.3	24.0 3.2	27.9 3.9	28.6 10.7	38.8 4.3	40.5 4.6	29.6 2.9	26.1 4.3	24.4 6.7	28.5 3.7	28.7 5.2	27.5 5.6
NEVER	20.5 * 1.9	21.6 4.5	19.9 2.7	24.1 8.7	16.0 3.6	23.9 3.5	19.9 2.3	23.2 3.9	15.7 3.1	19.4 3.0	19.4 4.5	21.2 4.5
Sample size	3,202	808	816	86	741	751	2,047	836	319	1,103	371	504
Weighted total	270,308	81,121	144,302	7,901	19,530	17,455	179,756	74,399	16,153	101,535	43,074	46,157

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_86C (cont.)

Disagree w/member about time together  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

EVERY DAY	9.9%	9.8%	8.0%	10.1%	16.2%
-----------	------	------	------	-------	-------

	* 1.6	4.1	1.7	2.3	8.8
--	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	10.0%	5.5%	9.5%	8.9%	6.2%
-----------------------	-------	------	------	------	------

	* 1.4	2.1	1.9	1.7	3.8
--	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

WEEKLY	15.2%	14.5%	16.2%	14.0%	14.4%
--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

	* 2.3	3.7	2.6	2.6	6.4
--	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

MONTHLY	17.5%	16.4%	17.0%	18.5%	14.3%
---------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

	* 2.0	3.3	2.3	3.2	6.2
--	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	27.9%	30.2%	28.4%	28.6%	27.0%
------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

	* 3.0	4.7	3.2	3.4	6.9
--	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

NEVER	19.6%	23.6%	20.9%	19.8%	22.0%
-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

	* 2.1	4.3	2.7	2.3	7.2
--	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

Sample size	2,404	773	1,431	1,487	266
-------------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total	205,014	62,838	120,552	122,341	24,752
----------------	---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_860

Disagree with member about the children  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
EVERY DAY	11.6%	13.8%	12.8%	5.4%	5.3%	5.3%	2.1%	11.4%	11.5%	15.0%	10.0%	11.0%
	* 1.9	3.3	2.7	6.6	2.1	2.1	1.2	2.3	4.0	4.6	2.4	3.9
MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	9.7	10.5	9.6	14.0	9.4	9.4	5.8	10.1	7.5	15.3	10.3	9.5
	* 1.3	3.4	2.0	12.3	5.3	5.3	1.9	1.5	2.0	5.6	2.4	3.7
WEEKLY	13.2	13.8	12.6	21.0	11.0	11.0	13.5	13.8	11.5	14.1	11.8	14.8
	* 1.5	3.0	2.5	9.5	3.3	3.3	2.5	1.7	3.5	3.8	2.5	5.4
MONTHLY	16.3	14.2	17.1	13.7	18.9	18.9	17.4	16.0	17.8	13.3	16.0	19.0
	* 2.3	3.3	3.6	5.8	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.6	5.6	3.7	6.0
LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	30.5	22.6	31.5	29.2	36.5	36.5	46.7	30.1	32.2	28.2	30.8	26.6
	* 3.3	5.4	4.9	11.1	4.7	4.7	3.0	4.0	6.8	2.3	4.8	6.1
NEVER	18.6	24.9	16.4	16.6	19.0	19.0	14.6	18.7	19.5	14.1	21.1	19.1
	* 2.5	6.2	3.2	10.6	3.4	3.4	2.7	2.5	6.5	5.4	4.7	5.5
Sample size	2,452	562	669	70	502	502	649	1,601	621	230	806	282
Weighted total	209,819	54,509	120,481	6,830	12,981	12,981	15,018	141,479	56,400	11,939	76,964	34,411
												35,163

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_860 (cont.)

Disagree with member about the children  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EVERY DAY	11.5%	16.0%	11.2%	11.1%	15.7%
	* 2.0	12.3	2.7	2.7	8.7
MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	9.9	5.7	11.8	8.8	5.4
	* 1.4	9.7	2.2	1.6	3.7
WEEKLY	13.2	6.0	13.8	13.5	7.1
	* 1.6	6.7	2.2	2.2	3.9
MONTHLY	16.3	14.7	16.5	16.4	17.4
	* 2.3	16.2	3.6	3.1	9.8
LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	30.8	26.7	29.3	30.9	33.0
	* 3.3	11.9	3.8	4.4	14.4
NEVER	18.3	30.9	17.4	19.3	21.3
	* 2.4	14.8	3.0	3.8	10.1
Sample size	2,384	58	1,010	1,284	144
Weighted total	203,252	5,204	87,653	105,533	14,121

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_86E

Disagree w/member about household chores  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
EVERY DAY	6.4%	7.8%	6.9%	1.9%	2.8%	1.7%	6.3%	5.9%	10.5%	5.7%	7.1%	8.7%
	* 1.2	2.2	2.3	2.6	1.1	1.1	1.4	2.3	7.7	2.1	2.9	3.4
MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	7.9	9.3	8.4	1.3	5.1	2.8	8.4	5.8	11.1	6.8	8.4	5.6
	* 1.7	2.5	2.5	1.7	2.1	1.8	2.1	3.1	6.6	2.2	4.1	2.9
WEEKLY	12.0	16.0	10.1	15.6	13.9	6.1	12.3	11.0	14.5	12.5	12.5	12.2
	* 1.6	3.5	2.4	7.7	2.7	1.5	2.0	2.5	5.6	2.4	4.0	3.2
MONTHLY	15.9	12.8	17.5	13.6	17.4	16.8	15.4	17.8	13.3	15.1	15.7	13.6
	* 1.8	2.9	2.9	11.0	2.8	2.8	2.2	3.7	5.7	2.9	4.4	3.7
LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	28.0	23.5	27.5	31.2	37.0	41.3	28.9	26.7	24.3	27.7	29.2	28.2
	* 2.5	3.6	3.9	11.9	3.6	4.6	3.2	4.4	9.0	3.6	5.5	5.2
NEVER	29.8	30.5	29.6	36.5	23.8	31.2	28.7	33.0	26.3	32.2	27.1	31.7
	* 2.3	4.4	3.3	12.5	3.5	3.3	3.1	3.1	6.4	4.3	5.8	6.6
Sample size	3,210	811	819	86	739	755	2,055	837	318	1,106	372	507
Weighted total	271,454	81,460	145,060	7,901	19,490	17,543	180,878	74,443	16,133	102,287	43,168	46,451

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_86E (cont.)

Disagree w/member about household chores  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EVERY DAY	7.0% * 1.6	4.7% 1.9	6.2% 1.9	5.8% 1.5	9.0% 4.1
MORE THAN ONCE A WEEK	8.6 * 1.9	5.6 2.3	9.5 2.5	6.9 2.1	5.2 4.1
WEEKLY	11.6 * 1.6	13.0 3.4	15.0 2.2	9.1 1.6	13.3 4.6
MONTHLY	16.5 * 2.0	14.4 3.7	18.7 3.3	13.1 2.3	17.7 5.8
LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH	28.3 * 2.9	27.7 4.8	23.9 2.9	31.6 4.1	29.8 7.7
NEVER	28.1 * 2.4	34.5 4.5	26.7 2.7	33.5 3.7	25.0 8.0
Sample size	2,406	779	1,432	1,493	267
Weighted total	205,289	63,612	121,064	122,953	24,775

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_87A

Family cooperation getting things done  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
1-ALMOST NO CHANCE THINGS GET DONE	1.7%	2.4%	1.5%	0.0%	1.0%	1.1%	1.5%	2.0%	2.3%	1.8%	1.2%	2.3%
	* 0.5	1.1	0.9	0.0	1.4	1.0	0.6	1.1	3.4	1.0	1.4	1.7
2	4.0	3.7	4.4	6.3	2.5	1.9	3.8	3.1	9.5	3.0	4.8	5.3
	* 0.9	1.6	1.5	6.5	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.2	1.4	2.8	2.4
3	6.3	6.9	6.7	3.8	4.3	4.0	6.5	5.6	8.2	5.9	6.9	7.4
	* 1.1	2.1	1.5	3.5	1.4	1.6	1.3	2.1	5.3	1.8	3.0	2.9
4	13.2	11.5	14.7	15.0	9.4	11.0	13.1	13.2	14.0	11.9	17.0	10.3
	* 1.4	2.6	2.6	11.4	2.5	2.6	1.6	2.9	7.6	2.4	5.3	4.2
5	20.2	18.9	21.4	17.8	19.0	18.7	19.7	21.2	21.3	18.8	25.2	18.1
	* 2.4	4.1	3.4	7.4	3.5	3.4	2.7	5.4	3.0	3.5	5.3	6.3
6	25.0	23.3	24.2	28.4	31.7	31.6	25.5	24.6	22.0	26.5	24.7	22.2
	* 2.1	3.2	4.0	10.1	3.9	2.9	2.3	4.9	4.6	3.9	7.3	4.2
7-THINGS WILL ALWAYS GET DONE	29.6	33.1	27.1	28.8	32.1	31.6	29.9	30.3	22.8	32.1	20.4	34.4
	* 2.2	3.7	3.5	9.5	3.9	3.8	3.0	3.2	1.4	3.5	4.7	5.4
Sample size	3,233	814	828	86	744	761	2,070	842	321	1,110	373	513
Weighted total	273,886	81,845	146,828	7,901	19,619	17,694	182,626	75,040	16,220	103,024	43,467	47,074

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_87A (cont.)

Family cooperation getting things done  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
1-ALMOST NO CHANCE THINGS GET DONE	2.1% * 0.7	0.3% 0.4	2.2% 1.0	1.3% 0.6
2	4.5 * 1.2	2.4 1.9	4.3 1.5	3.6 1.5
3	6.8 * 1.3	4.8 2.5	6.4 2.2	6.7 1.5
4	13.5 * 1.9	12.1 3.3	14.3 3.1	12.2 2.5
5	21.3 * 2.8	17.2 4.4	18.8 2.9	21.3 2.8
6	24.9 * 2.9	25.6 3.8	25.3 2.8	25.1 2.8
7-THINGS WILL ALWAYS GET DONE	26.8 * 2.5	37.7 5.1	28.7 2.8	29.8 3.9
Sample size	2,427	780	1,444	1,503
Weighted total	207,664	63,513	122,063	124,145

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_87A

Family cooperation getting things done  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
1-ALMOST NO CHANCE THINGS GET DONE	1.7%	2.4%	1.5%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%	1.5%	2.0%	2.3%	1.8%	1.2%
	* 0.5	1.1	0.9	0.0	1.4	1.4	1.0	0.6	1.1	3.4	1.0	1.4
2	4.0	3.7	4.4	6.3	2.5	2.5	1.9	3.8	3.1	9.5	3.0	4.8
	* 0.9	1.6	1.5	6.5	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.2	1.4	2.8
3	6.3	6.9	6.7	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.0	6.5	5.6	8.2	5.9	6.9
	* 1.1	2.1	1.5	3.5	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.3	2.1	5.3	1.8	3.0
4	13.2	11.5	14.7	15.0	9.4	9.4	11.0	13.1	13.2	14.0	11.9	17.0
	* 1.4	2.6	2.6	11.4	2.5	2.5	2.6	1.6	2.9	7.6	2.4	5.3
5	20.2	18.9	21.4	17.8	19.0	19.0	18.7	19.7	21.2	21.3	18.8	25.2
	* 2.4	4.1	3.4	7.4	3.5	3.5	3.4	2.7	5.4	3.0	3.5	5.3
6	25.0	23.3	24.2	28.4	31.7	31.7	31.6	25.5	24.6	22.0	26.5	24.7
	* 2.1	3.2	4.0	10.1	3.9	3.9	2.9	2.3	4.9	4.6	3.9	7.3
7-THINGS WILL ALWAYS GET DONE	29.6	33.1	27.1	28.8	32.1	32.1	31.6	29.9	30.3	22.8	32.1	20.4
	* 2.2	3.7	3.5	9.5	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.0	3.2	1.4	3.5	4.7
Sample size	3,233	814	828	86	744	744	761	2,070	842	321	1,110	373
Weighted total	273,886	81,845	146,828	7,901	19,619	19,619	17,694	182,626	75,040	16,220	103,024	43,467
												47,074

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_87A (cont.)

Family cooperation getting things done  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

No Children      Spouse Employed      Not Employed      On Active Duty

1-ALMOST NO CHANCE THINGS GET DONE	2.1%	0.3%	2.2%	1.3%	1.1%
	* 0.7	0.4	1.0	0.6	1.3
2	4.5	2.4	4.3	3.6	4.0
	* 1.2	1.9	1.5	1.5	3.0
3	6.8	4.8	6.4	6.7	4.4
	* 1.3	2.5	2.2	1.5	3.3
4	13.5	12.1	14.3	12.2	12.5
	* 1.9	3.3	3.1	2.5	6.2
5	21.3	17.2	18.8	21.3	21.5
	* 2.8	4.4	2.9	2.8	9.5
6	24.9	25.6	25.3	25.1	25.1
	* 2.9	3.8	2.8	2.8	6.9
7-THINGS WILL ALWAYS GET DONE	26.8	37.7	28.7	29.8	31.3
	* 2.5	5.1	2.8	3.9	7.3
Sample size	2,427	780	1,444	1,503	268
Weighted total	207,664	63,513	122,063	124,145	25,016

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_87B

Resolution of tough family problems  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
1-NO HOPE OF SOLVING THE PROBLEM	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.1%	0.7%	1.4%	0.7%	0.6%	1.3%
	* 0.5	0.8	0.9	0.0	1.3	1.3	0.2	0.5	1.4	0.9	0.5	2.2
2	1.9	1.7	2.5	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.3	1.6	2.6	2.3	2.1	0.9
	* 0.8	0.9	1.5	2.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.9	2.2	1.4	1.5	1.0
3	4.3	5.0	5.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	0.8	5.1	2.1	6.7	4.8	4.2
	* 1.1	1.8	1.9	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.5	1.3	3.6	1.3	2.4
4	8.1	7.5	9.8	2.3	4.0	4.0	4.2	7.3	9.4	11.0	8.1	11.5
	* 1.7	2.4	2.5	2.8	1.6	1.6	2.4	2.2	2.7	5.2	2.3	4.2
5	15.2	14.2	16.6	17.6	11.8	11.8	10.3	14.9	16.0	14.0	13.0	17.4
	* 1.8	3.7	2.6	10.4	2.7	2.7	3.1	1.9	4.5	5.0	2.5	5.0
6	31.6	29.5	31.2	30.0	36.4	36.4	39.7	32.1	29.9	33.1	31.8	28.9
	* 2.2	4.1	3.1	11.6	4.4	4.4	5.4	2.9	3.6	10.1	3.0	5.0
7-WE WILL SOLVE THE WHOLE PROBLEM	37.9	41.3	33.8	48.7	44.4	44.4	44.6	38.2	38.6	32.2	39.7	35.8
	* 2.6	4.4	3.7	10.1	3.6	3.6	5.0	3.3	4.4	9.0	3.8	4.6
Sample size	3,230	815	826	86	742	742	761	2,068	842	320	1,108	373
Weighted total	273,481	81,987	146,328	7,901	19,571	19,571	17,694	182,126	75,150	16,205	102,755	43,467

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_878 (cont.)

Resolution of tough family problems  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
1-NO HOPE OF SOLVING THE PROBLEM	1.1% * 0.6	0.1%	0.9%	0.8%	0.6%
2	1.9 * 0.7	2.2 2.0	1.7 1.2	1.7 0.8	2.5 2.7
3	4.4 * 1.4	4.4 2.2	3.5 1.4	4.9 2.1	6.3 3.7
4	8.6 * 1.7	6.9 3.3	8.8 2.3	8.0 2.6	3.4 2.3
5	15.2 * 1.9	15.2 4.6	13.9 2.2	16.0 2.3	16.8 10.3
6	33.1 * 2.8	26.7 4.4	32.7 3.1	30.4 3.1	34.1 9.0
7-WE WILL SOLVE THE WHOLE PROBLEM	35.8 * 2.8	44.5 5.5	38.4 3.7	38.2 3.8	36.3 8.0
Sample size	2,424	780	1,444	1,500	268
Weighted total	207,259	63,513	122,063	123,740	25,016

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_87c

Family behavior tendencies in bad times  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat		TDA
				Officer	Grade						Support	Service Support	
1-SURE THAT THINGS WILL GET BETTER	38.1%	41.4%	35.0%	42.6%	43.3%	40.6%	37.8%	39.9%	32.7%	37.5%	35.2%	40.7%	
	* 2.4	3.4	4.2	10.7	4.0	5.3	3.0	4.5	4.4	3.1	4.9	5.5	
2	24.4	21.6	24.0	24.5	29.8	34.8	24.8	23.2	25.0	26.9	21.7	17.7	
	* 1.8	2.8	2.7	13.2	3.7	3.7	2.2	3.7	5.6	3.0	4.7	3.6	
3	11.5	11.2	11.3	14.2	14.2	10.5	11.7	10.7	13.0	9.9	11.6	12.9	
	* 1.5	2.3	2.5	7.2	2.5	3.2	2.0	3.0	1.7	2.3	4.1	3.4	
4	11.4	11.1	12.5	12.3	5.5	8.9	11.6	10.8	10.4	12.1	13.4	9.8	
	* 1.5	2.2	2.7	7.1	1.5	3.4	2.0	2.7	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.4	
5	7.4	7.6	8.2	6.4	4.6	3.4	7.2	8.0	6.6	6.1	10.2	10.0	
	* 1.6	2.8	1.9	4.9	1.3	1.4	1.4	4.5	3.1	2.2	3.7	4.9	
6	4.7	4.0	6.1	0.0	2.2	1.3	4.7	3.7	9.4	3.9	4.4	7.5	
	* 1.0	1.6	1.6	0.0	1.5	0.7	1.2	2.0	2.8	1.2	2.6	2.7	
7-DISCOURAGED/DOUBT THINGS WILL IMPROVE	2.7	3.2	3.1	0.0	0.3	0.5	2.3	3.6	2.9	3.5	3.5	1.4	
	* 0.8	1.5	1.4	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.9	1.7	3.4	1.5	2.7	1.4	
Sample size	3,231	815	827	86	744	759	2,068	843	320	1,111	373	513	
Weighted total	275,837	81,987	146,691	7,901	19,619	17,639	182,462	75,182	16,193	103,166	43,467	47,074	

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_87C (cont.)

Family behavior tendencies in bad times  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

No Children      Spouse Employed      Not Employed      On Active Duty

1-SURE THAT THINGS WILL GET BETTER      36.8%      42.1%      36.5%      39.8%      39.2%

\* 2.8

5.0

3.9

4.1

8.5

2      25.3      21.3      25.5      23.5      23.6

\* 2.5

3.6

2.9

3.3

6.3

3      11.2      13.0      12.8      10.2      11.9

\* 1.8

3.7

2.1

2.7

4.5

4      12.0      9.3      10.8      12.0      10.5

\* 1.9

3.3

2.3

3.1

5.5

5      7.3      7.8      7.0      7.1      9.3

\* 1.5

3.8

1.4

1.8

10.0

6      4.7      4.7      5.5      3.9      4.8

\* 1.2

2.4

1.8

1.5

3.4

7-DISCOURAGED/DOUBT THINGS WILL IMPROVE      2.7      1.9      1.9      3.6      0.7

\* 0.9

1.6

1.1

1.5

1.2

Sample size      2,426      779      1,444      1,501      268

Weighted total      207,642      63,485      122,063      124,096      25,016

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_88A

How often past month felt secure  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		TDA	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other	Combat	Support	Service
ALL OF THE TIME	23.9%	21.9%	22.8%	32.3%	30.1%	31.8%	24.1%	23.4%	24.2%	25.0%	17.6%	23.8%
	* 2.1	2.6	3.8	12.5	4.4	2.7	1.9	5.7	8.2	4.0	4.8	4.5
MOST OF THE TIME	44.0	41.2	44.6	44.8	47.1	48.2	44.3	44.9	36.1	42.8	44.5	43.3
	* 2.4	4.1	4.0	9.7	4.5	3.5	3.0	4.5	5.8	4.4	5.9	6.0
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	14.5	14.6	15.2	12.1	12.6	12.3	14.9	13.6	14.3	13.6	16.9	14.1
	* 2.1	3.0	3.0	7.8	2.6	2.2	2.6	3.9	6.8	3.4	4.2	4.9
OCCASIONALLY	9.8	12.2	9.8	6.9	5.7	4.2	9.0	10.7	14.4	11.2	13.2	10.1
	* 1.5	1.9	2.3	5.4	1.6	1.1	1.7	3.1	8.8	2.6	5.2	2.5
RARELY	5.8	8.0	5.6	1.5	3.3	2.7	5.8	5.4	7.7	5.5	5.3	7.9
	* 1.4	2.8	2.1	2.9	1.2	1.0	1.5	3.5	4.5	1.5	3.3	4.9
NEVER	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.4	1.2	0.9	1.8	2.0	3.4	1.9	2.5	0.7
	* 0.7	1.5	1.0	3.2	1.3	1.0	0.8	1.5	2.2	1.0	2.6	0.8
Sample size	3,215	811	821	85	740	758	2,056	837	322	1,106	371	510
Weighted total	271,853	81,425	145,458	7,863	19,494	17,613	180,797	74,816	16,240	102,110	43,306	46,834

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_88A (cont.)

How often past month felt secure  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALL OF THE TIME	23.3% * 2.5	25.3% 4.5	22.9% 3.0	23.9% 3.3	26.9% 5.9
MOST OF THE TIME	44.1 * 2.9	43.8 5.0	46.3 3.1	42.9 3.4	40.9 7.1
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	14.1 * 2.1	16.5 4.6	14.7 2.6	15.1 2.9	11.5 9.2
OCCASIONALLY	10.6 * 2.0	7.2 2.5	8.7 2.2	10.6 2.4	9.8 4.5
RARELY	5.6 * 1.4	6.5 3.6	5.3 1.8	5.6 1.9	9.7 8.3
NEVER	2.3 * 0.9	0.8 0.7	2.1 1.0	1.8 1.2	1.3 1.5
Sample size	2,412	780	1,434	1,495	268
Weighted total	206,240	63,339	121,008	123,179	25,003

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_888

How often past month felt isolated  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCNUS	Combat	Support	Service
ALL OF THE TIME	4.6%	6.6%	4.2%	2.8%	2.9%	2.9%	1.6%	4.0%	5.1%	9.3%	4.4%	7.6%
	* 1.2	1.7	1.7	3.8	2.5	2.5	1.1	1.3	2.6	1.3	1.9	4.2
MOST OF THE TIME	10.5	15.3	9.2	9.7	4.9	4.9	5.3	10.1	10.2	14.9	10.6	10.7
	* 1.7	3.3	2.4	10.8	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.8	4.3	1.3	1.8	4.4
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	10.7	9.9	11.9	8.7	8.8	8.8	7.0	9.5	13.6	9.7	12.3	12.1
	* 1.4	2.2	2.2	6.4	2.9	2.9	1.6	1.5	3.1	2.6	2.8	4.9
OCCASIONALLY	30.8	29.3	31.3	22.4	34.1	34.1	33.5	31.2	30.0	29.7	32.3	30.1
	* 1.9	3.5	3.2	12.3	4.2	4.2	3.5	2.5	3.3	6.1	3.7	5.3
RARELY	26.1	24.8	24.7	35.4	32.2	32.2	33.0	26.9	25.2	20.9	24.3	26.3
	* 2.5	4.0	3.2	14.7	4.0	4.0	3.1	2.5	6.0	9.0	3.7	8.2
NEVER	17.4	14.1	18.9	21.1	17.1	17.1	19.8	18.3	15.8	15.5	16.1	13.2
	* 1.8	3.1	2.6	9.9	3.2	3.2	2.8	2.2	3.4	6.3	2.1	4.8
Sample size	3,207	806	818	85	740	740	758	2,047	838	322	1,100	369
Weighted total	268,821	80,814	143,027	7,863	19,504	19,504	17,613	177,862	74,719	16,240	100,057	42,715
												46,950

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_888 (cont.)

How often past month felt isolated  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALL OF THE TIME	5.2% * 1.5	2.8% 1.4	3.3% 1.4	5.6% 1.8	6.3% 4.3
MOST OF THE TIME	10.0 * 1.9	11.9 4.7	8.4 2.1	11.2 2.4	17.5 10.0
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	10.8 * 1.6	10.5 3.4	10.3 2.2	12.0 2.2	4.0 2.9
OCCASIONALLY	31.6 * 2.5	27.8 5.4	31.1 3.3	31.6 3.3	25.7 6.5
RARELY	25.8 * 2.5	27.4 4.9	27.9 3.9	24.2 3.2	26.0 7.7
NEVER	16.5 * 2.2	19.6 3.7	19.0 2.5	15.5 3.4	20.5 7.1
Sample size	2,404	779	1,430	1,491	268
Weighted total	203,324	63,191	120,580	120,575	25,003

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_88C

How often past month felt pleased w/self  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Support	TDA
ALL OF THE TIME	7.2% * 1.3	8.7% 1.9	6.8% 2.2	7.7% 5.7	6.9% 2.8	2.6% 1.2	6.5% 1.5	8.9% 5.6	8.9% 2.4	4.0% 2.6	6.4% 2.7
MOST OF THE TIME	43.9 * 2.3	39.6 4.2	46.0 3.4	27.1 10.9	46.4 4.2	51.9 3.7	44.0 2.7	42.4 8.4	41.9 4.5	46.6 5.9	41.8 5.4
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	23.0 * 1.4	22.0 3.0	21.5 2.5	35.2 12.7	27.8 2.9	29.7 2.7	24.1 1.6	21.9 2.9	20.6 3.2	22.0 4.3	24.5 4.4
OCCASIONALLY	1.1 * 1.8	20.5 3.6	19.1 2.5	27.7 11.2	14.8 3.1	13.4 2.0	19.0 2.1	19.5 3.7	20.2 3.6	21.5 5.0	20.7 5.0
RARELY	5.9 * 1.1	8.5 2.3	5.4 1.6	2.3 4.5	3.2 1.3	2.0 1.3	5.4 1.1	6.1 6.1	7.5 2.2	5.4 2.8	5.4 2.0
NEVER	0.9 * 0.4	0.8 0.6	1.1 0.7	0.0 0.0	0.9 1.3	0.4 0.5	0.9 0.5	1.3 0.6	1.0 0.6	0.5 0.9	1.2 1.2
Sample size	3,208	808	816	86	740	758	2,053	320	1,101	370	510
Weighted total	269,187	81,114	143,051	7,901	19,499	17,622	178,880	16,091	99,788	43,234	46,763

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_88C (cont.)

How often past month felt pleased w/self  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALL OF THE TIME	6.2%	9.7%	6.3%	7.1%	11.6%
	* 1.3	3.0	2.0	1.7	5.3
MOST OF THE TIME	44.5	42.5	47.9	41.7	35.9
	* 2.9	4.2	3.5	3.7	7.1
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	22.1	25.2	24.1	20.8	27.1
	* 1.9	3.7	2.6	2.6	7.5
OCCASIONALLY	20.0	16.7	16.4	22.4	17.6
	* 2.1	4.3	2.4	2.5	6.9
RARELY	6.1	5.2	4.7	7.1	6.3
	* 1.4	2.0	1.7	1.7	3.7
NEVER	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.9	1.4
	* 0.5	0.7	0.5	0.5	2.0
Sample size	2,406	778	1,430	1,492	268
Weighted total	203,621	63,259	120,606	120,950	24,968

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_88D

How often past month felt lonely  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
ALL OF THE TIME	6.0%	9.6%	5.5%	2.6%	1.8%	0.4%	5.8%	5.4%	11.3%	7.4%	8.1%	6.4%
	* 1.1	2.7	1.8	3.6	1.0	0.5	1.3	2.3	6.6	2.4	4.3	2.4
MOST OF THE TIME	10.8	13.7	11.0	2.5	5.4	4.5	9.5	14.3	8.0	12.4	11.4	10.8
	* 1.5	3.3	2.4	3.5	1.7	1.5	1.6	3.6	3.5	2.6	4.5	5.0
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	10.0	11.4	9.9	15.6	7.5	4.5	9.8	10.0	11.9	12.2	11.8	7.1
	* 1.6	2.7	2.7	11.6	1.8	2.2	2.0	3.3	5.1	2.3	3.8	3.1
OCCASIONALLY	37.3	33.9	38.5	32.9	42.4	40.3	38.4	34.9	37.2	36.3	41.4	36.9
	* 2.7	3.8	4.8	11.2	4.5	3.2	3.8	3.5	4.9	4.4	5.2	6.8
RARELY	25.6	24.6	23.4	30.8	33.1	37.9	25.9	26.0	21.0	23.1	22.0	25.0
	* 2.5	3.9	3.9	12.7	3.9	3.1	3.2	4.7	3.8	3.4	4.5	5.2
NEVER	10.3	6.9	11.8	15.5	9.8	12.3	10.7	9.3	10.7	8.6	5.4	13.9
	* 1.3	1.7	2.3	8.5	2.4	2.1	1.6	2.8	4.2	2.3	2.2	4.6
Sample size	3,208	809	817	85	740	757	2,055	834	319	1,102	371	507
Weighted total	268,769	81,203	142,585	7,863	19,499	17,620	178,650	74,130	15,989	99,844	43,306	46,086

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_880 (cont.)

How often past month felt lonely  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALL OF THE TIME	6.4%	5.1%	4.9%	7.0%	7.2%
	* 1.3	2.9	1.7	2.2	4.2
MOST OF THE TIME	10.4	12.1	7.6	12.5	14.1
	* 1.7	4.4	1.9	2.3	7.6
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	9.1	12.4	9.7	10.4	7.6
	* 1.8	2.9	2.6	2.3	4.2
OCCASIONALLY	38.2	34.9	39.0	38.0	28.5
	* 2.9	4.8	3.8	3.9	5.9
RARELY	25.5	25.8	28.1	22.9	29.9
	* 2.8	4.0	3.7	3.7	7.7
NEVER	10.3	9.7	10.7	9.2	12.7
	* 1.4	3.5	2.5	1.8	4.7
Sample size	2,406	778	1,433	1,491	266
Weighted total	203,570	62,893	120,672	121,045	24,390

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_88E

How often past month felt afraid  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
ALL OF THE TIME	1.9% * 0.8	4.3% 2.3	1.0% 0.6	1.1% 2.3	0.1% 0.1	0.1% 0.1	0.0% 0.0	1.6% 0.7	2.2% 2.2	2.6% 3.3	2.0% 1.1	3.5% 3.7
MOST OF THE TIME	4.0 * 1.3	5.5 3.0	4.0 1.3	1.4 2.8	1.9 0.9	0.5 0.8	0.5 0.8	3.8 1.0	4.8 4.0	1.8 0.9	5.3 1.8	3.6 2.5
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	5.1 * 1.3	7.5 2.5	5.0 2.0	0.0 0.0	1.6 1.0	1.7 0.9	1.7 0.9	4.6 1.7	5.4 2.0	9.5 1.9	5.4 2.1	5.0 2.4
OCCASIONALLY	24.6 * 2.3	26.4 3.9	25.1 3.6	17.8 8.5	22.1 3.6	17.5 2.6	17.5 2.6	25.4 3.1	22.3 3.7	26.0 6.4	26.5 3.1	26.3 6.2
RARELY	41.1 * 2.3	39.3 4.6	40.2 4.3	44.9 11.5	47.8 4.0	47.6 2.9	47.6 2.9	41.1 2.6	42.3 5.1	35.5 4.8	39.9 3.4	43.6 6.8
NEVER	23.4 * 2.4	17.0 2.9	24.8 3.5	34.7 12.3	26.5 3.8	32.6 3.0	32.6 3.0	23.4 2.9	23.1 4.5	24.6 6.0	21.0 3.6	18.0 6.0
Sample size	3,212	809	820	85	739	759	759	2,054	837	321	1,104	369
Weighted total	271,274	81,325	144,976	7,863	19,469	17,642	180,301	74,770	16,204	101,805	43,003	46,970

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_88E (cont.)

How often past month felt afraid  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALL OF THE TIME	1.9%	1.8%	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
	* 1.0	1.1	0.9	1.2	1.3
MOST OF THE TIME	3.7	5.0	3.1	4.4	6.2
	* 1.2	3.5	1.3	1.5	7.6
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	4.2	8.5	4.0	5.5	6.1
	* 1.1	3.4	1.5	2.4	3.5
OCCASIONALLY	25.2	22.8	24.7	26.2	17.6
	* 2.7	4.7	3.4	3.0	4.4
RARELY	42.5	36.5	41.6	40.4	42.8
	* 2.7	4.2	3.4	3.4	10.3
NEVER	22.6	25.4	25.1	21.1	26.3
	* 2.8	4.9	3.4	2.7	6.2
Sample size	2,409	779	1,433	1,494	267
Weighted total	205,552	63,416	120,913	122,732	24,967

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_88F

How often past month felt hopeful  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
ALL OF THE TIME	20.0%	20.6%	20.0%	24.9%	18.7%	16.4%	19.0%	23.0%	17.4%	20.4%	17.1%	21.0%
	* 2.1	4.0	3.3	12.9	3.4	2.9	2.3	5.2	7.3	3.8	4.5	5.1
MOST OF THE TIME	38.6	40.7	35.1	30.1	44.0	54.8	39.3	36.9	37.5	38.3	37.9	37.4
	* 2.3	4.3	3.3	11.4	4.4	3.5	2.6	5.7	2.7	4.0	5.4	5.2
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	20.0	16.6	21.7	23.7	22.0	17.1	19.6	20.7	19.9	19.9	21.1	18.1
	* 2.0	2.5	3.5	7.8	3.2	3.2	1.9	5.4	4.8	3.2	4.2	3.6
OCCASIONALLY	14.5	15.4	15.2	11.3	11.9	9.2	15.1	11.8	20.8	14.1	17.1	16.2
	* 1.8	3.9	2.8	9.3	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.5	7.6	2.8	5.1	4.8
RARELY	4.5	4.4	5.3	3.8	2.3	2.2	4.3	5.6	2.1	5.4	3.8	4.9
	* 1.1	1.3	1.8	4.3	1.1	1.0	1.2	2.4	1.4	2.0	2.9	2.2
NEVER	2.4	2.3	2.7	6.3	1.1	0.3	2.6	1.9	2.2	1.9	3.1	2.3
	* 0.8	1.3	1.2	7.4	1.5	0.4	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.0	2.1	1.8
Sample size	3,206	809	818	85	736	758	2,050	835	321	1,104	369	507
Weighted total	270,631	81,147	144,611	7,863	19,389	17,621	180,108	74,320	16,204	101,685	42,978	46,564

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_88F (cont.)

How often past month felt hopeful  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ALL OF THE TIME	19.2% * 2.5	22.3% 4.8	18.4% 2.6	21.0% 3.3	22.7% 8.0
MOST OF THE TIME	38.0 * 2.3	40.0 5.0	41.2 4.6	38.0 3.4	30.3 8.6
MORE OFTEN THAN NOT	19.8 * 2.2	20.5 4.4	20.1 3.3	18.8 2.2	22.5 5.7
OCCASIONALLY	15.6 * 2.0	11.2 3.3	13.5 2.5	15.4 2.5	16.4 6.7
RARELY	4.8 * 1.3	3.9 1.8	4.1 1.4	5.1 1.9	4.1 3.4
NEVER	2.6 * 0.9	2.0 1.4	2.7 1.2	1.7 0.7	4.0 2.9
Sample size	2,402	780	1,430	1,492	267
Weighted total	204,842	63,483	120,611	122,636	24,967

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_89

# additional kids expected/next 5 years  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
NONE	53.3%	32.4%	62.2%	73.9%	41.5%	41.5%	80.6%	55.0%	49.2%	54.0%	46.8%	52.5%
	* 3.1	4.5	3.7	11.4	4.1	4.1	2.7	3.7	6.6	6.0	4.4	6.1
ONE	21.2	30.1	17.4	11.8	28.0	28.0	7.8	20.0	23.9	21.5	24.9	22.6
	* 2.0	4.1	2.8	8.3	4.3	4.3	1.9	2.6	3.2	9.6	3.9	5.7
TWO	20.0	32.2	14.9	11.4	23.2	23.2	7.4	19.1	22.1	21.5	22.7	21.5
	* 2.4	4.9	2.6	7.7	3.2	3.2	1.5	2.6	5.6	6.3	3.6	5.9
THREE OR MORE	5.4	5.3	5.6	3.0	7.3	7.3	4.1	5.9	4.9	3.0	5.6	3.4
	* 1.1	1.8	1.5	4.4	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.7	2.5	1.5	2.0
Sample size	3,222	812	826	85	737	737	762	2,061	837	324	1,108	508
Weighted total	272,606	81,307	146,297	7,863	19,415	19,415	17,724	181,550	74,701	16,354	102,621	46,454

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_89 (cont.)

# additional kids expected/next 5 years  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
NONE	58.7% * 3.4	36.5% 5.6	54.7% 3.5	53.1% 4.3	47.0% 9.0
ONE	18.9 * 2.1	28.4 6.0	19.3 2.9	22.5 2.9	23.7 6.5
TWO	16.2 * 2.1	32.5 4.8	22.3 2.6	17.0 3.3	26.1 9.6
THREE OR MORE	6.2 * 1.3	2.6 1.5	3.7 1.2	7.4 2.0	3.2 2.0
Sample size	2,426	779	1,437	1,501	267
Weighted total	207,607	63,326	121,617	123,889	24,683

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_90

# dependent children living with you  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	MCO	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TDA
NONE	23.5%	33.5%	17.8%	17.3%	33.4%	16.3%	23.0%	28.1%	24.8%	21.5%	25.4%	
	* 2.2	3.8	2.8	9.3	4.4	2.8	2.9	5.9	3.6	5.5	5.6	
ONE	28.7	36.0	26.2	19.9	28.9	19.0	27.8	30.8	31.6	31.6	31.8	
	* 2.4	4.4	3.6	10.8	3.7	2.7	3.3	2.9	4.0	5.3	7.0	
TWO	31.2	25.1	33.3	44.1	25.8	42.0	32.0	24.8	27.2	33.4	28.3	
	* 2.7	3.9	4.1	15.7	3.1	3.9	3.7	6.2	3.2	5.7	7.0	
THREE	11.6	4.4	15.2	16.3	9.2	16.3	11.5	11.5	10.6	10.1	10.3	
	* 1.5	1.5	2.4	10.4	1.6	3.2	1.7	6.5	2.3	3.4	3.5	
FOUR	3.8	0.9	5.6	2.3	2.0	5.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	3.0	3.3	
	* 1.3	0.5	2.5	3.2	1.0	1.5	1.8	4.5	3.2	3.2	2.1	
FIVE	0.9	0.1	1.5	0.0	0.5	0.4	1.0	0.1	1.0	0.4	0.9	
	* 0.5	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.2	1.2	0.7	1.0	
SIX OR MORE	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	
	* 0.2	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.1	
Sample size	3,220	812	819	86	740	763	2,062	323	1,106	371	511	
Weighted total	271,979	81,591	145,305	7,901	19,444	17,738	181,202	16,295	102,186	43,112	46,909	

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_90 (cont.)

# dependent children living with you  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

NONE	0.0%	100.0%	27.8%	14.6%	46.8%
	* 0.0	0.0	2.5	3.3	8.1
ONE	37.5	0.0	27.8	29.5	28.2
	* 3.2	0.0	3.0	3.4	8.6
TWO	40.8	0.0	30.5	35.0	17.7
	* 3.1	0.0	3.3	3.8	7.8
THREE	15.2	0.0	10.2	13.9	5.8
	* 1.8	0.0	2.2	2.7	2.9
FOUR	5.0	0.0	2.9	5.2	1.4
	* 1.7	0.0	1.5	2.3	2.1
FIVE	1.2	0.0	0.6	1.5	0.0
	* 0.7	0.0	0.7	1.0	0.0
SIX OR MORE	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1
	* 0.3	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3
Sample size	2,433	787	1,439	1,494	271
Weighted total	208,025	63,954	121,565	123,184	25,009

(\*) Standard Error

Amount time spent with children  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

**(\*) Standard Error**



Table SP\_91A (cont.)

Amount time spent with children  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SATISFIED	35.9% * 3.1	--	21.3% 3.7	50.3% 4.0	16.3% 8.2
SATISFIED	37.0 * 2.9	--	39.1 3.8	36.9 4.1	21.2 8.8
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	10.0 * 1.9	--	12.6 2.6	7.8 3.2	11.5 6.5
DISSATISFIED	14.2 * 2.0	--	23.6 3.3	4.2 1.5	32.8 11.9
VERY DISSATISFIED	3.0 * 0.9	--	3.5 1.7	0.7 0.5	18.1 8.4
Sample size	2,413	0	999	1,277	141
Weighted total	206,133	0	87,640	105,065	13,075

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_918

Ability to meet childrens emotional need  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY SATISFIED	28.1%	41.0%	23.0%	25.9%	34.0%	18.7%	28.7%	28.1%	21.1%	32.2%	28.0%	28.1%
	* 3.0	5.5	4.0	10.8	5.0	3.4	3.8	5.2	8.6	4.7	5.5	6.4
SATISFIED	47.3	42.8	48.8	39.4	45.3	56.9	47.8	46.1	47.5	48.5	40.7	45.8
	* 3.1	5.4	4.2	15.8	5.9	4.7	3.8	6.3	5.3	4.5	6.2	6.8
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	10.9	8.7	11.8	17.7	9.2	9.9	10.2	12.1	12.6	8.9	13.4	11.7
	* 1.9	3.3	3.0	9.7	2.9	2.7	2.3	3.9	4.1	3.2	5.4	4.4
DISSATISFIED	11.8	6.2	14.1	16.9	8.7	13.9	11.3	12.6	13.6	8.6	16.9	12.1
	* 2.0	2.2	2.9	9.8	3.3	2.8	2.1	5.2	2.6	3.4	5.2	3.9
VERY DISSATISFIED	1.9	1.3	2.4	0.0	2.8	0.7	1.9	1.2	5.2	1.8	1.1	2.2
	* 0.8	1.0	1.4	0.0	2.4	0.7	1.0	1.0	5.0	1.6	1.3	1.7
Sample size	2,418	558	659	68	499	634	1,572	619	227	798	280	372
Weighted total	206,271	53,686	118,388	6,530	12,928	14,739	138,405	56,205	11,661	76,558	33,921	34,370

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_91B (cont.)

Ability to meet childrens emotional need  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SATISFIED	28.2% * 3.0	--	20.5% 3.3	36.2% 4.0	16.3% 8.1
SATISFIED	47.3 * 3.1	--	47.2 4.3	48.4 4.6	34.7 6.2
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	10.8 * 1.9	--	13.0 3.0	7.9 2.4	21.6 10.2
DISSATISFIED	11.9 * 2.0	--	16.5 3.6	6.7 1.8	22.3 9.9
VERY DISSATISFIED	1.9 * 0.8	--	2.8 1.7	0.8 0.7	5.1 3.8
Sample size	2,405	0	996	1,271	141
Weighted total	204,882	0	87,408	104,033	13,075

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_91C

Ability to meet childrens learning needs  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			Officer	Officer								
VERY SATISFIED	27.7%	36.7%	23.2%	30.3%	33.5%	24.8%	29.2%	25.7%	19.6%	28.3%	32.8%	27.7%
	* 2.8	3.8	4.6	11.8	5.1	3.4	3.3	5.2	8.3	4.2	5.4	6.7
SATISFIED	46.5	38.9	49.4	42.7	45.6	52.7	46.9	45.0	48.1	49.3	41.7	40.7
	* 3.5	5.1	5.5	12.4	5.2	4.6	4.5	6.2	9.3	4.4	6.2	6.7
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	13.6	13.8	14.2	15.2	9.8	10.7	13.2	14.1	15.7	11.7	15.3	16.0
	* 2.0	4.2	2.9	9.3	2.5	3.0	2.5	3.2	11.6	3.0	6.1	5.7
DISSATISFIED	10.1	9.3	10.5	11.0	8.7	10.3	8.6	13.5	10.9	8.5	9.4	13.3
	* 1.5	2.5	2.1	6.5	2.9	2.1	1.5	4.1	6.8	3.0	4.6	3.6
VERY DISSATISFIED	2.1	1.3	2.7	0.8	2.3	1.4	2.1	1.6	5.7	2.2	0.7	2.2
	* 0.8	0.9	1.4	1.6	2.4	0.8	1.0	0.9	5.0	1.3	1.0	1.6
Sample size	2,415	556	658	68	499	634	1,570	619	226	796	279	371
Weighted total	205,838	53,385	118,260	6,530	12,939	14,723	137,924	56,265	11,649	76,326	33,785	34,124

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_91C (cont.)

Ability to meet childrens learning needs  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SATISFIED	27.7% * 2.8	--	21.6% 3.6	34.7% 3.8	13.4% 6.6
SATISFIED	46.4 * 3.5	--	49.4 4.3	44.8 5.0	41.2 8.8
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	13.7 * 2.0	--	13.2 2.9	12.5 2.9	21.8 9.9
DISSATISFIED	10.1 * 1.6	--	12.5 2.6	7.1 1.8	18.7 9.2
VERY DISSATISFIED	2.2 * 0.8	--	3.3 1.7	0.9 0.5	4.8 3.8
Sample size	2,401	0	997	1,269	140
Weighted total	204,436	0	87,448	103,834	13,047

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_91D

Overall quality of time spent w/children  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
				Officer								TDA
VERY SATISFIED	32.2%	48.9%	26.5%	26.7%	32.5%	19.4%	32.5%	33.5%	21.8%	35.5%	35.7%	30.0%
	* 2.8	5.6	4.0	10.3	4.4	3.2	3.2	5.9	4.5	4.2	6.4	6.8
SATISFIED	43.0	34.4	44.7	43.4	45.5	58.1	44.9	38.6	42.0	42.3	36.1	41.0
	* 2.9	5.6	3.8	13.6	6.0	4.0	3.6	5.2	13.7	4.8	6.8	7.9
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	9.4	5.9	10.5	14.3	9.7	10.3	8.0	11.0	17.7	8.8	12.1	10.2
	* 1.8	1.9	2.8	10.2	3.5	3.0	2.2	2.9	10.7	2.3	4.8	4.0
DISSATISFIED	13.3	9.2	15.9	12.7	9.2	11.7	12.5	14.8	16.1	12.2	14.3	14.8
	* 2.2	3.6	3.3	6.3	2.2	3.4	2.7	4.1	5.8	4.4	4.5	5.9
VERY DISSATISFIED	2.1	1.5	2.4	2.9	3.2	0.4	2.1	2.0	2.4	1.3	1.8	3.9
	* 0.8	1.3	1.3	4.2	2.1	0.5	1.0	1.4	2.2	1.1	1.7	2.6
Sample size	2,413	557	660	68	497	631	1,569	617	227	796	280	371
Weighted total	206,356	53,431	118,834	6,530	12,889	14,671	138,401	56,294	11,661	76,475	33,921	34,079

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_91D (cont.)

Overall quality of time spent w/children  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY SATISFIED	32.3% * 2.8	--	21.7% 3.2	43.2% 3.4	15.2% 8.4
SATISFIED	42.7 * 3.0	--	45.5 4.2	42.0 4.2	30.8 8.0
NEITHER SATISFIED NOR DISSATISFIED	9.4 * 1.8	--	11.6 2.5	7.2 1.8	13.4 8.6
DISSATISFIED	13.4 * 2.2	--	17.9 3.1	7.5 3.3	31.0 12.8
VERY DISSATISFIED	2.1 * 0.8	--	3.4 1.6	0.1 0.1	9.6 5.2
Sample size	2,399	0	994	1,269	141
Weighted total	204,954	0	87,413	104,358	13,075

(\*) Standard Error

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_92

Children 5 yrs old or less living w/you  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Com. y		Other		Combat		Combat		TDA	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	64.9%	85.2%	60.6%	25.3%	77.6%	31.8%	65.8%	63.9%	59.4%	69.7%	69.8%	66.2%
	* 3.0	3.3	3.9	11.9	5.5	4.3	3.3	6.1	18.3	4.6	8.3	6.9
NO	35.1	14.8	39.4	74.7	22.4	68.2	34.2	36.1	40.6	30.3	30.2	33.8
	* 3.0	3.3	3.9	11.9	5.5	4.3	3.3	6.1	18.3	4.6	8.3	6.9
Sample size	2,431	562	662	68	501	638	1,581	622	228	803	280	375
Weighted total	207,554	53,946	119,242	6,530	13,001	14,835	139,325	56,531	11,698	77,007	33,911	34,585

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_92 (cont.)

Children 5 yrs old or less living w/you  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	64.8% * 2.9	--	53.3% 4.3	74.5% 3.6	73.0% 9.3
NO	35.2 * 2.9	--	46.7 4.3	25.5 3.6	27.0 9.3
Sample size	2,416	0	1,002	1,278	141
Weighted total	206,201	0	87,711	105,014	13,075

(\*) Standard Error

(...) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_93

Where is youngest child < 6 cared for  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
ARMY CHILD CARE CENTER	6.1% * 1.6	5.2% 2.4	6.2% 2.4	--	--	8.7% 4.5	7.0% 3.3	4.5% 1.7	8.5% 3.2	13.8% 14.9	3.6% 1.9	5.9% 3.1 5.4
CIVILIAN CHILD CARE CENTER OFF-POST	3.9 * 1.3	3.9 1.9	3.6 2.0	--	--	4.4 2.6	7.2 4.6	5.4 1.9	0.7 0.7	1.9 1.5	3.4 1.6	3.0 3.7 2.9
ARMY PRESCHOOL PROGRAM/NURSERY SCHOOL	1.0 * 0.9	0.7 0.7	1.4 1.6	--	--	0.3 0.5	0.0 0.0	1.1 1.2	1.2 1.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 1.1 4.6
NON-ARMY PRESCHOOL/NURSERY SCHOOL	1.4 * 0.7	0.6 0.8	1.5 1.2	--	--	2.8 2.1	5.1 3.0	1.2 0.8	1.6 1.9	1.5 1.7	0.4 0.6	1.2 2.1 2.5
KINDERGARTEN	1.5 * 0.9	0.8 1.1	2.2 1.7	--	--	0.0 0.0	2.3 1.6	1.4 1.1	2.1 2.1	0.0 0.0	2.3 1.8	0.6 1.0 1.7
CAREGIVER IN YOUR HOME	53.2 * 3.4	58.5 5.4	47.0 5.2	--	--	64.6 6.2	61.6 6.4	54.5 4.6	51.2 4.7	46.3 6.6	55.2 5.0	58.1 8.9 46.0
ARMY-LICENSED FAMILY CHILD CARE HOME	6.8 * 2.4	5.1 2.7	8.8 3.4	--	--	2.7 1.5	2.8 2.3	5.6 2.7	9.4 5.4	7.5 7.5	6.1 3.5	8.7 5.0 4.6
CAREGIVER IN ANOTHER HOME <=6 CHILDREN	7.6 * 1.8	8.3 3.1	7.5 2.6	--	--	7.2 2.5	5.0 3.7	6.7 2.0	9.5 3.9	8.9 4.3	7.3 2.4	6.3 5.2 12.1 6.0
SMALL GROUP CHILD CARE HOME 6+ CHILDREN	0.7 * 0.5	0.7 0.9	0.7 0.8	--	--	0.5 0.7	0.4 0.8	0.8 0.7	0.5 0.7	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.8	2.1 2.4 0.0 0.0

Table SP\_93

Where is youngest child < 6 cared for  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
SPECIAL NEEDS CHILD DAY CARE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	--	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	* 0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OLDER BROTHER OR SISTER	1.8	0.2	3.0	--	0.1	3.8	1.7	0.7	8.5	1.9	1.1	1.1
	* 1.1	0.4	2.0		0.3	2.8	1.4	0.6	3.2	2.2	2.2	1.7
GRANDPARENT OR OTHER RELATIVE	4.6	3.1	6.4	--	1.5	0.4	5.0	3.4	5.2	6.0	1.8	4.1
	* 1.5	1.7	2.9		1.4	0.8	1.9	2.9	2.9	3.1	2.5	3.2
BABYSITTING COOPERATIVE AND/OR FRIENDS	11.5	12.7	11.7	--	7.1	4.4	12.1	10.8	6.4	13.2	10.4	7.0
	* 2.2	3.6	3.4		2.9	3.6	2.5	4.9	3.1	3.2	4.8	3.5
CHILD TAKES CARE OF SELF AT HOME	0.1	0.3	0.0	--	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
	* 0.2	0.5	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
Sample size	1,473	473	395	18	390	197	963	384	126	559	196	251
Weighted total	131,881	45,787	69,935	1,653	9,871	4,634	89,347	35,694	6,840	52,909	22,678	22,464

(\*) Standard Error

(---) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_93 (cont.)

Where is youngest child < 6 cared for  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
ARMY CHILD CARE CENTER	6.1% * 1.6	--	11.8% 3.9	1.6% 1.0	14.6% 7.7
CIVILIAN CHILD CARE CENTER OFF-POST	4.0 * 1.3	--	9.7 3.6	0.6 0.5	3.3 3.5
ARMY PRESCHOOL PROGRAM/NURSERY SCHOOL	1.0 * 0.9	--	1.5 1.4	0.7 1.3	1.7 3.4
NON-ARMY PRESCHOOL/NURSERY SCHOOL	1.4 * 0.7	--	3.5 1.9	0.2 0.2	0.9 1.4
KINDERGARTEN	1.5 * 0.9	--	2.3 1.9	0.8 1.0	3.6 5.0
CAREGIVER IN YOUR HOME	53.1 * 3.4	--	14.6 3.4	80.9 3.8	13.4 6.5
ARMY-LICENSED FAMILY CHILD CARE HOME	6.5 * 2.2	--	14.5 5.4	1.6 2.1	11.3 6.6
CAREGIVER IN ANOTHER HOME <=6 CHILDREN	7.5 * 1.7	--	15.4 3.7	0.8 0.9	25.0 11.8
SMALL GROUP CHILD CARE HOME 6+ CHILDREN	0.7 * 0.5	--	1.3 1.3	0.3 0.5	0.0 0.0
SPECIAL NEEDS CHILD DAY CARE	0.0 * 0.0	--	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0

Table SP\_93 (cont.)

Where is youngest child < 6 cared for  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
OLDER BROTHER OR SISTER	1.8% * 1.1	--	3.1% 2.2	1.3% 1.5	0.0% 0.0
GRANDPARENT OR OTHER RELATIVE	4.7 * 1.6	--	7.9 3.5	3.0 1.5	2.0 2.8
BABYSITTING COOPERATIVE AND/OR FRIENDS	11.5 * 2.2	--	14.5 4.0	8.2 2.5	22.8 12.1
CHILD TAKES CARE OF SELF AT HOME	0.1 * 0.2	--	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.3 2.7
Sample size	1,458	0	477	889	103
Weighted total	130,057	0	45,269	76,872	9,543

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_95

Rate your youngest child's child care  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
EXCELLENT	20.2%	21.3%	19.1%	--	21.5%	26.5%	19.8%	22.3%	13.9%	21.9%	18.7%	26.9%
	* 3.2	4.4	5.4		5.1	5.5	3.8	5.9	9.2	5.6	6.6	5.0
VERY GOOD	23.9	22.3	23.3	--	31.5	34.1	23.2	24.9	28.3	23.4	21.6	19.5
	* 3.0	4.9	5.1		5.6	5.7	3.5	6.7	6.5	4.4	8.1	7.0
GOOD	22.9	19.1	25.3	--	27.7	20.2	24.1	19.8	22.5	22.6	23.3	23.0
	* 2.8	3.5	4.4		4.5	5.3	3.6	5.1	6.8	4.1	6.6	6.5
FAIR	8.9	8.0	10.2	--	5.5	6.6	7.6	10.1	20.4	7.4	9.9	11.0
	* 2.4	2.7	3.7		2.6	3.4	2.6	3.9	10.8	3.2	6.1	4.0
POOR	2.2	2.9	1.5	--	1.7	2.8	2.0	3.0	0.5	1.7	2.5	1.3
	* 1.1	2.0	1.3		1.4	2.4	1.3	2.0	0.7	1.6	2.1	1.2
I DO NOT USE ANY CHILD CARE SERVICES	21.8	26.3	20.7	--	12.1	9.8	23.2	19.7	14.5	23.0	24.1	18.3
	* 3.2	4.8	5.5		3.1	3.4	4.1	5.0	5.5	5.8	6.8	5.2
Sample size	1,497	480	402	18	398	199	980	387	130	563	201	255
Weighted total	134,099	46,055	71,613	1,653	10,097	4,681	91,081	36,114	6,904	52,964	23,679	22,898

(\*) Standard Error

(...) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_95 (cont.)

Rate your youngest child's child care  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
EXCELLENT	20.2% * 3.2	--	24.6% 5.4	17.5% 4.1	21.3% 11.3
VERY GOOD	23.7 * 3.1	--	30.2 5.8	19.2 4.0	31.7 14.9
GOOD	23.1 * 2.8	--	27.8 4.3	20.0 3.6	23.1 10.0
FAIR	9.0 * 2.4	--	9.7 3.1	8.1 2.5	12.6 7.8
POOR	2.2 * 1.0	--	1.4 1.4	2.7 1.6	2.0 2.8
I DO NOT USE ANY CHILD CARE SERVICES	21.9 * 3.2	--	6.2 3.2	32.5 4.5	9.3 6.6
Sample size	1,489	0	492	896	105
Weighted total	132,973	0	46,534	77,825	9,544

(\*) Standard Error

(-- ) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SP\_96A

Help spouses w/child care member away  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
VERY OFTEN	7.3%	9.3%	6.9%	6.4%	6.8%	1.9%	7.1%	7.9%	6.3%	9.4%	5.0%	10.7%
	* 1.0	1.9	1.7	7.1	2.3	0.9	1.4	1.6	2.5	2.1	2.0	3.4
QUITE OFTEN	14.6	14.9	15.6	10.2	13.2	7.8	14.4	15.1	14.8	17.4	13.8	11.2
	* 1.8	2.6	3.0	6.4	3.2	2.5	2.3	3.3	7.8	2.7	3.6	3.8
OCCASIONALLY	33.6	33.6	33.7	40.3	32.2	31.0	31.7	39.1	28.5	35.0	35.3	34.0
	* 2.7	4.2	3.8	15.5	4.3	5.4	3.3	5.1	5.1	3.2	6.7	5.6
RARELY	13.2	9.4	14.1	18.5	16.5	17.6	12.9	14.3	11.7	12.4	14.8	13.3
	* 1.6	2.4	2.8	7.9	3.3	3.2	2.0	3.3	2.1	1.9	4.6	4.7
NEVER	31.4	32.8	29.7	24.6	31.3	41.6	33.9	23.6	38.7	25.8	31.1	30.7
	* 2.9	4.2	3.6	10.7	5.3	7.1	4.1	4.0	5.9	3.9	6.8	6.2
Sample size	3,230	811	824	85	743	767	2,069	841	320	1,110	373	510
Weighted total	271,213	81,629	144,669	7,619	19,508	17,788	180,765	74,456	15,993	101,168	43,455	46,845

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_96A (cont.)

Help spouses w/child care member away  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY OFTEN	8.1% * 1.3	4.8% 1.8	5.9% 1.5	9.5% 2.0	2.1% 3.0
QUITE OFTEN	16.3 * 2.1	9.2 2.6	14.0 2.5	16.6 2.5	7.7 3.4
OCCASIONALLY	36.1 * 3.1	25.5 4.1	31.1 3.2	37.3 3.5	29.3 7.2
RARELY	13.5 * 1.9	12.4 2.8	14.7 2.3	11.7 2.4	13.0 5.0
NEVER	26.0 * 2.9	48.1 4.7	34.3 3.8	24.9 3.4	48.0 8.6
Sample size	2,418	780	1,442	1,500	271
Weighted total	204,952	63,329	120,974	122,481	25,149

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_968

Help spouses w/child care in emergency  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY OFTEN	5.5% * 1.0	7.1% 1.9	1.9% 2.7	4.6% 1.5	0.9% 0.7	5.2% 1.3	6.6% 2.0	4.5% 2.7	8.2% 2.2	3.5% 2.2	7.5% 3.4
QUITE OFTEN	11.7 * 1.9	11.8 2.9	9.3 7.1	8.1 2.1	6.3 2.0	12.1 2.3	11.3 4.4	8.6 2.7	13.5 3.1	8.8 3.9	11.4 3.8
OCCASIONALLY	31.9 * 2.2	30.1 3.6	29.5 17.0	34.7 4.1	34.9 5.0	30.3 2.8	36.3 4.2	28.5 10.5	31.9 3.1	34.2 7.4	32.4 4.8
RARELY	17.6 * 1.9	17.0 2.8	20.0 9.4	19.6 5.1	18.2 2.6	17.3 2.2	18.0 3.8	18.5 10.3	16.8 2.9	20.6 5.5	15.2 4.1
NEVER	33.4 * 2.8	34.0 4.2	39.3 14.8	33.0 5.1	39.8 6.8	35.1 3.6	27.8 5.2	39.9 7.3	29.6 3.7	32.9 6.9	33.5 5.3
Sample size	3,226	809	85	743	766	2,066	841	319	1,106	373	511
Weighted total	270,247	81,026	144,298	7,619	19,535	17,769	74,524	15,898	100,413	43,455	46,933

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_968 (cont.)

Help spouses w/child care in emergency  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY OFTEN	6.2% * 1.2	3.5% 1.6	4.6% 1.6	6.5% 1.6	3.7% 3.9
QUITE OFTEN	12.9 * 2.1	8.2 3.0	9.8 2.6	15.1 2.8	5.1 3.4
OCCASIONALLY	36.0 * 2.7	18.9 4.3	31.7 3.7	33.3 2.9	26.7 9.3
RARELY	17.5 * 2.2	17.3 3.3	16.4 2.9	18.6 2.7	18.8 6.8
NEVER	27.4 * 2.7	52.0 5.5	37.6 4.2	26.6 3.3	45.6 7.4
Sample size	2,417	777	1,442	1,497	270
Weighted total	204,438	62,876	120,974	121,854	24,810

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_96C

Helped other spouses learn the ropes  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
VERY OFTEN	4.3%	4.9%	4.1%	3.0%	4.8%	4.2%	4.2%	3.6%	6.1%	5.0%	5.8%	4.4%
	* 1.0	1.5	1.5	3.2	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.2	1.7	4.4	1.6	2.7
QUITE OFTEN	11.2	8.9	11.4	13.9	13.0	17.5	17.5	9.6	15.0	11.6	13.2	10.6
	* 1.5	2.4	2.3	11.5	2.8	3.7	3.7	1.8	3.3	4.0	2.5	4.7
OCCASIONALLY	28.9	24.9	28.6	39.7	37.6	36.0	36.0	29.5	29.0	22.1	28.5	27.5
	* 1.9	2.9	3.1	14.1	4.4	4.0	4.0	2.4	3.7	6.0	3.6	5.4
RARELY	17.6	15.0	19.6	12.6	17.4	15.8	15.8	18.0	17.0	15.9	17.8	16.8
	* 1.8	3.4	2.6	8.3	3.2	3.6	3.6	2.1	4.3	4.9	3.4	5.0
NEVER	37.9	46.4	36.3	30.9	27.2	26.6	26.6	39.3	32.8	45.4	34.8	40.7
	* 2.7	4.4	3.6	12.4	4.9	7.4	7.4	3.4	5.4	5.8	4.3	4.9
Sample size	3,213	808	815	85	744	761	761	2,058	836	319	1,103	370
Weighted total	268,226	80,934	142,405	7,619	19,621	17,647	17,647	178,481	73,848	15,898	99,795	42,839
												508
												46,235

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_96C (cont.)

Helped other spouses learn the ropes  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

VERY OFTEN	3.9%	5.5%	3.7%	4.5%	5.4%
	* 1.0	2.3	1.4	1.5	4.1

QUITE OFTEN	12.3	8.0	12.4	10.9	7.2
	* 1.8	2.1	2.7	1.7	3.6

OCCASIONALLY	30.8	23.1	29.6	27.6	31.6
	* 2.2	3.6	3.2	2.1	8.2

RARELY	18.7	14.0	16.2	20.2	13.3
	* 2.0	2.7	2.7	3.0	5.8

NEVER	34.3	49.5	38.0	36.8	42.5
	* 2.7	4.4	3.4	4.3	11.7

Sample size	2,407	773	1,439	1,486	270
-------------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total	202,576	62,640	120,798	119,956	24,810
----------------	---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_960

Helped other spouses meet people  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY OFTEN	4.1%	4.6%	3.4%	2.1%	5.5%	7.4%	3.4%	5.8%	4.2%	4.3%	3.5%	5.5%
	* 0.9	1.6	1.3	2.4	1.8	2.4	1.0	2.3	3.2	1.3	2.5	2.7
QUITE OFTEN	11.9	11.0	10.0	20.7	17.5	21.3	10.8	14.6	11.4	14.4	10.2	11.2
	* 1.5	2.7	2.2	11.7	2.4	5.2	1.9	3.3	3.4	2.8	3.1	3.0
OCCASIONALLY	29.1	26.4	29.5	32.1	33.9	31.7	28.9	30.5	25.4	28.7	30.9	28.6
	* 2.6	3.6	3.8	12.6	5.3	4.3	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.9	5.4	5.5
RARELY	21.1	18.5	24.1	18.4	18.6	12.6	21.6	19.4	23.4	22.8	20.5	21.4
	* 2.1	3.0	3.3	10.1	2.7	2.4	2.9	2.4	5.0	3.8	4.2	3.9
NEVER	33.7	39.4	33.0	26.7	24.5	27.0	35.2	29.8	35.7	29.8	34.9	33.3
	* 2.9	4.5	4.0	10.4	5.0	9.1	4.2	3.7	5.4	4.4	4.6	4.5
Sample size	3,208	804	816	84	745	759	2,058	832	318	1,102	369	506
Weighted total	268,503	80,625	143,107	7,507	19,646	17,619	178,960	73,660	15,883	99,943	42,737	46,524

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_960 (cont.)

Helped other spouses meet people  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

VERY OFTEN	3.4%	5.5%	3.8%	3.9%	5.6%
------------	------	------	------	------	------

* 1.0	2.3	1.2	1.3	3.9
-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

QUITE OFTEN	12.1	11.6	12.0	12.0	10.6
-------------	------	------	------	------	------

* 1.7	2.7	2.1	2.5	4.5
-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

OCCASIONALLY	29.8	27.1	30.0	27.1	34.8
--------------	------	------	------	------	------

* 2.7	5.1	3.3	3.6	7.6
-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

RARELY	22.6	16.8	19.0	23.9	17.6
--------	------	------	------	------	------

* 2.4	3.6	2.2	3.5	5.3
-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

NEVER	32.1	39.1	35.2	33.2	31.3
-------	------	------	------	------	------

* 3.2	4.3	4.0	4.1	6.0
-------	-----	-----	-----	-----

Sample size	2,403	773	1,435	1,486	269
-------------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total	202,825	62,685	120,635	120,467	24,738
----------------	---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_96E

Helped other spouses get Army services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY OFTEN	5.9%	6.7%	5.5%	6.1%	4.7%	5.6%	6.3%	5.6%	6.3%	7.2%	5.9%	9.2%
	* 1.1	1.7	1.7	11.0	1.7	1.5	2.2	1.5	1.5	3.0	1.7	3.5
QUITE OFTEN	13.9	12.8	14.3	14.8	13.3	12.3	15.9	12.3	18.0	12.4	16.8	14.5
	* 1.6	2.3	2.4	8.2	2.3	2.2	4.2	2.2	2.5	3.4	2.9	3.8
OCCASIONALLY	31.2	28.7	31.7	31.1	36.4	30.9	33.2	30.9	32.0	31.5	30.9	31.6
	* 2.6	3.7	4.2	11.2	5.8	3.1	4.7	3.1	5.4	4.2	4.2	7.0
RARELY	17.4	15.6	18.2	19.2	21.2	17.3	14.6	17.3	18.3	14.6	18.3	16.7
	* 2.2	3.6	3.6	9.4	3.5	2.8	2.0	2.8	3.9	4.5	3.7	4.8
NEVER	31.6	36.2	30.3	28.8	24.4	33.9	29.9	33.9	25.4	34.3	28.1	28.1
	* 2.6	4.0	3.4	11.2	4.8	3.6	7.0	3.6	3.7	7.2	4.4	4.0
Sample size	3,222	808	819	85	745	2,063	765	2,063	840	319	1,106	508
Weighted total	269,171	80,637	143,535	7,619	19,646	178,961	17,735	178,961	74,312	15,898	100,432	46,341

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_96E (cont.)

Helped other spouses get Army services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

No	Spouse	Not	On Active
Children	Children	Employed	Duty
Employed	Employed	Employed	Duty

VERY OFTEN	5.6%	6.2%	5.6%	5.3%	9.1%
	* 1.2	3.0	1.5	1.7	6.2
QUITE OFTEN	15.2	10.1	14.3	13.9	12.7
	* 1.8	2.8	2.2	2.5	6.7
OCCASIONALLY	31.8	28.9	28.7	32.9	33.7
	* 2.7	4.5	3.8	3.2	9.3
RARELY	18.4	14.7	17.5	17.9	14.0
	* 2.4	3.3	3.0	2.4	6.9
NEVER	29.0	40.0	33.9	30.0	30.5
	* 3.0	4.4	3.4	3.5	7.2
Sample size	2,410	779	1,442	1,494	269
Weighted total	203,212	62,949	120,854	121,349	24,551

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_96F

Helped other spouses get civilian service  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY OFTEN	5.5%	6.3%	5.1%	8.0%	4.4%	4.8%	5.9%	4.8%	7.4%	5.1%	5.4%	4.5%
	* 1.0	1.8	1.5	11.1	1.6	1.1	2.1	1.1	2.2	3.7	1.7	2.2
QUITE OFTEN	11.7	10.9	11.5	14.2	12.7	11.1	15.5	11.1	13.8	8.8	13.6	10.8
	* 1.6	2.2	2.7	9.5	2.1	2.2	3.3	2.2	2.0	3.6	2.7	4.0
OCCASIONALLY	28.0	23.3	29.3	30.5	31.6	29.0	33.9	29.0	24.9	32.1	27.1	27.2
	* 2.3	3.6	3.5	8.1	4.3	2.9	4.4	2.9	4.4	3.7	2.8	5.0
RARELY	19.5	18.2	20.5	17.1	21.6	18.8	17.0	18.8	21.9	17.2	19.0	20.1
	* 1.8	2.4	3.0	9.3	3.6	2.4	2.4	2.4	3.0	4.4	2.4	5.3
NEVER	35.1	41.3	33.6	30.3	29.7	36.3	27.7	36.3	32.0	36.8	34.8	37.4
	* 2.7	4.3	3.3	11.3	5.6	3.7	6.7	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.5	6.7
Sample size	3,220	807	820	85	744	2,062	764	2,062	840	318	1,105	370
Weighted total	269,451	80,686	143,794	7,619	19,633	179,256	17,719	179,256	74,312	15,882	100,482	42,866
												46,600

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_96F (cont.)

Helpd other spouses get civilian service  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY OFTEN	5.5%	4.8%	6.1%	4.7%	6.1%
	* 1.1	1.8	1.5	1.4	4.1
QUITE OFTEN	13.0	8.2	13.3	11.2	7.8
	* 1.8	2.5	2.6	2.3	3.5
OCCASIONALLY	29.2	23.9	27.7	27.8	28.6
	* 2.7	4.8	3.0	3.0	7.9
RARELY	20.1	18.2	18.9	21.0	14.8
	* 2.2	3.0	2.9	2.8	4.5
NEVER	32.1	44.8	34.0	35.3	42.7
	* 2.7	4.4	4.0	3.7	6.8
Sample size	2,410	777	1,441	1,493	270
Weighted total	203,561	62,880	121,023	121,254	24,810

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97A1

Usefulness of budget counseling  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	MCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	53.5%	50.6%	53.9%	56.4%	58.1%	58.1%	56.6%	51.8%	58.7%	48.2%	55.9%	53.2%
	* 1.9	4.0	3.0	14.0	3.4	3.4	4.4	2.5	3.6	6.2	4.2	5.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	38.4	39.2	39.1	40.7	34.9	34.9	32.1	40.2	33.4	40.7	37.1	39.6
	* 2.1	4.8	3.2	13.8	3.1	3.1	3.3	2.8	3.3	8.5	4.0	6.4
NOT USEFUL	8.1	10.2	7.0	3.0	7.1	7.1	11.4	8.0	7.9	11.1	7.0	7.1
	* 1.4	3.2	2.0	2.6	2.4	2.4	3.8	1.5	3.2	4.3	2.1	3.5
Sample size	3,019	737	751	78	711	711	742	1,930	795	294	1,030	482
Weighted total	247,485	73,440	131,710	6,790	18,395	18,395	17,150	165,260	67,815	14,410	91,365	43,803

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97A1 (cont.)

Usefulness of budget counseling  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	53.8% * 2.2	51.1% 5.1	53.4% 3.5	52.9% 2.7	58.7% 9.6
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	37.5 * 2.3	42.6 5.9	39.8 3.3	37.9 3.3	32.3 11.2
NOT USEFUL	8.7 * 1.7	6.3 2.4	6.8 2.0	9.2 2.5	9.1 4.9
Sample size	2,260	731	1,364	1,389	253
Weighted total	188,122	56,957	112,370	110,319	23,157

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97A2

Have used budget counseling  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Field Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support
YES	7.6%	11.6%	7.1%	0.0%	3.7%	1.1%	7.5%	7.4%	9.8%	8.6%	8.8%	7.7%
	* 1.5	2.8	2.1	0.0	1.3	0.8	1.9	3.1	2.9	2.3	3.7	2.6
NO	92.4	88.4	92.9	100.0	96.3	98.9	92.5	92.6	90.2	91.4	91.2	92.3
	* 1.5	2.8	2.1	0.0	1.3	0.8	1.9	3.1	2.9	2.3	3.7	2.6
Sample size	3,162	785	803	85	736	753	2,022	830	310	1,088	363	499
Weighted total	263,897	78,445	140,969	7,619	19,426	17,438	175,315	73,004	15,578	99,023	42,018	45,248

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97A2 (cont.)

Have used budget counseling  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	7.8%	7.2%	6.4%	9.2%	5.6%
	* 1.8	3.2	2.2	2.4	3.4
NO	92.2	92.8	93.6	90.8	94.4
	* 1.8	3.2	2.2	2.4	3.4
Sample size	2,361	769	1,422	1,457	267
Weighted total	199,072	61,864	118,520	118,231	24,824

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9781

Usefulness of financial class for PCS  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Support	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	54.9%	53.7%	56.7%	54.0%	52.9%	49.0%	53.5%	58.6%	53.3%	57.7%	56.7%	53.8%
	* 2.8	5.5	3.7	12.2	4.3	3.5	4.0	3.0	6.0	4.1	6.0	4.6
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	37.3	36.8	36.7	43.3	39.6	39.5	38.9	33.8	36.7	36.3	36.9	36.7
	* 2.6	4.7	3.8	12.3	5.0	2.8	3.7	3.5	4.9	3.8	6.3	4.7
NOT USEFUL	7.8	9.4	6.6	2.7	7.6	11.5	7.6	7.6	10.0	6.1	6.4	9.5
	* 1.5	3.2	1.8	2.7	3.0	3.1	1.7	3.2	3.5	2.3	3.2	3.2
Sample size	2,992	726	743	79	705	739	1,907	791	294	1,021	338	477
Weighted total	244,688	72,165	130,236	6,935	18,245	17,107	162,368	67,767	14,552	90,322	38,787	43,278

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_9781 (cont.)

Usefulness of financial class for PCS  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	55.1% * 3.0	53.4% 5.8	55.4% 3.4	54.6% 4.3
				55.7% 8.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	36.7 * 2.7	40.4 5.9	37.3 3.3	37.6 3.5
				35.4 9.7
NOT USEFUL	8.2 * 1.8	6.2 1.8	7.3 1.9	7.9 2.4
				8.8 4.8
Sample size	2,240	726	1,354	1,374
Weighted total	186,020	56,315	111,690	108,681
				22,678

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9782

Wave used financial class for PCS  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCO	US	Support	TDA
YES	3.6%	3.6%	4.4%	0.6%	1.3%	1.0%	3.6%	2.8%	7.9%	4.2%	1.3%	4.0%
	* 1.1	2.2	1.5	1.2	0.9	0.8	1.5	1.3	2.2	1.6	1.3	2.2
NO	96.4	96.4	95.6	99.4	98.7	99.0	96.4	97.2	92.1	95.8	98.7	96.0
	* 1.1	2.2	1.5	1.2	0.9	0.8	1.5	1.3	2.2	1.6	1.3	2.2
Sample size	3,149	780	801	85	733	750	2,016	822	311	1,089	361	497
Weighted total	263,933	77,805	141,832	7,619	19,292	17,385	176,132	72,012	15,789	100,237	41,791	44,982

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9782 (cont.)

Have used financial class for PCS  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	3.5%	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%	4.8%
	* 1.2	2.5	1.5	1.9	2.8
NO	96.5	95.8	96.3	96.7	95.2
	* 1.2	2.5	1.5	1.9	2.8
Sample size	2,353	764	1,412	1,458	263
Weighted total	199,417	61,555	117,534	119,754	24,324

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97C1

Usefulness of emergency loan service  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	71.4%	73.6%	73.7%	49.3%	65.2%	59.9%	71.4%	72.6%	66.5%	74.5%	77.8%	68.2%
	* 1.9	4.2	2.4	12.4	2.8	4.9	2.7	4.0	6.6	2.8	3.9	5.1
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	24.1	20.5	23.0	48.7	30.5	31.6	24.6	22.2	27.2	21.4	19.2	27.1
	* 1.9	4.1	2.5	12.5	3.2	3.8	1.9	4.9	8.3	2.7	4.7	5.3
NOT USEFUL	4.4	5.9	3.2	2.0	4.3	8.5	3.9	5.2	6.3	4.1	2.9	4.7
	* 1.1	3.0	1.1	2.3	2.1	3.0	0.9	3.2	3.4	2.0	2.7	2.7
Sample size	3,029	748	755	78	707	741	1,934	796	299	1,040	343	482
Weighted total	249,141	74,399	132,467	6,897	18,246	17,132	166,085	68,384	14,672	92,767	39,506	43,427

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97C1 (cont.)

Usefulness of emergency loan service  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	72.4% * 2.0	69.0% 4.4	70.5% 2.9	72.8% 3.2	71.3% 6.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	23.0 * 1.9	27.8 4.4	25.4 2.5	22.7 2.9	23.2 7.3
NOT USEFUL	4.7 * 1.4	3.2 1.6	4.1 1.4	4.5 2.0	5.5 3.9
Sample size	2,271	731	1,364	1,401	249
Weighted total	189,791	56,927	112,388	112,530	22,241

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97C2

Have used emergency loan service  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCNUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	20.6%	31.4%	20.2%	5.6%	3.4%	1.6%	20.9%	4.3	5.1	18.2%	20.5%	26.9%
	* 3.2	4.3	4.0	5.0	1.3	0.8	4.3			4.9	5.4	6.4
NO	79.4	68.6	79.8	94.4	96.6	98.4	79.1	79.7	81.8	79.5	73.1	79.2
	* 3.2	4.3	4.0	5.0	1.3	0.8	4.3	5.1	4.9	5.4	6.4	6.5
Sample size	3,141	775	791	85	736	754	2,012	821	308	1,083	359	495
Weighted total	261,640	77,058	140,086	7,619	19,411	17,465	174,722	71,743	15,175	99,424	41,408	44,211

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97C2 (cont.)

Have used emergency loan service  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	22.4% * 3.8	15.6% 3.6	19.1% 3.2	23.7% 4.3	13.2% 5.9
NO	77.6 * 3.8	84.4 3.6	80.9 3.2	76.3 4.3	86.8 5.9
Sample size	2,349	760	1,416	1,448	260
Weighted total	198,141	60,517	117,513	117,815	23,726

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9701

Usefulness of spouse employment referral  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	63.9%	58.0%	65.5%	66.2%	71.4%	68.1%	63.7%	66.2%	55.2%	63.5%	65.4%	63.2%
	* 2.9	5.2	4.0	10.4	3.5	3.4	3.1	6.9	6.9	4.5	6.4	6.5
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	29.3	32.3	28.7	29.0	24.3	26.3	29.2	28.8	32.7	30.5	27.7	30.0
	* 2.6	4.0	3.6	10.7	3.6	2.9	3.0	5.5	9.4	4.5	5.5	6.7
NOT USEFUL	6.8	9.7	5.9	4.8	4.4	5.5	7.1	5.0	12.1	6.0	6.9	6.9
	* 1.4	3.3	1.7	5.0	1.6	1.5	1.4	3.2	2.9	1.8	3.6	3.1
Sample size	3,022	733	753	82	711	743	1,926	796	300	1,037	340	482
Weighted total	247,450	72,934	131,641	7,312	18,363	17,200	164,374	68,293	14,782	92,545	39,225	43,352

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_9701 (cont.)

Usefulness of spouse employment referral  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	65.1%	59.8%	68.3%	61.0%	57.6%
	* 3.2	4.6	3.8	4.1	10.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	27.7	35.1	24.6	31.9	38.5
	* 2.9	5.2	3.7	4.2	10.5
NOT USEFUL	7.2	5.1	7.1	7.0	3.9
	* 1.7	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.4
Sample size	2,263	731	1,370	1,393	246
Weighted total	187,922	57,092	112,699	110,765	22,347

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9702

Have used spouse employment referrals  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOWUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	22.5%	21.0%	24.3%	25.0%	20.6%	20.6%	16.2%	20.4%	29.1%	15.7%	22.3%	29.5%
	* 2.8	3.3	4.0	14.8	3.7	3.7	3.1	3.8	3.8	6.4	4.8	8.9
NO	77.5	79.0	75.7	75.0	79.4	83.8	83.8	79.6	70.9	84.3	77.7	70.5
	* 2.8	3.3	4.0	14.8	3.7	3.1	3.1	3.8	3.8	6.4	4.8	8.9
Sample size	3,129	775	793	84	731	746	2,004	819	306	1,078	359	493
Weighted total	262,521	77,473	140,943	7,506	19,299	17,300	175,633	71,665	15,223	99,426	41,608	44,468

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9702 (cont.)

Have used spouse employment referrals  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	22.5% * 3.5	23.0% 4.0	31.3% 4.3	17.5% 3.0	4.6% 3.4
NO	77.5 * 3.5	77.0 4.0	68.7 4.3	82.5 3.0	95.4 3.4
Sample size	2,333	765	1,407	1,442	264
Weighted total	198,493	61,310	117,258	118,600	24,342

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97E1

Usefulness of spouse career planning  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
												TDA
VERY USEFUL	53.0%	48.4%	54.4%	56.1%	58.0%	55.3%	55.3%	52.6%	55.3%	47.3%	54.9%	52.8%
	* 3.0	5.7	4.4	12.2	4.7	3.9	3.9	3.1	7.9	2.7	4.3	6.5
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	38.1	40.7	37.5	38.2	34.4	36.3	36.3	38.4	36.9	40.5	36.7	38.2
	* 2.9	5.0	4.3	11.2	4.7	3.4	3.4	3.1	7.6	3.2	4.8	6.5
NOT USEFUL	8.8	10.9	8.1	5.6	7.6	8.4	8.4	9.0	7.7	12.2	8.5	9.1
	* 1.4	3.8	1.9	4.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	1.5	3.5	1.3	2.2	3.6
Sample size	2,997	724	746	80	709	738	738	1,911	787	299	1,024	476
Weighted total	245,593	72,152	130,912	7,151	18,313	17,065	17,065	163,289	67,678	14,627	91,299	43,121

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97E1 (cont.)

Usefulness of spouse career planning  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	54.1% * 3.1	48.8% 5.7	57.4% 3.9	49.9% 3.6	47.2% 10.2
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	36.8 * 2.8	43.4 5.9	34.3 4.1	40.8 3.9	44.3 11.4
NOT USEFUL	9.1 * 1.6	7.8 2.7	8.3 1.7	9.3 3.0	8.5 3.9
Sample size	2,241	730	1,358	1,380	245
Weighted total	186,016	57,019	111,720	109,720	22,250

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97E2

Have used spouse career planning  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	6.9%	4.6%	8.5%	5.4%	5.7%	5.4%	5.4%	6.6%	7.7%	6.9%	7.5%	5.5%
	* 1.7	2.2	2.8	4.8	1.9	2.5	2.5	2.1	3.6	2.5	2.9	2.9
NO	93.1	95.4	91.5	94.6	94.3	94.6	93.4	93.4	92.3	93.1	92.5	94.5
	* 1.7	2.2	2.8	4.8	1.9	2.5	2.1	2.1	3.6	2.5	2.9	2.9
Sample size	3,119	775	792	85	723	744	2,000	815	304	1,075	356	494
Weighted total	262,260	77,509	140,922	7,619	19,025	17,185	175,698	71,436	15,125	99,290	41,184	44,710

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97E2 (cont.)

Have used spouse career planning  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Cr. Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	5.8%	10.2%	8.3%	6.1%	4.5%
	* 1.9	3.8	1.8	2.3	4.8
NO	94.2	89.8	91	93.9	95.5
	* 1.9	3.8	1.6	2.5	4.8
Sample size	2,328	760	1,402	1,435	264
Weighted total	197,944	61,267	117,000	118,205	24, '9

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97F1

Usefulness of spouse employment training  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		TDA	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	63.4%	57.6%	67.1%	64.4%	63.6%	57.9%	62.1%	67.8%	56.5%	64.7%	64.6%	62.2%
	* 2.8	4.9	3.5	12.6	4.5	3.7	3.1	6.8	5.4	3.9	6.4	5.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	29.0	33.2	26.5	19.1	29.6	33.1	29.8	26.6	30.7	28.4	29.4	29.5
	* 2.6	5.5	3.2	7.1	4.5	3.2	2.7	6.7	6.1	4.2	6.4	5.7
NOT USEFUL	7.7	9.1	6.4	16.5	6.8	9.0	8.1	5.6	12.8	6.9	6.0	8.3
	* 1.4	3.7	1.6	12.1	2.5	2.3	1.6	3.4	1.0	2.2	2.7	3.2
Sample size	2,996	726	747	79	709	735	1,906	791	299	1,026	341	477
Weighted total	245,175	72,043	130,836	7,065	18,281	16,950	162,677	67,805	14,694	91,634	39,107	42,947

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97F1 (cont.)

Usefulness of spouse employment training  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	64.7% * 3.0	58.4% 5.2	65.7% 3.8	63.5% 4.4	50.7% 9.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	27.4 * 2.8	34.8 5.4	26.2 3.7	29.2 4.3	42.5 10.8
NOT USEFUL	7.9 * 1.5	6.8 2.5	8.1 1.8	7.3 2.7	6.8 3.5
Sample size	2,242	729	1,360	1,376	247
Weighted total	186,190	56,692	111,813	109,416	22,307

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97f2

Have used spouse employment training  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	6.7%	6.8%	7.6%	4.8%	4.0%	3.6%	5.6%	9.7%	5.4%	6.9%	6.1%	7.2%
	* 1.0	2.4	1.9	4.9	1.2	2.1	1.1	2.4	1.9	2.0	2.9	3.6
NO	93.3	93.2	92.4	95.2	96.0	96.4	94.4	90.3	94.6	93.1	93.9	92.8
	* 1.0	2.4	1.9	4.9	1.2	2.1	1.1	2.4	1.9	2.0	2.9	3.6
Sample size	3,116	775	784	83	728	746	1,997	818	301	1,070	355	494
Weighted total	260,517	77,353	139,599	7,092	19,191	17,282	173,979	71,555	14,982	97,994	41,074	44,456

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97F2 (cont.)

Have used spouse employment training  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	6.2%	8.3%	8.6%	5.7%	3.3%
	* 1.4	2.8	1.8	1.7	4.5
NO	93.8	91.7	91.4	94.3	96.7
	* 1.4	2.8	1.8	1.7	4.5
Sample size	2,322	763	1,399	1,438	263
Weighted total	196,498	61,201	116,064	118,062	24,070

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97G1

Usefulness of community orientation  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	62.1%	58.3%	62.0%	61.4%	68.5%	71.9%	58.2%	72.0%	59.5%	61.4%	61.5%	62.5%
	* 2.1	4.1	3.0	12.3	3.4	3.9	2.8	3.8	5.0	4.3	5.5	4.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	31.7	32.8	32.1	34.2	29.3	25.0	35.6	22.6	30.7	32.2	30.9	32.2
	* 2.2	4.3	3.4	13.2	3.4	3.6	2.8	3.4	4.1	3.9	6.7	4.7
NOT USEFUL	6.2	8.8	5.9	4.4	2.2	3.2	6.3	5.4	9.7	6.4	7.6	5.3
	* 1.5	4.1	1.6	4.6	1.0	1.3	1.3	4.3	5.0	2.2	4.4	2.4
Sample size	3,010	729	747	79	713	742	1,918	797	295	1,030	339	480
Weighted total	246,176	72,779	130,675	7,065	18,441	17,215	163,365	68,110	14,701	91,544	39,019	43,292

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97G1 (cont.)

Usefulness of community orientation  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	63.5% * 2.5	58.0% 5.2	63.1% 3.3	61.8% 3.2
				58.5% 6.2
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	30.2 * 2.5	36.3 5.2	29.5 3.1	32.9 3.0
				37.3 6.7
NOT USEFUL	6.3 * 1.7	5.7 2.3	7.5 2.0	5.3 2.4
				4.1 3.3
Sample size	2,254	730	1,361	1,384
				251
Weighted total	187,041	56,725	112,084	109,363
				22,825

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97G2

Have used community orientation  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
YES	20.3%	12.2%	21.7%	31.2%	25.4%	33.9%	16.5%	28.1%	26.2%	19.5%	18.5%	19.6%
	* 2.5	2.8	3.6	12.6	3.9	6.5	2.8	5.4	7.3	3.0	6.0	4.6
NO	79.7	87.8	78.3	68.8	74.6	66.1	83.5	71.9	73.8	80.5	81.5	80.4
	* 2.5	2.8	3.6	12.6	3.9	6.5	2.8	5.4	7.3	3.0	6.0	4.6
Sample size	3,105	763	790	85	722	745	1,986	813	306	1,069	355	489
Weighted total	259,887	76,091	139,823	7,619	19,091	17,263	173,084	71,335	15,467	97,821	41,167	44,467

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9762 (cont.)

Have used community orientation  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	19.9%	21.9%	21.9%	17.4%	27.8%
	* 2.9	3.7	3.2	3.3	8.9
NO	80.1	78.1	78.1	82.6	72.2
	* 2.9	3.7	3.2	3.3	8.9
Sample size	2,315	758	1,394	1,433	261
Weighted total	196,846	60,434	116,220	116,816	24,265

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97M1

Usefulness of promote information  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	66.9%	62.5%	67.3%	68.0%	74.2%	74.7%	65.7%	69.7%	67.7%	67.4%	70.2%	66.0%
	* 2.1	4.4	3.1	11.0	3.2	4.0	2.7	4.0	3.2	3.8	5.3	4.5
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	28.1	30.3	28.0	29.2	23.9	23.3	29.8	25.1	22.4	28.5	23.2	29.4
	* 2.2	4.3	3.3	10.7	3.0	3.8	2.9	3.3	2.9	3.6	5.4	4.9
NOT USEFUL	5.0	7.1	4.7	2.8	1.9	2.0	4.5	5.2	9.9	4.1	6.6	4.6
	* 1.3	3.6	1.4	3.3	1.0	0.9	1.1	3.5	3.4	1.8	3.5	2.1
Sample size	3,014	732	750	78	714	740	1,922	797	295	1,035	340	479
Weighted total	246,448	72,951	130,917	7,019	18,431	17,131	163,862	67,957	14,629	92,009	38,999	43,209

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97W1 (cont.)

Usefulness of premove information  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	68.0% * 2.3	63.3% 4.4	67.8% 2.7	67.7% 3.5	60.4% 7.9
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	27.0 * 2.5	32.0 4.4	27.0 2.6	27.5 3.4	36.0 7.3
NOT USEFUL	5.0 * 1.5	4.7 2.0	5.3 1.7	4.8 2.4	3.7 3.2
Sample size	2,258	730	1,363	1,385	252
Weighted total	187,098	57,041	112,046	109,693	23,017

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97H2

Have used previous information  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
YES	23.7%	14.0%	25.7%	34.7%	29.4%	40.0%	22.8%	25.0%	28.4%	21.8%	17.8%
	* 2.3	3.3	3.2	13.4	4.0	5.4	2.6	4.4	12.1	3.5	4.5
NO	76.3	86.0	74.3	65.3	70.6	60.0	77.2	75.0	71.6	78.2	82.2
	* 2.3	3.3	3.2	13.4	4.0	5.4	2.6	4.4	12.1	3.5	4.5
Sample size	3,107	762	792	84	726	743	1,989	813	305	1,067	354
Weighted total	260,667	75,904	140,793	7,573	19,168	17,229	174,107	71,057	15,503	98,031	40,896
											44,517

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97H2 (cont.)

Have used premove information  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	24.2%	22.2%	24.7%	23.0%	23.5%
	* 2.4	4.6	3.3	3.4	9.0
NO	75.8	77.8	75.3	77.0	76.5
	* 2.4	4.6	3.3	2.4	9.0
Sample size	2,317	758	1,394	1,433	264
Weighted total	197,116	60,717	116,535	117,332	24,478

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9711

Usefulness of sponsorship assistance  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	60.4%	54.8%	60.3%	62.6%	70.6%		72.2%	57.1%	68.2%	60.9%	57.8%	62.1%
	* 2.4	4.4	3.4	13.0	3.7		4.6	2.9	4.8	3.7	4.1	6.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	32.0	35.1	31.8	35.5	26.8		25.0	35.6	24.2	28.7	35.1	28.0
	* 2.6	4.7	3.4	13.7	3.3		3.9	3.3	4.4	3.6	4.3	7.1
NOT USEFUL	7.6	10.1	7.9	1.9	2.6		2.8	7.4	7.6	10.4	7.1	9.9
	* 1.7	4.4	1.8	3.0	1.1		1.2	1.7	4.8	2.4	2.8	4.4
Sample size	3,007	727	747	78	711		744	1,920	792	295	1,030	336
Weighted total	245,388	72,375	130,322	7,019	18,458		17,215	162,976	67,750	14,663	91,352	38,303
												43,610

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9711 (cont.)

Usefulness of sponsorship assistance  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	61.7% * 2.6	56.1% 5.1	59.0% 3.1	61.2% 3.7 62.7% 7.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	30.5 * 2.7	37.2 5.5	32.2 2.6	31.8 3.6 32.5 8.0
NOT USEFUL	7.8 * 2.0	6.7 2.6	8.8 2.4	6.9 2.5 4.8 3.4
Sample size	2,249	733	1,360	1,382 252
Weighted total	186,007	57,175	111,695	109,177 22,878

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9712

Have used sponsorship assistance  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	20.5%	10.1%	20.0%	30.7%	38.4%	46.2%	17.1%	28.0%	24.8%	18.4%	15.5%	20.7%
	* 2.9	2.3	3.8	10.6	4.6	7.3	2.0	9.0	2.0	2.9	6.1	4.1
NO	79.5	89.9	80.0	69.3	61.6	53.8	82.9	72.0	75.2	81.6	84.5	79.3
	* 2.9	2.3	3.8	10.6	4.6	7.3	2.0	9.0	2.0	2.9	6.1	4.1
Sample size	3,106	762	791	84	725	744	1,995	809	302	1,072	354	483
Weighted total	260,250	76,104	140,188	7,573	19,142	17,244	174,342	70,615	15,294	98,078	41,056	44,104

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9712 (cont.)

Have used sponsorship assistance  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	21.0%	18.7%	19.8%	19.4%	29.1%
	* 3.0	4.6	3.1	3.6	8.3
NO	79.0	81.3	80.2	80.6	70.9
	* 3.0	4.6	3.1	3.6	8.3
Sample size	2,312	762	1,394	1,435	261
Weighted total	196,437	60,980	115,875	117,894	24,159

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97J1

Usefulness of lending closet  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	62.4% * 2.6	59.3% 3.6	62.6% 3.7	65.3% 13.1	67.3% 4.3	67.3% 4.4	59.4% 3.8	69.5% 2.8	63.0% 4.2	62.1% 4.2	67.7% 5.7	63.7% 5.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	31.6% * 2.3	33.0% 4.3	31.7% 3.2	30.4% 12.2	28.0% 4.0	28.7% 4.0	34.6% 3.3	24.7% 2.7	29.5% 4.1	32.1% 4.0	24.7% 5.1	31.7% 4.9
NOT USEFUL	6.0% * 1.3	7.6% 3.1	5.7% 1.7	4.3% 6.3	4.7% 1.5	4.0% 2.5	6.0% 1.5	5.8% 3.1	7.5% 5.0	5.8% 2.6	7.6% 3.8	4.6% 2.2
Sample size	3,008	724	756	78	706	744	1,919	792	297	1,029	339	478
Weighted total	246,415	71,898	132,047	7,019	18,259	17,192	163,954	67,601	14,861	91,814	38,676	43,164

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97J1 (cont.)

Usefulness of lending closet  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	64.1% * 2.5	57.3% 4.8	60.3% 3.6	64.0% 3.1	65.2% 8.5
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	30.2 * 2.5	35.7 4.1	32.9 2.9	30.2 2.9	32.8 8.1
NOT USEFUL	5.6 * 1.5	7.0 2.9	6.9 2.5	5.9 1.5	2.0 1.6
Sample size	2,260	723	1,357	1,389	249
Weighted total	187,797	56,521	111,351	110,741	22,684

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97J2

Have used lending closet  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
YES	28.4%	22.5%	31.0%	39.1%	24.8%	31.8%	23.8%	38.3%	34.6%	25.2%	32.6%	29.7%
	* 2.8	4.4	3.7	13.5	3.9	4.7	3.5	4.8	5.7	4.5	6.4	5.9
NO	71.6	77.5	69.0	60.9	75.2	68.2	76.2	61.7	65.4	74.8	67.4	70.3
	* 2.8	4.4	3.7	13.5	3.9	4.7	3.5	4.8	5.7	4.5	6.4	5.9
Sample size	3,104	765	787	84	728	740	1,992	807	305	1,067	356	486
Weighted total	259,635	76,181	139,553	7,573	19,226	17,102	173,671	70,491	15,473	97,439	41,163	44,294

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97J2 (cont.)

Have used lending closet  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	31.3%	19.1%	29.9%	27.0%	27.4%
	* 3.2	4.4	3.5	3.9	6.0
NO	68.7	80.9	70.1	73.0	72.6
	* 3.2	4.4	3.5	3.9	6.0
Sample size	2,314	760	1,394	1,432	264
Weighted total	195,835	61,050	115,431	117,728	24,353

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97K1

Usefulness of relocation counseling  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	50.5%	50.0%	50.6%	51.0%	50.6%	50.6%	52.0%	47.7%	57.1%	51.3%	52.9%	52.6%
	* 2.6	4.5	4.1	11.2	3.4	3.4	3.7	3.5	5.3	5.1	4.4	7.2
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	40.5	38.4	41.4	38.4	42.9	42.9	40.3	43.5	34.7	33.5	39.5	35.0
	* 2.6	4.2	4.0	11.1	3.3	3.3	4.4	3.1	5.6	2.3	4.1	7.5
NOT USEFUL	9.0	11.6	8.0	10.6	6.5	6.5	7.8	8.8	8.2	15.2	7.6	12.4
	* 1.6	4.7	1.8	7.1	2.3	2.3	2.2	1.6	4.1	6.3	1.8	4.6
Sample size	2,984	719	744	78	703	703	740	1,902	789	293	1,019	337
Weighted total	244,037	71,667	130,137	7,019	18,119	18,119	17,096	162,152	67,440	14,446	90,468	38,789
												43,511

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97K1 (cont.)

Usefulness of relocation counseling  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	51.3% * 2.5	48.4% 6.0	52.0% 3.3	49.0% 3.6
				50.3% 7.1
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	39.4 * 2.9	44.0 5.9	38.1 3.0	42.4 3.3
				43.9 7.6
NOT USEFUL	9.3 * 2.0	7.6 2.9	9.9 2.1	8.6 2.7
				5.9 4.0
Sample size	2,234	724	1,350	1,372
Weighted total	185,551	56,178	110,943	108,948
				22,507

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97K2

Have used relocation counseling  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	6.3%	4.0%	7.7%	7.7%	5.5%	5.9%	4.9%	5.9%	7.4%	4.5%	6.5%	7.6%
	* 1.2	1.7	1.9	11.2	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.6	2.4	1.8	2.0	2.9
NO	93.7	96.0	92.3	92.3	94.5	94.1	95.1	94.1	92.6	95.5	93.5	92.4
	* 1.2	1.7	1.9	11.2	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.6	2.4	1.8	2.0	2.9
Sample size	3,102	764	790	84	723	741	1,990	809	303	1,063	359	485
Weighted total	260,330	76,552	139,928	7,573	19,143	17,134	174,085	71,070	15,174	97,783	41,450	44,197

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97X2 (cont.)

Wave used relocation counseling  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	6.7%	4.7%	6.9%	5.4%	8.1%
	* 1.5	2.4	1.9	1.6	4.0
NO	93.3	95.3	93.1	94.6	91.9
	* 1.5	2.4	1.9	1.6	4.0
Sample size	2,314	756	1,395	1,429	264
Weighted total	196,383	61,114	115,755	117,816	24,636

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97L1

Usefulness-directory community services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		TDA	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	
VERY USEFUL	64.9% * 2.2	58.3% 3.9	64.9% 3.0	69.5% 10.0	76.5% 3.2	78.6% 3.9	62.6% 2.8	71.6% 3.7	60.5% 9.2	63.6% 4.0	68.9% 5.2	64.7% 4.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	30.9 * 2.5	34.6 4.5	31.7 3.2	29.3 10.2	22.2 3.3	19.0 3.6	33.5 3.1	23.6 4.5	34.2 6.4	33.1 3.9	25.5 7.0	30.4 5.5
NOT USEFUL	4.2 * 1.4	7.1 4.2	3.4 1.2	1.1 2.2	1.3 0.8	2.4 1.4	3.9 1.1	4.8 4.3	5.4 5.0	3.3 1.8	5.7 4.3	4.9 2.5
Sample size	3,004	726	751	78	711	738	1,915	790	299	1,027	339	478
Weighted total	246,292	72,277	131,562	7,027	18,364	17,062	164,292	67,408	14,592	92,160	38,516	43,068

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97L1 (cont.)

Usefulness-directory community services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	65.5%	62.7%	67.2%	64.3%	57.6%
	* 2.6	5.2	2.8	3.2	7.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	30.0	34.4	28.6	31.5	38.4
	* 2.9	5.9	2.5	3.6	7.8
NOT USEFUL	4.5	2.9	4.1	4.2	4.0
	* 1.7	1.7	1.7	2.4	2.7
Sample size	2,255	722	1,355	1,386	249
Weighted total	187,408	56,308	111,065	110,756	22,568

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97L2

Have used directory of community service  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	38.1%	26.1%	39.9%	47.7%	50.7%	58.5%	36.2%	43.2%	35.4%	35.4%	36.8%	38.1%
	* 2.8	3.6	3.8	12.7	4.6	4.9	3.5	5.2	12.3	4.6	5.5	5.1
NO	61.9	73.9	60.1	52.3	49.3	41.5	63.8	56.8	64.6	64.6	63.2	61.9
	* 2.8	3.8	3.8	12.7	4.6	4.9	3.5	5.2	12.3	4.6	5.5	5.1
Sample size	3,106	767	791	84	722	742	1,982	813	311	1,068	352	490
Weighted total	259,274	76,771	138,850	7,531	18,971	17,150	172,276	71,411	15,559	96,100	40,881	44,931

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97L2 (cont.)

Have used directory of community service  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

YES	40.2%	31.6%	41.0%	37.1%	30.0%
	* 3.2	4.7	3.5	4.4	7.0

NO	59.8	68.4	59.0	62.9	70.0
	* 3.2	4.7	3.5	4.4	7.0

Sample size	2,317	757	1,400	1,430	260
-------------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total	195,160	61,194	117,126	115,570	24,169
----------------	---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97M1

Usefulness-services for families offpost  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	66.7%	67.0%	66.2%	66.0%	67.9%	67.9%	68.4%	65.0%	72.4%	60.2%	68.1%	71.7%
	* 2.7	3.9	4.2	10.4	4.0	4.0	3.1	3.2	6.0	6.7	4.4	5.8
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	26.3	24.9	26.7	30.9	27.3	27.3	25.8	28.4	20.6	29.1	26.3	20.8
	* 2.3	3.9	3.7	8.4	3.9	3.9	3.6	2.8	4.7	2.9	3.7	5.9
NOT USEFUL	7.0	8.1	7.0	3.1	4.7	4.7	5.8	6.6	6.9	10.8	5.6	7.4
	* 1.6	3.5	2.1	3.4	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.4	4.7	4.4	1.9	5.1
Sample size	3,006	729	750	78	711	711	738	1,910	794	302	1,028	339
Weighted total	246,165	72,738	130,993	7,027	18,357	18,357	17,050	163,472	67,838	14,855	92,065	38,692
												481
												43,224

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97M1 (cont.)

Usefulness-services for families offpost  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	68.1%	63.2%	69.0%	65.9%	59.9%
	* 3.1	4.4	3.4	4.2	6.9
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	24.7	31.0	24.6	26.5	33.9
	* 2.3	4.8	3.0	3.3	7.3
NOT USEFUL	7.2	5.8	6.3	7.7	6.2
	* 2.1	2.2	1.7	3.3	3.9
Sample size	2,250	728	1,356	1,385	252
Weighted total	186,929	56,776	111,679	110,194	22,653

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97M2

Have used services for families offpost  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	17.6%	17.3%	18.0%	16.8%	14.4%	19.0%	16.7%	20.0%	16.4%	19.4%	18.2%	15.2%
	* 2.0	2.8	2.9	11.1	2.3	2.8	2.5	3.5	4.2	3.6	3.8	4.0
NO	82.4	82.7	82.0	83.2	85.6	81.0	83.3	80.0	83.6	80.6	81.8	84.8
	* 2.0	2.8	2.9	11.1	2.3	2.8	2.5	3.5	4.2	3.6	3.8	4.0
Sample size	3,107	768	788	85	726	740	1,987	813	307	1,067	356	491
Weighted total	259,458	76,865	138,712	7,619	19,156	17,106	172,769	71,382	15,307	96,647	41,181	44,915

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97M2 (cont.)

Have used services for families offpost  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

YES	17.7%	17.0%	20.9%	14.6%	16.0%
	* 2.2	3.4	2.9	2.9	7.5

NO	82.3	83.0	79.1	85.4	84.0
	* 2.2	3.4	2.9	2.9	7.5

Sample size	2,319	759	1,402	1,430	260
-------------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

Weighted total	195,693	61,258	117,388	115,885	24,041
----------------	---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97N1

Usefulness of family separation services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	72.3%	69.7%	73.6%	71.0%	71.6%	71.6%	75.2%	72.8%	71.4%	71.0%	72.1%	72.1%
	* 2.3	4.2	3.6	11.7	3.3	3.3	3.1	2.6	5.1	5.2	4.6	5.0
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	22.2	22.5	21.7	27.0	24.4	24.4	20.5	22.3	23.0	18.0	22.6	19.4
	* 2.2	4.1	3.4	11.7	3.3	3.3	2.7	2.5	4.8	5.5	3.7	6.2
NOT USEFUL	5.5	7.8	4.7	2.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.9	5.6	11.0	5.3	4.0
	* 1.5	3.5	1.8	2.6	1.4	1.4	2.4	1.2	3.9	3.7	2.2	2.7
Sample size	2,988	725	741	78	706	706	738	1,903	787	298	1,028	333
Weighted total	244,755	72,399	130,151	7,027	18,131	18,131	17,047	162,366	67,667	14,722	92,337	37,891
												42,647

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97N1 (cont.)

Usefulness of family separation services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	74.0% * 2.8	66.9% 3.8	72.0% 3.4	74.3% 3.0	66.6% 7.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	20.4 * 2.5	28.4 4.4	23.0 2.9	20.1 2.5	29.2 8.0
NOT USEFUL	5.6 * 1.8	4.6 2.0	5.0 1.6	5.5 2.4	4.3 2.9
Sample size	2,242	721	1,349	1,375	250
Weighted total	186,288	56,315	110,960	108,708	22,886

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97N2

Have used family separation services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other	COMUS	Service Support	Service Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	7.6%	5.6%	9.2%	7.7%	6.5%	5.0%	7.5%	7.9%	7.4%	10.0%	5.9%	5.8%
	* 1.2	2.0	1.8	7.0	2.0	1.9	1.4	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.9	2.6
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	92.4	94.4	90.8	92.3	93.5	95.0	92.5	92.1	92.6	90.0	94.1	94.2
	* 1.2	2.0	1.8	7.0	2.0	1.9	1.4	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.9	2.6
Sample size	3,085	763	785	83	717	737	1,967	808	310	1,055	353	492
Weighted total	258,244	76,342	138,458	7,453	18,876	17,115	171,795	70,746	15,703	95,962	40,739	44,908

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97N2 (cont.)

Have used family separation services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	7.7%	6.5%	8.4%	7.4%	5.0%
	* 1.4	2.3	2.1	2.1	3.0
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	92.3	93.5	91.6	92.6	95.0
	* 1.4	2.3	2.1	2.1	3.0
Sample size	2,303	752	1,390	1,421	260
Weighted total	194,831	60,791	116,523	115,347	24,236

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9701

Usefulness-Information/referral services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TOA
VERY USEFUL	64.1%	61.3%	64.6%	57.4%	67.7%	67.7%	70.3%	62.4%	68.8%	60.2%	64.9%	62.5%
	* 2.0	4.3	3.4	9.3	3.7	3.7	4.4	2.4	3.9	5.1	3.3	5.6
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	31.8	32.2	31.8	40.1	30.2	30.2	27.5	33.5	27.4	32.7	31.5	33.7
	* 2.1	5.2	3.6	10.3	4.1	4.1	4.2	2.4	4.4	3.5	2.8	5.7
NOT USEFUL	4.2	6.5	3.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.2	4.1	3.8	7.2	3.5	3.8
	* 1.3	3.8	1.5	4.6	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.2	3.7	2.7	1.9	2.2
Sample size	3,001	724	751	79	714	733	1,907	792	302	1,034	335	475
Weighted total	246,232	72,741	130,967	7,140	18,454	16,929	68,111	15,052	92,493	38,592	42,845	

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9701 (cont.)

Usefulness-information/referral services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	65.4% * 2.5	59.3% 4.2	66.9% 3.2	62.4% 3.1 58.3% 8.0
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	30.4 * 2.6	37.0 4.7	29.0 3.0	33.1 3.4 39.7 8.4
NOT USEFUL	4.2 * 1.6	3.7 1.9	4.1 1.7	4.5 2.4 1.9 1.8
Sample size	2,246	729	1,354	1,381 253
Weighted total	186,865	57,137	111,512	109,822 23,259

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9702

Have used information/referral services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
YES	30.7%	24.9%	31.7%	42.2%	37.1%	37.2%	28.0%	37.2%	31.6%	30.6%	32.7%
	* 2.3	3.6	3.4	13.8	3.9	5.5	3.0	4.2	6.7	3.6	5.5
NO	69.3	75.1	68.3	57.8	62.9	62.8	72.0	62.8	68.4	69.4	67.3
	* 2.3	3.6	3.4	13.8	3.9	5.5	3.0	4.2	6.7	3.6	5.5
Sample size	3,079	761	784	82	719	733	1,964	808	307	1,052	490
Weighted total	257,468	75,852	138,434	7,340	18,875	16,967	171,084	70,753	15,631	95,477	44,801

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9702 (cont.)

Have used information/referral services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	32.6%	24.4%	31.7%	29.6%	32.9%
	* 2.6	4.5	3.7	3.0	7.0
NO	67.4	75.6	68.3	70.4	67.1
	* 2.6	4.5	3.7	3.0	7.0
Sample size	2,295	753	1,390	1,414	261
Weighted total	194,358	60,455	116,680	114,644	24,006

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97P1

Usefulness of Libraries  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	72.0%	68.5%	72.3%	78.2%	76.5%	78.0%	68.3%	81.5%	69.5%	69.5%	78.0%	74.8%
	* 2.0	3.5	3.0	12.7	3.3	4.1	2.7	3.4	6.4	4.0	7.1	3.5
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	25.3	28.6	25.2	19.0	20.9	19.8	28.7	17.1	26.8	27.9	21.2	22.9
	* 1.7	3.6	2.9	11.4	3.1	3.3	2.3	2.5	6.1	3.5	6.9	4.2
NOT USEFUL	2.6	3.0	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.2	3.0	1.5	3.8	2.6	0.8	2.3
	* 1.0	2.1	1.2	4.9	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.9	2.0	1.4	1.0	2.0
Sample size	3,053	744	761	81	721	746	1,936	807	310	1,049	347	483
Weighted total	250,985	74,412	133,272	7,270	18,752	17,279	165,749	69,822	15,414	94,950	39,646	42,675

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97p1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Libraries  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	73.7%	66.2%	71.0%	73.8%	68.3%
	* 2.7	4.4	3.3	3.4	6.9
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	23.8	31.0	25.4	24.5	30.1
	* 2.4	4.3	2.4	3.4	6.5
NOT USEFUL	2.5	2.8	3.6	1.7	1.6
	* 1.1	1.9	1.6	0.8	1.5
Sample size	2,287	740	1,368	1,415	256
Weighted total	191,300	57,522	112,642	113,347	22,795

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97P2

Have used libraries  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
YES	65.5%	56.8%	68.0%	75.4%	71.5%	72.3%	62.4%	72.2%	69.9%	62.2%	64.8%	67.9%
	* 3.2	5.3	4.5	12.4	5.0	6.7	4.3	3.6	11.1	5.2	6.4	4.8
NO	34.5	43.2	32.0	24.6	28.5	27.7	37.6	27.8	30.1	37.8	35.2	32.1
	* 3.2	5.3	4.5	12.4	5.0	6.7	4.3	3.6	11.1	5.2	6.4	4.8
Sample size	3,093	756	787	85	721	744	1,972	815	306	1,061	352	490
Weighted total	257,037	75,176	138,032	7,619	18,995	17,213	170,887	70,939	15,210	96,275	40,379	44,283

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97P2 (cont.)

Have used libraries  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	67.3% * 3.5	60.2% 5.4	65.0% 4.2	65.1% 3.5	70.7% 6.8
NO	32.7 * 3.5	39.8 5.4	35.0 4.2	34.9 3.5	29.3 6.8
Sample size	2,308	755	1,402	1,418	258
Weighted total	194,307	60,108	116,921	114,411	23,561

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9701

Usefulness - housing location referrals  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	70.9%	67.6%	70.9%	75.0%	76.7%	76.3%	76.3%	69.3%	75.5%	66.7%	70.0%	72.5%
	* 2.6	3.9	3.7	11.8	3.4	3.0	3.1	5.8	6.9	3.9	5.7	74.3%
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	24.2	25.9	24.0	25.0	21.2	21.2	21.2	26.5	18.1	27.0	25.8	21.3
	* 2.1	3.9	3.1	11.8	3.3	2.8	2.9	2.7	5.8	3.2	4.2	21.1
NOT USEFUL	5.0	6.5	5.0	0.0	2.2	2.6	4.2	6.4	6.2	6.2	4.2	6.3
	* 1.6	3.1	1.7	0.0	1.2	1.0	1.3	4.8	3.0	2.0	4.9	3.2
Sample size	3,033	739	756	79	718	741	1,923	805	305	1,045	341	483
Weighted total	248,216	73,654	131,898	7,065	18,478	17,120	164,286	68,938	14,992	93,543	38,893	43,191

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9701 (cont.)

Usefulness - housing location referrals  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	72.3% * 2.9	67.0% 5.2	71.7% 3.3	70.3% 4.0
				71.1% 6.6
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	22.9 * 2.1	28.0 5.6	23.4 2.6	24.7 3.1
				24.4 7.3
NOT USEFUL	4.8 * 2.1	5.0 2.6	4.9 1.7	4.9 3.3
				4.5 2.6
Sample size	2,268	736	1,367	1,399
				254
Weighted total	188,564	57,226	112,446	111,348
				22,783

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9702

Have used housing location referrals  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	47.2%	41.3%	51.0%	44.2%	46.5%	44.6%	45.1%	44.6%	54.3%	43.6%	46.3%	47.3%
	* 2.8	4.3	3.9	14.0	4.7	3.7	5.7	3.7	3.7	5.5	4.2	6.6
NO	52.8	58.7	49.0	55.8	53.5	55.4	54.9	55.4	45.7	56.4	53.7	52.7
	* 2.8	4.3	3.9	14.0	4.7	3.7	5.7	3.7	3.7	5.5	4.2	6.6
Sample size	3,092	764	783	83	721	1,977	741	1,977	809	306	1,060	350
Weighted total	257,010	76,190	137,313	7,418	18,941	171,008	17,148	171,008	70,799	15,204	96,073	39,952
												45,094

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9702 (cont.)

Have used housing location referrals  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	48.6%	43.0%	48.3%	44.2%	59.3%
	* 3.1	5.6	3.4	3.9	8.0
NO	51.4	57.0	51.7	55.8	40.7
	* 3.1	5.6	3.4	3.9	8.0
Sample size	2,307	755	1,394	1,422	261
Weighted total	193,897	60,524	116,253	114,652	23,962

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97R1

Usefulness of legal services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade	COMUS		Other OCONUS		Combat Support		Combat Service Support	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer		Europe						TDA
VERY USEFUL	74.7%	72.4%	73.7%	88.7%	79.6%	80.9%	74.2%	76.9%	70.4%	72.9%	77.3%	74.8%	
	* 1.9	4.4	3.4	7.4	2.6	3.4	2.4	3.7	5.3	3.4	5.2	3.9	
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	22.1	22.4	23.7	11.3	18.8	17.5	23.3	19.0	23.8	23.0	19.1	23.2	
	* 1.8	3.9	3.3	7.4	2.9	3.1	2.5	2.1	6.6	3.2	5.6	4.2	
NOT USEFUL	3.2	5.3	2.6	0.0	1.6	1.6	2.5	4.1	5.7	4.1	3.6	2.0	
	* 1.2	3.2	1.5	0.0	0.8	1.2	0.8	3.6	3.0	2.3	2.7	2.0	
Sample size	3,037	733	762	79	717	746	1,930	804	303	1,042	347	479	
Weighted total	249,119	73,000	133,289	7,065	18,467	17,297	164,724	69,392	15,003	94,241	39,683	42,474	

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97R1 (cont.)

Usefulness of legal services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	75.2% * 2.2	72.5% 4.8	76.5% 3.7	73.2% 3.3	76.5% 4.9
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	21.6 * 2.0	24.3 4.9	20.8 3.4	23.4 2.9	21.6 4.9
NOT USEFUL	3.2 * 1.5	3.2 1.9	2.7 1.5	3.4 2.1	1.9 2.3
Sample size	2,284	728	1,369	1,401	254
Weighted total	190,786	56,355	112,625	112,136	22,390

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97a2

Have used legal services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	51.0%	39.2%	54.6%	56.2%	60.0%	60.0%	62.2%	50.4%	52.7%	49.8%	46.9%	50.2%
	* 3.2	3.9	4.5	14.1	4.2	4.2	5.8	3.9	6.5	6.1	4.0	8.7
NO	49.0%	60.8%	45.4%	43.8%	40.0%	40.0%	37.8%	49.6%	47.3%	50.2%	53.1%	49.8%
	* 3.2	3.9	4.5	14.1	4.2	4.2	5.8	3.9	6.5	6.1	4.0	8.7
Sample size	3,110	768	790	85	722	722	745	1,987	815	308	1,063	353
Weighted total	259,928	76,913	139,127	7,619	19,014	19,014	17,254	172,369	71,903	15,656	96,529	41,126
												45,266

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97R2 (cont.)

Have used legal services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	53.8%	42.0%	51.7%	46.8%	68.1%
	* 3.3	5.0	4.9	4.0	8.1
NO	46.2	58.0	48.3	53.2	31.9
	* 3.3	5.0	4.9	4.0	8.1
Sample size	2,317	762	1,408	1,424	263
Weighted total	195,998	61,273	117,397	115,863	24,524

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97S1

Usefulness of recreation services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
			Company				Field					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	70.9%	67.5%	70.5%	77.4%	77.5%	78.5%	69.2%	75.1%	70.2%	69.7%	73.0%	72.7%
	* 2.0	3.9	3.4	9.0	3.2	3.7	2.6	4.2	3.5	3.7	5.5	2.9
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	26.3	28.0	27.2	22.6	21.0	19.7	27.9	22.4	27.7	27.2	25.0	24.2
	* 1.8	3.5	3.6	9.0	3.2	3.1	2.5	3.0	2.6	3.3	5.8	3.6
NOT USEFUL	2.8	4.4	2.3	0.0	1.5	1.8	2.9	2.5	2.1	3.0	2.0	3.0
	* 0.9	2.3	1.1	0.0	0.8	1.2	1.0	2.2	2.2	1.5	2.6	2.1
Sample size	3,057	743	767	81	721	745	1,943	806	308	1,047	350	487
Weighted total	252,572	74,091	135,188	7,270	18,729	17,294	167,116	70,245	15,211	94,644	40,351	43,932

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97S1 (cont.)

Usefulness of recreation services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	72.2%	66.7%	72.0%	70.6%	68.2%
	* 2.4	5.5	3.1	3.0	8.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	25.5	29.5	25.1	26.8	29.9
	* 2.1	5.2	2.7	2.7	7.6
NOT USEFUL	2.4	3.8	2.9	2.7	1.9
	* 1.0	2.0	1.5	1.3	1.8
Sample size	2,292	740	1,372	1,412	259
Weighted total	192,244	58,280	112,873	113,937	23,561

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97S2

**Have used recreation services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type**

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
YES	58.8%	48.1%	60.9%	69.6%	69.0%	73.1%	57.5%	61.0%	63.0%	54.6%	60.0%	58.2%
	* 3.5	5.2	4.2	12.1	5.0	5.4	4.8	5.2	7.0	5.2	7.2	6.9
NO	41.2	51.9	39.1	30.4	31.0	26.9	42.5	39.0	37.0	45.4	40.0	41.8
	* 3.5	5.2	4.2	12.1	5.0	5.4	4.8	5.2	7.0	5.2	7.2	6.9
Sample size	3,101	759	786	84	727	745	1,976	817	308	1,062	351	496
Weighted total	258,124	75,710	138,504	7,506	19,142	17,263	171,186	71,406	15,532	96,291	40,549	45,151

**(\*) Standard Error**

Table SP\_97S2 (cont.)

Have used recreation services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	60.7%	53.5%	59.7%	58.0%	59.6%
	* 3.9	5.2	4.1	4.4	11.4
NO	39.3	46.5	40.3	42.0	40.4
	* 3.9	5.2	4.1	4.4	11.4
Sample size	2,315	755	1,403	1,425	258
Weighted total	195,213	60,255	117,000	115,003	23,977

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97T1

Usefulness of crisis hot line  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCO	US	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	74.3%	70.4%	76.3%	80.2%	73.6%	74.2%	75.0%	73.5%	70.6%	77.3%	77.6%	73.6%
	* 3.1	4.3	4.3	11.7	3.0	4.5	4.4	3.4	3.7	3.2	6.1	5.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	21.1	22.6	20.2	18.6	22.5	20.8	21.0	20.7	24.2	18.5	17.0	23.3
	* 2.8	4.2	3.7	11.9	3.3	3.9	4.0	3.9	2.6	2.4	6.9	5.5
NOT USEFUL	4.6	7.0	3.5	1.2	5.9	5.0	4.1	5.7	5.2	4.2	5.4	3.1
	* 1.1	3.4	1.5	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.1	3.0	1.7	1.7	3.6	1.7
Sample size	2,988	722	741	79	708	738	1,898	792	298	1,018	337	478
Weighted total	244,106	72,172	129,560	7,065	18,198	17,110	161,629	67,796	14,681	90,501	38,672	42,999

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97T1 (cont.)

Usefulness of crisis hot line  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	75.1%	71.3%	73.7%	75.4%	70.1%
	* 3.0	6.4	3.9	4.0	7.8
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	20.2	24.3	21.8	19.3	27.4
	* 2.6	6.6	3.7	3.3	7.1
NOT USEFUL	4.7	4.4	4.4	5.3	2.5
	* 1.5	2.0	1.4	2.4	2.1
Sample size	2,240	724	1,351	1,376	248
Weighted total	185,949	56,129	111,093	108,927	22,447

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9712

Have used crisis hot line  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	MCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	2.6%	2.2%	3.2%	1.2%	1.6%	1.7%	2.3%	3.3%	3.5%	3.5%	3.0%	2.8%
	* 0.9	1.8	1.4	2.3	0.9	0.9	0.9	2.2	3.5	1.9	2.4	2.0
NO	97.4	97.8	96.8	98.8	98.4	98.3	97.7	96.7	96.5	96.5	97.0	97.2
	* 0.9	1.8	1.4	2.3	0.9	0.9	0.9	2.2	3.5	1.9	2.4	2.0
Sample size	3,113	773	787	85	724	744	1,993	815	305	1,069	359	495
Weighted total	260,379	76,957	139,496	7,619	19,058	17,250	173,286	71,887	15,207	97,531	41,406	45,013

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97T2 (cont.)

Have used crisis hot line  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	2.7%	2.5%	2.8%	2.3%	3.1%
	* 0.9	1.6	1.3	1.3	3.3
NO	97.3	97.5	97.2	97.7	96.9
	* 0.9	1.6	1.3	1.3	3.3
Sample size	2,320	763	1,402	1,435	260
Weighted total	196,139	61,604	117,469	116,758	23,831

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97U1

Usefulness of emergency food  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	WCO	Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	75.8%	77.2%	76.7%	67.0%	72.7%	70.9%	75.9%	76.1%	73.6%	79.6%	76.8%	78.3%
	* 2.8	4.4	3.9	12.2	3.3	6.0	3.9	3.7	7.9	3.5	4.8	5.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	19.8	17.3	19.8	28.5	22.7	23.2	20.0	19.1	20.9	16.3	19.0	19.3
	* 2.4	4.1	3.3	11.7	2.8	4.9	3.1	4.1	7.2	2.9	5.7	5.9
NOT USEFUL	4.4	5.5	3.5	4.5	4.6	5.9	4.1	4.8	5.5	4.1	4.2	2.4
	* 1.3	3.1	1.6	5.3	1.8	2.0	1.5	2.8	2.8	1.8	3.3	1.6
Sample size	2,990	728	740	79	705	738	1,899	792	299	1,020	337	478
Weighted total	244,482	72,492	129,685	7,065	18,130	17,110	161,795	68,021	14,666	90,717	38,710	43,037

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97U1 (cont.)

Usefulness of emergency food  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	77.0% * 2.9	71.7% 5.0	75.1% 3.6	77.7% 3.8 69.8% 7.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	18.5 * 2.4	24.1 4.9	20.6 3.1	17.4 2.9 28.0 7.5
NOT USEFUL	4.5 * 1.6	4.2 2.1	4.4 1.5	4.9 2.4 2.2 1.8
Sample size	2,240	724	1,350	1,377 249
Weighted total	185,934	56,091	110,902	109,066 22,611

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9702

Have used emergency food  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	4.6%	8.6%	3.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.7%	4.6%	4.2%	6.3%	5.9%	6.0%	
	* 0.9	1.8	1.2	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.2	1.3	2.6	2.1	2.5	
NO	95.4	91.4	96.4	100.0	99.5	99.3	95.4	95.8	93.7	94.1	94.0	
	* 0.9	1.8	1.2	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.2	1.3	2.6	2.1	2.5	
Sample size	3,120	777	791	85	722	745	1,996	816	308	1,069	360	495
Weighted total	261,332	77,433	139,996	7,619	19,004	17,279	173,971	71,866	15,494	97,446	41,717	45,013

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97U2 (cont.)

Have used emergency food  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children				Spouse		Not Employed		On Active Duty	
	Children	Children	Children	Children	Employed	Employed	Employed	Employed	Duty	Duty
YES	5.4%	2.1%	3.9%	6.0%	0.9%					
	* 1.1	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.1					
NO	94.6	97.9	96.1	94.0	99.1					
	* 1.1	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.1					
Sample size	2,325	763	1,405	1,439	259					
Weighted total	196,815	61,583	117,912	117,037	23,797					

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97V1

Usefulness of emergency home furnishings  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	69.6%	70.3%	71.5%	57.9%	66.1%	60.8%	68.2%	73.1%	68.9%	72.6%	68.8%	71.7%
	* 2.3	4.2	3.2	13.3	3.6	3.5	3.2	3.3	6.7	3.5	7.0	5.4
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	25.1	22.8	24.7	27.7	29.0	33.2	26.7	21.5	25.7	22.7	24.9	24.3
	* 2.2	4.1	3.0	13.4	3.5	3.1	2.8	3.4	5.4	2.9	7.6	5.2
NOT USEFUL	5.3	7.0	3.8	14.4	4.9	6.0	5.2	5.4	5.4	4.7	6.3	4.0
	* 1.2	3.0	1.4	11.7	1.7	2.5	1.3	2.7	3.3	2.0	3.8	1.8
Sample size	3,000	730	747	79	706	738	1,901	795	304	1,025	338	479
Weighted total	245,444	72,449	130,678	7,065	18,137	17,115	161,724	68,609	15,111	90,906	38,807	43,262

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97V1 (cont.)

Usefulness of emergency home furnishings  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	70.6% * 2.3	65.8% 4.7	69.4% 3.7	70.7% 3.5
				64.8% 7.5
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	24.0 * 2.1	29.2 4.8	25.5 3.3	23.5 3.1
				31.7 7.2
NOT USEFUL	5.4 * 1.5	5.0 2.1	5.1 1.6	5.8 2.3
				3.5 2.5
Sample size	2,250	725	1,357	1,381
				249
Weighted total	187,218	56,034	111,659	109,536
				22,611

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97V2

Have used emergency home furnishings  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	9.3%	10.4%	10.3%	5.3%	2.9%		4.4%	5.6%	16.5%	16.3%	8.1%	9.4%
	* 1.7	2.8	2.6	4.7	1.6		1.5	1.8	4.2	4.8	2.8	3.7
NO	90.7	89.6	89.7	94.7	97.1		95.6	94.4	83.5	83.7	91.9	90.6
	* 1.7	2.8	2.6	4.7	1.6		1.5	1.8	4.2	4.8	2.8	3.7
Sample size	3,118	775	790	85	720		748	1,996	817	305	1,067	358
Weighted total	261,082	77,261	139,855	7,619	19,012		17,334	173,749	71,944	15,389	97,361	41,615
												45,159

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97V2 (cont.)

Have used emergency home furnishings  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	10.3%	6.2%	9.7%	9.3%	7.0%
	* 2.1	2.3	2.3	2.4	4.5
NO	89.7	93.8	90.3	90.7	93.0
	* 2.1	2.3	2.3	2.4	4.5
Sample size	2,323	764	1,403	1,437	262
Weighted total	196,619	61,663	117,830	116,788	24,142

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97W1

Usefulness-emergency long distance calls  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
VERY USEFUL	68.5%	67.4%	70.7%	56.4%	64.1%	65.4%	67.3%	72.0%	64.9%	70.3%	68.2%	70.6%
	* 2.8	5.0	3.6	14.0	4.2	3.9	3.7	5.0	4.9	4.1	6.7	6.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	24.5	24.7	22.7	32.9	29.7	28.4	26.3	20.5	23.2	23.4	21.5	25.1
	* 2.5	5.0	3.0	14.7	4.4	3.0	3.2	4.1	5.4	3.5	6.1	6.5
NOT USEFUL	7.0	7.9	6.6	10.8	6.2	6.3	6.4	7.5	12.0	6.3	10.3	4.3
	* 1.6	3.1	2.1	6.7	2.0	2.2	1.5	4.1	5.1	2.1	5.4	1.8
Sample size	2,986	720	744	77	706	739	1,894	793	299	1,020	335	477
Weighted total	244,317	71,676	130,458	6,904	18,153	17,126	161,327	68,253	14,737	90,990	38,407	42,849

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97M1 (cont.)

Usefulness-emergency long distance calls  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty	
VERY USEFUL	69.0% * 3.1	66.4% 5.4	69.5% 3.3	68.0% 4.7	63.5% 8.0
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	23.4 * 2.6	28.2 5.8	24.0 3.3	23.8 4.1	32.0 7.8
NOT USEFUL	7.6 * 2.1	5.4 2.2	6.5 1.8	8.2 3.1	4.5 3.1
Sample size	2,239	723	1,352	1,373	248
Weighted total	186,202	56,087	111,168	109,063	22,447

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97M2

Have used emergency long distance calls  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
YES	4.1%	4.3%	4.7%	3.9%	1.8%	1.3%	3.8%	4.9%	4.3%	3.7%	4.3%	4.7%
	* 1.3	1.5	2.0	5.7	1.0	0.9	1.7	2.1	1.2	1.8	3.2	3.7
NO	95.9	95.7	95.3	96.1	98.2	98.7	96.2	95.1	95.7	96.3	95.7	95.3
	* 1.3	1.5	2.0	5.7	1.0	0.9	1.7	2.1	1.2	1.8	3.2	3.7
Sample size	3,118	775	792	82	722	747	1,998	814	306	1,067	358	496
Weighted total	261,254	77,192	140,237	7,420	19,088	17,317	174,061	71,808	15,385	97,527	41,532	45,159

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97U2 (cont.)

Have used emergency long distance calls  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	4.3%	3.5%	3.4%	5.2%	2.2%
	* 1.4	2.5	1.5	2.1	2.2
NO	95.7	96.5	96.6	94.8	97.8
	* 1.4	2.5	1.5	2.1	2.2
Sample size	2,323	763	1,402	1,438	262
Weighted total	196,829	61,592	117,806	116,877	24,250

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97X1

Usefulness of drug/alcohol treatment  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	76.0%	75.7%	76.2%	76.2%	73.6%	77.9%	76.8%	75.1%	71.3%	78.2%	75.3%	77.4%
	* 2.9	5.5	3.7	12.1	3.3	3.5	3.0	7.4	4.0	3.5	6.4	6.0
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	19.7	17.9	20.6	18.3	22.6	17.8	19.7	19.9	18.7	18.2	19.2	18.7
	* 2.2	3.8	3.3	12.5	3.6	2.8	2.6	4.8	8.9	2.6	5.3	6.4
NOT USEFUL	4.3	6.3	3.2	5.5	3.8	4.4	3.5	5.0	10.0	3.6	5.4	3.9
	* 1.3	3.1	1.5	5.3	1.5	1.6	0.8	3.8	6.1	2.0	3.0	2.9
Sample size	2,989	723	741	80	708	737	1,903	792	294	1,024	335	475
Weighted total	243,048	72,278	128,344	7,113	18,207	17,106	160,651	67,742	14,655	89,640	38,707	42,784

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97X1 (cont.)

Usefulness of drug/alcohol treatment  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	77.5%	70.6%	76.7%	77.0%	70.4%
	* 3.2	5.0	2.8	3.8	11.4
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	18.0	25.6	19.5	17.5	28.1
	* 2.3	5.0	2.6	3.2	11.4
NOT USEFUL	4.5	3.8	3.8	5.5	1.5
	* 1.8	1.8	1.7	2.5	1.4
Sample size	2,237	725	1,354	1,374	248
Weighted total	184,448	56,356	111,093	107,824	22,492

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97X2

Have used drug and alcohol treatment  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	2.4%	3.0%	2.5%	1.2%	0.6%	1.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.6%	2.8%	3.1%
	* 0.7	1.5	1.2	2.3	0.5	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.4	1.8	1.3	1.6
NO	97.6	97.0	97.5	98.8	99.4	98.6	97.6	97.6	97.6	97.4	97.2	96.9
	* 0.7	1.5	1.2	2.3	0.5	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.4	1.8	1.3	1.6
Sample size	3,127	772	799	85	726	745	1,999	818	310	1,075	360	494
Weighted total	261,696	76,695	140,970	7,619	19,158	17,254	173,771	72,196	15,729	97,977	41,715	45,000

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97X2 (cont.)

Have used drug and alcohol treatment  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	2.3% * 0.8	2.9% 1.7	2.4% 1.1	2.0% 1.0	4.9% 3.8
NO	97.7 * 0.8	97.1 1.7	97.6 1.1	98.0 1.0	95.1 3.8
Sample size	2,337	759	1,404	1,447	260
Weighted total	198,021	60,875	117,468	117,888	24,018

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97Y1

Usefulness of individual counseling  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Combat	Support
VERY USEFUL	66.6%	64.3%	66.3%	72.5%	69.1%	73.2%	66.8%	66.7%	63.0%	67.8%	64.2%	70.4%
	* 2.5	5.5	3.4	10.5	3.0	3.7	2.9	5.6	7.1	2.5	7.3	5.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	28.9	28.6	30.5	24.9	26.7	22.6	29.2	28.1	29.2	28.2	30.4	26.0
	* 2.0	4.3	3.2	9.9	3.2	3.5	2.6	3.5	8.5	2.7	6.1	5.9
NOT USEFUL	4.5	7.0	3.3	2.6	4.3	4.1	4.0	5.1	7.8	4.0	5.4	3.6
	* 1.2	3.8	1.2	4.5	1.6	1.4	0.9	3.8	3.2	1.8	3.4	1.8
Sample size	2,983	722	744	79	707	731	1,897	791	295	1,020	336	477
Weighted total	243,072	72,205	128,623	7,065	18,211	16,968	160,658	67,755	14,659	89,544	38,659	42,836

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97Y1 (cont.)

Usefulness of individual counseling  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	67.7%	61.6%	68.8%	65.0%	63.4%
	* 2.8	5.9	3.0	3.3	11.4
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	27.6	34.3	26.6	29.8	34.8
	* 2.2	6.2	2.9	3.2	11.5
NOT USEFUL	4.7	4.1	4.6	5.1	1.7
	* 1.5	1.7	1.7	2.6	1.4
Sample size	2,233	724	1,348	1,373	249
Weighted total	184,241	56,620	110,925	107,693	22,815

(\*) Standard Error

Have used individual counseling  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

		Rank				Location				Unit Type			
		Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
Total		Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
													TDA
YES		9.1%	7.1%	10.5%	18.1%	5.3%	6.6%	8.9%	9.1%	11.7%	9.5%	6.1%	10.8%
	*	1.6	2.4	2.3	11.7	1.7	1.9	2.2	2.1	5.4	2.3	2.5	3.5
NO		90.9	92.9	89.5	81.9	94.7	93.4	91.1	90.9	88.3	90.5	93.9	89.2
	*	1.6	2.4	2.3	11.7	1.7	1.9	2.2	2.1	5.4	2.3	2.5	3.5
Sample size		3,123	772	797	85	726	743	1,994	819	310	1,074	358	494
Weighted total		261,204	76,689	140,587	7,619	19,127	17,181	173,280	72,269	15,654	97,727	41,303	44,919

(\*) Standard Error

Have used individual counseling  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	9.2% * 2.0	8.1% 2.9	8.6% 2.2	8.2% 2.4	15.7% 6.2
NO	90.8 * 2.0	91.9 2.9	91.4 2.2	91.8 2.4	84.3 6.2
Sample size	2,334	758	1,406	1,438	263
Weighted total	197,794	60,610	117,340	117,257	24,285

(\*) Standard Error

Usefulness of marriage/family therapy  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	70.2% * 2.7	68.8% 5.2	70.2% 3.4	74.2% 10.6	72.8% 3.5	72.0% 4.0	70.2% 2.9	69.9% 6.5	71.7% 8.8	71.9% 3.1	71.1% 6.3	70.4% 6.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	25.8 * 2.3	25.1 4.1	27.1 3.2	21.8 10.3	23.2 3.3	24.1 3.4	26.0 2.6	25.8 4.9	23.6 9.2	24.2 2.5	25.6 6.1	25.9 6.7
NOT USEFUL	3.9 * 1.1	6.1 3.5	2.7 1.1	4.0 5.0	4.0 1.5	3.9 1.5	3.7 0.8	4.3 3.4	4.7 2.2	3.8 1.9	3.4 2.7	3.8 1.9
Sample size	2,973	715	743	79	702	734	1,892	788	293	1,020	332	471
Weighted total	242,456	71,522	128,789	7,065	18,047	17,033	160,485	67,490	14,480	89,506	38,366	42,603

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97Z1 (cont.)

Usefulness of marriage/family therapy  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	71.4%	65.5%	72.4%	69.7%	63.7%
	* 2.8	5.6	3.6	4.0	10.8
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	24.6	30.6	24.0	25.9	33.0
	* 2.3	5.8	3.2	3.6	11.4
NOT USEFUL	4.0	3.8	3.6	4.4	3.3
	* 1.4	1.7	1.4	2.4	3.6
Sample size	2,223	723	1,348	1,365	247
Weighted total	183,726	56,485	111,095	107,163	22,558

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9722

Have used marriage and family therapy  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	COMUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	9.5%	8.4%	10.7%	19.8%	4.6%	5.2%	9.5%	9.4%	10.0%	9.8%	7.2%	8.9%
	* 1.7	2.5	2.5	15.0	1.8	1.8	2.1	2.4	10.7	2.9	2.8	4.6
NO	90.5	91.6	89.3	80.2	95.4	94.8	90.5	90.6	90.0	90.2	92.8	91.1
	* 1.7	2.5	2.5	15.0	1.8	1.8	2.1	2.4	10.7	2.9	2.8	4.6
Sample size	3,125	773	797	84	727	744	1,995	820	310	1,074	360	493
Weighted total	261,260	76,950	140,360	7,558	19,171	17,220	173,157	72,361	15,741	97,421	41,533	45,121

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_9722 (cont.)

Have used marriage and family therapy  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	9.8%	7.8%	10.3%	7.9%	13.7%
	* 2.2	3.2	2.6	2.5	6.7
NO	90.2	92.2	89.7	92.1	86.3
	* 2.2	3.2	2.6	2.5	6.7
Sample size	2,334	760	1,407	1,440	262
Weighted total	197,564	60,896	117,516	117,422	24,000

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97AA1

Usefulness-handicapped family services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
			Company				Field					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	77.9% * 2.8	74.9% 4.7	79.3% 3.7	82.0% 9.0	77.7% 3.1	78.3% 4.2	77.9% 3.3	78.6% 6.3	73.8% 4.9	79.2% 3.4	82.5% 4.8	76.3% 6.1
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	18.3% * 2.5	18.4% 4.1	18.2% 3.4	14.7% 8.0	20.1% 3.3	18.0% 3.5	18.8% 3.0	17.7% 5.3	15.5% 3.8	17.4% 2.7	14.2% 5.2	19.5% 6.3
NOT USEFUL	3.9% * 1.2	6.8% 3.5	2.6% 1.2	3.4% 4.8	2.2% 1.1	3.7% 1.6	3.3% 0.8	3.8% 3.7	10.7% 5.0	3.4% 1.9	3.2% 2.9	4.2% 2.6
Sample size	2,961	710	734	78	705	734	1,887	783	291	1,011	330	472
Weighted total	240,521	70,896	127,449	7,018	18,141	17,018	159,410	67,020	14,092	88,431	37,981	42,428

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97AA1 (cont.)

Usefulness-handicapped family services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	80.0% * 2.7	70.6% 5.0	79.1% 3.4	79.4% 4.0
				65.7% 10.8
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	16.2 * 2.3	25.3 5.3	17.2 2.9	16.4 3.3
				32.1 11.4
NOT USEFUL	3.7 * 1.5	4.1 1.8	3.7 1.6	4.2 2.4
				2.3 2.5
Sample size	2,220	715	1,344	1,359
Weighted total	183,027	55,413	110,208	106,656
				22,019

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97AA2

Have used handicapped family services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade		Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
			NCO	Officer								
YES	3.7%	1.5%	5.0%	5.9%	2.5%	2.5%	4.2%	2.8%	1.7%	3.8%	2.3%	3.6%
	* 0.9	0.7	1.5	4.0	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.6	0.7	1.5	1.7	2.3
NO	96.3	98.5	95.0	94.1	97.5	97.5	95.8	97.2	98.3	96.2	97.7	96.4
	* 0.9	0.7	1.5	4.0	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.6	0.7	1.5	1.7	2.3
Sample size	3,127	772	801	84	727	743	2,001	816	310	1,072	360	497
Weighted total	261,687	76,909	140,849	7,571	19,162	17,196	174,161	71,798	15,729	97,528	41,623	45,287

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97AA2 (cont.)

Have used handicapped family services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Children Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	4.5%	0.8%	3.5%	4.2%
	* 1.2	0.7	1.4	1.5
NO	95.5	99.2	96.5	95.8
	* 1.2	0.7	1.4	1.5
Sample size	2,333	762	1,406	1,440
Weighted total	197,620	61,235	117,525	117,415
				24,426

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97881

Usefulness of child daycare - drop-in  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	77.3%	75.5%	76.5%	79.1%	84.9%	82.3%	78.3%	75.8%	74.1%	78.6%	78.8%	74.0%
	* 3.0	5.2	3.8	11.5	3.1	3.4	3.3	7.0	6.3	3.2	4.9	7.0
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	18.4	18.4	19.9	16.6	12.4	14.4	18.5	18.6	16.5	17.3	17.6	21.1
	* 2.5	3.7	3.7	10.7	2.9	2.5	3.1	5.0	6.1	2.8	3.9	6.6
NOT USEFUL	4.3	6.1	3.6	4.4	2.7	3.3	3.3	5.6	9.4	4.1	3.6	5.0
	* 1.2	3.2	1.3	5.6	1.2	1.3	0.8	3.2	4.8	2.0	3.0	2.5
Sample size	2,996	727	744	80	711	734	1,910	793	293	1,018	341	482
Weighted total	244,081	72,438	129,052	7,192	18,371	17,028	161,792	67,679	14,610	89,600	38,805	43,425

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97881 (cont.)

Usefulness of child daycare - drop-in  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	79.7% * 2.6	69.5% 5.7	78.7% 4.2	77.2% 4.2	72.3% 12.2
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	16.2 * 2.2	25.9 5.1	17.0 3.9	18.2 3.3	25.2 11.5
NOT USEFUL	4.1 * 1.5	4.5 1.8	4.2 1.6	4.5 2.1	2.5 2.1
Sample size	2,252	720	1,352	1,383	248
Weighted total	186,024	56,023	110,650	109,477	22,315

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97882

Have used child daycare - drop-in  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	18.7%	10.9%	20.6%	27.2%	29.5%	22.7%	18.5%	18.7%	20.0%	17.3%	17.8%	19.6%
	* 2.3	2.6	3.3	9.3	5.3	2.6	2.8	4.6	7.7	3.4	5.4	5.6
NO	81.3	89.1	79.4	72.8	70.5	77.3	81.5	81.3	80.0	82.7	82.2	80.4
	* 2.3	2.6	3.3	9.3	5.3	2.6	2.8	4.6	7.7	3.4	5.4	5.6
Sample size	3,121	773	795	84	722	747	2,002	810	309	1,070	357	494
Weighted total	261,119	77,050	140,176	7,493	19,102	17,298	173,757	71,811	15,551	96,987	41,499	45,221

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97882 (cont.)

Have used child daycare - drop-in  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	24.0%	1.4%	20.0%	19.2%	10.8%
	* 2.8	1.0	3.7	3.0	4.7
NO	76.0	98.6	80.0	80.8	89.2
	* 2.8	1.0	3.7	3.0	4.7
Sample size	2,326	763	1,401	1,438	266
Weighted total	196,974	61,312	117,039	117,092	24,666

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97CC1

Usefulness of child daycare - full-day  
by Rar Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	79.4%	77.1%	79.5%	80.7%	84.6%	82.8%	79.9%	78.1%	80.2%	81.1%	82.1%	76.3%
	* 3.0	5.2	4.2	11.9	3.4	3.3	3.6	6.1	4.5	3.7	3.8	7.1
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	16.4	16.4	17.5	14.9	12.5	13.3	16.8	16.8	10.1	14.8	14.1	19.6
	* 2.7	3.9	3.9	11.0	3.2	2.2	3.2	5.6	3.5	3.0	4.4	6.8
NOT USEFUL	4.2	6.5	3.0	4.4	2.9	3.9	3.3	5.1	9.7	4.1	3.8	4.1
	* 1.2	3.2	1.5	5.6	1.2	1.6	1.0	3.2	5.5	2.0	3.0	2.2
Sample size	2,978	723	734	80	707	734	1,893	794	291	1,013	340	478
Weighted total	241,856	72,270	127,172	7,192	18,193	17,030	159,871	67,493	14,492	88,613	38,759	43,105

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97CC1 (cont.)

Usefulness of child daycare - full-day  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	81.5%	72.7%	80.8%	79.6%	72.7%
	* 2.6	5.8	3.9	3.4	12.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	14.4	23.1	15.2	15.7	25.8
	* 2.4	5.4	3.5	2.8	11.8
NOT USEFUL	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.7	1.5
	* 1.5	1.8	1.7	2.2	1.5
Sample size	2,235	718	1,354	1,364	247
Weighted total	183,936	55,872	111,319	106,523	22,375

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97CC2

Have used child daycare - full-day  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	11.4%	7.1%	13.6%	18.2%	12.5%	11.1%	9.8%	11.1%	12.8%	9.5%	8.6%	9.9%
	* 1.9	2.3	2.8	9.4	2.7	2.3	2.7	2.3	3.7	6.4	2.9	3.9
												4.6
NO	88.6	92.9	86.4	81.8	87.5	88.9	90.2	88.9	87.2	90.5	91.4	90.1
	* 1.9	2.3	2.8	9.4	2.7	2.3	2.7	2.3	3.7	6.4	2.9	3.9
												4.6
Sample size	3,112	769	794	84	724	1,992	741	1,992	813	307	1,070	358
Weighted total	260,566	76,583	140,190	7,493	19,130	173,175	17,170	173,175	71,878	15,513	97,332	41,460
												45,082

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97CC2 (cont.)

Have used child daycare full-day  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Active
YES	14.6%	1.8%	14.9%	6.9%	17.8%
	* 2.3	1.3	3.2	2.1	5.3
NO	85.4	98.2	85.1	93.1	82.2
	* 2.3	1.3	3.2	2.1	5.3
Sample size	2,318	762	1,398	1,435	263
Weighted total	196,431	61,301	116,470	117,307	24,467

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97001

Usefulness of child abuse services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
VERY USEFUL	80.4%	78.8%	80.7%	84.9%	81.4%	81.4%	81.8%	81.5%	78.3%	77.7%	83.4%	82.8%
	* 2.7	4.6	3.8	7.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.1	6.1	6.1	3.0	4.6
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	15.6	14.6	16.6	12.4	15.1	15.1	14.0	15.2	17.3	12.2	13.3	12.9
	* 2.4	3.7	3.5	6.2	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.7	5.6	3.0	2.3	5.3
NOT USEFUL	4.0	6.6	2.7	2.7	3.5	3.5	4.2	3.3	4.4	10.1	3.4	4.3
	* 1.2	3.5	1.3	4.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	0.9	3.4	4.5	1.7	3.1
Sample size	2,969	716	732	79	707	707	735	1,892	784	293	1,013	335
Weighted total	240,784	71,383	127,104	7,065	18,179	18,179	17,052	159,497	66,782	14,504	88,098	38,603
												42,433

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97001 (cont.)

Usefulness of child abuse services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	82.2% * 2.5	74.3% 5.2	81.2% 3.4	82.4% 3.5	68.3% 12.2
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	13.9 * 2.0	21.8 5.3	15.0 3.0	12.8 2.6	31.3 12.3
NOT USEFUL	4.0 * 1.5	3.9 1.7	3.9 1.6	4.8 2.4	0.5 0.4
Sample size	2,225	718	1,347	1,364	245
Weighted total	182,880	55,823	110,497	106,517	22,131

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97002

Have used child abuse services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	1.4%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%	1.3%	1.3%	0.8%	1.5%	1.1%	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
	* 0.5	1.0	0.7	2.2	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.1	0.9	1.0
NO	98.6	98.7	98.5	98.5	98.7	98.7	99.2	98.5	98.9	98.7	98.3	99.1
	* 0.5	1.0	0.7	2.2	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.1	0.9	1.0
Sample size	3,121	771	800	84	725	741	1,995	818	308	1,072	360	494
Weighted total	261,537	76,696	141,053	7,552	19,088	17,148	173,761	72,207	15,569	97,766	41,531	45,127

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97002 (cont.)

Have used child abuse services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	1.5%	0.9%	1.6%	1.2%	1.2%
	* 0.7	0.8	1.0	0.7	1.4
NO	98.5	99.1	98.4	98.8	98.8
	* 0.7	0.8	1.0	0.7	1.4
Sample size	2,331	758	1,403	1,441	261
Weighted total	197,794	60,910	117,394	117,656	24,166

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97EE1

Usefulness of spouse abuse services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	78.9%	77.8%	79.1%	80.5%	80.4%	80.3%	80.0%	77.3%	74.8%	81.5%	81.1%	77.1%
	* 2.8	4.6	3.8	10.1	3.4	4.3	3.3	5.8	3.8	2.9	4.7	6.6
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	17.1	15.8	18.2	16.9	15.9	15.4	16.5	18.5	17.4	15.3	14.8	20.0
	* 2.4	3.7	3.3	9.3	3.2	3.8	2.8	5.2	2.5	2.3	5.4	6.9
NOT USEFUL	4.0	6.4	2.7	2.6	3.7	4.3	3.5	4.2	7.8	3.1	4.1	3.0
	* 1.2	3.5	1.1	4.5	1.7	1.6	1.0	3.5	4.5	1.7	3.2	1.6
Sample size	2,972	718	736	79	705	734	1,890	788	294	1,016	335	475
Weighted total	242,055	71,642	128,191	7,065	18,134	17,023	160,254	67,238	14,564	89,244	38,603	42,658

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97EE1 (cont.)

Usefulness of spouse abuse services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	80.4% * 2.7	73.9% 5.3	80.2% 3.4	80.5% 3.6	66.7% 11.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	15.7 * 2.2	22.3 5.3	15.9 2.8	14.9 2.8	32.7 11.9
NOT USEFUL	3.9 * 1.5	3.8 1.7	3.9 1.7	4.6 2.4	0.6 0.5
Sample size	2,227	719	1,351	1,361	247
Weighted total	183,948	56,026	111,139	106,941	22,337

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97EE2

Have used spouse abuse services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	1.9%	1.4%	2.5%	2.3%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	1.8%	1.8%	4.2%	2.1%	1.3%
	* 0.6	1.0	1.1	3.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	1.0	2.7	1.0	1.3
NO	98.1	98.6	97.5	97.7	99.3	99.3	99.5	98.2	98.2	95.8	97.9	98.7
	* 0.6	1.0	1.1	3.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	1.0	2.7	1.0	1.3
Sample size	3,124	774	801	84	723	742	1,995	818	311	1,073	362	494
Weighted total	261,520	77,071	140,770	7,474	19,025	17,180	173,401	72,374	15,746	97,486	41,807	45,180

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97EE2 (cont.)

Have used spouse abuse services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	2.2% * 0.7	1.2% 0.9	3.4% 1.2	0.6% 0.4	1.6% 1.5
NO	97.8 * 0.7	98.8 0.9	96.6 1.2	99.4 0.4	98.4 1.5
Sample size	2,331	761	1,407	1,441	260
Weighted total	197,452	61,235	117,876	117,249	24,074

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97FF1

Usefulness of youth recreation programs  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	76.5%	70.7%	79.0%	73.2%	78.0%	80.9%	75.1%	79.0%	79.8%	78.0%	78.4%	75.3%
	* 2.6	4.4	3.7	9.7	3.0	3.8	3.2	5.4	2.6	3.5	5.0	5.8
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	20.9	24.5	19.5	22.7	19.5	16.0	22.3	18.3	17.0	20.0	19.0	22.8
	* 2.3	3.9	3.6	9.6	3.3	2.7	2.8	4.3	2.6	3.0	4.9	6.0
NOT USEFUL	2.7	4.7	1.4	4.1	2.5	3.1	2.6	2.7	3.2	2.1	2.6	1.9
	* 0.8	2.2	0.9	5.2	1.5	1.8	0.9	1.9	1.8	1.2	2.6	1.4
Sample size	3,011	723	752	82	711	743	1,915	797	299	1,028	337	483
Weighted total	245,449	72,170	130,366	7,352	18,317	17,244	161,938	68,699	14,811	90,317	38,976	43,515

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97FF1 (cont.)

Usefulness of youth recreation programs  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	79.2% * 2.1	67.3% 5.7	79.3% 3.5	75.6% 2.8	67.7% 12.7
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	18.5 * 1.8	29.2 5.7	17.9 3.0	21.6 2.9	31. 12.8
NOT USEFUL	2.3 * 0.9	3.5 1.6	2.8 1.4	2.8 1.3	0.5 0.4
Sample size	2,262	722	1,362	1,384	252
Weighted total	187,252	55,952	112,217	108,733	22,860

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97FF2

Have used youth recreation programs  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
			Grade	Officer								
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service	Support	TDA
YES	22.1%	7.2%	27.9%	40.2%	18.1%	39.3%	20.4%	25.2%	27.6%	18.9%	19.0%	22.4%
	* 2.6	2.1	3.9	12.6	3.3	5.3	3.3	5.0	2.5	3.2	4.7	5.7
NO	77.9	92.8	72.1	59.8	81.9	60.7	79.6	74.8	72.4	81.1	81.0	77.6
	* 2.6	2.1	3.9	12.6	3.3	5.3	3.3	5.0	2.5	3.2	4.7	5.7
Sample size	3,117	772	791	84	726	744	1,995	816	306	1,065	359	498
Weighted total	260,333	77,069	139,329	7,506	19,198	17,230	173,327	71,564	15,442	96,860	41,322	45,538

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97FF2 (cont.)

Have used youth recreation programs  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	28.0% * 3.3	4.0% 1.9	25.2% 2.9	20.2% 4.1	16.5% 6.1
NO	72.0 * 3.3	96.0 1.9	74.8 2.9	79.8 4.1	83.5 6.1
Sample size	2,327	758	1,404	1,433	264
Weighted total	196,358	61,142	117,065	116,413	24,533

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97GG1

Usefulness of youth employment programs  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	69.4%	65.2%	71.5%	71.3%	69.2%	71.8%	68.3%	71.4%	73.1%	70.1%	74.1%	69.0%
	* 2.7	4.3	4.1	13.5	3.7	4.0	3.5	5.1	7.3	3.6	5.4	6.2
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	26.6	29.4	25.5	26.1	26.3	22.9	28.0	26.7	19.9	26.2	22.9	27.5
	* 2.5	3.3	3.9	13.5	4.0	2.8	3.2	4.4	5.9	3.5	5.3	6.3
NOT USEFUL	4.0	5.4	3.0	2.6	4.4	5.4	3.7	3.9	7.0	3.8	3.0	3.5
	* 0.9	2.2	1.3	4.4	1.7	2.0	0.8	2.3	2.3	1.6	2.6	2.1
Sample size	2,990	723	739	81	707	740	1,902	789	299	1,023	333	477
Weighted total	242,780	72,086	128,054	7,226	18,243	17,171	160,715	67,190	14,875	89,365	38,297	42,756

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97GG1 (cont.)

Usefulness of youth employment programs  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	71.6% * 2.5	62.8% 5.7	73.2% 3.5	66.5% 3.2	64.8% 12.0
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	24.6 * 2.3	32.8 5.6	23.5 3.0	28.4 3.4	33.8 12.2
NOT USEFUL	3.8 * 1.1	4.4 1.8	3.3 1.6	5.1 1.5	1.4 1.3
Sample size	2,238	724	1,358	1,370	248
Weighted total	183,994	56,276	111,278	107,099	22,500

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97GG2

Have used youth employment programs  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
YES	5.4%	1.1%	6.8%	13.5%	3.8%	11.6%	4.4%	7.7%	6.8%	5.4%	3.3%	6.4%
	* 0.9	0.7	1.6	7.0	1.2	3.4	1.0	1.7	5.0	1.7	2.2	2.8
NO	94.6	98.9	93.2	86.5	96.2	88.4	95.6	92.3	93.2	94.6	96.7	93.6
	* 0.9	0.7	1.6	7.0	1.2	3.4	1.0	1.7	5.0	1.7	2.2	2.8
Sample size	3,122	770	799	84	724	745	1,994	817	311	1,068	360	495
Weighted total	261,123	76,357	140,889	7,506	19,132	17,238	173,532	71,832	15,759	97,089	41,446	45,212

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97GG2 (cont.)

Have used youth employment programs  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	6.6%	1.6%	6.7%	4.5%	1.9%
	* 1.1	0.9	1.7	1.7	2.3
NO	93.4	98.4	93.3	95.5	98.1
	* 1.1	0.9	1.7	1.7	2.3
Sample size	2,329	761	1,401	1,439	265
Weighted total	197,149	60,909	116,887	116,999	24,651

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97HH1

Usefulness-foreign born spouse services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade	Officer	Grade	COMUS	Europe	COMUS	Europe	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	58.3%	55.7%	59.3%	52.3%	61.8%	57.5%	60.4%	57.5%	60.0%	58.7%	61.3%	57.8%
	* 3.1	5.0	4.2	11.5	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.1	5.4	5.9	3.6	6.0
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	34.3	33.6	34.9	42.4	32.3	35.2	32.4	35.2	33.6	28.0	32.4	34.1
	* 3.4	5.3	4.5	12.8	4.0	4.4	3.9	4.4	5.8	8.6	3.7	6.8
NOT USEFUL	7.4	10.7	5.8	5.4	5.9	7.3	7.3	7.3	6.3	13.2	6.3	8.1
	* 1.6	3.8	2.0	5.5	2.0	1.7	1.9	1.7	3.8	2.9	1.9	3.3
Sample size	2,983	720	739	79	707	1,900	738	1,900	788	295	1,017	476
Weighted total	242,468	71,782	128,273	7,065	18,250	160,466	17,098	160,466	67,389	14,614	89,164	42,750

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97HH1 (cont.)

Usefulness-foreign born spouse services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	59.1% * 3.1	54.9% 6.3	58.4% 3.7	59.8% 4.2
				52.5% 11.1
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	33.4 * 3.4	38.6 6.8	34.0 3.5	32.4 4.4
				43.8 11.1
NOT USEFUL	7.5 * 1.9	6.5 2.2	7.6 2.1	7.8 2.8
				3.6 2.7
Sample size	2,234	721	1,351	1,370
				249
Weighted total	184,081	56,028	110,955	107,340
				22,534

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97HW2

Have used foreign born spouse services  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	1.8%	1.0%	2.4%	3.1%	1.4%	1.4%	0.7%	2.2%	1.5%	0.2%	2.0%	0.3%
	* 0.6	1.0	1.0	3.6	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.3	1.0	0.4
NO	98.2	99.0	97.6	96.9	98.6	98.6	99.3	97.8	98.5	99.8	98.0	99.7
	* 0.6	1.0	1.0	3.6	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.3	1.0	0.4
Sample size	3,128	772	799	85	726	726	746	1,995	821	312	1,070	361
Weighted total	261,164	76,666	140,484	7,619	19,152	19,152	17,242	173,395	71,958	15,811	97,137	41,557
												45,335

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97HH2 (cont.)

Have used foreign born spouse services  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	1.8%	1.8%	2.4%	1.6%	0.7%
	* 0.8	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.9
NO	98.2	98.2	97.6	98.4	99.3
	* 0.8	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.9
Sample size	2,338	759	1,404	1,445	263
Weighted total	197,285	61,079	117,010	117,472	24,360

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97111

Usefulness-spouse programs-TDIs/deplymnt  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
VERY USEFUL	65.8%	65.8%	66.7%	52.6%	67.0%	67.0%	62.8%	66.4%	65.4%	61.0%	71.3%	66.9%
	* 3.3	5.1	4.5	16.5	2.9	2.9	5.9	4.1	6.4	4.1	3.4	6.5
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	27.6	26.6	27.4	35.7	27.5	27.5	29.2	27.5	28.2	25.7	23.1	25.7
	* 2.8	4.5	3.8	11.4	3.1	3.1	3.9	3.5	5.4	6.4	3.2	6.0
NOT USEFUL	6.7	7.6	5.8	11.7	5.4	5.4	8.0	6.2	6.4	13.4	5.6	7.5
	* 1.4	3.4	1.9	14.3	1.9	1.9	2.9	1.5	3.2	3.4	2.1	3.6
Sample size	2,983	718	740	79	707	739	1,898	789	296	1,017	336	475
Weighted total	241,986	71,372	128,236	7,065	18,195	17,118	160,075	67,285	14,626	88,838	38,721	42,420

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97111 (cont.)

Usefulness-spouse programs-TDIs/deplymnt  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	67.1% * 3.2	61.4% 6.4	68.6% 4.3	65.4% 3.5	55.8% 11.9
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	25.9 * 2.5	33.2 6.9	24.4 3.7	27.3 3.5	42.6 12.3
NOT USEFUL	6.9 * 1.8	5.4 2.0	7.0 2.1	7.3 2.6	1.6 1.4
Sample size	2,236	721	1,350	1,372	248
Weighted total	183,849	55,931	110,630	107,251	22,467

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97112

Have used spouse programs-TDYs/deployment  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	4.6%	2.8%	4.9%	4.6%	8.4%	8.4%	6.5%	5.2%	3.1%	5.5%	7.9%	3.1%
	* 0.9	1.3	1.5	4.7	2.7	2.7	3.2	1.3	1.3	3.1	1.9	1.6
NO	95.4	97.2	95.1	95.4	91.6	91.6	93.5	94.8	96.9	94.5	92.1	96.9
	* 0.9	1.3	1.5	4.7	2.7	2.7	3.2	1.3	1.3	3.1	1.9	1.6
Sample size	3,132	773	801	84	729	729	745	2,003	820	309	1,076	494
Weighted total	261,748	76,914	141,188	7,160	19,253	19,253	17,234	173,721	72,355	15,672	97,609	41,747
												45,033

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97112 (cont.)

Have used spouse programs-TDYs/deployment  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	4.9%	3.8%	6.1%	3.8%	2.0%
	* 1.2	1.5	1.6	1.2	1.7
NO	95.1	96.2	93.9	96.2	98.0
	* 1.2	1.5	1.6	1.2	1.7
Sample size	2,336	765	1,414	1,439	263
Weighted total	197,426	61,523	117,917	117,190	24,320

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97JJ1

Usefulness of premarriage counseling  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	51.5%	52.7%	50.6%	53.5%	50.9%	52.7%	51.7%	50.5%	53.4%	53.0%	52.6%	52.3%
	* 2.7	4.5	3.7	12.0	3.4	3.6	3.5	4.9	7.0	3.7	7.7	5.6
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	39.2	36.8	40.4	42.5	39.0	38.3	39.1	40.3	35.1	39.0	37.8	38.9
	* 2.9	4.5	3.7	12.8	3.9	3.7	3.4	5.9	8.6	4.0	8.0	5.8
NOT USEFUL	9.3	10.5	8.9	4.0	10.1	9.0	9.2	9.1	11.5	8.0	9.7	8.8
	* 1.5	3.0	1.8	5.0	2.7	2.4	1.7	3.3	2.8	2.1	4.2	3.6
Sample size	2,888	695	707	79	685	722	1,850	755	283	985	322	457
Weighted total	231,336	68,803	120,966	7,089	17,720	16,758	154,385	63,259	13,691	85,421	36,453	40,457

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97JJ1 (cont.)

Usefulness of premarriage counseling  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	51.0%	53.8%	54.0%	49.4%	50.0%
	* 3.2	5.1	3.8	4.6	11.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	39.4	37.8	37.3	40.0	43.9
	* 3.4	5.3	3.3	4.8	11.2
NOT USEFUL	9.6	8.4	8.7	10.6	6.1
	* 1.8	2.7	1.9	3.1	4.1
Sample size	2,160	704	1,310	1,324	243
Weighted total	175,454	53,780	106,360	101,637	22,092

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97JJ2

Have used premarriage counseling  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	4.3%	4.8%	3.9%	0.8%	7.9%	2.3%	4.6%	3.5%	4.0%	4.2%	4.0%	2.6%
	* 1.1	2.7	1.1	1.7	3.3	1.1	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.0
NO	95.7	95.2	96.1	99.2	92.1	97.7	95.4	96.5	96.0	95.8	96.0	97.4
	* 1.1	2.7	1.1	1.7	3.3	1.1	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.0
Sample size	3,030	748	764	84	702	732	1,941	792	297	1,042	349	480
Weighted total	249,495	74,514	132,137	7,530	18,320	16,994	166,156	68,841	14,498	94,894	39,504	43,001

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97JJ2 (cont.)

Have used premarriage counseling  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	3.1% * 0.7	7.9% 3.6	5.3% 1.6	2.8% 1.4	6.8% 3.7
NO	96.9 * 0.7	92.1 3.6	94.7 1.6	97.2 1.4	93.2 3.7
Sample size	2,252	750	1,371	1,390	255
Weighted total	187,558	59,435	113,777	110,952	22,836

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97KK1

Usefulness-single parent support groups  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
VERY USEFUL	68.7%	69.6%	68.5%	70.5%	66.0%	66.0%	68.3%	68.6%	69.7%	64.6%	71.7%	67.1%
	* 2.8	5.0	4.0	11.5	3.7	3.7	2.9	3.6	4.8	3.0	3.0	6.9
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	26.5	23.7	27.8	24.2	28.9	28.9	26.7	27.1	25.1	25.4	24.8	27.2
	* 2.5	4.3	3.4	10.6	3.9	3.9	2.8	3.4	3.7	4.7	3.0	6.1
NOT USEFUL	4.9	6.7	3.7	5.3	5.1	5.1	5.0	4.3	5.2	10.0	3.6	5.7
	* 1.1	2.6	1.5	5.5	2.1	2.1	1.7	1.2	2.2	6.3	1.5	2.6
Sample size	2,887	694	705	80	684	684	724	1,850	755	282	983	460
Weighted total	231,093	68,465	120,999	7,151	17,703	17,703	16,775	154,502	63,069	13,522	85,154	40,866

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97KK1 (cont.)

Usefulness-single parent support groups  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
--	----------------	----------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------------

## VERY USEFUL

69.3%	66.3%	69.1%	69.1%	63.7%
* 2.9	5.5	3.6	4.4	12.1

## SOMEWHAT USEFUL

25.8	29.5	26.0	25.8	33.3
* 2.6	5.2	3.3	4.1	11.3

## NOT USEFUL

4.9	4.2	4.9	5.1	3.0
* 1.3	1.4	1.7	1.9	2.4

## Sample size

2,158	704	1,313	1,320	242
-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

## Weighted total

174,898	53,927	106,991	100,838	21,754
---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97KK2

Have used single parent support groups  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company Grade		Field Grade		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Officer	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service Support
YES	0.5%	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%	0.6%	0.8%
	* 0.4	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.6	1.2
NO	99.5	99.7	99.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.6	99.5	99.3	99.8	99.4	99.2
	* 0.4	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.6	1.2
Sample size	3,028	749	765	84	700	730	1,939	792	297	1,041	350	480
Weighted total	250,376	74,852	132,820	7,530	18,241	16,932	166,820	68,819	14,737	95,113	39,775	43,001

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97xx2 (cont.)

Have used single parent support groups  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	0.6%	0.2%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%
	* 0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.7
NO	99.4	99.8	99.4	99.5	99.6
	* 0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.7
Sample size	2,250	749	1,369	1,388	256
Weighted total	187,926	59,683	114,129	110,879	23,174

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97LL1

Usefulness-social programs for singles  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service
VERY USEFUL	55.1%	56.3%	54.9%	51.7%	52.5%	52.5%	55.5%	53.3%	60.1%	51.3%	57.5%	58.2%
	* 2.6	4.8	3.8	8.6	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.9	12.8	3.3	7.1
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	36.7	33.8	37.8	42.2	39.1	39.1	36.1	37.8	33.9	37.6	36.1	34.6
	* 2.7	4.4	4.0	10.2	4.0	4.0	3.2	3.6	3.8	11.5	3.8	7.9
NOT USEFUL	8.2	9.9	7.3	6.1	8.4	8.4	8.3	8.8	6.0	11.2	6.3	7.3
	* 1.3	3.1	2.0	5.5	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.7	2.5	6.4	2.0	3.7
Sample size	2,886	694	705	80	684	723	1,847	756	283	984	322	458
Weighted total	230,759	68,526	120,607	7,151	17,715	16,761	153,970	63,099	13,691	85,204	36,402	40,633

(\*) Standard Error



Table SP\_97LL1 (cont.)

Usefulness-social programs for singles

by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	54.6% * 3.0	56.6% 5.6	57.6% 4.0	52.2% 4.4	57.2% 10.3
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	36.8 * 3.0	36.6 6.0	35.0 3.6	38.1 4.1	38.3 9.7
NOT USEFUL	8.6 * 1.6	6.8 2.1	7.4 2.3	9.7 2.4	4.5 2.9
Sample size	2,155	707	1,314	1,318	243
Weighted total	174,601	54,154	106,695	100,849	21,969

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97LL2

Have used social programs for singles  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOJUS	Combat	Support	TDA
YES	1.0%	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	1.1%	1.0%	0.3%	1.6%	0.7%
	* 0.5	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.2	1.1	0.8
NO	99.0	98.2	99.2	100.0	99.5	99.5	99.5	98.9	99.0	99.7	98.4	99.3
	* 0.5	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.2	1.1	0.8
Sample size	3,029	749	764	84	699	733	1,940	790	299	1,042	348	480
Weighted total	250,080	74,856	132,453	7,530	18,224	17,017	166,551	68,648	14,881	95,207	39,550	43,001

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97LL2 (cont.)

Have used social programs for singles  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	0.9%	1.5%	1.3%	0.6%	1.6%
	* 0.6	1.0	0.9	0.5	1.7
NO	99.1	98.5	98.7	99.4	98.4
	* 0.6	1.0	0.9	0.5	1.7
Sample size	2,252	749	1,371	1,388	256
Weighted total	187,994	59,584	114,076	110,900	23,174

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97MM1

Usefulness-child care for single parents  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Other		Combat		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Grade Officer	Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCONUS	Combat	Support	Service	TDA
VERY USEFUL	72.1%	73.3%	71.6%	65.5%	73.7%	72.3%	71.2%	74.3%	72.2%	75.3%	77.2%	71.5%
	* 2.5	4.2	3.6	11.7	2.9	3.5	3.1	4.7	5.5	2.9	6.0	5.9
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	22.6	19.2	24.5	28.8	20.3	22.3	24.1	20.0	17.8	20.2	17.8	22.9
	* 2.3	3.5	3.5	12.2	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.1	3.1	2.8	5.8	5.5
NOT USEFUL	5.3	7.5	3.9	5.7	6.0	5.4	4.7	5.7	10.0	4.5	4.9	5.5
	* 1.2	2.6	1.4	6.0	2.1	1.7	1.3	2.6	4.8	1.7	3.1	2.8
Sample size	2,879	693	705	79	679	723	1,844	753	282	980	322	458
Weighted total	230,352	68,377	120,553	7,089	17,572	16,761	153,864	62,930	13,558	85,090	36,453	40,525

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97MM1 (cont.)

Usefulness-child care for single parents  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
VERY USEFUL	72.3%	71.5%	74.9%	69.8%	71.4%
* 2.5		5.1	3.0	3.5	11.5
SOMEWHAT USEFUL	22.6%	23.2%	20.8%	24.0%	23.2%
* 2.4		4.6	2.8	3.4	10.8
NOT USEFUL	5.1%	5.3%	4.3%	6.2%	5.4%
* 1.4		1.6	1.7	1.9	3.5
Sample size	2,153	703	1,308	1,317	243
Weighted total	174,426	53,960	106,499	100,765	21,841

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97MM2

Have used child care for single parents  
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Junior		Company		Field		Other		Combat		Combat	
	Total	Enlisted	NCO	Officer	Grade	Officer	CONUS	Europe	OCOMUS	Combat	Support	Service
YES	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.9%
	* 0.4	0.8	0.6	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.7	1.0
NO	99.3	99.3	99.2	99.3	100.0	100.0	99.8	99.3	99.3	100.0	99.4	99.1
	* 0.4	0.8	0.6	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.7	1.0
Sample size	3,030	750	764	84	699	733	1,938	793	299	1,043	350	479
Weighted total	249,916	74,754	132,399	7,530	18,223	17,009	166,137	68,898	14,881	95,369	39,793	42,637

(\*) Standard Error

Table SP\_97MM2 (cont.)

Have used child care for single parents  
by Number of Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Not Employed	On Active Duty
YES	0.9%	0.1%	0.6%	0.6%	1.8%
	* 0.5	0.1	0.6	0.6	2.3
NO	99.1	99.9	99.4	99.4	98.2
	* 0.5	0.1	0.6	0.6	2.3
Sample size	2,252	750	1,370	1,391	255
Weighted total	187,611	59,803	113,999	111,054	22,934

(\*) Standard Error

**APPENDIX H: PROC FREQUENCY of INSTALLATION AND COMMUNITY  
CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY (ICCI) Data Set**

The SAS System

17:14 Tuesday, August 6, 1991

Number of units - mtoe

IC_I1N	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	2	5.7	2	5.7
1	1	2.9	3	8.6
2	1	2.9	4	11.4
3	1	2.9	5	14.3
4	2	5.7	7	20.0
5	3	8.6	10	28.6
6	1	2.9	11	31.4
7	1	2.9	12	34.3
8	1	2.9	13	37.1
10	2	5.7	15	42.9
12	1	2.9	16	45.7
13	1	2.9	17	48.6
14	1	2.9	18	51.4
15	1	2.9	19	54.3
17	1	2.9	20	57.1
20	1	2.9	21	60.0
21	3	8.6	24	68.6
22	1	2.9	25	71.4
26	2	5.7	27	77.1
33	1	2.9	28	80.0
38	1	2.9	29	82.9
43	1	2.9	30	85.7
44	1	2.9	31	88.6
76	1	2.9	32	91.4
105	2	5.7	34	97.1
174	1	2.9	35	100.0

Frequency Missing = 3



## Type of units - tda

IC_I1T	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	5	15.2	5	15.2
1	7	21.2	12	36.4
2	5	15.2	17	51.5
3	1	3.0	18	54.5
4	2	6.1	20	60.6
5	2	6.1	22	66.7
6	1	3.0	23	69.7
7	1	3.0	24	72.7
15	2	6.1	26	78.8
16	1	3.0	27	81.8
18	1	3.0	28	84.8
20	1	3.0	29	87.9
21	1	3.0	30	90.9
27	1	3.0	31	93.9
78	1	3.0	32	97.0
490	1	3.0	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

## Month of appointment for cg

IC_I21M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	2	6.1	2	6.1
1	1	3.0	3	9.1
3	3	9.1	6	18.2
4	2	6.1	8	24.2
5	1	3.0	9	27.3
6	9	27.3	18	54.5
7	7	21.2	25	75.8
8	3	9.1	28	84.8
9	2	6.1	30	90.9
10	1	3.0	31	93.9
11	2	6.1	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

## Day of appointment for cg

IC_I21D	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	2	9.5	2	9.5
1	4	19.0	6	28.6
3	2	9.5	8	38.1
4	1	4.8	9	42.9
5	1	4.8	10	47.6
9	1	4.8	11	52.4
10	1	4.8	12	57.1
16	2	9.5	14	66.7
18	1	4.8	15	71.4
19	1	4.8	16	76.2
21	1	4.8	17	81.0
25	1	4.8	18	85.7
27	2	9.5	20	95.2
28	1	4.8	21	100.0

Frequency Missing = 17

## Year of appointment for cg

IC_I21Y	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	2	6.1	2	6.1
86	1	3.0	3	9.1
87	3	9.1	6	18.2
88	13	39.4	19	57.6
89	14	42.4	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

## Month of appointment for dcg

IC_I22M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	2.9	1	2.9
1	2	5.9	3	8.8
3	1	2.9	4	11.8
4	5	14.7	9	26.5
5	4	11.8	13	38.2
6	6	17.6	19	55.9
7	8	23.5	27	79.4
8	3	8.8	30	88.2
10	2	5.9	32	94.1
11	1	2.9	33	97.1
12	1	2.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

## Day of appointment for dcg

IC_I22D	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	4.5	1	4.5
1	7	31.8	8	36.4
3	2	9.1	10	45.5
5	3	13.6	13	59.1
7	1	4.5	14	63.6
8	1	4.5	15	68.2
9	1	4.5	16	72.7
18	1	4.5	17	77.3
20	1	4.5	18	81.8
21	2	9.1	20	90.9
25	1	4.5	21	95.5
26	1	4.5	22	100.0

Frequency Missing = 16

## Year of appointment for dcg

IC_I22Y	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	2.9	1	2.9
85	1	2.9	2	5.9
86	1	2.9	3	8.8
87	2	5.9	5	14.7
88	15	44.1	20	58.8
89	14	41.2	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

## Mnt of appointmnt for commnd sergnt majr

IC_I23M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-6	1	2.9	1	2.9
1	1	2.9	2	5.9
3	6	17.6	8	23.5
4	2	5.9	10	29.4
5	3	8.8	13	38.2
6	9	26.5	22	64.7
7	6	17.6	28	82.4
8	2	5.9	30	88.2
9	2	5.9	32	94.1
12	2	5.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

## Day of appointmnt for commnd sergnt majr

IC_I23D	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-6	1	5.0	1	5.0
1	3	15.0	4	20.0
4	2	10.0	6	30.0
10	3	15.0	9	45.0
11	1	5.0	10	50.0
12	1	5.0	11	55.0
15	5	25.0	16	80.0
20	1	5.0	17	85.0
24	1	5.0	18	90.0
27	1	5.0	19	95.0
31	1	5.0	20	100.0

Frequency Missing = 18

## Yr of appointmnt for commnd sergnt major

IC_I23Y	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-6	1	2.9	1	2.9
84	1	2.9	2	5.9
85	1	2.9	3	8.8
86	2	5.9	5	14.7
87	6	17.6	11	32.4
88	7	20.6	18	52.9
89	16	47.1	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

## Month of appointment for dpca

IC_I24M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	7	21.2	7	21.2
1	2	6.1	9	27.3
2	2	6.1	11	33.3
3	2	6.1	13	39.4
5	6	18.2	19	57.6
6	2	6.1	21	63.6
7	5	15.2	26	78.8
8	3	9.1	29	87.9
10	1	3.0	30	90.9
11	3	9.1	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

## Day of appointment for dpca

IC_I24D	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	7	33.3	7	33.3
1	2	9.5	9	42.9
7	1	4.8	10	47.6
10	2	9.5	12	57.1
13	1	4.8	13	61.9
14	1	4.8	14	66.7
15	1	4.8	15	71.4
17	1	4.8	16	76.2
20	1	4.8	17	81.0
25	1	4.8	18	85.7
28	2	9.5	20	95.2
30	1	4.8	21	100.0

Frequency Missing = 17

## Year of appointment for dpca

IC_I24Y	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	7	20.6	7	20.6
81	1	2.9	8	23.5
84	1	2.9	9	26.5
87	2	5.9	11	32.4
88	7	20.6	18	52.9
89	16	47.1	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

## Month of appointment for director of acs

IC_I25M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	7	21.9	7	21.9
1	5	15.6	12	37.5
2	2	6.3	14	43.8
3	2	6.3	16	50.0
4	1	3.1	17	53.1
5	2	6.3	19	59.4
6	2	6.3	21	65.6
7	2	6.3	23	71.9
9	4	12.5	27	84.4
10	2	6.3	29	90.6
11	2	6.3	31	96.9
12	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

## Day of appointment for director of acs

IC_I25D	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	7	31.8	7	31.8
1	3	13.6	10	45.5
3	1	4.5	11	50.0
5	1	4.5	12	54.5
12	1	4.5	13	59.1
14	1	4.5	14	63.6
17	1	4.5	15	68.2
18	2	9.1	17	77.3
21	1	4.5	18	81.8
25	1	4.5	19	86.4
26	1	4.5	20	90.9
29	1	4.5	21	95.5
30	1	4.5	22	100.0

Frequency Missing = 16

## Year of appointment for director of acs

IC_I25Y	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	7	21.9	7	21.9
81	2	6.3	9	28.1
86	1	3.1	10	31.3
87	9	28.1	19	59.4
88	4	12.5	23	71.9
89	9	28.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6



## Mnt of appointmnt for directr of housing

IC_I26M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	5	16.7	5	16.7
1	1	3.3	6	20.0
2	1	3.3	7	23.3
3	1	3.3	8	26.7
4	4	13.3	12	40.0
6	5	16.7	17	56.7
7	3	10.0	20	66.7
8	3	10.0	23	76.7
10	4	13.3	27	90.0
11	3	10.0	30	100.0

Frequency Missing = 8

## Day of appointmnt for directr of housing

IC_I26D	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	5	29.4	5	29.4
1	4	23.5	9	52.9
3	2	11.8	11	64.7
6	1	5.9	12	70.6
9	1	5.9	13	76.5
13	1	5.9	14	82.4
17	1	5.9	15	88.2
27	1	5.9	16	94.1
30	1	5.9	17	100.0

Frequency Missing = 21

## Yr of appointment for directr of housing

IC_I26Y	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	5	16.1	5	16.1
59	1	3.2	6	19.4
75	1	3.2	7	22.6
81	1	3.2	8	25.8
82	2	6.5	10	32.3
83	1	3.2	11	35.5
86	3	9.7	14	45.2
87	3	9.7	17	54.8
88	5	16.1	22	71.0
89	9	29.0	31	100.0

Frequency Missing = 7

## Mnt of appointmnt for hospital commander

IC_I27M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	10	33.3	10	33.3
1	1	3.3	11	36.7
3	1	3.3	12	40.0
4	1	3.3	13	43.3
6	4	13.3	17	56.7
7	5	16.7	22	73.3
8	5	16.7	27	90.0
10	2	6.7	29	96.7
12	1	3.3	30	100.0

Frequency Missing = 8

## Day of appointmnt for hospital commander

IC_I27D	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	10	47.6	10	47.6
1	3	14.3	13	61.9
2	1	4.8	14	66.7
8	1	4.8	15	71.4
14	1	4.8	16	76.2
15	1	4.8	17	81.0
17	1	4.8	18	85.7
22	1	4.8	19	90.5
23	1	4.8	20	95.2
31	1	4.8	21	100.0

Frequency Missing = 17

## Yr of appointment for hospital commander

IC_I27Y	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	10	33.3	10	33.3
87	5	16.7	15	50.0
88	8	26.7	23	76.7
89	7	23.3	30	100.0

Frequency Missing = 8

## Number of soldiers in mtoe units

IC_I3	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	2.7	1	2.7
0	1	2.7	2	5.4
319	1	2.7	3	8.1
655	1	2.7	4	10.8
769	1	2.7	5	13.5
860	1	2.7	6	16.2
968	1	2.7	7	18.9
999	1	2.7	8	21.6
1073	1	2.7	9	24.3
1156	1	2.7	10	27.0
1167	1	2.7	11	29.7
1275	1	2.7	12	32.4
1500	1	2.7	13	35.1
1508	1	2.7	14	37.8
1960	1	2.7	15	40.5
2189	1	2.7	16	43.2
2219	1	2.7	17	45.9
2369	1	2.7	18	48.6
2614	1	2.7	19	51.4
2854	1	2.7	20	54.1
3000	1	2.7	21	56.8
3002	1	2.7	22	59.5
3047	1	2.7	23	62.2
3412	1	2.7	24	64.9
3732	1	2.7	25	67.6
3882	1	2.7	26	70.3
3987	1	2.7	27	73.0
4434	1	2.7	28	75.7
5761	1	2.7	29	78.4
5850	1	2.7	30	81.1
5979	1	2.7	31	83.8
7350	1	2.7	32	86.5
7463	1	2.7	33	89.2
7693	1	2.7	34	91.9
9283	1	2.7	35	94.6
19530	1	2.7	36	97.3
36964	1	2.7	37	100.0

Frequency Missing = 1

## Number of soldiers in tda units

IC_I4	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	2.7	1	2.7
0	6	16.2	7	18.9
1	1	2.7	8	21.6
3	1	2.7	9	24.3
15	1	2.7	10	27.0
25	1	2.7	11	29.7
27	2	5.4	13	35.1
30	1	2.7	14	37.8
43	1	2.7	15	40.5
65	1	2.7	16	43.2
66	1	2.7	17	45.9
75	1	2.7	18	48.6
80	1	2.7	19	51.4
81	1	2.7	20	54.1
100	1	2.7	21	56.8
150	1	2.7	22	59.5
165	1	2.7	23	62.2
189	1	2.7	24	64.9
286	1	2.7	25	67.6
475	1	2.7	26	70.3
550	1	2.7	27	73.0
892	1	2.7	28	75.7
1288	1	2.7	29	78.4
1405	1	2.7	30	81.1
2055	1	2.7	31	83.8
2085	1	2.7	32	86.5
2086	1	2.7	33	89.2
2478	1	2.7	34	91.9
3473	1	2.7	35	94.6
4226	1	2.7	36	97.3
5907	1	2.7	37	100.0

Frequency Missing = 1

## Number of trainees

IC_I5	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	11	31.4	11	31.4
-6	1	2.9	12	34.3
0	13	37.1	25	71.4
398	1	2.9	26	74.3
883	1	2.9	27	77.1
900	1	2.9	28	80.0
2620	1	2.9	29	82.9
2859	1	2.9	30	85.7
8651	1	2.9	31	88.6
8690	1	2.9	32	91.4
16009	1	2.9	33	94.3
16161	1	2.9	34	97.1
32000	1	2.9	35	100.0

Frequency Missing = 3

## #reservists present for annual training

IC_I6	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	9	28.1	9	28.1
0	11	34.4	20	62.5
30	1	3.1	21	65.6
373	1	3.1	22	68.8
419	1	3.1	23	71.9
757	1	3.1	24	75.0
1500	1	3.1	25	78.1
2000	1	3.1	26	81.3
4958	1	3.1	27	84.4
6301	1	3.1	28	87.5
7193	1	3.1	29	90.6
11655	1	3.1	30	93.8
17012	1	3.1	31	96.9
75000	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

#reserve centrs supported by installatn

IC_I7	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	9	26.5	9	26.5
0	14	41.2	23	67.6
3	1	2.9	24	70.6
4	1	2.9	25	73.5
8	1	2.9	26	76.5
24	1	2.9	27	79.4
30	1	2.9	28	82.4
37	1	2.9	29	85.3
52	1	2.9	30	88.2
56	1	2.9	31	91.2
119	1	2.9	32	94.1
131	1	2.9	33	97.1
300	1	2.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

## Number of dacs (americans)

IC_I8	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
5	1	2.7	1	2.7
7	1	2.7	2	5.4
22	1	2.7	3	8.1
33	1	2.7	4	10.8
46	1	2.7	5	13.5
57	1	2.7	6	16.2
60	1	2.7	7	18.9
88	1	2.7	8	21.6
113	1	2.7	9	24.3
127	1	2.7	10	27.0
142	1	2.7	11	29.7
148	1	2.7	12	32.4
163	1	2.7	13	35.1
200	1	2.7	14	37.8
204	1	2.7	15	40.5
284	1	2.7	16	43.2
305	1	2.7	17	45.9
317	1	2.7	18	48.6
424	1	2.7	19	51.4
448	1	2.7	20	54.1
614	1	2.7	21	56.8
672	1	2.7	22	59.5
793	1	2.7	23	62.2
798	1	2.7	24	64.9
829	1	2.7	25	67.6
1408	1	2.7	26	70.3
1896	1	2.7	27	73.0
1942	1	2.7	28	75.7
2188	1	2.7	29	78.4
2250	1	2.7	30	81.1
3059	1	2.7	31	83.8
3150	1	2.7	32	86.5
3577	1	2.7	33	89.2
4017	1	2.7	34	91.9
4650	1	2.7	35	94.6
8362	1	2.7	36	97.3
8765	1	2.7	37	100.0

Frequency Missing = 1



## Number of dacs (foreign nationals)

IC_I9	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	3	8.8	3	8.8
0	7	20.6	10	29.4
1	1	2.9	11	32.4
2	1	2.9	12	35.3
15	1	2.9	13	38.2
25	1	2.9	14	41.2
57	1	2.9	15	44.1
100	1	2.9	16	47.1
117	1	2.9	17	50.0
171	1	2.9	18	52.9
199	1	2.9	19	55.9
209	1	2.9	20	58.8
318	1	2.9	21	61.8
323	1	2.9	22	64.7
597	1	2.9	23	67.6
613	1	2.9	24	70.6
636	1	2.9	25	73.5
648	1	2.9	26	76.5
649	1	2.9	27	79.4
807	1	2.9	28	82.4
845	1	2.9	29	85.3
981	1	2.9	30	88.2
1032	1	2.9	31	91.2
1498	1	2.9	32	94.1
1510	1	2.9	33	97.1
2828	1	2.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

## Number of command-sponsored dependents

IC_I10	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	5	15.6	5	15.6
25	2	6.3	7	21.9
414	1	3.1	8	25.0
462	1	3.1	9	28.1
541	1	3.1	10	31.3
637	1	3.1	11	34.4
988	1	3.1	12	37.5
1346	1	3.1	13	40.6
1500	1	3.1	14	43.8
1673	1	3.1	15	46.9
1675	1	3.1	16	50.0
2174	1	3.1	17	53.1
2545	1	3.1	18	56.3
2650	1	3.1	19	59.4
3615	1	3.1	20	62.5
5137	1	3.1	21	65.6
5158	1	3.1	22	68.8
5500	1	3.1	23	71.9
5529	1	3.1	24	75.0
5649	1	3.1	25	78.1
5655	1	3.1	26	81.3
6321	1	3.1	27	84.4
8417	1	3.1	28	87.5
13394	1	3.1	29	90.6
23941	1	3.1	30	93.8
52033	1	3.1	31	96.9
55910	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

## Number non-command-sponsored dependents

IC_I11	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	7	24.1	7	24.1
-6	1	3.4	8	27.6
0	3	10.3	11	37.9
15	1	3.4	12	41.4
17	1	3.4	13	44.8
27	1	3.4	14	48.3
36	1	3.4	15	51.7
39	1	3.4	16	55.2
44	1	3.4	17	58.6
80	1	3.4	18	62.1
152	1	3.4	19	65.5
158	1	3.4	20	69.0
182	1	3.4	21	72.4
200	1	3.4	22	75.9
300	1	3.4	23	79.3
541	1	3.4	24	82.8
600	1	3.4	25	86.2
1000	1	3.4	26	89.7
1030	1	3.4	27	93.1
4946	1	3.4	28	96.6
6000	1	3.4	29	100.0

Frequency Missing = 9

## #of on-post housing units for e1 rank

ICI12E1U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	8	88.9	8	88.9
2	1	11.1	9	100.0

Frequency Missing = 29

## Average waiting period(mnts) for e1 rank

ICI12E1P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	2	40.0	2	40.0
12	1	20.0	3	60.0
21	2	40.0	5	100.0

Frequency Missing = 33

#of on-post housing units for e2 rank

ICI12E2U	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent	Frequency	Percent
0	10	50.0	10	50.0
1	1	5.0	11	55.0
2	1	5.0	12	60.0
3	1	5.0	13	65.0
4	1	5.0	14	70.0
9	1	5.0	15	75.0
12	1	5.0	16	80.0
20	1	5.0	17	85.0
24	1	5.0	18	90.0
29	1	5.0	19	95.0
30	1	5.0	20	100.0

Frequency Missing = 18

Average wating period(mnts) for e2 rank

ICI12E2P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	4	30.8	4	30.8
9.5	1	7.7	5	38.5
10	1	7.7	6	46.2
10.5	1	7.7	7	53.8
11	1	7.7	8	61.5
12	2	15.4	10	76.9
15	1	7.7	11	84.6
18	1	7.7	12	92.3
21	1	7.7	13	100.0

Frequency Missing = 25

#of on-post housing units for e3 rank

ICI12E3U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	7	43.8	7	43.8
4	1	6.3	8	50.0
6	1	6.3	9	56.3
10	1	6.3	10	62.5
25	1	6.3	11	68.8
37	1	6.3	12	75.0
47	1	6.3	13	81.3
59	1	6.3	14	87.5
88	1	6.3	15	93.8
90	1	6.3	16	100.0

Frequency Missing = 22

Average wating period(mnts) for e3 rank

ICI12E3P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	9.1	1	9.1
9.5	1	9.1	2	18.2
10	1	9.1	3	27.3
11	1	9.1	4	36.4
12	3	27.3	7	63.6
15	1	9.1	8	72.7
18	1	9.1	9	81.8
21	1	9.1	10	90.9
24	1	9.1	11	100.0

Frequency Missing = 27

#of on-post housing units for e4 rank

ICI12E4U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.1	1	3.1
4	1	3.1	2	6.3
29	1	3.1	3	9.4
31	1	3.1	4	12.5
37	2	6.3	6	18.8
38	1	3.1	7	21.9
40	1	3.1	8	25.0
42	1	3.1	9	28.1
75	1	3.1	10	31.3
85	1	3.1	11	34.4
108	1	3.1	12	37.5
122	1	3.1	13	40.6
130	1	3.1	14	43.8
138	1	3.1	15	46.9
169	1	3.1	16	50.0
187	1	3.1	17	53.1
193	1	3.1	18	56.3
203	1	3.1	19	59.4
214	1	3.1	20	62.5
280	1	3.1	21	65.6
291	1	3.1	22	68.8
296	1	3.1	23	71.9
298	1	3.1	24	75.0
381	1	3.1	25	78.1
430	1	3.1	26	81.3
609	1	3.1	27	84.4
610	1	3.1	28	87.5
630	1	3.1	29	90.6
886	1	3.1	30	93.8
922	1	3.1	31	96.9
1272	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

## Average waiting period(mnts) for e4 rank

ICI12E4P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
1	2	5.9	2	5.9
4	1	2.9	3	8.8
5	2	5.9	5	14.7
6	2	5.9	7	20.6
7.5	1	2.9	8	23.5
8	1	2.9	9	26.5
9.5	1	2.9	10	29.4
10	1	2.9	11	32.4
10.5	2	5.9	13	38.2
12	5	14.7	18	52.9
12.5	1	2.9	19	55.9
13	1	2.9	20	58.8
14	4	11.8	24	70.6
15	3	8.8	27	79.4
15.5	1	2.9	28	82.4
16	2	5.9	30	88.2
17	1	2.9	31	91.2
18	2	5.9	33	97.1
24	1	2.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

#of on-post housing units for e5 rank

ICI12E5U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.0	1	3.0
2	1	3.0	2	6.1
30	1	3.0	3	9.1
32	1	3.0	4	12.1
37	1	3.0	5	15.2
38	1	3.0	6	18.2
39	1	3.0	7	21.2
41	1	3.0	8	24.2
43	1	3.0	9	27.3
77	1	3.0	10	30.3
90	1	3.0	11	33.3
111	1	3.0	12	36.4
120	1	3.0	13	39.4
131	1	3.0	14	42.4
133	1	3.0	15	45.5
142	1	3.0	16	48.5
175	1	3.0	17	51.5
192	1	3.0	18	54.5
199	1	3.0	19	57.6
209	1	3.0	20	60.6
288	1	3.0	21	63.6
305	1	3.0	22	66.7
311	1	3.0	23	69.7
318	1	3.0	24	72.7
395	1	3.0	25	75.8
443	1	3.0	26	78.8
623	1	3.0	27	81.8
627	2	6.1	29	87.9
652	1	3.0	30	90.9
912	1	3.0	31	93.9
948	1	3.0	32	97.0
1309	1	3.0	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5



## Average waiting period(mnts) for e5 rank

ICI12E5P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
1	2	5.9	2	5.9
4	1	2.9	3	8.8
5	3	8.8	6	17.6
6	2	5.9	8	23.5
7.5	1	2.9	9	26.5
8	1	2.9	10	29.4
9.5	1	2.9	11	32.4
10	1	2.9	12	35.3
10.5	2	5.9	14	41.2
12	5	14.7	19	55.9
12.5	1	2.9	20	58.8
13	2	5.9	22	64.7
14	4	11.8	26	76.5
15	3	8.8	29	85.3
15.5	1	2.9	30	88.2
16	2	5.9	32	94.1
18	2	5.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

#of on-post housing units for e6 rank

ICI12E6U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.1	1	3.1
3	1	3.1	2	6.3
25	1	3.1	3	9.4
27	1	3.1	4	12.5
31	2	6.3	6	18.8
33	1	3.1	7	21.9
34	1	3.1	8	25.0
37	1	3.1	9	28.1
62	1	3.1	10	31.3
73	1	3.1	11	34.4
90	1	3.1	12	37.5
106	1	3.1	13	40.6
107	1	3.1	14	43.8
114	1	3.1	15	46.9
117	1	3.1	16	50.0
142	1	3.1	17	53.1
155	1	3.1	18	56.3
160	1	3.1	19	59.4
168	1	3.1	20	62.5
232	1	3.1	21	65.6
246	1	3.1	22	68.8
253	1	3.1	23	71.9
258	1	3.1	24	75.0
327	1	3.1	25	78.1
357	1	3.1	26	81.3
505	1	3.1	27	84.4
506	1	3.1	28	87.5
540	1	3.1	29	90.6
735	1	3.1	30	93.8
764	1	3.1	31	96.9
1054	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

Average waiting period(mnts) for e6 rank

ICI12E6P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
1	2	5.9	2	5.9
4	1	2.9	3	8.8
5	2	5.9	5	14.7
6	2	5.9	7	20.6
7.5	1	2.9	8	23.5
8	1	2.9	9	26.5
9.5	1	2.9	10	29.4
10	1	2.9	11	32.4
10.5	3	8.8	14	41.2
12	5	14.7	19	55.9
12.5	1	2.9	20	58.8
13	1	2.9	21	61.8
14	5	14.7	26	76.5
15	3	8.8	29	85.3
15.5	1	2.9	30	88.2
16	2	5.9	32	94.1
18	2	5.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

#of on-post housing units for e7 rank

ICI12E7U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.1	1	3.1
4	1	3.1	2	6.3
13	1	3.1	3	9.4
16	1	3.1	4	12.5
19	2	6.3	6	18.8
23	3	9.4	9	28.1
26	1	3.1	10	31.3
36	1	3.1	11	34.4
37	1	3.1	12	37.5
38	1	3.1	13	40.6
54	1	3.1	14	43.8
88	2	6.3	16	50.0
94	1	3.1	17	53.1
97	1	3.1	18	56.3
113	1	3.1	19	59.4
122	1	3.1	20	62.5
123	1	3.1	21	65.6
133	1	3.1	22	68.8
149	1	3.1	23	71.9
191	1	3.1	24	75.0
259	1	3.1	25	78.1
290	1	3.1	26	81.3
292	1	3.1	27	84.4
314	1	3.1	28	87.5
315	1	3.1	29	90.6
383	1	3.1	30	93.8
385	1	3.1	31	96.9
738	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

Average waiting period(mnts) for e7 rank

ICI12E7P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	2.9	1	2.9
1	1	2.9	2	5.9
2	1	2.9	3	8.8
2.5	1	2.9	4	11.8
3	3	8.8	7	20.6
4.5	1	2.9	8	23.5
5	3	8.8	11	32.4
6	1	2.9	12	35.3
6.5	1	2.9	13	38.2
7	3	8.8	16	47.1
8.5	1	2.9	17	50.0
9	1	2.9	18	52.9
9.5	1	2.9	19	55.9
10	1	2.9	20	58.8
11	1	2.9	21	61.8
12	4	11.8	25	73.5
13	2	5.9	27	79.4
14	2	5.9	29	85.3
15	2	5.9	31	91.2
16.5	1	2.9	32	94.1
18	1	2.9	33	97.1
21	1	2.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

#of on-post housing units for e8 rank

ICI12E8U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.1	1	3.1
4	1	3.1	2	6.3
5	2	6.3	4	12.5
6	1	3.1	5	15.6
7	3	9.4	8	25.0
8	1	3.1	9	28.1
10	1	3.1	10	31.3
11	1	3.1	11	34.4
16	1	3.1	12	37.5
26	1	3.1	13	40.6
27	2	6.3	15	46.9
28	1	3.1	16	50.0
33	1	3.1	17	53.1
35	1	3.1	18	56.3
36	1	3.1	19	59.4
38	2	6.3	21	65.6
43	1	3.1	22	68.8
54	1	3.1	23	71.9
74	1	3.1	24	75.0
84	1	3.1	25	78.1
86	1	3.1	26	81.3
90	2	6.3	28	87.5
110	1	3.1	29	90.6
111	1	3.1	30	93.8
186	1	3.1	31	96.9
212	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

Average waiting period(mnts) for e8 rank

ICI12E8P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.0	1	3.0
1	1	3.0	2	6.1
2	1	3.0	3	9.1
2.5	1	3.0	4	12.1
3	3	9.1	7	21.2
5	3	9.1	10	30.3
6	1	3.0	11	33.3
6.5	1	3.0	12	36.4
7	4	12.1	16	48.5
8.5	1	3.0	17	51.5
9	1	3.0	18	54.5
9.5	1	3.0	19	57.6
10	1	3.0	20	60.6
11	1	3.0	21	63.6
12	4	12.1	25	75.8
13	2	6.1	27	81.8
14	2	6.1	29	87.9
15	2	6.1	31	93.9
16.5	1	3.0	32	97.0
18	1	3.0	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

#of on-post housing units for e9 rank

ICI12E9U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
1	4	12.9	4	12.9
2	4	12.9	8	25.8
3	2	6.5	10	32.3
4	2	6.5	12	38.7
7	1	3.2	13	41.9
8	2	6.5	15	48.4
9	1	3.2	16	51.6
10	2	6.5	18	58.1
11	1	3.2	19	61.3
12	1	3.2	20	64.5
13	2	6.5	22	71.0
21	1	3.2	23	74.2
22	1	3.2	24	77.4
24	1	3.2	25	80.6
26	1	3.2	26	83.9
28	1	3.2	27	87.1
31	2	6.5	29	93.5
38	1	3.2	30	96.8
61	1	3.2	31	100.0

Frequency Missing = 7



## Average waiting period(mnts) for e9 rank

ICI12E9P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	2	5.9	2	5.9
1	1	2.9	3	8.8
2	1	2.9	4	11.8
2.5	1	2.9	5	14.7
3	3	8.8	8	23.5
4	1	2.9	9	26.5
5	4	11.8	13	38.2
6	1	2.9	14	41.2
6.5	1	2.9	15	44.1
7	2	5.9	17	50.0
8.5	2	5.9	19	55.9
9.5	1	2.9	20	58.8
10	2	5.9	22	64.7
12	3	8.8	25	73.5
13	2	5.9	27	79.4
14	2	5.9	29	85.3
15	2	5.9	31	91.2
16.5	1	2.9	32	94.1
18	1	2.9	33	97.1
21	1	2.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

## #of on-post housing units for w1 rank

ICI12W1U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	3	9.4	3	9.4
1	8	25.0	11	34.4
2	3	9.4	14	43.8
3	4	12.5	18	56.3
4	3	9.4	21	65.6
6	1	3.1	22	68.8
9	3	9.4	25	78.1
11	1	3.1	26	81.3
14	1	3.1	27	84.4
16	1	3.1	28	87.5
20	1	3.1	29	90.6
22	1	3.1	30	93.8
25	1	3.1	31	96.9
68	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

Average waiting period(mnts) for w1 rank

ICI12W1P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.2	1	3.2
1	3	9.7	4	12.9
1.5	1	3.2	5	16.1
2	1	3.2	6	19.4
2.5	1	3.2	7	22.6
3	2	6.5	9	29.0
4	3	9.7	12	38.7
5	1	3.2	13	41.9
6	3	9.7	16	51.6
6.5	1	3.2	17	54.8
8	1	3.2	18	58.1
10.5	2	6.5	20	64.5
11	2	6.5	22	71.0
12	3	9.7	25	80.6
13	2	6.5	27	87.1
14	1	3.2	28	90.3
15	2	6.5	30	96.8
36	1	3.2	31	100.0

Frequency Missing = 7

#of on-post housing units for w2 rank

ICI12W2U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.1	1	3.1
1	1	3.1	2	6.3
2	3	9.4	5	15.6
3	3	9.4	8	25.0
4	1	3.1	9	28.1
5	2	6.3	11	34.4
6	2	6.3	13	40.6
8	1	3.1	14	43.8
11	1	3.1	15	46.9
12	1	3.1	16	50.0
13	2	6.3	18	56.3
16	1	3.1	19	59.4
17	1	3.1	20	62.5
19	1	3.1	21	65.6
28	1	3.1	22	68.8
29	1	3.1	23	71.9
37	1	3.1	24	75.0
42	1	3.1	25	78.1
46	1	3.1	26	81.3
59	1	3.1	27	84.4
68	1	3.1	28	87.5
87	1	3.1	29	90.6
94	1	3.1	30	93.8
100	1	3.1	31	96.9
295	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

Average waiting period(mnts) for w2 rank

ICI12W2P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.2	1	3.2
1	3	9.7	4	12.9
1.5	1	3.2	5	16.1
2	1	3.2	6	19.4
2.5	1	3.2	7	22.6
3	1	3.2	8	25.8
4	3	9.7	11	35.5
5	1	3.2	12	38.7
6	3	9.7	15	48.4
6.5	1	3.2	16	51.6
7.5	1	3.2	17	54.8
8	1	3.2	18	58.1
10.5	3	9.7	21	67.7
11	1	3.2	22	71.0
12	3	9.7	25	80.6
13	2	6.5	27	87.1
14	1	3.2	28	90.3
15	2	6.5	30	96.8
36	1	3.2	31	100.0

Frequency Missing = 7

#of on-post housing units for w3 rank

ICI12W3U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.1	1	3.1
1	3	9.4	4	12.5
2	3	9.4	7	21.9
3	4	12.5	11	34.4
4	3	9.4	14	43.8
7	2	6.3	16	50.0
8	2	6.3	18	56.3
9	1	3.1	19	59.4
11	2	6.3	21	65.6
17	1	3.1	22	68.8
18	1	3.1	23	71.9
23	1	3.1	24	75.0
24	1	3.1	25	78.1
25	1	3.1	26	81.3
34	1	3.1	27	84.4
42	1	3.1	28	87.5
54	1	3.1	29	90.6
58	1	3.1	30	93.8
63	1	3.1	31	96.9
163	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

## Average waiting period(mnts) for w3 rank

ICI12W3P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.2	1	3.2
1	3	9.7	4	12.9
1.5	1	3.2	5	16.1
2	1	3.2	6	19.4
2.5	1	3.2	7	22.6
3	2	6.5	9	29.0
4	3	9.7	12	38.7
5	1	3.2	13	41.9
6	3	9.7	16	51.6
6.5	1	3.2	17	54.8
8	1	3.2	18	58.1
10.5	3	9.7	21	67.7
11	1	3.2	22	71.0
12	3	9.7	25	80.6
13	2	6.5	27	87.1
14	1	3.2	28	90.3
15	2	6.5	30	96.8
36	1	3.2	31	100.0

Frequency Missing = 7

## #of on-post housing units for w4 rank

ICI12W4U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	2	6.3	2	6.3
1	8	25.0	10	31.3
2	3	9.4	13	40.6
3	3	9.4	16	50.0
4	3	9.4	19	59.4
6	2	6.3	21	65.6
9	1	3.1	22	68.8
10	1	3.1	23	71.9
11	1	3.1	24	75.0
12	1	3.1	25	78.1
21	3	9.4	28	87.5
27	1	3.1	29	90.6
29	1	3.1	30	93.8
37	1	3.1	31	96.9
137	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6

## Average waiting period(mnts) for w4 rank

ICI12W4P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.3	1	3.3
1	4	13.3	5	16.7
2	1	3.3	6	20.0
3	1	3.3	7	23.3
4	2	6.7	9	30.0
5	2	6.7	11	36.7
6	3	10.0	14	46.7
6.5	1	3.3	15	50.0
7.5	1	3.3	16	53.3
8	1	3.3	17	56.7
9	1	3.3	18	60.0
10.5	2	6.7	20	66.7
10.7	1	3.3	21	70.0
12	3	10.0	24	80.0
13	1	3.3	25	83.3
15	1	3.3	26	86.7
18	2	6.7	28	93.3
30	1	3.3	29	96.7
36	1	3.3	30	100.0

Frequency Missing = 8

## #of on-post housing units for 01 rank

ICI1201U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	2	6.1	2	6.1
1	6	18.2	8	24.2
2	7	21.2	15	45.5
3	1	3.0	16	48.5
4	3	9.1	19	57.6
5	3	9.1	22	66.7
6	1	3.0	23	69.7
9	2	6.1	25	75.8
11	1	3.0	26	78.8
12	1	3.0	27	81.8
18	1	3.0	28	84.8
21	1	3.0	29	87.9
27	1	3.0	30	90.9
29	1	3.0	31	93.9
32	1	3.0	32	97.0
37	1	3.0	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

Average waiting period(mnts) for 01 rank

ICI1201P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.1	1	3.1
1	3	9.4	4	12.5
1.5	1	3.1	5	15.6
2	1	3.1	6	18.8
2.5	1	3.1	7	21.9
3	2	6.3	9	28.1
4	4	12.5	13	40.6
5	2	6.3	15	46.9
6	3	9.4	18	56.3
6.5	1	3.1	19	59.4
8	1	3.1	20	62.5
10.5	2	6.3	22	68.8
11	1	3.1	23	71.9
12	3	9.4	26	81.3
13	2	6.3	28	87.5
14	1	3.1	29	90.6
15	2	6.3	31	96.9
36	1	3.1	32	100.0

Frequency Missing = 6



#of on-post housing units for 02 rank

ICI1202U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.0	1	3.0
2	3	9.1	4	12.1
3	2	6.1	6	18.2
4	1	3.0	7	21.2
5	2	6.1	9	27.3
7	2	6.1	11	33.3
8	3	9.1	14	42.4
11	1	3.0	15	45.5
16	1	3.0	16	48.5
17	1	3.0	17	51.5
18	2	6.1	19	57.6
20	1	3.0	20	60.6
22	1	3.0	21	63.6
25	2	6.1	23	69.7
39	1	3.0	24	72.7
41	1	3.0	25	75.8
52	1	3.0	26	78.8
58	1	3.0	27	81.8
82	1	3.0	28	84.8
94	1	3.0	29	87.9
121	1	3.0	30	90.9
131	2	6.1	32	97.0
150	1	3.0	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

Average waiting period(mnts) for 02 rank

ICI1202P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.0	1	3.0
1	3	9.1	4	12.1
1.5	1	3.0	5	15.2
2	1	3.0	6	18.2
2.5	1	3.0	7	21.2
3	2	6.1	9	27.3
4	4	12.1	13	39.4
5	2	6.1	15	45.5
6	3	9.1	18	54.5
6.5	1	3.0	19	57.6
8	1	3.0	20	60.6
10.5	2	6.1	22	66.7
11	1	3.0	23	69.7
12	4	12.1	27	81.8
13	2	6.1	29	87.9
14	1	3.0	30	90.9
15	2	6.1	32	97.0
36	1	3.0	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

#of on-post housing units for 03 rank

ICI1203U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
2	2	6.1	2	6.1
4	1	3.0	3	9.1
6	1	3.0	4	12.1
7	1	3.0	5	15.2
9	1	3.0	6	18.2
11	1	3.0	7	21.2
12	1	3.0	8	24.2
13	1	3.0	9	27.3
15	1	3.0	10	30.3
19	1	3.0	11	33.3
21	1	3.0	12	36.4
22	2	6.1	14	42.4
30	1	3.0	15	45.5
43	1	3.0	16	48.5
46	1	3.0	17	51.5
50	2	6.1	19	57.6
57	1	3.0	20	60.6
60	1	3.0	21	63.6
65	1	3.0	22	66.7
69	1	3.0	23	69.7
70	1	3.0	24	72.7
107	1	3.0	25	75.8
114	1	3.0	26	78.8
143	1	3.0	27	81.8
158	1	3.0	28	84.8
262	1	3.0	29	87.9
336	1	3.0	30	90.9
363	2	6.1	32	97.0
413	1	3.0	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

Average waiting period(mnts) for 03 rank

ICI1203P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	2.9	1	2.9
1	4	11.8	5	14.7
1.5	1	2.9	6	17.6
2	1	2.9	7	20.6
2.5	1	2.9	8	23.5
3	2	5.9	10	29.4
4	3	8.8	13	38.2
5	2	5.9	15	44.1
6	3	8.8	18	52.9
6.5	1	2.9	19	55.9
8	1	2.9	20	58.8
10.5	3	8.8	23	67.6
11	1	2.9	24	70.6
12	4	11.8	28	82.4
13	2	5.9	30	88.2
14	1	2.9	31	91.2
15	2	5.9	33	97.1
36	1	2.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

#of on-post housing units for 04 rank

ICI1204U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	1	3.2	1	3.2
1	1	3.2	2	6.5
2	4	12.9	6	19.4
3	2	6.5	8	25.8
5	1	3.2	9	29.0
7	1	3.2	10	32.3
9	1	3.2	11	35.5
10	1	3.2	12	38.7
11	1	3.2	13	41.9
13	1	3.2	14	45.2
15	2	6.5	16	51.6
16	1	3.2	17	54.8
20	1	3.2	18	58.1
25	1	3.2	19	61.3
28	1	3.2	20	64.5
33	1	3.2	21	67.7
35	1	3.2	22	71.0
36	1	3.2	23	74.2
55	2	6.5	25	80.6
61	1	3.2	26	83.9
64	1	3.2	27	87.1
65	1	3.2	28	90.3
81	1	3.2	29	93.5
110	1	3.2	30	96.8
213	1	3.2	31	100.0

Frequency Missing = 7

## Average waiting period(mnts) for 04 rank

ICI1204P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	2	6.9	2	6.9
1	4	13.8	6	20.7
2	2	6.9	8	27.6
2.5	1	3.4	9	31.0
3	1	3.4	10	34.5
4	1	3.4	11	37.9
5	1	3.4	12	41.4
6	3	10.3	15	51.7
7.5	1	3.4	16	55.2
8	2	6.9	18	62.1
9	1	3.4	19	65.5
10	1	3.4	20	69.0
10.7	1	3.4	21	72.4
12	2	6.9	23	79.3
15	2	6.9	25	86.2
18	2	6.9	27	93.1
30	1	3.4	28	96.6
36	1	3.4	29	100.0

Frequency Missing = 9

#of on-post housing units for 05 rank

ICI1205U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
1	4	13.3	4	13.3
2	3	10.0	7	23.3
4	1	3.3	8	26.7
5	1	3.3	9	30.0
7	3	10.0	12	40.0
9	1	3.3	13	43.3
10	2	6.7	15	50.0
11	1	3.3	16	53.3
14	1	3.3	17	56.7
18	1	3.3	18	60.0
19	1	3.3	19	63.3
23	1	3.3	20	66.7
25	1	3.3	21	70.0
37	1	3.3	22	73.3
39	1	3.3	23	76.7
44	1	3.3	24	80.0
45	1	3.3	25	83.3
52	1	3.3	26	86.7
56	1	3.3	27	90.0
59	1	3.3	28	93.3
77	1	3.3	29	96.7
150	1	3.3	30	100.0

Frequency Missing = 8

## Average waiting period(mnts) for 05 rank

ICI1205P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	3	10.3	3	10.3
1	2	6.9	5	17.2
2	2	6.9	7	24.1
2.5	1	3.4	8	27.6
3	2	6.9	10	34.5
4	2	6.9	12	41.4
5	1	3.4	13	44.8
6	2	6.9	15	51.7
7.5	1	3.4	16	55.2
8	2	6.9	18	62.1
9	1	3.4	19	65.5
10	1	3.4	20	69.0
10.7	1	3.4	21	72.4
12	2	6.9	23	79.3
15	2	6.9	25	86.2
18	2	6.9	27	93.1
30	1	3.4	28	96.6
36	1	3.4	29	100.0

Frequency Missing = 9

## #of on-post housing units for 06 rank

ICI1206U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	4	13.8	4	13.8
1	5	17.2	9	31.0
2	2	6.9	11	37.9
3	4	13.8	15	51.7
4	1	3.4	16	55.2
5	1	3.4	17	58.6
8	1	3.4	18	62.1
11	1	3.4	19	65.5
14	1	3.4	20	69.0
16	1	3.4	21	72.4
23	1	3.4	22	75.9
26	1	3.4	23	79.3
38	1	3.4	24	82.8
42	1	3.4	25	86.2
67	1	3.4	26	89.7
83	2	6.9	28	96.6
115	1	3.4	29	100.0

Frequency Missing = 9



## Average waiting period(mnts) for 06 rank

ICI1206P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	6	22.2	6	22.2
1	6	22.2	12	44.4
2	4	14.8	16	59.3
3	2	7.4	18	66.7
3.5	1	3.7	19	70.4
4.5	1	3.7	20	74.1
6	1	3.7	21	77.8
10.5	1	3.7	22	81.5
12	1	3.7	23	85.2
14	1	3.7	24	88.9
15	1	3.7	25	92.6
18.2	1	3.7	26	96.3
36	1	3.7	27	100.0

Frequency Missing = 11

## #of on-post housing units for 07 rank

ICI1207U	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	5	22.7	5	22.7
1	9	40.9	14	63.6
2	3	13.6	17	77.3
3	3	13.6	20	90.9
8	2	9.1	22	100.0

Frequency Missing = 16

## Average waiting period(mnts) for 07 rank

ICI1207P	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	15	83.3	15	83.3
1	1	5.6	16	88.9
2	1	5.6	17	94.4
18.2	1	5.6	18	100.0

Frequency Missing = 20

#of spaces for children in cds center

IC_I13NS	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	2.8	1	2.8
-6	2	5.6	3	8.3
0	1	2.8	4	11.1
35	1	2.8	5	13.9
36	1	2.8	6	16.7
46	1	2.8	7	19.4
64	1	2.8	8	22.2
69	1	2.8	9	25.0
72	1	2.8	10	27.8
74	1	2.8	11	30.6
76	1	2.8	12	33.3
80	2	5.6	14	38.9
85	1	2.8	15	41.7
98	1	2.8	16	44.4
99	1	2.8	17	47.2
100	2	5.6	19	52.8
101	1	2.8	20	55.6
102	1	2.8	21	58.3
104	1	2.8	22	61.1
105	1	2.8	23	63.9
106	1	2.8	24	66.7
130	1	2.8	25	69.4
142	1	2.8	26	72.2
147	1	2.8	27	75.0
167	1	2.8	28	77.8
212	2	5.6	30	83.3
265	1	2.8	31	86.1
300	1	2.8	32	88.9
324	1	2.8	33	91.7
454	1	2.8	34	94.4
585	1	2.8	35	97.2
879	1	2.8	36	100.0

Frequency Missing = 2

## #licensed family child care providers

IC_I13NL	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	2.8	1	2.8
-6	1	2.8	2	5.6
0	1	2.8	3	8.3
1	1	2.8	4	11.1
2	1	2.8	5	13.9
4	2	5.6	7	19.4
5	2	5.6	9	25.0
7	1	2.8	10	27.8
10	1	2.8	11	30.6
11	1	2.8	12	33.3
13	1	2.8	13	36.1
14	1	2.8	14	38.9
15	1	2.8	15	41.7
18	1	2.8	16	44.4
22	1	2.8	17	47.2
24	1	2.8	18	50.0
26	1	2.8	19	52.8
28	2	5.6	21	58.3
30	2	5.6	23	63.9
40	1	2.8	24	66.7
42	1	2.8	25	69.4
43	1	2.8	26	72.2
63	1	2.8	27	75.0
65	1	2.8	28	77.8
68	1	2.8	29	80.6
71	1	2.8	30	83.3
80	1	2.8	31	86.1
82	1	2.8	32	88.9
106	1	2.8	33	91.7
113	1	2.8	34	94.4
117	1	2.8	35	97.2
216	1	2.8	36	100.0

Frequency Missing = 2

## Number on waiting list for cds/fcc

IC_I13NW	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	3.0	1	3.0
-6	1	3.0	2	6.1
0	3	9.1	5	15.2
2	1	3.0	6	18.2
6	1	3.0	7	21.2
8	1	3.0	8	24.2
47	1	3.0	9	27.3
51	1	3.0	10	30.3
52	1	3.0	11	33.3
60	1	3.0	12	36.4
66	1	3.0	13	39.4
98	1	3.0	14	42.4
128	1	3.0	15	45.5
134	2	6.1	17	51.5
165	3	9.1	20	60.6
168	1	3.0	21	63.6
200	1	3.0	22	66.7
219	1	3.0	23	69.7
250	1	3.0	24	72.7
354	1	3.0	25	75.8
358	1	3.0	26	78.8
396	1	3.0	27	81.8
471	1	3.0	28	84.8
480	1	3.0	29	87.9
555	1	3.0	30	90.9
700	1	3.0	31	93.9
756	1	3.0	32	97.0
995	1	3.0	33	100.0

Frequency Missing = 5

## Active installation family action plan?

IC_I14	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
1	30	81.1	30	81.1
2	7	18.9	37	100.0

Frequency Missing = 1

## Month of most recent version

IC_I14M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	12.5	1	12.5
-3	1	12.5	2	25.0
5	1	12.5	3	37.5
9	2	25.0	5	62.5
10	2	25.0	7	87.5
11	1	12.5	8	100.0

Frequency Missing = 30

## Day of most recent version

IC_I14D	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	33.3	1	33.3
-3	1	33.3	2	66.7
2	1	33.3	3	100.0

Frequency Missing = 35

## Year of most recent version

IC_I14Y	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	12.5	1	12.5
-3	1	12.5	2	25.0
87	1	12.5	3	37.5
88	4	50.0	7	87.5
89	1	12.5	8	100.0

Frequency Missing = 30

## #of family-oriented events per month

IC_I15M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	3.8	1	3.8
-6	2	7.7	3	11.5
-2	1	3.8	4	15.4
0	2	7.7	6	23.1
1	11	42.3	17	65.4
2	4	15.4	21	80.8
4	1	3.8	22	84.6
5	1	3.8	23	88.5
8	1	3.8	24	92.3
20	1	3.8	25	96.2
35	1	3.8	26	100.0

Frequency Missing = 12

## #of family-oriented events per year

IC_I15Y	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-2	2	5.7	2	5.7
0	1	2.9	3	8.6
2	1	2.9	4	11.4
3	3	8.6	7	20.0
4	4	11.4	11	31.4
5	2	5.7	13	37.1
6	2	5.7	15	42.9
7	1	2.9	16	45.7
9	1	2.9	17	48.6
10	1	2.9	18	51.4
12	5	14.3	23	65.7
15	1	2.9	24	68.6
17	1	2.9	25	71.4
19	1	2.9	26	74.3
24	3	8.6	29	82.9
25	1	2.9	30	85.7
31	1	2.9	31	88.6
54	1	2.9	32	91.4
83	1	2.9	33	94.3
100	1	2.9	34	97.1
416	1	2.9	35	100.0

Frequency Missing = 3

## Payday activities policy

IC_I16	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
1	26	70.3	26	70.3
2	11	29.7	37	100.0

Frequency Missing = 1

## Family time policy

IC_I17	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
1	25	67.6	25	67.6
2	12	32.4	37	100.0

Frequency Missing = 1

## Population of 1st community

IC_L21	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
2000	1	2.8	1	2.8
3275	1	2.8	2	5.6
3800	1	2.8	3	8.3
13000	1	2.8	4	11.1
19836	1	2.8	5	13.9
25000	1	2.8	6	16.7
30000	1	2.8	7	19.4
32000	1	2.8	8	22.2
39000	1	2.8	9	25.0
39500	1	2.8	10	27.8
40000	1	2.8	11	30.6
45000	1	2.8	12	33.3
51970	1	2.8	13	36.1
60000	1	2.8	14	38.9
68048	1	2.8	15	41.7
70000	1	2.8	16	44.4
75000	1	2.8	17	47.2
77000	1	2.8	18	50.0
80000	1	2.8	19	52.8
84150	1	2.8	20	55.6
90000	1	2.8	21	58.3
90524	1	2.8	22	61.1
100000	1	2.8	23	63.9
120000	1	2.8	24	66.7
125000	1	2.8	25	69.4
150000	1	2.8	26	72.2
161400	1	2.8	27	75.0
196000	1	2.8	28	77.8
207500	1	2.8	29	80.6
260000	1	2.8	30	83.3
500000	3	8.3	33	91.7
623724	1	2.8	34	94.4
675000	1	2.8	35	97.2
1000000	1	2.8	36	100.0

Frequency Missing = 2



## Population of 2nd community

IC_L22	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-----				
-6	3	8.6	3	8.6
1725	1	2.9	4	11.4
2300	1	2.9	5	14.3
2500	1	2.9	6	17.1
2778	1	2.9	7	20.0
3643	1	2.9	8	22.9
6000	2	5.7	10	28.6
8500	1	2.9	11	31.4
10000	1	2.9	12	34.3
13593	1	2.9	13	37.1
18000	1	2.9	14	40.0
20000	2	5.7	16	45.7
22800	1	2.9	17	48.6
24000	1	2.9	18	51.4
27500	1	2.9	19	54.3
28000	1	2.9	20	57.1
30000	1	2.9	21	60.0
35000	1	2.9	22	62.9
37000	1	2.9	23	65.7
40500	1	2.9	24	68.6
47000	1	2.9	25	71.4
50000	1	2.9	26	74.3
56900	1	2.9	27	77.1
60000	1	2.9	28	80.0
60964	1	2.9	29	82.9
80000	2	5.7	31	88.6
99480	1	2.9	32	91.4
125000	1	2.9	33	94.3
180000	1	2.9	34	97.1
623724	1	2.9	35	100.0

Frequency Missing = 3

## Population of 3rd community

IC_L23	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-6	2	5.9	2	5.9
1150	1	2.9	3	8.8
1500	1	2.9	4	11.8
1824	1	2.9	5	14.7
2101	1	2.9	6	17.6
2500	1	2.9	7	20.6
3200	1	2.9	8	23.5
5000	1	2.9	9	26.5
6000	1	2.9	10	29.4
7343	1	2.9	11	32.4
10000	2	5.9	13	38.2
12000	1	2.9	14	41.2
13500	1	2.9	15	44.1
14978	1	2.9	16	47.1
15000	1	2.9	17	50.0
18547	1	2.9	18	52.9
19000	1	2.9	19	55.9
20000	2	5.9	21	61.8
21000	1	2.9	22	64.7
22100	1	2.9	23	67.6
25000	1	2.9	24	70.6
26000	1	2.9	25	73.5
28000	1	2.9	26	76.5
46000	1	2.9	27	79.4
70817	1	2.9	28	82.4
75000	1	2.9	29	85.3
100000	1	2.9	30	88.2
102500	1	2.9	31	91.2
164600	1	2.9	32	94.1
628600	1	2.9	33	97.1
780000	1	2.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

## Distance (miles) to nearest urban center

IC_L3	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	2.8	1	2.8
-6	3	8.3	4	11.1
1	2	5.6	6	16.7
2	2	5.6	8	22.2
3	1	2.8	9	25.0
4	1	2.8	10	27.8
5	5	13.9	15	41.7
6	1	2.8	16	44.4
7	1	2.8	17	47.2
8	1	2.8	18	50.0
10	3	8.3	21	58.3
11	1	2.8	22	61.1
12	1	2.8	23	63.9
14	1	2.8	24	66.7
15	3	8.3	27	75.0
19	1	2.8	28	77.8
21	1	2.8	29	80.6
23	1	2.8	30	83.3
25	1	2.8	31	86.1
26	1	2.8	32	88.9
35	1	2.8	33	91.7
45	1	2.8	34	94.4
48	1	2.8	35	97.2
80	1	2.8	36	100.0

Frequency Missing = 2

## Time (minutes) to nearest urban center

IC_L4	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	2	5.4	2	5.4
2	1	2.7	3	8.1
5	4	10.8	7	18.9
8	1	2.7	8	21.6
10	3	8.1	11	29.7
15	6	16.2	17	45.9
20	7	18.9	24	64.9
25	1	2.7	25	67.6
30	4	10.8	29	78.4
35	1	2.7	30	81.1
45	3	8.1	33	89.2
60	2	5.4	35	94.6
90	1	2.7	36	97.3
105	1	2.7	37	100.0

Frequency Missing = 1

## Rate availability of jobs for army spouses

IC_L51	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-1	2	5.6	2	5.6
1	2	5.6	4	11.1
2	3	8.3	7	19.4
3	8	22.2	15	41.7
4	10	27.8	25	69.4
5	11	30.6	36	100.0

Frequency Missing = 2

## Rate availability cultural/rec activities

IC_L52	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
1	17	47.2	17	47.2
2	9	25.0	26	72.2
3	6	16.7	32	88.9
4	3	8.3	35	97.2
5	1	2.8	36	100.0

Frequency Missing = 2

## List types cultural/rec activities

IC_L52SP	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
BIKING, CAPITOL,	1	4.3	1	4.3
ES, CASTELS, PAR	1	4.3	2	8.7
ETITIONS, LOCAL	1	4.3	3	13.0
FESTS, BOATING,	1	4.3	4	17.4
G, HUNTING & FIS	1	4.3	5	21.7
ING CLUB, LAKE,	1	4.3	6	26.1
KATING ARENA	1	4.3	7	30.4
KINDS OF FESTS.	1	4.3	8	34.8
KINDS) ART & PHO	1	4.3	9	39.1
LKSMARCH CLUBS	1	4.3	10	43.5
LLEGE SPORTS TEA	1	4.3	11	47.8
LS, WATER, AND O	1	4.3	12	52.2
NG	1	4.3	13	56.5
ONSORED CELEBRAT	1	4.3	14	60.9
PORTS, HIKING TR	1	4.3	15	65.2
RAVEL TOUR & AGE	1	4.3	16	69.6
SUCH AS DOG DAYS	1	4.3	17	73.9
SWIM PARKS	1	4.3	18	78.3
VALS, VOLKSMARCH	1	4.3	19	82.6
VOLKSMARCHES	1	4.3	20	87.0
XHIBITIONS	1	4.3	21	91.3
ZOO, ANIMAL PARK	1	4.3	22	95.7
2 MALLS, MOVIE T	1	4.3	23	100.0

Frequency Missing = 15

## #army installations w/in 25 ml radius

IC_L6AR	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	10	29.4	10	29.4
1	9	26.5	19	55.9
2	4	11.8	23	67.6
3	5	14.7	28	82.4
4	1	2.9	29	85.3
5	3	8.8	32	94.1
8	1	2.9	33	97.1
32	1	2.9	34	100.0

Frequency Missing = 4

#air frce installatns w/in 25 ml radius

IC_L6AF	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	10	43.5	10	43.5
1	9	39.1	19	82.6
2	4	17.4	23	100.0

Frequency Missing = 15

#navy installations w/in 25 ml radius

IC_L6N	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	15	78.9	15	78.9
1	4	21.1	19	100.0

Frequency Missing = 19

#marine installatns w/in 25 ml radius

IC_L6M	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
0	17	89.5	17	89.5
1	2	10.5	19	100.0

Frequency Missing = 19

## #of military retirees in local community

IC_L7	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
-7	1	2.8	1	2.8
0	2	5.6	3	8.3
8	1	2.8	4	11.1
10	1	2.8	5	13.9
20	1	2.8	6	16.7
30	1	2.8	7	19.4
32	1	2.8	8	22.2
33	1	2.8	9	25.0
35	1	2.8	10	27.8
50	3	8.3	13	36.1
60	1	2.8	14	38.9
75	1	2.8	15	41.7
82	1	2.8	16	44.4
90	1	2.8	17	47.2
120	2	5.6	19	52.8
191	1	2.8	20	55.6
219	2	5.6	22	61.1
250	1	2.8	23	63.9
510	1	2.8	24	66.7
1029	1	2.8	25	69.4
2000	1	2.8	26	72.2
3000	1	2.8	27	75.0
9556	1	2.8	28	77.8
10000	1	2.8	29	80.6
13450	1	2.8	30	83.3
15000	1	2.8	31	86.1
24000	1	2.8	32	88.9
24585	1	2.8	33	91.7
25000	1	2.8	34	94.4
38959	1	2.8	35	97.2
92000	1	2.8	36	100.0

Frequency Missing = 2

# APPENDIX-I : PROC CONTENTS of SOLDIER Data Sets

SAS

9:42 Monday, April 29, 1991

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: OUTPUT.PCSOLD1

Type:

Observations: 11035

Record Len: 322

Variables: 97

Label:

### -----Alphabetic List of Variables and Attributes-----

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
86	EDUC	Num	3	271	Soldier's Level of Education
87	ENLEDUC	Num	3	274	Enlisted Soldier's Level of Education
84	EOT	Num	3	265	Enlisted/Officer Status Flag
95	HRSERWK	Num	3	313	Hours spent per week in PT or Army job
92	JOBPERWK	Num	8	294	Hours worked per week in Army job
91	LNTHOBL	Num	3	291	Time Remaining in Current Obligation
90	MNTHSEND	Num	3	288	Months Remaining in Current Obligation
88	OFFEDUC	Num	3	277	Officer's Level of Education
80	PAYGRADE	Num	3	253	Soldier's Paygrade
81	PAYGRD2	Num	3	256	Soldier's Paygrade - Recode #2
82	PAYGRD3	Num	3	259	Soldier's Paygrade - Recode #3
83	PAYGRD4	Num	3	262	Early Career Paygrade-Missing otherwise
93	PTPERWK	Num	3	302	Hours spent per week in PT
85	RACE	Num	3	268	Race/Ethnicity
89	S2_DATE	Num	8	280	SAS Date Value for End of Obligation
3	S_1	Num	3	15	Currently working in (PMOS)/basic branch
1	S_2	Num	5	4	When does current obligation end - YYMM
4	S_3	Num	3	18	Marital status at time of entry
5	S_4	Num	3	21	Children living with you/paying support
6	S_5	Num	3	24	Ever been single parent/child with you
19	S_7	Num	3	63	Career/reenlistment plans
22	S_9	Num	3	72	Brothers/sisters ever served active duty
23	S_10	Num	3	75	Sex
24	S_11	Num	3	78	Race
25	S_12	Num	3	81	Hispanic background
26	S_13	Num	3	84	Highest level of education completed
27	S_14	Num	3	87	Present pay grade/rank
28	S_15	Num	3	90	Selected for promotion to next grade/rnk
74	S_16	Num	3	228	Number months in current unit
29	S_17	Num	3	93	Daily Army work with assigned unit
51	S_20	Num	3	159	Relationship of officers/enlisted in unit
61	S_23	Num	3	189	URR-readiness to work effectively as unit
62	S_24	Num	3	192	URR - readiness to meet standards
63	S_25	Num	3	195	URR - does unit have necessary material
64	S_26	Num	3	198	URR-does unit provide care for families
65	S_27	Num	3	201	URR-does unit provide care for soldiers
66	S_28	Num	3	204	URR-readiness of unit officers/ncos to lead
67	S_29	Num	3	207	URR-ready to demonstrate mission performance



## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
68	S_30	Num	3	210	URR-readiness to accomplish mission tasks
69	S_31	Num	3	213	URR-readiness soldiers to meet an alert
70	S_32	Num	3	216	URR-readiness training program support
71	S_33	Num	3	219	URR-readinss of unit to fire its weapons
72	S_34	Num	3	222	URR-readiness vehicles to accomplish missn
75	S_35	Num	3	231	# of hrs work in Army job typical week
76	S_36	Num	3	234	# of hrs spent in PT in a typical week
30	S_18A	Num	3	96	How often skills needed to get job done
31	S_18B	Num	3	99	How often encouragd to do things new way
32	S_18C	Num	3	102	How often don't know end of workday
33	S_18D	Num	3	105	How often kept at work beyond normal hrs
34	S_18E	Num	3	108	How often get recognition from leaders
35	S_18F	Num	3	111	How often called back for extra detail
36	S_18G	Num	3	114	How often work requires leave cancelled
37	S_18H	Num	3	117	How often procedure change w/no reason
38	S_18I	Num	3	120	How often field training without notice
39	S_18J	Num	3	123	How oftn assignd work w/no value to Army
40	S_18K	Num	3	126	How oftn supervisor inspires performance
41	S_18L	Num	3	129	How often discipline administered fairly
42	S_18M	Num	3	132	How oftn soldiers help w/personal prblms
43	S_18N	Num	3	135	How oftn supervsr listns to persnl prblm
44	S_18O	Num	3	138	How oftn supervsr listns to family prblm
45	S_18P	Num	3	141	How often supervisor interestd in family
46	S_18Q	Num	3	144	How oftn time allowd urgnt family mattrs
47	S_18R	Num	3	147	How oftn time allwd non-urgnt fmly matrs
48	S_19A	Num	3	150	Leaders encourage unit family activities
49	S_19B	Num	3	153	Leaders know about Army family program
50	S_19C	Num	3	156	If war, leaders concerned for families
52	S_21A	Num	3	162	Level of morale in your unit
53	S_21B	Num	3	165	Confidence in unit members in combat
54	S_21C	Num	3	168	Unit readiness for combat
55	S_22A	Num	3	171	Proud of unit
56	S_22B	Num	3	174	Closest friendships w/people I work with
57	S_22C	Num	3	177	Superiors attempt to treat me as person
58	S_22D	Num	3	180	Unit officers would lead well in combat
59	S_22E	Num	3	183	Unit NCOs would lead well in combat
60	S_22F	Num	3	186	Trust skillz of unit soldiers in combat
77	S_37A	Num	4	237	Time duty day starts typical work day
78	S_37B	Num	4	241	Time duty day ends typical work day
2	S_37DAY	Num	6	9	Length of workday - from S_137A & S_137B
73	S_38A	Num	3	225	Time off last month-transportation prblm
7	S_6A	Num	3	27	Importance of developing maturity
8	S_6B	Num	3	30	Importance of training for profession
9	S_6C	Num	3	33	Importance of serving country
10	S_6D	Num	3	36	Importance time out to considr life plan
11	S_6E	Num	3	39	Importance of gettnng money for education
12	S_6F	Num	3	42	Importance of gaining job experience

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
13	S_6G	Num	3	45	Importance of fulfilling ROTC commitment
14	S_6H	Num	3	48	Importance of lack of civilian jobs
15	S_6I	Num	3	51	Importance of opportunity to travel
16	S_6J	Num	3	54	Importance of family military tradition
17	S_6K	Num	3	57	Importance of job security/stability
18	S_6L	Num	3	60	Importance of retirement benefits
20	S_8A	Num	3	66	Father/male guardian active duty service
21	S_8B	Num	3	69	Mothr/femle guardian active duty service
94	TOTLHRS	Num	8	305	Hours spent per week in PT or Army job
96	WORKDAY	Num	3	316	Length of Soldier's Workday - continuous
97	WORKDAYR	Num	3	319	Length of Soldier's Workday-categorical
79	XID	Num	8	245	Encoded Person Identifier

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: OUTPUT.PCSOLD2

Type:

Observations: 11035

Record Len: 394

Variables: 116

Label:

## -----Alphabetic List of Variables and Attributes-----

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
113	JOBIMP	Num	8	362	Job Security 1st or 2nd most important
114	JOBMOST	Num	8	370	Job Security most important reason(S_70)
111	PAYIMP	Num	8	346	Pay/Benefits 1st or 2nd most important
112	PAYMOST	Num	8	354	Pay/Benefits most important reason(S_70)
10	S_39	Num	3	31	Do you give more to/get more from Army
15	S_41	Num	3	46	Prepared to perform wartime job tasks
20	S_43	Num	3	61	Pay grade job performance comparison
101	S_44	Num	4	304	Last physical readiness test score
21	S_45	Num	3	64	Ever taken skill qualification test
102	S_46	Num	4	308	Most recent SQT score
22	S_47	Num	3	67	Senior rater potential box check NCO-ER
103	S_48	Num	4	312	Score on most recent EER
23	S_49	Num	3	70	Senior rater potential box check OER
24	S_50	Num	3	73	Last rating above/below/center mass
25	S_51	Num	3	76	# of articles 15 received in past 2 yrs
26	S_52	Num	3	79	# of flag actions received in past 2 yrs
27	S_53	Num	3	82	Ever received a reduction in grade
28	S_54	Num	3	85	# of letters appreciation in past 2 yrs
29	S_55	Num	3	88	# certificates appreciation past 2 yrs
107	S_56	Num	3	327	# of award/decorations while in military
34	S_58	Num	3	103	2nd job during off-duty hrs in 1988
104	S_59	Num	3	316	Weeks worked at 2nd job(s) in 1988
105	S_60	Num	3	319	Hrs in work week for 2nd job(s) in 1988
106	S_61	Num	5	322	Earnings from 2nd job(s) in 1988
35	S_62	Num	3	106	Currently working 2nd job when off-duty
108	S_63	Num	3	330	# of hrs at 2nd job(s) in a typical week
36	S_64	Num	3	109	Interst/dividends/capital gains
109	S_65	Num	5	333	Total income from all sources in 1988
37	S_66	Num	3	112	Total amount of money you owe
38	S_67	Num	3	115	# months not paid bills in past 12 mnths
4	S_38B	Num	3	13	Time off last month-health problem
5	S_38C	Num	3	16	Time off last month-child care n/avail.
6	S_38D	Num	3	19	Time off last month-other care of child
7	S_38E	Num	3	22	Time off last month-help spouse
8	S_38F	Num	3	25	Time off last month-personal business
9	S_38G	Num	3	28	Time off last month-other reasons
11	S_40A	Num	3	34	Importance - exhibiting military bearing
12	S_40B	Num	3	37	Importance - being excellent soldier
13	S_40C	Num	3	40	Importance - being outstanding leader
14	S_40D	Num	3	43	Importance - battle courage/discipline

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
16	S_42A	Num	3	49	Task preparedness - nuclear weapons
17	S_42B	Num	3	52	Task preparedness - biological agents
18	S_42C	Num	3	55	Task preparedness - chemical agents
19	S_42D	Num	3	58	Task preparedness - conventional weapons
30	S_57A	Num	3	91	Current written will
31	S_57B	Num	3	94	Current drivers licence
32	S_57C	Num	3	97	Car available whenever needed
33	S_57D	Num	3	100	Transportation to unit in emergency
39	S_68A	Num	3	118	Opportunity for advancement this location
40	S_68B	Num	3	121	Pay at this location
41	S_68C	Num	3	124	Retirement benefits at this location
42	S_68D	Num	3	127	Type of work at this location
43	S_68E	Num	3	130	Treatment by supervisors this location
44	S_68F	Num	3	133	Opportunity to use ability this location
45	S_68G	Num	3	136	Job security at this location
46	S_68H	Num	3	139	Work rules/regulations at this location
47	S_68I	Num	3	142	Working hours/schedule at this location
48	S_68J	Num	3	145	Personal freedom at this location
49	S_68K	Num	3	148	Opportunity for excitement this location
50	S_68L	Num	3	151	Opportunity serve country this location
51	S_68M	Num	3	154	Time personal/family life this location
52	S_68N	Num	3	157	Job opportunity for spouse this location
53	S_68O	Num	3	160	Spouse job satisfaction this location
54	S_68P	Num	3	163	Spouse total satisfaction this location
55	S_68Q	Num	3	166	Quality place for children this location
56	S_68R	Num	3	169	Quality of schools at this location
57	S_68S	Num	3	172	Quality of medical care at this location
58	S_68T	Num	3	175	Programs for families at this location
59	S_68U	Num	3	178	Quality of community at this location
60	S_68V	Num	3	181	Chance to make friends at this location
61	S_69A	Num	3	184	Compare opportunities for advancement
62	S_69B	Num	3	187	Compare pay to civilian life
63	S_69C	Num	3	190	Compare retirement benefits to civ. life
64	S_69D	Num	3	193	Compare type of work to civilian life
65	S_69E	Num	3	196	Compare treatment by supervisors
66	S_69F	Num	3	199	Compare opportunities to use abilities
67	S_69G	Num	3	202	Compare job security to civilian life
68	S_69H	Num	3	205	Compare work regulation to civilian life
69	S_69I	Num	3	208	Compare working hours to civilian life
70	S_69J	Num	3	211	Compare personal freedom to civ. life
71	S_69K	Num	3	214	Compare opportunity for excitement
72	S_69L	Num	3	217	Compare opportunity to serve country
73	S_69M	Num	3	220	Compare time for personal/family life
74	S_69N	Num	3	223	Compare job opportunities for spouse
75	S_69O	Num	3	226	Compare spouse's job satisfaction
76	S_69P	Num	3	229	Compare spouse's overall satisfaction
77	S_69Q	Num	3	232	Compare quality of place for children

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
78	S_69R	Num	3	235	Compare quality of schools for children
79	S_69S	Num	3	238	Compare quality of family medical care
80	S_69T	Num	3	241	Compare programs/services for families
81	S_69U	Num	3	244	Compare quality of community you live in
82	S_69V	Num	3	247	Compare opportunity to make good friends
1	S_70A	Num	3	4	1st most important factor from S_69 list
2	S_70B	Num	3	7	2nd most important factor from S_69 list
3	S_70C	Num	3	10	3rd most important factor from S_69 list
83	S_71A	Num	3	250	High position officers support of family
84	S_71B	Num	3	253	Place of duty officers support of family
85	S_71C	Num	3	256	Place of duty NCOs support of family
86	S_72A	Num	3	259	I feel no commitment to the Army
87	S_72B	Num	3	262	My values and Army's values are similar
88	S_72C	Num	3	265	Not much gained if stay until retirement
89	S_72D	Num	3	268	Army best organization to work for
90	S_72E	Num	3	271	Joining Army was a mistake
91	S_72F	Num	3	274	Goals fulfilled if stay until retirement
92	S_72G	Num	3	277	The Army is responsive to family needs
93	S_72H	Num	3	280	Too many non-job activities required
94	S_72I	Num	3	283	Spouse should feel part of Army community
95	S_72J	Num	3	286	Soldier will stay if spouse has good job
96	S_72K	Num	3	289	Family should adjust to job demands
97	S_72L	Num	3	292	Husband/wife share equally child care
98	S_72M	Num	3	295	Woman should be able to make career plan
99	S_72N	Num	3	298	Husband should be head of the family
100	S_72O	Num	3	301	If became rich would stay in the Army
115	WORKIMP	Num	8	378	Work Rewards 1st or 2nd most important
116	WORKMOST	Num	8	386	Work Rewards most important reason(S_70)
110	XID	Num	8	338	Encoded Person Identifier

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: OUTPUT.PCSOLD3  
 Observations: 11035  
 Variables: 93  
 Label:

Type:  
 Record Len: 288

## -----Alphabetic List of Variables and Attributes-----

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
82	DUALIND	Num	3	252	Dual Military Indicator (1/2)
76	EXPYOS	Num	3	234	Expected # of Years Active Duty Service
77	EXPYOS2	Num	3	237	Expected # of Years Active Duty Service
83	MARLENTH	Num	3	255	Time Married to Current Spouse
85	MARRAGE	Num	3	261	Age at the time of first marriage
78	MARSTAT	Num	3	240	Soldier's Marital Status
84	MRLNTH2	Num	3	258	Time Married to Current Spouse
79	MS2	Num	3	243	Single or Married
80	MS3	Num	3	246	Soldier's Marital Status
81	MS4	Num	3	249	Soldier's Marital Status
87	RETPLAN	Num	3	267	Plans for Remaining in the Army
89	RETPLN2	Num	3	273	Plans for Remaining in the Army
86	RETPROB	Num	3	264	Probability of Remaining in the Army
92	SPEMP	Num	3	282	Spouse Employment Status
91	SPEMP1	Num	3	279	Spouse Employment Status
90	SPLF	Num	3	276	Spouse Labor Force Status
93	SPWTWK	Num	3	285	Spouse is Employed/wants work
5	S_73	Num	3	16	Satisfaction with Army as way of life
21	S_79	Num	3	64	Decision to stay/leave the Army
22	S_80	Num	3	67	Feeling if stayed in Army end obligation
23	S_81	Num	3	70	# of civilian jobs applied for last year
24	S_82	Num	3	73	Currently seeking civilian job info.
88	S_84	Num	3	270	Likelihood of Remaining in the Army
34	S_85	Num	3	103	Feeling if left at end of obligation
35	S_86	Num	3	106	Plan to join Reserves/National Guard
36	S_87	Num	3	109	# of years active duty when leave Army
50	S_90	Num	3	151	Close relative who lives within 2 hrs
51	S_91	Num	3	154	Age at time of first marriage
52	S_92	Num	3	157	Current marital status
53	S_93	Num	3	160	Engaged/significantly involved w/someone
54	S_94	Num	3	163	Girl/boyfriend ever served in military
55	S_95	Num	3	166	Supportiveness girl/boyfriend Army serv.
56	S_96	Num	3	169	Supportiveness girl/boyfriend Army carer
57	S_97	Num	3	172	Girl/boyfriend within 2 hour drive
59	S_98	Num	3	178	Marriage discussed with girl/boyfriend
58	S_99	Num	3	175	# of years married to current spouse
60	S_100	Num	3	181	Spouse place of birth
61	S_101	Num	3	184	Spouse racial background
62	S_102	Num	3	187	Spouse Hispanic background
63	S_103	Num	3	190	English first language of spouse

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
64	S_104	Num	3	193	Spouse currently serving active duty
65	S_105	Num	3	196	Present pay grade/rank of spouse
66	S_106	Num	3	199	Joint domicile assignment for you/spouse
67	S_107	Num	3	202	Unwanted assignment taken to be w/spouse
68	S_108	Num	3	205	Spouse taken unwanted assign be together
69	S_109	Num	3	208	My career more important than spouse's
70	S_110	Num	3	211	Action if separations required in future
71	S_111	Num	3	214	Spouse working in paid civilian job
72	S_112	Num	3	217	Extent spouses job interferes w/your job
73	S_113	Num	3	220	Extent your job interferes w/spouses job
74	S_114	Num	3	223	Work preference for spouse at present
6	S_74A	Num	3	19	How often last month felt secure
7	S_74B	Num	3	22	How often last month felt isolated
8	S_74C	Num	3	25	How often last month felt pleased w/self
9	S_74D	Num	3	28	How often last month felt lonely
10	S_74E	Num	3	31	How often last month felt afraid
11	S_74F	Num	3	34	How often last month felt hopeful
1	S_75A	Num	3	4	Feel too tired to enjoy doing things
2	S_75B	Num	3	7	Feel charged up by work accomplishments
3	S_75C	Num	3	10	Feel in good mood and ready to have fun
4	S_75D	Num	3	13	Feel in such bad mood - difficult
12	S_76A	Num	3	37	Luck more import. than work for success
13	S_76B	Num	3	40	Stopped every time try getting ahead
14	S_76C	Num	3	43	Planning makes a person unhappy
15	S_76D	Num	3	46	What happens to me is my own doing
16	S_76E	Num	3	49	I am almost certain my plans will work
17	S_77A	Num	3	52	Demand of work responsibilities
18	S_77B	Num	3	55	Demand of family responsibilities
19	S_78A	Num	3	58	Success with work responsibilities
20	S_78B	Num	3	61	Success with family responsibilities
25	S_83A	Num	3	76	Spouse/girlfriend/boyfriend opinion
26	S_83B	Num	3	79	Children's opinion about staying in
27	S_83C	Num	3	82	Parents' opinion about staying in
28	S_83D	Num	3	85	Friends' opinion about staying in
29	S_83E	Num	3	88	Co-workers' opinion about staying in
30	S_83F	Num	3	91	First line supervisor's opinion
31	S_83G	Num	3	94	Commanding officer's opinion
32	S_84A	Num	3	97	Likelihood of remaining in the Army
33	S_84B	Num	3	100	Likelihood of remaining in the Army
37	S_88A	Num	3	112	Person who listens to you
38	S_88B	Num	3	115	Person who does enjoyable things w/you
39	S_88C	Num	3	118	Person who helps w/chores if you're sick
40	S_88D	Num	3	121	Person who takes care of your children
41	S_88E	Num	3	124	Person who lends you household tools
42	S_88F	Num	3	127	Person who makes loans of \$25-\$50
43	S_88G	Num	3	130	Person who provides transportation
44	S_89A	Num	3	133	Count on leader at your place of duty

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
45	S_89B	Num	3	136	Count on someone else you work with
46	S_89C	Num	3	139	Count on a neighbor/friend in Army
47	S_89D	Num	3	142	Count on a neighbor/friend not in Army
48	S_89E	Num	3	145	Count on staff of an Army service agency
49	S_89F	Num	3	148	Count on parents or other relatives
75	XID	Num	8	226	Encoded Person Identifier



## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: OUTPUT.PCSOLD4  
 Observations: 11035  
 Variables: 112  
 Label:

Type:  
 Record Len: 354

## -----Alphabetic List of Variables and Attributes-----

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
99	CHO	Num	3	307	Has child less than 1 yr old
100	CH12	Num	3	310	Has child age 1-2
101	CH35	Num	3	313	Has child age 3-5
102	CH69	Num	3	316	Has child age 6-9
103	CH1014	Num	3	319	Has child age 10-14
104	CH1517	Num	3	322	Has child age 15-17
105	CH18P	Num	3	325	Has child age 18+
98	CHILDAge	Num	3	304	Age of Youngest Child
110	FAMSTAT	Num	8	340	Family Status
107	FLC	Num	3	331	Family Life Course Stage
108	FLC1	Num	3	334	Family Life Course-Recode 1
109	FLC2	Num	3	337	Family Life Course-Recode 2
96	KIDS	Num	3	298	Does Soldier have Kids Accompanying
97	KIDS05	Num	3	301	Does Soldier have Kids, Age of Youngest
95	NUMDEP	Num	3	295	# of Dependent Children
106	SNGLPRNT	Num	3	328	Single Parent Indicator (1/2)
112	SPCRN	Num	3	351	Soldier wants spouse to have career now
111	SPFTN	Num	3	348	Soldier wants spouse work FT/PT/not now
12	S_115	Num	3	37	Work preference for spouse in 5 years
21	S_117	Num	3	64	Spouse living with you
89	S_119	Num	4	269	# of months at location without spouse
90	S_120	Num	4	273	# of months at location with spouse
91	S_121	Num	4	277	# of nights away overnight last 6 months
31	S_122	Num	3	94	Extended marital separation past 12 mnts
32	S_123	Num	3	97	Length of last extended separation
33	S_124	Num	3	100	How long since last separation
63	S_132	Num	3	190	Happiness of marriage
64	S_133	Num	3	193	Spouse supportiveness of being in Army
65	S_134	Num	3	196	Spouse supportiveness of Army career
66	S_135	Num	3	199	Number of dependent children
67	S_136	Num	3	202	# of dependent children living with you
68	S_138	Num	3	205	Are you/is your spouse pregnant
76	S_141	Num	3	229	Children 5 yrs old or less living w/you
77	S_142	Num	3	232	Need child care for youngest child <= 5
5	S_143	Num	3	16	Where is youngest child <= 5 cared for
87	S_144	Num	4	262	# hrs youngest child <=5 use arrangement
6	S_145	Num	3	19	Rate your youngest child's child care
81	S_147	Num	3	244	Family adjustment to Army family life
13	S_116A	Num	3	40	Want spouse to work for expense money
14	S_116B	Num	3	43	Want spouse to work to buy extras

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
15	S_116C	Num	3	46	Want spouse to work to save money
16	S_116D	Num	3	49	Want spouse to work to develop skills
17	S_116E	Num	3	52	Want spouse to work for positive image
18	S_116F	Num	3	55	Want spouse to work to meet people
19	S_116G	Num	3	58	Want spouse to work to have a career
20	S_116H	Num	3	61	Want spouse to work to help society
22	S_118A	Num	3	67	Separation due to an unaccompanied tour
23	S_118B	Num	3	70	Separation due to assignment elsewhere
24	S_118C	Num	3	73	Separation short term-spouse will join
25	S_118D	Num	3	76	Separation due to spouse's civilian job
26	S_118E	Num	3	79	Separation due to continued education
27	S_118F	Num	3	82	Separation due to children's education
28	S_118G	Num	3	85	Separation due to dislike of location
29	S_118H	Num	3	88	Separation due to marital problems
30	S_118I	Num	3	91	Separation due to other reason
34	S_125A	Num	3	103	Separation made spouse more independent
35	S_125B	Num	3	106	Spouse handled things admirably
36	S_125C	Num	3	109	Separation caused time to adjust
1	S_126A	Num	3	4	Worry about family safety when away
2	S_126B	Num	3	7	Worry about car/house repairs when away
3	S_126C	Num	3	10	Worry about family expenses when away
37	S_126D	Num	3	112	Worry about children when away
4	S_126E	Num	3	13	Worry about family war safety when away
38	S_127A	Num	3	115	Spouse cope < 2 weeks without you
39	S_127B	Num	3	118	Spouse cope 2 weeks-month without you
40	S_127C	Num	3	121	Spouse cope several months without you
41	S_127D	Num	3	124	Spouse cope six months without you
42	S_128A	Num	3	127	Disagree w/spouse about spending money
43	S_128B	Num	3	130	Disagree w/spouse about enough affection
92	S_128C	Num	3	281	Disagree w/spouse about time together
93	S_128D	Num	3	284	Disagree w/spouse about children
44	S_128E	Num	3	133	Disagree w/spouse about divison of labor
45	S_129A	Num	3	136	Spouse has power of attorney
46	S_129B	Num	3	139	Joint checking account with spouse
47	S_129C	Num	3	142	Spouse has emergency savings
48	S_130A	Num	3	145	Spouse & I team working for Army goals
49	S_130B	Num	3	148	Spouse understands demands of Army job
50	S_130C	Num	3	151	Spouse helps to further my career
51	S_130D	Num	3	154	Spouse willing to make changes
52	S_130E	Num	3	157	Spouse hurt career-go to chain of commnd
53	S_130F	Num	3	160	Spouse hurt career-use service provider
54	S_130G	Num	3	163	Spouse is someone I can talk with
55	S_130H	Num	3	166	Keep spouse informed about unit work
56	S_130I	Num	3	169	Family need should come before Army need
57	S_130J	Num	3	172	Family life must go well for work well
58	S_130K	Num	3	175	Pre-occupied with work - no family time
59	S_131A	Num	3	178	Think marriage in trouble last 12 months

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
60	S_131B	Num	3	181	Think of getting divorced last 12 months
61	S_131C	Num	3	184	Discuss divorce last 12 months
62	S_131D	Num	3	187	File for divorce last 12 months
7	S_137A	Num	3	22	Age of youngest child living with you
8	S_137B	Num	3	25	Age of 2nd youngest child living w/you
9	S_137C	Num	3	28	Age of 3rd youngest child living w/you
10	S_137D	Num	3	31	Age of 4th youngest child living w/you
11	S_137E	Num	3	34	Age of oldest child living with you
88	S_137NUM	Num	3	266	Number of useable children's ages
69	S_139A	Num	3	208	Have child with serious behavior problem
70	S_139B	Num	3	211	Have child with serious problem w/school
71	S_139C	Num	3	214	Have child with serious medical problem
72	S_140A	Num	3	217	Amount time spent with children
73	S_140B	Num	3	220	Ability to meet childrens emotional need
74	S_140C	Num	3	223	Ability to meet childrens learning needs
75	S_140D	Num	3	226	Overall quality of time spent w/children
78	S_146A	Num	3	235	Family cooperation getting things done
79	S_146B	Num	3	238	Resolution of tough family problems
80	S_146C	Num	3	241	Family behavior tendencies in bad times
82	S_148A	Num	3	247	Policy -family support during deployment
83	S_148B	Num	3	250	Policy - on-post housing assignment
84	S_148C	Num	3	253	Policy - permanent change of station
85	S_148D	Num	3	256	Policy - military child care priority
86	S_148E	Num	3	259	Policy - family violence and abuse
94	XID	Num	8	287	Encoded Person Identifier

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: IN.ARISOLD5  
 Observations: 11035  
 Variables: 96  
 Label:

Type:  
 Record Len: 324

## -----Alphabetic List of Variables and Attributes-----

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
93	AFFIT	Num	8	292	Army-Family Fit
94	FAMADAP	Num	8	300	Family Adjustment to the Army
95	FAMSTR	Num	8	308	Family Strengths
92	READINES	Num	8	284	Individual Readiness Measure
96	SOLUIFWT	Num	8	316	SOLDIER UIF WEIGHT
86	S_150	Num	4	259	# of months at current location
87	S_151	Num	3	263	# times moved to new locale due to PCS
9	S_152	Num	3	28	Last assignment before current location
10	S_153	Num	3	31	Preference about moving before last PCS
11	S_154	Num	3	34	Receive info on new location before move
12	S_155	Num	3	37	Written info on new location after moved
20	S_157	Num	3	61	# of months waited for permanent housing
88	S_158	Num	3	266	# of places have lived a week or more
21	S_159	Num	3	64	Current type of housing
22	S_160	Num	3	67	Satisfaction with current housing
23	S_161	Num	3	70	Preference of type of housing
89	S_162	Num	4	269	# of minutes to get to place of duty
24	S_163	Num	3	73	Telephone where you live
1	S_148F	Num	3	4	Policy - emergency financial assistance
2	S_148G	Num	3	7	Policy-off-post medical service referral
3	S_148H	Num	3	10	Policy -spouse priority for federal jobs
4	S_149A	Num	3	13	Problem getting child care last month
5	S_149B	Num	3	16	Problem getting housework done last mnth
6	S_149C	Num	3	19	Problem with family health last month
7	S_149D	Num	3	22	Lack of free time with family last month
8	S_149E	Num	3	25	Problm attending family events last mnth
13	S_156A	Num	3	40	Problem moving/setting up new household
14	S_156B	Num	3	43	Problem w/costs incurred during move
15	S_156C	Num	3	46	Problem w/children adjusting to location
16	S_156D	Num	3	49	Problem w/spouse adjusting to location
17	S_156E	Num	3	52	Problem w/self adjusting to location
18	S_156F	Num	3	55	Problem w/spouse finding employment
19	S_156G	Num	3	58	Problem finding permanent housing
25	S_164A1	Num	3	76	Usefulness of budget counseling
26	S_164A2	Num	3	79	Have used budget counseling
27	S_164AA1	Num	3	82	Usefulness-handicapped family services
28	S_164AA2	Num	3	85	Have used handicapped family services
29	S_164B1	Num	3	88	Usefulness of financial class for PCS
30	S_164B2	Num	3	91	Have used financial class for PCS
31	S_164BB1	Num	3	94	Usefulness of drop-in child daycare

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
32	S_164BB2	Num	3	97	Have used drop-in child daycare
33	S_164C1	Num	3	100	Usefulness of emergency loan service
34	S_164C2	Num	3	103	Have used emergency loan service
35	S_164CC1	Num	3	106	Usefulness of full-day child daycare
36	S_164CC2	Num	3	109	Have used full-day child daycare
37	S_164D1	Num	3	112	Usefulness of spouse employment referral
38	S_164D2	Num	3	115	Have used spouse employment referrals
39	S_164DD1	Num	3	118	Usefulness of child abuse service
40	S_164DD2	Num	3	121	Have used child abuse service
41	S_164E1	Num	3	124	Usefulness of spouse career planning
42	S_164E2	Num	3	127	Have used spouse career planning
43	S_164EE1	Num	3	130	Usefulness of spouse abuse service
44	S_164F1	Num	3	133	Usefulness of spouse employment training
45	S_164F2	Num	3	136	Have used spouse employment training
46	S_164G1	Num	3	139	Usefulness of community orientation
47	S_164G2	Num	3	142	Have used community orientation
48	S_164H1	Num	3	145	Usefulness of premove information
49	S_164H2	Num	3	148	Have used premove information
50	S_164I1	Num	3	151	Usefulness of sponsorship assistance
51	S_164I2	Num	3	154	Have used sponsorship assistance
52	S_164J1	Num	3	157	Usefulness of lending closet
53	S_164J2	Num	3	160	Have used lending closet
54	S_164K1	Num	3	163	Usefulness of relocation counseling
55	S_164K2	Num	3	166	Have used relocation counseling
56	S_164L1	Num	3	169	Usefulness-directory community services
57	S_164L2	Num	3	172	Have used directory of community service
58	S_164M1	Num	3	175	Usefulness-services for families off-post
59	S_164M2	Num	3	178	Have used services for families off-post
60	S_164N1	Num	3	181	Usefulness of family separation services
61	S_164N2	Num	3	184	Have used family separation services
62	S_164O1	Num	3	187	Usefulness-information/referral services
63	S_164O2	Num	3	190	Have used information/referral services
64	S_164P1	Num	3	193	Usefulness of libraries
65	S_164P2	Num	3	196	Have used libraries
66	S_164Q1	Num	3	199	Usefulness of housing location referrals
67	S_164Q2	Num	3	202	Have used housing location referrals
68	S_164R1	Num	3	205	Usefulness of legal services
69	S_164R2	Num	3	208	Have used legal services
70	S_164S1	Num	3	211	Usefulness of recreation services
71	S_164S2	Num	3	214	Have used recreation services
72	S_164T1	Num	3	217	Usefulness of crisis hot line
73	S_164T2	Num	3	220	Have used crisis hot line
74	S_164U1	Num	3	223	Usefulness of emergency food
75	S_164U2	Num	3	226	Have used emergency food
76	S_164V1	Num	3	229	Usefulness of emergency home furnishings
77	S_164V2	Num	3	232	Have used emergency home furnishings
78	S_164W1	Num	3	235	Usefulness-emergency long distance calls

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
79	S_164W2	Num	3	238	Have used emergency long distance calls
80	S_164X1	Num	3	241	Usefulness of drug/alcohol treatment
81	S_164X2	Num	3	244	Have used drug and alcohol treatment
82	S_164Y1	Num	3	247	Usefulness of individual counseling
83	S_164Y2	Num	3	250	Have used individual counseling
84	S_164Z1	Num	3	253	Usefulness of marriage/family therapy
85	S_164Z2	Num	3	256	Have used marriage and family therapy
91	TRVLTIME	Num	3	281	Amount of time to get to place of duty
90	XID	Num	8	273	Encoded Person Identifier

SAS

9:21 Monday, April 29, 1991

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: OUTPUT.PCSOLD6  
 Observations: 11035  
 Variables: 49  
 Label:

Type:  
 Record Len: 263

## -----Alphabetic List of Variables and Attributes-----

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
44	AGE	Num	3	245	Soldier's Age (continuous)
45	AGER	Num	3	248	Soldier's Age (categorical)
12	ALIEN	Num	8	74	Alienation
24	ARFMINTR	Num	8	170	Army-Family Interference
18	ARMYSER	Num	8	122	Army Services Resistance
10	ARMYVAGR	Num	8	58	Army Values Agreement
23	ARPOLSUP	Num	8	162	Army Policies Support
1	BASD	Num	5	4	Basic Active Service Date
16	CAREER	Num	8	106	Careerism
2	CMDR	Num	3	9	Unit Commander Indicator
25	CMD_RATE	Num	3	178	Commander assessment of job performance
8	COMMCOMP	Num	8	42	Army-Civilian Community Comparisons
6	COMMSAT	Num	8	26	Community Satisfaction
15	COMMSUPP	Num	8	98	Community Support Network
38	CONCERN1	Num	3	217	Rater 1 -- Care/Concern for Subordinates
39	CONCERN2	Num	3	220	Rater 2 -- Care/Concern for Subordinates
3	CROSSVAL	Num	3	12	1=60%, 2=40%
34	DISPLNE1	Num	3	205	Rater 1 -- Job Discipline
35	DISPLNE2	Num	3	208	Rater 2 -- Job Discipline
30	DPLARMY1	Num	3	193	Rater 1 -- Deployability (Army Task)
31	DPLARMY2	Num	3	196	Rater 2 -- Deployability (Army Task)
32	DPLFMLY1	Num	3	199	Rater 1 -- Deployability (Personal/Fmly)
33	DPLFMLY2	Num	3	202	Rater 2 -- Deployability (Personal/Fmly)
28	EFFORT1	Num	3	187	Rater 1 -- Effort and Initiative
29	EFFORT2	Num	3	190	Rater 2 -- Effort and Initiative
46	ENTRYAGE	Num	3	251	Soldier's Age at Time of Entry
17	FAMDISAG	Num	8	114	Family Disagreements
26	FAMILAR1	Num	3	181	Rater 1's Familiarity with Ratee
27	FAMILAR2	Num	3	184	Rater 2's Familiarity with Ratee
22	FAMSTRNG	Num	8	154	Family Coherence and Strength
19	FAMWORK	Num	8	130	Family-Work Precedence
4	FSSTRAT	Num	3	15	1ST-STAGE STRATA
42	IMP_AGE	Num	8	229	Imputation Flag
43	IMP_YOS	Num	8	237	Imputation Flag
7	JOBCOMP	Num	8	34	Army-Civilian Job Comparisons
36	KNOWLGE1	Num	3	211	Rater 1 -- Job Knowledge/Skills
37	KNOWLGE2	Num	3	214	Rater 2 -- Job Knowledge/Skills
40	LEADER1	Num	3	223	Rater 1 -- Leadership of Subordinates
41	LEADER2	Num	3	226	Rater 2 -- Leadership of Subordinates
9	LFSUPP	Num	8	50	Leader Support for Families

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
48	LNTHSV1	Num	3	257	Length of Service
49	LNTHSV2	Num	3	260	Length of Service
14	LOCCNTL	Num	8	90	Locus of Control
11	MARIEQY	Num	8	66	Marital Equity
20	MARISEP	Num	8	138	Marital Separation Risk
13	MWRKSTRS	Num	8	82	Work Stress - Married Soldiers
47	NTRYAGE	Num	3	254	Soldier's Age at Time of Entry
21	PARCHSAT	Num	8	146	Parent-Child Satisfaction
5	XID	Num	8	18	Encoded Person Identifier



## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

Data Set Name: OUTPUT.PCSOLD7  
 Observations: 11035  
 Variables: 68  
 Label:

Type:  
 Record Len: 328

## -----Alphabetic List of Variables and Attributes-----

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
62	PRESURE1	Num	3	307	Rater 1 -- Performance Under Pressure
63	PRESURE2	Num	3	310	Rater 2 -- Performance Under Pressure
50	RATECNT1	Num	3	271	Total # of Ratings Requested from Rater1
51	RATECNT2	Num	3	274	Total # of Ratings Requested from Rater2
52	RATEPOS1	Num	3	277	Rating position on Rater 1's IRR
53	RATEPOS2	Num	3	280	Rating position on Rater 2's IRR
54	RATRRNK1	Char	3	283	Rater 1's Rank
55	RATRRNK2	Char	3	286	Rater 2's Rank
20	REGION	Num	3	64	REGION
56	RELATE1	Num	3	289	Rater 1's Relationship to Ratee
57	RELATE2	Num	3	292	Rater 2's Relationship to Ratee
49	RELOCADJ	Num	8	263	Relocation Adjustment
42	SELFESTM	Num	8	207	Self Esteem
46	SEPANXTY	Num	8	239	Separation Anxiety
47	SEPCOP	Num	8	247	Separation Coping
44	SOCSUPP	Num	8	223	Social Support Availability
37	SOLDIER	Num	8	167	Soldiering
21	SOLIRRWT	Num	8	67	Soldier-IRR Weight
60	SOLSKIL1	Num	3	301	Rater 1 -- General Soldiering Skills
61	SOLSKIL2	Num	3	304	Rater 2 -- General Soldiering Skills
22	SOLWT	Num	8	75	Soldier Ques Weight
45	SPARINTR	Num	8	231	Spouse-Army Job Interference
48	SPOINV	Num	8	255	Spouse Involvement
64	SUBFMLY1	Num	3	313	Rater 1 -- Care for Subordinates' Family
65	SUBFMLY2	Num	3	316	Rater 2 -- Care for Subordinates' Family
1	S_164EE2	Num	3	4	Have used spouse abuse service
2	S_164FF1	Num	3	7	Usefulness of youth recreation programs
3	S_164FF2	Num	3	10	Have used youth recreation programs
4	S_164GG1	Num	3	13	Usefulness of youth employment programs
5	S_164GG2	Num	3	16	Have used youth employment programs
6	S_164HH1	Num	3	19	Usefulness-foreign born spouse programs
7	S_164HH2	Num	3	22	Have used foreign born spouse programs
8	S_164II1	Num	3	25	Usefulness-spouse programs-TDys/deplymnt
9	S_164II2	Num	3	28	Have used spouse programs-TDys/deploymnt
10	S_164JJ1	Num	3	31	Usefulness of premarriage counseling
11	S_164JJ2	Num	3	34	Have used premarriage counseling
12	S_164KK1	Num	3	37	Usefulness-single parent support groups
13	S_164KK2	Num	3	40	Have used single parent support groups
14	S_164LL1	Num	3	43	Usefulness-social programs for singles
15	S_164LL2	Num	3	46	Have used social programs for singles

## CONTENTS PROCEDURE

#	Variable	Type	Len	Pos	Label
16	S_164MM1	Num	3	49	Usefulness-child care for single parents
17	S_164MM2	Num	3	52	Have used child care for single parents
18	S_BATCH	Char	6	55	Batch number
19	S_COMSH	Num	3	61	Comment sheet
58	TEAMWRK1	Num	3	295	Rater 1 -- Cooperation/Teamwork
59	TEAMWRK2	Num	3	298	Rater 2 -- Cooperation/Teamwork
40	TIMECOMP	Num	8	191	Army-Civilian Freedom/Time Comparisons
39	TIMESAT	Num	8	183	Satisfaction with Personal Freedom/Time
66	TRAINER1	Num	3	319	Rater 1 - Trainer of Subordinates
67	TRAINER2	Num	3	322	Rater 2 -- Trainer of Subordinates
36	UCOMCON	Num	8	159	Unit Combat Confidence
23	UICTYPE	Num	3	83	COMBAT,COM SUPPORT,COM SERVICE,TDA
34	ULFSUPP	Num	8	143	Unit Leader Family Support
28	UMACOM	Num	3	100	MAJOR COMMAND OF UNIT
35	UMORALE	Num	8	151	Unit Morale
32	UPERSUPP	Num	8	127	Unit Personnel Support
33	USUPSUPP	Num	8	135	Unit Supervisor Family Support
41	WEAPPREP	Num	8	199	Weapon Preparedness
30	WORKMOT	Num	8	111	Work Motivation
31	WORKPRED	Num	8	119	Work Predictability
38	WORKSAT	Num	8	175	Work Satisfaction
43	WORKSTRS	Num	8	215	Work Stress
24	XFSU	Num	3	86	ENCRYPTED RFSU IDENTIFIER
25	XICCI	Num	3	89	Encrypted ICCI Identifier
29	XID	Num	8	103	Encoded Person Identifier
26	XSPF	Num	3	92	Encrypted SPF Identifier
27	XUIC	Num	5	95	ENCRYPTED UIC IDENTIFIER
68	YOS	Num	3	325	# of Complete Years of Service